



R*- Closed Maps and R*- Open Maps in Topological Spaces

Janaki. C

Asst.Professor,Department of Mathematics,LRG Govt Arts college for Women,Tirupur (T.N)INDIA

Renu Thomas

Asst.Professor,Department of Mathematics,Sree Narayana Guru college, Coimbatore (T.N) India

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces the idea of R^* -interior and R^* -closure in addition to the concept of R^* -open and R^* -closed maps. Certain properties of R^* -open and R^* -closed are also discussed.

1. SUMMARY

The generalization of closed sets was introduced by N.Levine[7]. This contribution led to many new concepts and results in general topology. Researchers like Arya et al [2] Balachandran et al [3] Arokiarani et al [1] Palaniappan et al [10] have worked on different types of generalized closed sets. Closed mapping in topology was introduced by Malghan [9]. Similar studies on wg-closed maps and rwg-closed maps were studied by Nagaveni [8,11] M.Karpagadevi [5], A.Vadivel [12,13], Antony Rex Rodrigo [6] defined RW-closed maps, rga-closed maps and R-closed maps respectively. In this paper, the notion of R^* -interior and R^* -closure have been defined and their basic properties are studied. For any subset A of X, the complement of R^* -interior of A is R^* -closure. In addition, this paper includes a new class of closed maps called R^* -closed maps. Its relation with similar closed maps is discussed and some properties related to this map are obtained.

Throughout the paper, X and Y denote the topological spaces (X, τ) and (Y, σ) respectively on which no separation axioms are assumed.

KEYWORDS: R^* -int(A), R^* -cl(A), R^* -closed maps, R^* -open maps, R^* -T_{1/2}

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called (1) a regular open [4] if $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and regular closed [4] if $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. The intersection of all regular closed subset of (X, τ) containing A is called the regular closure of A and is denoted by $\text{rcl}(A)$.

Definition 2.2. [4] A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called regular semi-open set U such that $U \subset A \subset \text{cl}(U)$. The family of all regular semiopen sets of X is denoted by $\text{RSO}(X)$.

Lemma 2.3. [4] In a space (X, τ) , the regular closed sets, regular open sets and clopen sets are regular semi open.

Definition 2.4. [4] A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be semi regular open if it is both semi open and semi closed.

Definition 2.5. A subset of a topological space (X, τ) is

- (1) a regular generalized (briefly rg-closed) [10] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in X.
- (2) a regular w-closed (briefly rw-closed)[9] if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular semiopen in X.
- (3) a regular generalized-star closed (briefly rg*-closed)[10] if $\text{rcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular open in X.
- (4) a R^* -closed set [4] if $\text{rcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is regular semi open in X.

The complements of the above mention closed sets are their respectively open sets.

Definition 2.6 A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called

- (i). R^* - continuous [4] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an R^* - closed set in (X, τ) for every closed set V of (Y, σ) .
- (ii). R^* - irresolute [4] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an R^* - closed set in (X, τ) for every R^* - closed set V of (Y, σ) .
- (iii). Strongly R^* - closed if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a closed set in (X, τ) for every R^* - closed set V of (Y, σ) .
- (iv). Strongly R^* -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an open set in (X, τ) for every R^* - open set V of (Y, σ) .

Definition 2.7 A topological space X is said to be R^* -T_{1/2} space if every R^* -closed set is regular closed.

3. R^* -interior and R^* -closure

We introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1 Let X be a topological space and let $x \in X$. A subset N

of X is said to be R^* -nbhd of x if there exist an R^* - open set G such that $x \in G \subset N$.

Definition 3.2. Let A be a subset of X. A point $x \in A$ is said to be R^* -Interior of A if A is a R^* -nbhd of x. The set of all R^* -Interior points of A is called R^* -Interior of A and is denoted by $R^*\text{-int}(A)$.

Theorem 3.3. If A is a subset of X, then $R^*\text{-int}(A) = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is } R^*\text{-open}, G \subset A\}$. **Proof:** Let A be a subset of X. $x \in R^*\text{-int}(A) \Leftrightarrow x$ is a R^* -Interior point of A. $\Leftrightarrow A$ is an R^* -nbhd of the point x. $\Leftrightarrow$ there is a R^* -open set G such that $x \in G \subset A \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is } R^*\text{-open}, G \subset A\}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let A and B be subsets of X, then (i). $R^*\text{-int}(X) = X$ and $R^*\text{-int}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. (ii). $R^*\text{-int}(A) \subset A$. (iii). If B is a R^* -open set contained in A, then $B \subset R^*\text{-int}(A)$. (iv). If $A \subset B$, then $R^*\text{-int}(A) \subset R^*\text{-int}(B)$.

Proof:

- (i). X and $\emptyset$ are open sets and so by Theorem 3.3, $R^*\text{-int}(X) = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is } R^*\text{-open}, G \subset X\} = X \cup \{\text{all } R^*\text{-open sets}\} = X$. That is $R^*\text{-int}(X) = X$. Since $\emptyset$ is the only R^* -open set contained in $\emptyset$, $R^*\text{-int}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- (ii). Let $x \in R^*\text{-int}(A) \Rightarrow x$ is a R^* -interior point of A. $\Rightarrow A$ is a R^* -nbhd of x. $\Rightarrow x \in A$. Thus $x \in R^*\text{-int}(A) \Rightarrow x \in A$. Hence $R^*\text{-int}(A) \subset A$.
- (iii). Let B be any R^* -open set such that $B \subset A$. Let $x \in B$, then since B is a R^* -open set contained in A, x is a R^* -interior point of A. That is $x \in R^*\text{-int}(A)$. Hence $B \subset R^*\text{-int}(A)$.
- (iv). Let A and B be subsets of X such that $A \subset B$. let $x \in R^*\text{-int}(A)$. Then x is a R^* -interior point of A and so A is a R^* -nbhd of x. Since $A \subset B$, B is also a R^* -nbhd of x. This implies that $x \in R^*\text{-int}(B)$. Thus $x \in R^*\text{-int}(A) \Rightarrow x \in R^*\text{-int}(B)$. Hence $R^*\text{-int}(A) \subset R^*\text{-int}(B)$.

Theorem 3.5. If a subset A of a space X is R^* -open, then $R^*\text{-int}(A) = A$.

Proof . Let A be R^* -open subset of X. We know that $R^*\text{-int}(A) \subset A$. Also, A is R^* -open set contained in A. From Theorem 3.4 (iii) $A \subset R^*\text{-int}(A)$. Hence $R^*\text{-int}(A) = A$.

Remark 3.6 The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 3.7 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with topology $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}\}$. Then $R^*\text{-O}(X) = \{X, \emptyset$

$\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}$. $R^*-int(\{a, b\}) = \{a\} \cup \{b\} \cup \emptyset = \{a, b\}$. But $\{a, b\}$ is not a R^* -open set in X .

Theorem 3.8. If A and B are subsets of X then

- (i) $R^*-int(A) \cup R^*-int(B) \subset R^*-int(A \cup B)$.
 (ii) $R^*-int(A \cap B) = R^*-int(A) \cap R^*-int(B)$

Proof.

(i). We know that $A \subset A \cup B$ and $B \subset A \cup B$. By Theorem 3.4 (iv), $R^*-int(A) \subset R^*-int(A \cup B)$ and $R^*-int(B) \subset R^*-int(A \cup B)$. This implies that $R^*-int(A) \cup R^*-int(B) \subset R^*-int(A \cup B)$.

(ii) We know that $A \cap B \subset A$ and $A \cap B \subset B$. By Theorem 3.4

(iv) $R^*-int(A \cap B) \subset R^*-int(A)$ and $R^*-int(A \cap B) \subset R^*-int(B)$. This implies $R^*-int(A \cap B) \subset R^*-int(A) \cap R^*-int(B)$(a). Again, let $x \in R^*-int(A) \cap R^*-int(B)$. Then $x \in R^*-int(A)$ and $x \in R^*-int(B)$. There exists R^* -open sets U and V such that $x \in U \subset A$ and $x \in V \subset B$. By theorem 3.32 of [4], $U \cap V$ is an R^* -open set such that $x \in (U \cap V) \subset (A \cap B)$. Hence $x \in R^*-int(A \cap B)$. $x \in R^*-int(A) \cap R^*-int(B)$ implies $x \in R^*-int(A \cap B)$. Therefore $R^*-int(A) \cap R^*-int(B) \subset R^*-int(A \cap B)$(b). From (a) and (b), it follows that $R^*-int(A \cap B) = R^*-int(A) \cap R^*-int(B)$.

Definition 3.9 Let A be a subset of a space X . We define the R^* -closure of A to be the intersection of all R^* -closed sets containing A . In symbols $R^*-cl(A) = \bigcap \{F : A \subset F \in R^*-C(X)\}$.

Theorem 3.10 If A and B are subsets of a space X (i). $R^*-cl(X) = X$ and $R^*-cl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. (ii). $A \subset R^*-cl(A)$. (iii). If B is R^* -closed set containing A , then $R^*-cl(A) \subset B$. (iv). If $A \subset B$, then $R^*-cl(A) \subset R^*-cl(B)$. (v). $R^*-cl(A) = R^*-cl[R^*-cl(A)]$

Proof.

- (i). By definition of R^* -closure, X is the only R^* -closed set containing X . Therefore $R^*-cl(X) =$ intersection of all R^* -closed sets containing $X = \bigcap \{X\} = X$. That is $R^*-cl(X) = X$. Also by definition of R^* -closure, $R^*-cl(\emptyset) =$ intersection of all R^* -closed sets containing $\emptyset = \emptyset$.
 (ii). By definition of $R^*-cl(A)$, it is obvious that $A \subset R^*-cl(A)$.
 (iii). Let B be any R^* -closed set containing A . Since $R^*-cl(A)$ is the intersection of all R^* -closed sets containing A , $R^*-cl(A)$ is contained in every R^* -closed set containing A . In particular $R^*-cl(A)$ is contained in B by hypothesis. Hence $R^*-cl(A) \subset B$.
 (iv). Let A and B be subsets of X such that $A \subset B$. By definition of R^* -closure, $R^*-cl(B) = \bigcap \{F : B \subset F \in R^*-C(X)\}$. If $B \subset F \in R^*-C(X)$, then by (iii) $R^*-cl(B) \subset F$. Since $A \subset B$, $A \subset B \subset F \in R^*-C(X)$, we have by (iii) $R^*-cl(A) \subset F$. Therefore $R^*-cl(A) \subset \bigcap \{F : B \subset F \in R^*-C(X)\} = R^*-cl(B)$. That is $R^*-cl(A) \subset R^*-cl(B)$.
 (v). Let A be any subset of X . By definition of R^* -closure, $R^*-cl(A) = \bigcap \{F : A \subset F \in R^*-C(X)\}$. If $A \subset F \in R^*-C(X)$, then $R^*-cl(A) \subset F$. Since F is R^* -closed set containing $R^*-cl(A)$, by (iii) $R^*-cl(R^*-cl(A)) \subset F$. Hence $R^*-cl(R^*-cl(A)) \subset \bigcap \{F : A \subset F \in R^*-C(X)\} = R^*-cl(A)$. That is $R^*-cl(A) = R^*-cl[R^*-cl(A)]$.

Theorem 3.11. If $A \subset X$ is an R^* -closed set, then $R^*-cl(A) = A$.

Proof. Let A be R^* -closed subset of X . We know that $A \subset R^*-cl(A)$. Also $A \subset A$ and A is R^* -closed. By Theorem 3.10 (iii), $R^*-cl(A) \subset A$. Hence $R^*-cl(A) = A$.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true from the following example.

Example 3.12 . Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with topology

$$\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{e\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, e\}, \{d, e\}, \{a, d, e\}\}$$

$$R^*-C(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{b, c, e\}, \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}\}.$$

Now $R^*-cl(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ but $\{a\}$ is not R^* -closed subset of X .

Theorem 3.13. If A and B are subsets of a space X , then $R^*-cl(A \cap B) \subset R^*-cl(A) \cap R^*-cl(B)$. **Proof.** Let A and B be subsets of X . Clearly

$A \cap B \subset A$ and $A \cap B \subset B$. By Theorem 3.10 (iv), $R^*-cl(A \cap B) \subset R^*-cl(A)$ and $R^*-cl(A \cap B) \subset R^*-cl(B)$. Then $R^*-cl(A \cap B) \subset R^*-cl(A) \cap R^*-cl(B)$.

Remark 3.14 The reverse implication of the above theorem is not true.

Example 3.15 In example 3.12, let $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{c, d, e\}$ then $R^*-cl(A) \cap R^*-cl(B) = \{c\}$ and $R^*-cl(A \cap B) = \emptyset$.
 $R^*-cl(A) \cap R^*-cl(B) \not\subset R^*-cl(A \cap B)$.

4. R^* -closed maps and R^* -open maps in topological spaces

We introduce the following definitions

Definition 4.1 A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be R^* -closed map if the image of every closed set in (X, τ) is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) .

Definition 4.2 A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be R^* -open map if the image $f(A)$ of every open set in (X, τ) is R^* -open in (Y, σ) .

Proposition 4.3 For every bijective function, $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent. (i) $f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is R^* -continuous. (ii) f is an R^* -open map. (iii) f is an R^* -closed map **Proof** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let U be an open set in (X, τ) . By hypothesis $(f^{-1})^{-1}(U)$ is R^* -open in (Y, σ) by definition 4.2. Thus $f(U)$ is R^* -open in (Y, σ) . Hence f is R^* -open.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let F be a closed set of (X, τ) . Then F^c is open in (X, τ) . By hypothesis $f(F^c)$ is R^* -open. Thus $f(F)$ is R^* -closed. Thus f is R^* -closed.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let F be a closed set in (X, τ) . Then $f(F)$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) . That is $(f^{-1})^{-1}(f(F))$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) . Thus (f^{-1}) is R^* -continuous.

Proposition 4.4: If a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is R^* -closed, then $R^*-cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(R^*-cl(A))$ for every subset A of (X, τ) . **Proof:** Suppose that f is R^* -closed and $A \subseteq X$. Note that $R^*-cl(A)$ is closed in X and $f(R^*-cl(A))$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) . We know that, $A \subseteq R^*-cl(A)$. Thus $f(A) \subseteq f(R^*-cl(A))$. By theorem 3.10 (iv) $R^*-cl(f(A)) \subseteq R^*-cl(f(R^*-cl(A)))$(i). Also by theorem 3.11, since $f(R^*-cl(A))$ is an R^* -closed set $R^*-cl(f(R^*-cl(A))) = f(R^*-cl(A))$(ii). From (i) and (ii), $R^*-cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(R^*-cl(A))$ for every subset A of (X, τ) .

Remark 4.5:

The converse of the proposition 4.4 is not true in general as seen from the following example.

Example 4.6 Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$, $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Define $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by $f(x) = x$ for every $x \in X$. Then $R^*-cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(R^*-cl(A))$ for every subset of (X, τ) . But f is not R^* -closed, since $\{a\} = f(\{a\})$ which is not R^* -closed in (Y, σ) .

Definition 4.7: Let τ_{R^*} be the topology on X generated by R^* -closure in the usual manner. That is $\tau_{R^*} = \{A \subset X : R^*-cl(A^c) = A^c\}$

Corollary 4.8: If a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is R^* -closed, then the image $f(A)$ of a regular closed set A in (X, τ) is τ_{R^*} -closed in (Y, σ) .

Proof: Let A be a closed set in (X, τ) . Since f is R^* -closed, by the above proposition 4.4

$$R^*-cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(R^*-cl(A)) \text{------(i)}$$

Also $R^*-cl(A) = A$ as A is regular closed set and so $f(R^*-cl(A)) = f(A)$ ------(ii). From (i) and (ii) we have $R^*-cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(A)$. We know that $(f(A))^c \subset R^*-cl(f(A))^c$ and so $R^*-cl((f(A))^c) = (f(A))^c$. Therefore $f(A)$ is τ_{R^*} -closed in (Y, σ) .

Theorem 4.9: A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is R^* -closed if and only if for each subset S of (Y, σ) and each open set U containing $f^{-1}(S)$, there is an R^* -open set V of (Y, σ) such that $S \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$.

Proof: Suppose f is R^* -closed. Let $S \subset Y$ and U be open set of (X, τ) such that $f^{-1}(S) \subset U$. Now $X - U$ is a closed set of (X, τ) such that $f^{-1}(S) \subset U$. Since f is R^* -closed, $f(X - U)$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) . Then $V = Y - f(X - U)$ is an R^* -open set in (Y, σ) . Note that $f^{-1}(S) \subset U$ implies $S \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) = X - f^{-1}(f(X - U)) \subset X - (X - U) = U$. That is $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$. Conversely, let

F be a closed set of (X, τ) . Then $f^{-1}(f(F^c)) \subset F^c$ and F^c is open in (X, τ) . By hypothesis, there exist an R^* -open set V in (Y, σ) such that $f(F^c) \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset F^c$ and so $F \subset (f^{-1}(V))^c$. Hence $V^c \subset f(F) \subset f(((f^{-1}(V))^c)^c) \subset V^c$, which implies $f(F) = V^c$. Since V^c is R^* -closed, $f(F)$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) . That is f is R^* -closed.

Theorem 4.10: Closed maps and R^* -closed maps are independent.

Example 4.11: Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}$ $\tau = \{X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ $\sigma = \{Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \emptyset, \}$ $R^*-C(Y) = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Define a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as the identity mapping. f is a closed map but not R^* -closed map since $f(\{d\}) = \{d\}$ which is not R^* -closed.

Example 4.12 Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c\}$

$\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$

$\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$

$R^*-C(Y) = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Define a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as the identity mapping. f is an R^* -closed map but not a closed map since, $f(\{a\}) = \{a\}$ which is not closed in (Y, σ) .

Remark 4.13 The composition of two R^* -closed maps need not be R^* -closed map in general as shown by the following example.

Example.4.14

Consider $X=Y=Z=\{a, b, c\}$,

$\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$

$\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$

$\eta = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$

Define $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ as $f(a) = c, f(b) = a, f(c) = b$ and g to be the identity mapping. Here f and g are R^* -closed maps but $g \circ f$ is not an R^* -closed map. Since $g \circ f(\{c\}) = g(f(c)) = g(b) = \{b\}$ which is not R^* -closed in (Z, η) .

Theorem 4.15 If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a closed map and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is an R^* -closed map, then the composition $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) .

Proof: Let F be any closed set in (X, τ) . Since f is a closed map $f(F)$ is closed in (Y, σ) . Since g is R^* -closed map $g(f(F))$ is a R^* -closed set in (Z, η) . That is $g \circ f(F) = g(f(F))$ is R^* -closed and hence $g \circ f$ is R^* -closed map.

Remark 4.16 If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an R^* -closed map and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is a closed map, then the composition $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ need not be an R^* -closed map in (Z, η) as seen from the following example.

Example 4.17. Let $X=Y=Z=\{a, b, c, d\}$ $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$, $\sigma = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $\eta = \{Z, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, $R^*-C(Y) = \{Y, \emptyset, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. $R^*-C(Z) = \{Z, \emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Define $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ as follows $f(a) = b, f(b) = d, f(c) = a, f(d) = c$; $g(a) = b, g(b) = d, g(c) = a, g(d) = c$. Here f is a R^* -closed map and g is a closed map but $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is not an R^* -closed map since $g \circ f(\{b\}) = g(f(\{b\})) = g(\{d\}) = \{c\}$ which is not R^* -closed in (Z, η) .

Theorem 4.18 Let (X, τ) and (Z, η) be topological spaces and (Y, σ) be a topological space where every " R^* -closed set is closed". Then the composition $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ of the two R^* -closed maps $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$, is R^* -closed.

Proof: Let A be closed set of (X, τ) since f is R^* -closed, $f(A)$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) . Then by hypothesis $f(A)$ is closed. Since g is R^* -closed, $g(f(A))$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) and $g(f(A)) = g \circ f(A)$. Therefore $g \circ f$ is R^* -closed.

Theorem 4.19. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be two mappings such that their composition $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be an R^* -closed mapping. Then the following statements are true

- (1) If f is continuous and surjective, then g is R^* -closed.
- (2) If g is R^* -irresolute and injective, then f is R^* -closed.
- (3) If f is R^* -continuous, surjective and (X, τ) is $R^*-T^{1/2}$ space, then g is R^* -closed.
- (4) If g is strongly R^* -continuous and injective, then f is closed.

Proof:

- (1) Let A be a closed set in (Y, σ) . Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(A)$ is closed in (X, τ) and since $g \circ f$ is R^* -closed $g \circ f(f^{-1}(A))$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) . That is $g(A)$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) , since f is surjective. Therefore g is R^* -closed.
- (2) Let B be a closed set in (X, τ) . Since g is irresolute, $g^{-1}(g \circ f(B))$ is R^* -closed set in (Y, σ) . That is $f(B)$ is R^* -closed in (Y, σ) since f is injective. Therefore f is R^* -closed. (3) Let C be a closed set in (Y, σ) . Since f is R^* -continuous, $f^{-1}(C)$ is an R^* -closed set in (X, τ) . Since (X, τ) is $R^*-T^{1/2}$ space, $f^{-1}(C)$ is regular closed in (X, τ) . By hypothesis $g \circ f$ is R^* -closed and hence $g \circ f(f^{-1}(C))$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) . That is $g(C)$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) , since f is surjective. Therefore g is R^* -closed. (4) Let D be a closed set in (X, τ) . Since $g \circ f(D)$ is R^* -closed in (Z, η) . Since g is strongly R^* -continuous and injective $g^{-1}(g \circ f(D))$ is closed in (Y, σ) . That is $f(D)$ is a closed set in (Y, σ) . Therefore f is closed.

Theorem 4.20. If a map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is R^* -open, then $f(\text{rint } A) \subset R^*\text{-int}(f(A))$. **Proof:** Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an open map and A be any subset of (X, τ) . Then $\text{rint}(A)$ is open in (X, τ) and so $f(\text{rint}(A))$ is an R^* -open set in (Y, σ) . We know that $f(\text{rint } A) \subset f(A)$. Therefore by theorem 3.5, $f(\text{rint } A) \subset R^*\text{-int}(f(A))$.

Remark 4.21. The converse of the above theorem need not be true in general as seen in the following example.

Example 4.22. Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}$ $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ $\sigma = \{Y, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Define $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as follows $f(a) = b, f(b) = c, f(c) = d, f(d) = a$; Here $f(\text{rint } A) \subset R^*\text{-int}(f(A))$ but f is not R^* -open since $f(\{b, c, d\}) = \{a, c, d\}$ which is not R^* -open.

Theorem 4.23 If a map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is R^* -open then for each nbhd U of x in (X, τ) there exist R^* -nbhd W of $f(x)$ in (Y, σ) as $W \subset f(U)$.

Proof Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an R^* -open map, let $x \in X$ and U be an arbitrary nbhd of x in (X, τ) . Then there exist an open set G of (X, τ) such that $x \in G \subset U$. Now $f(x) \in f(G) \subset f(U)$ and $f(G)$ is R^* -open in (Y, σ) , as f is R^* -open map. By theorem 3.3, $f(G)$ is R^* -neighbourhood of each of its points. Taking $f(G) = W$, W is a R^* -nbhd of $f(x)$ in (Y, σ) , such that $W \subset f(U)$.

Theorem 4.24. A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is R^* -open if and only if for any subset S of (Y, σ) and any closed set F containing $f^{-1}(S)$ there exist an R^* -closed set K of (Y, σ) containing S , such that $f^{-1}(K) \subset F$.

Proof: Suppose f is R^* -open map, let $S \subset Y$ and F be a closed set of (X, τ) such that $f^{-1}(S) \subset F$. Now $X-F$ is open set in (X, τ) . Since f is R^* -open map, $f(X-F)$ is R^* -open set in (Y, σ) . Then $K = Y-f(X-F)$ is an R^* -closed set in (Y, σ) . Note that $f^{-1}(S) \subset F$ implies $S \subset K$ and $f^{-1}(K) = X-f^{-1}(f(X-F)) = X-(X-F) = F$. That is $f^{-1}(K) \subset F$.

For the converse, let U be open set in (X, τ) . Then $f^{-1}(f(U)) \subset U^c$ and U^c is closed set in (X, τ) . By hypothesis there exist an R^* -closed set K of (Y, σ) such that $f(U) \subset K$ and $f^{-1}(K) \subset U^c$ and so $U \subset (f^{-1}(K))^c$. Hence $K^c \subset f(U) \subset f((f^{-1}(K))^c) \subset K^c$, which implies $f(U) \subset K^c$. Since K^c is R^* -open, $f(U)$ is R^* -open in (Y, σ) and therefore f is an R^* -open map.

Theorem 4.25. Every R^* -open map is rg-open but not conversely.

Proof : Follows from the definition and the fact that every R^* -closed is an rg-closed set.

Remark 4.26. The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 4.27 Consider $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}$ $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ $\sigma = \{Y, \emptyset, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $f(a) = d, f(b) = c, f(c) = a, f(d) = b$. This function is rg-closed but not R^* -closed as the image of $f(\{a\}) = \{d\}$ is not R^* -closed.

Theorem 4.28 Every R^* -closed map is rw-closed but not conversely. **Proof:** Follows from the definition and the fact that every R^* -closed set is rw-closed.

Example 4.29 In example 4.26 $f(\{a\}) = \{d\}$ is rw-closed but not R^* -closed.

REFERENCES

1. I.Arokianani, (1997) "Studies on generalization of generalized closed set in topological spaces", Ph.D thesis , Bharathiar University , Coimbatore
- | 2. S.P Arya and R.Gupta(1974), "On strongly continuous mappings". Kyunpook Math ., J.14131- 143. | 3. K. Balachandran , P. Sundaram and H. Maki (1991), "On generalized continuous maps in topological spaces"Mem , I ac Sci Kochi Uni.math., 125-13. | 4. C.Janaki and Renu Thomas, (2012) "On R*-closed sets in topological Spaces"Int journal of Math Archive-3(8) ,3067-3074. | 5. M. Karpagadevi and A.Pushpalatha, (2013) "RW-closed maps and RW-open maps" in topolpgical spaces . Int .Journal of Computer Application Technology and Research Vol.2- Issue2. 91-93., | 6. P.Krishnan , Dr. J. Antony Rex Rodrigo(2012)" On R-closed maps and R-Homeomorphism in topological spaces"IOSR Journal of mathematics ISSN :2278-5728, Vol 4, Issue 1. nov-dec, PP 13-19 | 7. N.Levine (1970), " Generalized closed sets in topology". | 8. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo19 89-96. | 9. 8.N.Nagaveni(1999), " Studies on Generalization of Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces" PhD Thesis Bharatiar Univ.Coimbatore, | 10. 9.S. R. Malgham(1982) , " Generalized closed maps". J Karnatak Uni . Sci ., 2782-88. | 11. 10.N Palaniappan and K C Rao, (1993), " Regular generalized closed sets", Kyungpook Math. J.33 211-219 | 12. 11.R. Parimelzhagan and N.Nagaveni (2009) " Weakly generalized closed maps" world academy of science , engineering and technology 26.. | 13. 12.Vadivel and K.Vairamanickam,(2010) " rga- I interior and rga-closure in topological spaces", Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, vol.4 , , No.9.435-444 | 14. 13.Vadivel and K.Vairamanickam,(2010)" rga-closed 13.and rga-open in topological spaces", Int. Journal of Math.Analysis,vol.4 , , No.10.453-463 |