

Research Paper

Medical Science

Educational and Interventional Study on Cpcr Among 90 Nursing Student of Central India

Dr Padma Bhatia	Assistant Professor , Dept. of Community Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal
Dr Bhavishya Rathore	PG Student , Dept. of Community Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal
Dr D K Pal	Professor and Head, Dept. of Community Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal

INTRODUCTION:

Cardiopulmonary cerebral resuscitation (CPCR) is a life saving procedure which is performed with a goal of prolonging circulatory & lung function. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a major public health problem worldwide. Approximately 330,000 individuals in the United States and 275,000 individuals in Europe experience OHCAs each year Most patients who survive an OHCA are resuscitated in the prehospital setting and are subsequently transported to emergency hospitals(1).

Early diagnosis and treatment of sudden cardiac arrest can improve a victim's chance for survival. Basic life support (BLS) is to achieve the assessment of patient initially, the activation of pre-hospital health system and the initiation of cardiopulmonary resuscitation systemically. The main modification in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) guidelines of 2010 American Heart Association (AHA) is intended to encourage the paramedics and laypersons to perform the cardiac massage. Cardiac massage is only applied for a short while of witnessed cardiac arrest.(2)

According to AHA, 80% of cardio respiratory emergency occur at home/outside hospital. CPCR gives greatest chance of survival and can be performed by a paramedics or a lay person with a little bit of training. Out of 2lacs, 50000 deaths are due to cardio-respiratory emergency can be prevented if CPCR is performed at right time in a right way. (.3.)

Cardiopulmonary-cerebral resuscitation (CPCR) training is essential for all hospital workers. In recent years, a large number of studies that have focused on the CPCR training - especially advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) - which are believed to improve the resuscitation knowledge and skills of trainees. Some studies have revealed that a novel training technique - namely the problem-based method - which is learner-oriented and centered on the knowledge and skills of trainees, can augment resuscitation skills.(5,4)

There is no doubt as to the significance for CPCR training programs for medical students and other hospital staff. The present study aimed at assessing the overall CPCR knowledge of the nursing students and the proportion of them trained. It also aimed at identifying the weaker areas of knowledge to help in the design of future CPCR training programs.

OBJECTIVE:

- To assess the CPCR knowledge among Nursing Students of Gandhi Medical College Bhopal.
- To identify the reason of gap in their knowledge.
- To educate them regarding CPCR.
- To reassess their knowledge.

MATERIAL & METHOD:

This was a 3 point cross sectional study conducted on the nursing student of 1st /2nd/3rd year enrolled in Hamidia Hospital Bhopal carried during a period of 3 month in 2015. Structured questionnaire was prepared using the most recent guidelines by the American Heart Association (2) .Expert from Cardiology and Anesthesia department

were also consulted for advice .

Pilot testing was performed to test the wording of questions, to identify common response categories based on which the structured questionnaire was modified and standardized.

The study population consisted of all the nursing students of 3 years present on the predecided dates day who gave verbal informed consent after assuring full confidentiality.

At the 1st point of study questionnaire was given to be filled, followed by $2^{\rm nd}$ point in which PowerPoint presentation on CPCR , video and live demonstration on dummy were demonstrated .Group were made of 15 students in each group for the educational intervention . At $3^{\rm rd}$ point of study questionnaire were distributed for evaluation of the educational intervention.

The data was interred in MS Excel and analyzed using Epi-info-7. Pear-son's chi squared test was used to analyzed the difference in pre and post intervention knowledge level .

OBSERVATIONS:

Table. 1 Demographic characteristics of the study sample

CHARACTER	SAMPLE n=90(%)	
SEX: Male	29(32.22)	
Female	61(67.78)	
AGE (years): 18-23	60(66.67)	
24-30	27(30)	
>30	3(3.33)	
Mean Age	(22.83)years	
RELIGION : Hindu	73(81.11)	
Muslim	12(13.33)	
Sikh	1(1.12)	
Christian	4(4.44)	
EDUCATION: Higher Secondary	72(80)	
Graduation	18(20)	

Table. 2 Prior training among the surveyed sample

RESPONSE	NUMBER (%)
1. Any prior training Cardiopulmonary cerebral resuscitation training: YES	12(13.33)
NO	88(86.67)
2.When did you receive your last CPR training: <1yrs	2(2.22)
1-2yrs	7(7.77)

3-5yrs	3(3.33)
>5yrs	0
3.Where did you receive your CPR training: Hamidia Hospital	6(6.66)
Kamla Nehru Hospital	4(4.44)
Others	2(2.22)
4. Have you given CPCR on a real person before: YES	5(5.55)
NO	85(94.44)

Table: 3 Reason not trained in Cardiopulmonary resuscitation

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY (n=90)
1.No time	11(12.22)
2.Uninterested	9(10)
3.Don't know where to obtain training	5(5.55)
4.Training is expensive	14(15.55)
5.Hope to obtain the training during the course	43(47.77)
6.Other	8(8.88)

4. Table showing knowledge regarding CPCR

Questions	Pre interven- tional %	Post inter- ventional %	Increase in the knowl- edge %	P-value
CPCR stands for	47	99	52	0.0001
When to do CPCR	54	90	36	0.0001
Sequence of CPCR	55	92	37	0.0001
Compression rate in CPCR	40	95	55	0.0001
Depth of Com- pression	36	86	50	0.0001
Position of airways	58	84	26	0.0001
Compression to ventilation ratio	47	85	38	0.0001
Site of compres- sion	49	80	31	0.0001
Infant cardiac massage	32	90	58	0.0001
Signs of circu- lation	65	86	21	0.0009
MEAN %	48.3	88.7	40.4	

Table: 5 Knowledge scores of respondents according to age-group and education level

Age & education	% Increase in the knowledge		P-value
Age (years)			
	Mean Pre	Mean Post intervention Score (%)	
18-23 (60)	42%	88%	0.0001
24-30(27)	49%	91%	0.0001
>30(3)	51%	94%	0.0001
Education			

Higher secondary (80%)	47%	89%	0.0001
Graduation (20%)	53%	92%	0.0001

DISCUSSION:

This study showed a markedly deficient knowledge of CPR among nursing students in the Bhopal. The mean score was 48.3 with the majority of the students scoring below the average of 50% Similarly low levels (54.3%, and 25%) of knowledge have been reported from medical students in Poland and interns from southern India, respectively(7). This is probably as a result of the low percentage of the study subjects that had been exposed to any form of CPR training. A similar deficient knowledge of CPR was observed in other studies among medical students,[8,9] health care professionals[10,11] and laymen.[12] In a study[Zaheer at al] among Pakistani medical students, only 18% provided correct answers to skills on CPR. In another survey among Indian medical, dental nursing students and doctors, majority of the respondents (84.82%) scored <50% to questions asked regarding BLS. As shown in other studies,[Zamir Q at al, Harsha Kumar HN at al,] there was improved knowledge of CPR with increasing number of years of study among medical Students in this study. This may be due to the fact that medical students are only exposed to CPR as they advance in their clinical years of study on the ward rounds. Also with advancing year of study, it is more likely that their knowledge of CPR may have been updated frequently due to increasing encounters with the subject matter as they advance in a clinical study. In our study this effect is shown by students who were graduate in any other field as they were more aware regarding CPCR.

This study appraised a new educational method for CPCR Training, i.e. the Lecture and video-based method. As was discussed above, the post-workshop scores were significantly higher than the pre-workshop ones in our three groups of nursing students in CPCR training. This demonstrates that the CPCR workshop of training is useful for all participants based on the participants' self-assessment of their own knowledge and skills. A study by Tan EC at all from Netherlands also reported that only 38% of the clinical picture and diseases and 69% of the skills were mastered by the students after the training.

CPCR training programs need to be developed in different groups of the society. There are substantial challenges to deliver training programs for General population, in contrast to medical college-based programs. This CPCR workshop had high and positive effects on Participants' attitude and after the course it was found that the participants were more willing to do CPCR in emergency states. It seems that suitable training of CPCR as well as explaining the key points and its importance and feasibility can improve the individual's attitude about CPCR and decrease the individuals' fear of performing not suitable CPCR. In this study, it was found that the method that was more effective at the course had more long-lasting effects. In fact, presence of educational film and reference book allows the Participants to refresh their skills and knowledge and remember more easily the course components.

RESULT:

Among the 90 respondents 67.78 % were female and 32.22 were male, majority (81.11%) of respondent were Hindu by religion followed by Muslims (13.33%). Most of them (80%) had joined nursing after higher secondary with only 20% after graduation. The respondents in a significantly higher proportion (66.67%) belong to 23-30 years old with a mean age of 22.83 years.

Only 13.33% had received CPR training the majority been trained by 1 to 2 years ago. Only 2.22 % of respondents had undergone training in the past year and 5.55% had actually administered CPR in a real life situation. Most common reason for not having received CPR training was that they were expecting to obtain the training during the course of nursing.

Only 7 out of 90 nursing students could score >50% in the pre interventional phase which shows that the level of CPR knowledge in our paramedical staff is highly unsatisfactory and the main reason that they had been never exposed to such training.

The weakest overall performance was about infant cardiac massage followed by depth of compression .Individuals with previous train-

ing in CPR displayed superior knowledge, but still not satisfactory since their mean score was 48.3% before intervention and 88.7 after intervention , None of the respondents could give all answer correctly even after the intervention which shows that single training is not sufficient . It should be repeated and individual hands on training must be emphasized because failure of one single step can result in the failure of the resuscitation attempt though knowledge cannot be equated with clinical skills (as expected the young age group higher their scores and education).The common reason for not taking part in CPR training was that they expected that by the completion of their B.Sc nursing they will be trained but till 3rd year they were not which infect should have started in 1st year followed by refreshers course in 2rd and 3rd year . The mean score at 1st point of study was 48.3% followed by 88.7% after the intervention. A mean increase of 40.4% in the knowledge regarding CPCR was achieved.

RECOMNDATION:

Further more studies should be done to assess the clinical skill and attitudes, rather than just assessing the level of knowledge in order to enhance their ability to effectively perform

CPR in real life.

CONCLUSION:

General knowledge as well as skills regarding CPCR is poor even among the nursing staff. There is clear need for a review of basic life support education in hospitals. Only 13% of study population had received CPCR training, a major reason being that they hoped that by the end of the course they will be trained.

Hence, there is an urgent need to raise awareness among hospital staff and stake holder of importance of basic life support, to evaluate the existing curriculum and to provide more extensive hospital based training program.

LIMITATIONS:

Since only a single dummy was available so the skills could only be demonstrated and individual hand to hand skills couldn't be imparted. The questionnaire was more of extracting theoretical knowledge rather than evaluating practical skills of CPCR which perhaps could have been more assertive.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

I am thankful to the Dr.D.K.Pal professor and head, department of community medicine GMC Bhopal and thank of principal of nursing college and faculties for cooperating in the study .Last but not the least I am thankful to all the lovely adorable participants for their co-operation during the study.

KEYWORDS:

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ; resuscitation, emergency care; End-of-life care, nursing student, dummy.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Kashiura et al. Critical Care (2016) 20:49.
- Elicabuk et al. The Reliability of Turkish Basic Life Support, Cardiac Massage Videos Eurasian J Med 2016; 48: 15-9.
- Bhanji F, Mancini ME, Sinz E, Rodgers DL, McNeil MA, Hoadley TA, Meeks RA, Hamilton MF, Meaney PA, Hunt EA, Nadkarni VM, Hazinski MF. Education, implementation, and teams: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Circulation 2010;122:5920-933.
- Ahmed HU, Kellett C, Ashworth M, Nazir S. First aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation training for medical students. Med Educ 2004;38:913.
- Daneshamndi M, Asgari A, Tadrisi SD. Study of the effect of self aid & buddy aid education using lecture &
 - multimedia software package on the performance level of military personnel. IJCCN 2011;4:121-126
- Rossano JW, Jefferson LS, Smith EO, Ward MA, Mott AR. Automated external defibrillators and simulated in-hospital cardiac arrests. J Pediatr 2009;154:672-676.
- Chojnacki P, Ilieva R, Kolodziej A, Krolikowska A, Lipka J, Ruta J. Knowledge of BLS and AED resuscitation algorithm amongst medical students—preliminary results. Anestezjol Intens Ter 2011;43:29-32. And Sharma R,
 - Attar NR at al.

 Suzuki A, Suzuki Y, Takahata O, Fujimoto K, Nagashima K, Mamiya K,et al. A survey of
 - 3,303 6th-year medical students from 36 universities concerning knowledge of resuscitation — more than 80% of medical students can not perform standard cardiopulmonary resuscitation? Masui 2001;50:316-22.
- 9. Zaheer H, Haque Z. Awareness about BLS (CPR) among medical students: Status and

- requirements. J Pak Med Assoc 2009:59:57-9.
- Chandrasekaran S, Kumar S, Bhat SA, Saravanakumar, Shabbir PM, Chandrasekaran V. Awareness of basic life support among medical, dental, nursing students and doctors. Indian J Anaesth 2010;54:121-6.
- Roshana S, Kh B, Rm P, Mw S. Basic life support: Knowledge and Attitude of medical/ paramedical professionals. World J Emerg Med 2012;3:141-5.
- Al-Turki YA, Al-Fraih YS, Jalaly JB, Al-Maghlouth IA, Al-Rashoudi FH, Al-Otaibi AF, et al. Knowledge and attitudes towards cardiopulmonary resuscitation among university students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2008;29:1306-9.