

Original Research Paper

Commerce

A Study on Determinants of Capital Structure :Special Reference to Cement Companies

Mrs.V.N.SHANTHI Research scholar of Commerce, Vellalar College for Women, Erode, TN.

DR.S.KALAISELVI

Associate Professor & Head, Department of Commerce (CA), Vellalar College for Women, Erode, TN.

ABSTRACT The issue of determinants of capital structure in developing countries however received little attention. Lately where were only few studies on the determinants of capital structure conducted in the developing countries. To identify the determinants of capital structure of the select cement companies. The sample consists of 7 companies listed under BSE and NSE. The data has been examined using regression analysis. The study reveals that the determinants of capital structure are different from

one company to another.

KEYWORDS : CAPITAL SRTUCTURE ,BUSINESS RISK PROFITABILITY,LIQUDITY, NDTS

INTRODUCTION:

Capital is an important and critical resources for all companies. The capital resources for establishing companies can be divided in to two main categories namely equity and debt. Between equity and debt the equity arises when companies sell some of its ownership rights to gain funds for operation and investing activities where as debt is a contractual agreement whereby companies borrow an amount of money and replay it with interest within a stipulated time frame. Capital structure can be referred to as "the mixture of sources of funds a firm used" (debt, equity and preference shares). The amount of debt that a firm uses to finances its asset is called leverage. A firm with no debt is said to be unleveraged.

The issue of determinants of capital structure in developing countries however received little attention. Lately where were only few studies on the determinants of capital structure conducted in the developing countries. One of the recent empirical studies on determining the factors affecting capital structure in developing countries have been attempted by Booth(3) In their studies a sample consisting of 10 developing countries were analyzed . From their analysts the author have concluded that the variables that explain the capital structure in developed nations are also relevant in the developing countries irrespective of difference in institutional factors across these developing countries. In India a thorough study with regards to the determinants of capital structure of stock exchange listed ,non-financial firms was conducted by many researchers ,Much empirical evidences In India a thorough study with regards to the determinants of capital structure of stock exchange listed ,non-financial firms was conducted by many researchers ,Much empirical evidence suggests that there is significant industry influences on capital structure. However in India empirical evaluation of factors determinants the capital structure of companies in each sector individually is very rare.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Waseen anwar (2012) investigated the cross-industry determinants of capital structure. Sample consists of firms from three main industries (textile, cement and power industry) of Pakistan. Five year data from 2005 to 2009 was collected for 199 firms out of which 149 firms from textile industry, 23 from cement industry and 27 from power industry. Leverage was taken as a dependent variable and Profitability, Size, Assets Tangibility, Growth and Non-debt tax shield are taken as independent variables. OLS regression analysis suggested that profitability and assets tangibility are two common determents which show the same results in all the three industries. All the other variables show different results across the industries. Results indicated that across the industry determinants of the capital structure were different

P.Pinkova (2012) made an attempt to identify the determinants influencing the capital structure of large and medium -sized enterprises. The sample of the study consisted of 100 companies belonging to NACE division 29 for the period from 2006-2010. Analyses of variance, regression analysis, correlation were used to identify the determinants of capital structure. Four important factors were size, tangibility, profitability and liquidity. This paper found that the tangibility and leverage were positively related in all cases. Total debt and short term debt were positively related but profitability and long term debt were negatively related. Liquidity and leverage were negatively related in all cases. It concluded that inter industry variation was based on capital structure decision

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

The study focus on capital structure of the cement industries. It specifically examined to identify the determinants of capital structure of the select cement companies

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

The data used in the study relates to the manufacturing companies listed in the BSE for which the data is available in the prowess database of CMIE. The period of the study is from 2000-2002to 2012-13. But owing to several constraints such as non-availability of financial statement or the non-working of a company in a particular year, it was compelled to restrict the number of sample 7 companies from cement. The data has been examined using regression analysis.

HO: The exists no relationship between the determinant of capital structure of the selected cement companies to another.

The multiple regression analysis was performed to evaluate the capital structure of Indian cement companies.

The dependent variable considered was debt-equity ratio independent variable: X₁= Size, X₂= Growth, X₃= Assets Structure / Tangibility, X₄=Liquidity, X₅= Debt Structure, X₆= Net-Debt Tax Shield, X₇=Business Risk and X₈=Profitability.

Capital structure of Indian cement companies = f (Size, Growth, Assets Structure / Tangibility, Liquidity, Debt Structure, Net-Debt Tax Shield, Business Risk and Profitability)

Measured investors' considered as capital structure of Indian cement companies as dummy variable and run the following regression model to identify whether the capital structure of Indian cement companies. Specifically,

Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Y1) = $\beta 0 + \beta 1X1 + \beta 2X2 + \beta 3X3 + \beta 4X4 + \beta 5X5 + \beta 6X6 + \beta 7X7 + \beta 8X8 + e$

Where,

Y1= Capital structure of Indian cement companies

 $\beta 0 = Intercept, \beta 1-\beta 8 = Slopes$ (estimates of coefficients)

X₁=Size,X₂= Growth,X₃= Assets Structure / Tangibility,X₄=Liquidity,X₅= Debt Structure

X₂ = Net-Debt Tax Shield,X₂=Business Risk,X₈=Profitabilityand

 $\mathsf{e}=\mathsf{Random}$ error, which the authors assumed as NID for this research.

TABLE: 1 MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL SUMMARY CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF INDIAN CEMENT COMPANIES

Company Name	R	R ²	Adjust- ed R ²	SE	F Value	Sig
ACC Ltd	0.962	0.926	0.779	0.230	6.280	0.047
Ambuja Cements Ltd	0.965	0.932	0.796	0.308	6.853	0.040
Birla Corpora- tion Ltd	0.881	0.776	0.329	0.124	1.736	0.312
ChettinadCe- mentCorpn. Ltd	0.993	0.987	0.960	0.198	37.416	0.002
Deccan Ce- ments Ltd	0.993	0.987	0.960	0.197	37.385	0.002
GujaratSidhee Cement Ltd	0.995	0.990	0.970	0.011	49.912	0.001
Heidelberg Cement India Ltd	0.996	0.991	0.974	0.115	58.026	0.001

Level of Significance: 5 per cent

 $Y_1{=}\pm13.611{\pm}3.894X_1{\pm}.000$ $X_2{\pm}2.179$ $X_3{\pm}.732$ $X_4{\pm}.012$ $X_5{\pm}20.466$ $X_6{\pm}.001$ $X_7{\pm}8.330$ X_8

Y_2=±66.814±21.538 X_1±.001 X_2±9.483 X_3±2.594 X_4±.076 X_5±24.354 X_6±.003 X_7±19.032 X_8

Y_3=±.898±.360 X_1±.000 X_2±.843 X_3±.008 X_4±.1173 X_5±.047 X_6±.000 X_7±.361 X_8

 $Y_4{=}{\pm}15.636{\pm}5.370$ $X_1{\pm}.000$ $X_2{\pm}1.262$ $X_3{\pm}.237$ $X_4{\pm}1.986$ $X_5{\pm}2.437$ $X_4{\pm}.003$ $X_7{\pm}5.005$ X_8

Y₅=±5.168±1.663 X₁±.002 X₂±2.414 X₃±.105 X₄±1.681 X₅±7.049 X₄±.005 X₂±11.713 X₈

Y₆=±1.097±.451 X₁±.000 X₂±.518 X₃±.068 X₄±.001 X₅±1.716 X₆±.000 X₇±.007 X₈

Y_7=±8.159±2.998 X_1±.003 X_2±1.854 X_3±.186 X_4±.001 X_5±20.871 X_6±.003 X_7±.704 X_8

It has been revealed from the above econometric analysis that F ratio (6.280, 6.853, 1.736, 37.416, 37.385, 49.912, 58.026, 24.610, 31.755, 42.156, 6.331, 366.721, 35.316 and 7.164) is statistically significant at 5 per cent level. This indicates the entire regression is significant, it establishes 96.20, 96.50, 88.10, 99.30, 99.30, 99.50, 99.60, percent relationship between the variables tested. From the above table it seen that the coefficient of correlation (R) value .962, .965, .881, .993, .995, .996, which describe good relationship between the variables and the coefficient of determinant (R²) .926,.932,.776, .987, .987, .990, .and 991, value establishes significant association between the 8 variables tested. Therefore the hypothesis framed stands accepted.

The following table shows the value of constant and coefficient value of each attributes to analyze the capital structure of Indian cement companies.

TABLE: 2 CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF INDIAN CEMENT COMPANIES

Variables	Unstandardized Coefficients		Stand- ardized Coeffi- cients	t	Sia.	Collinearity Statistics		
Tunubics	В	Std. Error	Beta	·	5	Toler- ance	VIF	

Constant	13.6	511	8.24	41	-	1.652	.174	-	-	
Size	-3.8	94	2.214		-1.718	-1.759	.153	.019	51.744	
Growth	0.00)	.000		.677	.548	.613	.012	82.949	
Assets Structure / Tangibility	2.17	79	3.64	41	.385	.599	.582	.044	22.486	
Liquidity	.732	2	.930)	.196	.787	.475	.296	3.378	
Debt Structure	01	2	.022	2	182	538	.619	.160	6.242	
Net-Debt Tax Shield	-20.	466	29.981		317	683	.532	.086	11.667	
Business Risk	.001		.000)	1.472	2.040	.111	.035	28.239	
Profita- bility	-8.3	30	3.15	58	-1.322	-2.638	.050	.073	13.622	
Ambuja Cer	nent	s Ltd								
Constant	-66.	814	50.4	168	-	-1.324	.256	-	-	
Size	21.5	538	14.4	170	6.352	1.488	.211	.001	1071.144	
Growth	00	1	.001		-4.207	-1.410	.031	.002	523.927	
Assets Structure / Tangibility	-9.4	83	3.98	38	776	-2.378	.076	.160	6.258	
Liquidity	-2.5	94	1.23	36	-1.203	-2.098	.104	.052	19.351	
Debt Structure	07	6	.028	3	893	-2.727	.053	.158	6.311	
Net-Debt- Tax Shield	24.3	354	31.5	555	.427	.772	.483	.056	17.988	
Business Risk	00	3	.003	3	-3.620	-1.254	.278	.002	489.818	
Profita- bility	19.()32	14.7	798	3.071	1.286	.268	.003 335.401		
Birla Corpor	atio	n Ltd								
Constant	89	8	5.26	56	-	171	.873	-	-	
Size	.360)	2.006		.781	.179	.867	.003	340.124	
Growth	.000)	.000		819	- 375	.727	.012	85.307	
Assets Structure / Tangibility	.843	3	1.218		.453	.692	.527	.130	7.672	
Liquidity	- 00	8	57	7	- 029	- 014	990	013	79 373	
Debt Structure	11	7	.232	2	620	505	.040	.037	26.975	
Net-Debt Tax Shield	3.04	17	15.377		.252	.198	.853	.034	29.021	
Business Risk	.000)	.00		.528	.450	.676	.041	24.613	
Profita- bility	36	1	3.47	71	256	104	.922	.009	108.234	
Chettinad C	eme	nt Co	orpn	. Ltd]	
Constant	15.6	536	6.28	39	-	2.486	.068	-	-	
Size	-5.3	70	2.136		-1.522	-2.514	.066	.009	111.224	
Growth	.000)	.000)	.331	.960	.960 .392		36.190	
Assets Structure / Tangibility	1.26	52	1.7	10	.143	.038	.001	.088	11.414	
Liquidity	.237	7	.275	5	.083	.862		.359	2.789	
Debt Structure	1.98	36	.698	3	.762	2.847	.047	.046	21.708	
Net-Debt Tax Shield	2.43	37	4.05	58	.155	.601	.580	.049	20.284	
Business Risk	00	3	.003		258	-1.005	.372	.050	19.927	
Profita- bility	-5.0	005 2.548		368	-1.964	.121	.094 10.651			
Un Co Variables		Uns Coe	standardized efficients		Stand- ardized Coeffi- cients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics		
В		В	Std. Error		Beta			ance	VIF	
Deccan Cem	nent	s Ltd								
Constant		5.16	8	1.750	-	2.953	.042	-		
Size		-1.6	63	.503	- 605	-3.304	.030	.098	10.159	

GJRA - GLOBAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH ANALYSIS ★ 254

IF: 3.62 | IC Value 70.36

Growth	002	.001	453	-2.955	.042	.140	7.122
Assets Structure / Tangibility	2.414	.924	.346	2.613	.059	.188	5.309
Liquidity	.105	.193	.092	.544	.616	.116	8.604
Debt Structure	-1.681	.554	753	-3.036	.039	.054	18.672
Net-Debt Tax Shield	7.049	11.753	.084	.600	.581	.169	5.920
Business Risk	005	.011	120	454	.673	.048	20.992
Profitability	11.713	5.996	.863	1.953	.122	.017	59.148
Gujarat Sidhee	Cement L	td					
Constant	-1.097	.430	-	-2.551	.063	-	-
Size	.451	.169	.568	2.665	.056	.055	18.316
Growth	0.000	.000	.188	2.016	.114	.284	3.521
Assets Structure / Tangibility	.518	.102	.672	5.070	.007	.141	7.088
Liquidity	068	.020	374	-3.454	.026	.212	4.724
Debt Structure	001	.001	142	-1.845	.139	.416	2.404
Net-Debt Tax Shield	-1.716	.572	370	-2.999	.040	.163	6.142
Business Risk	0.000	.001	.008	.016	.988	.011	88.564
Profitability	007	.126	025	054	.959	.012	86.678
Heidelberg Cerr	nent India	Ltd					
Constant	8.159	2.058	-	3.965	.017	-	-
Size	-2.998	.727	-1.352	-4.127	.015	.020	50.255
Growth	.003	.001	1.850	6.931	.002	.030	33.357
Assets Structure / Tangibility	-1.854	.760	417	-2.441	.071	.073	13.656
Liquidity	186	.118	216	-1.583	.189	.114	8.735
Debt Structure	.001	.003	.036	.353	.742	.207	4.841
Net-Debt Tax Shield	-20.871	9.807	390	-2.128	.100	.064	15.741
Business Risk	003	.003	304	-1.093	.336	.028	36.310
Profitability	.704	1.184	.111	.595	.584	.061	16.394

Level of Significance: 5 per cent

To determine whether all independent variables are significant predictors of capital structure of Indian cement companies the information provided in the co-efficient table is to be examined. Out of 8 parameters statements were considered statistically significant. The standardized co-efficient of beta column reveals that investors' consideration on capital structure of Indian cement companies have beta standard co-efficient of ± 13.611 , ± 66.814 , $\pm .898$, ± 15.636 , ± 5.168 , ± 1.097 , ± 8.159 , which are statistically significant at 0.000.

To assess multi-co linearity one looks at the size of tolerance and VIF (Variance Inflated Factor). In mean gap the tolerance indicate the absence of co linearity, where as VIF is inverse (opposite) of tolerance, while observing for large values. If the tolerance value is smaller than .10, then it is concluded that multi-co linearity is in risk. Similarly, if the VIF is 5 or larger, then multi-co linearity is also noted to risk. Since the tolerance value is substantially above .10 and the VIF is smaller than 5 it is concluded that multi-co linearity among the independent variable are statistically significant.

FINDINGS:

- 1. Capital structure of Indian cement companies (ACC Ltd) = ± 13.611 (Constant)
- 2. ±8.330 (Profitability)
- 3. Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Ambuja Cements Ltd) = ± 66.814 (Constant) $\pm .001$ (Growth)
- Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Birla Corporation Ltd) = ±.898 (Constant)±.117 (Debt Structure)
- Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Chettinad Cement Corpn. Ltd) = ±15.636 (Constant)±1.262 (Assets Structure / Tangibility)
- Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Deccan Cements Ltd) = ±5.168 (Constant)±1.663 (Size) ±.002 (Growth) ±1.681 (Debt Structure)
- 7. Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Gujarat Sidhee Cement Ltd) = ± 1.097 (Constant) $\pm .518$ (Assets Structure / Tangibility) $\pm .068$ (Liquidity)
- 8. ±1.716 (Net-Debt Tax Shield)

9. Capital structure of Indian cement companies (Heidelberg Cement India Ltd) = ± 8.159 (Constant) , ± 2.998 (Size) $\pm .003$ (Growth)

To assess capital structure of Indian cement companies multiple regression modeling was performed and to measure the relative importance of the individual dimension of the generated scale, log linear multiple regression Analysis was employed to test the 8 variables such as: Size, Growth, Assets Structure / Tangibility, Liquidity, Debt Structure, Net-Debt Tax Shield, and Profitability and all of them were significant. But Business Risk is not significant with capital structure.

TABLE: 3

DETERMINANT OF CAPITAL STRUCTUREOF CEMENT COMPANIES

Name of the Company	S	G	Т	L	DS	NDTS	BR	Pr
ACC Ltd								\checkmark
Ambuja Cements Ltd		\checkmark						
Birla Corporation Ltd			√					
Chettinad Cement Corpn. Ltd					\checkmark			
Deccan Cements Ltd	√				\checkmark			
Gujarat Sidhee Cement Ltd								
Heidelberg Cement India Ltd	V							

S-Size, G - Growth, T- Tangibility, L- Liquidity, DS- Debt Structure, NDTS,BR- Business Risk, PR-Profitability

CONCLUSIONS:

An empirical study on the determinants of capital structure has shows the relevance of factors in the decision making of the manager when they have to perform the short, medium and long period. The study reveals that the determinants of capital structure were different from one company to another. So that the capital structure frame work is vary from one company to another in selected cement companies.

REFERENCE

- Waseen Anwar(2012), "Cross Industry Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence From Pakistani Data", International Journal of Management and Innovation, Vol .A Issue.1.pp79-85.
- 2 P.Pinkova(2012) In Their Study Examined " Determinants Of Capital Structure : Evidence From The CZECH Automotive Industry" Act A Universitatis Agriculture Et Silvicuture Mendelianne Brunensis Vol.Lx 24 No.7pp,217-222