



## Dr. Ambedkar's Views on Social Justice and Challenges before It

**Dr Sunita**

Ext. Lecturer (Sociology), DGC Gurugram.

### ABSTRACT

Bharat Ratna Dr. B.R. Ambedkar (1891-1956), affectionately known as Babasaheb Ambedkar, was undoubtedly one of the illustrious son of India. He appeared on the Indian socio-political scene in the early 1920s and remained at the forefront of the social, cultural, economic and political transformation of India during the closing decades of the British Rule. After India got freedom in 1947, Dr. Ambedkar played a very significant role in laying the foundation of modern India till his death in 1956. Dr. Ambedkar was a great social reformer, a valiant champion of Social Justice and an emancipator of the downtrodden masses of India, who dedicated all his life to awaken the social conscience of modern India. Dr. Ambedkar thought that all types of oppressions, denial, exploitation and injustices can be removed by the state. In this regard he made many provisions in constitution of India for SC/ST/OBC/Religious minorities, women and children and State has been given duty and responsibility of protecting, promoting and safeguarding the interest of weaker sections of society. But, more than six decades experiences show that unfortunately state has been failed to protect and safeguard the interest of weaker sections of society. In this paper Dr. Ambedkar's views on social justice, challenges before it in present era of globalization and options of realizing Dr. Ambedkar's dream of social justice are discussed.

**KEYWORDS** : Social Justice, Weaker, Globalisation, State, Democracy

### 1 INTRODUCTION

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar was a great champion of social justice in India. In his opinion the cause of injustice in Indian society is caste and caste is created/ followed by the support of Hindu religion. In India Scheduled castes, scheduled tribes, other backward castes and religious minorities face denial of their basic human rights at the hand of upper castes Hindus. Dr. Ambedkar thought that all types of oppressions, denial, exploitation and injustices can be removed by the state. In this regard he made many provisions in constitution of India for SC/ST/OBC/Religious minorities, women and children and State has been given duty and responsibility of protecting, promoting and safeguarding the interest of weaker sections of society. But, more than six decades experiences show that unfortunately state has been failed to protect and safeguard the interest of weaker sections of society. In this paper Dr. Ambedkar's views on social justice, challenges before it in present era of globalization and options of realizing Dr. Ambedkar's dream of social justice are discussed.

Dr. Ambedkar spoke on the eve of the signing into law of the Indian constitution, on 25 November 1949, *that on the 26<sup>th</sup> of January 1950, we are going to enter into a life of contradictions. In politics we will have equality and in social and economic life we will have inequality. In politics we will be recognizing the principle of one man one vote and one vote one value. In our social and economic life, we shall, by reason of our social and economic structure, continue to deny the principle of one man one value. How long shall we continue to live this life of contradictions? How long shall we continue to deny equality in our social and economic life? If we continue to deny it for long, we will do so only by putting our political democracy in peril. We must remove this contradiction at the earliest possible moment or else those who suffer from inequality will blow up the structure of political democracy which this assembly has so laboriously build up.*

### II Dr. Ambedkar's Views on Social Justice:

Dr. Ambedkar's view on social justice is based on the principle of social democracy and state socialism which were meant to remove social and economic inequality in India respectively. Here both concepts are discussed in detail;

#### Social Democracy:

Dr. Ambedkar's principle of social democracy consist three concepts of justice namely equality, liberty and fraternity. These principles of equality, liberty and fraternity should not be treated as separated items in a trinity. They form a union of trinity in the sense that to divorce one from the other is to defeat the very purpose of democracy (Larbeer, 2003). He said that political democracy cannot last unless there lies at the base of it social democracy. What does

social democracy mean? **It means a way of life, which recognizes liberty, equality and fraternity as the principles of life.** Dr. Ambedkar believed that the root of untouchability is the caste system, the root of the caste system is religion and the root of Brahminical religion is authorization of power to Brahmins to exploit and discriminate weaker sections of society (Lal: 1998). In this type of system he says rights cannot be protected by law but the social and moral conscience of society. If social conscience is such that it is prepared to recognize the rights, which law chooses to enact, rights will be safe and secure. But if the fundamental rights are opposed by the community, no law, no parliament, no judiciary can guarantee them in the real sense of the word (Larbeer, 2003). Thus Ambedkar says that we can create social harmony through social democracy which has been destroyed by the caste system and created hatred and hostility among different communities.

#### State Socialism:

Dr. Ambedkar advocated the concept of state socialism in *states and minorities*. His concept of state socialism is different from the concept of Marxist socialism. Marx says that all working class unite against capitalist and wage war against capitalist. As in one side capitalists are few in number and on the other side there is large army of working class, at the end capitalist will be eliminated in bloody revolution and new social order- socialism will be established under the leadership of working class, in which there will be no exploitation of working class.

Dr. Ambedkar's state socialism is not based on bloody revolution. He believed that bringing socialism is the duty and responsibility of state. State shall provide protection against economic exploitation and shall work towards making egalitarian society.

To solve the problem of economic exploitation, during the process of framing the Constitution of the Republic of India, Dr. Ambedkar proposed to include certain provisions on fundamental rights, specifically a clause to the effect that the state shall provide protection against economic exploitation. Among other things, this clause proposed that:

1. Key industries shall be owned and run by the state;
2. Basic but non-key industries shall be owned by the state and run by the state or by corporations established by it;
3. Agriculture shall be a state industry, and be organized by the state taking over all land and letting it out for cultivation in suitable standard sizes to residents of villages; these shall be cultivated as collective farms by groups of families (Athreya Venkatesh: 2002)

**Dr. Ambedkar said "Caste is the monster that crosses your path, you cannot have political reform, and you cannot have**

**economic reform, unless you kill this monster”** (Kazeha: 1988) Thus, he wanted to include certain economic safeguards such as nationalization of agriculture and nationalization of some other core industries under fundamental rights for the welfare of weaker sections of society but, unfortunately he could not do so due to stiff opposition from other members of constituent assembly.

### III Challenges before Dr. Ambedkar's Idea of Social Justice:

Dr. Ambedkar shouldered the responsibility of making just society on state. He thought that state will protect all weaker sections, women and children from the exploitation of powerful. Further he thought that state shall make special provisions for the economic advancement of weaker sections of society.

Education, health and to some extent equal opportunity of jobs especially in public sector were under the control of state. It was thought that every citizen of the country irrespective of their class or caste must get access to these basic facilities. Education and health make an individual capable to participate in all walks of life and through participation he/she can advance his personal life and also can contribute in nation building. Keeping this objective in mind in the beginning decade education and health was the matter of state.

### IV New economic reforms and dilution of the role of state:

In present era of economic reform the role of government especially in social sector is continuously shrinking. Government is escaping from its responsibility of providing education and health to all. Public institutions in the field of education and health are shrinking and private institutions are emerging (there were only 11 private universities in 1950 and have increased to 94 in 2011) and now education and health have become commercial goods. It is very dangerous for poor, who do not have money to pay for it will be excluded from it which will lead further multiple exclusion and discrimination. Present policy of the government to leave education and health on market is big challenge before social justice. Now government is planning to dismantle PDS also, Delhi government has already started cash transfer scheme in place of PDS.

Dr. Ambedkar had given whole responsibility to state to do social justice with oppressed communities. But our experiences shows that state was failed to fulfill its responsibility. Consequently, India is facing many internal problems such as growing caste tensions, clashes and Naxalism in all the states. One reason of failure of government to perform its constitutional duty to provide social justice to oppressed communities may be the monopoly of upper castes in politics.

### V Failure of state and options to provide social justice to oppressed communities:

In India there is struggle between Upper and lower castes and this struggle have a long history. Whatever Dr. Ambedkar did for oppressed communities, for that he faced stiff opposition from caste Hindus in the parliament and outside the parliament too. When he shouldered whole responsibility of social justice on state he has no option left with him, as he was single well educated person in his community. At present because of Ambedkar's struggle SC/ST/OBC/Minorities who are most oppressed and exploited communities in India have more than 50 lakh students and about lakh of teachers in higher education across the country. Moreover they have constitutional rules in their favour against any type of injustice done against them. If teacher and students work towards educating the common masses of the country belonging to oppressed communities about their rights and constitutional rules then favourable environment can be created for the enforcement of constitutional rules including fundamental rights. Dr. Ambedkar has rightly said that rights cannot be protected by law but the social and moral conscience of society. If the fundamental rights are opposed by the community, no law, no parliament, no judiciary can guarantee them in the real sense of the word (Larbeer: 2003). Teaching community especially from oppressed and backward communities must fulfill their social responsibility of awakening the

oppressed communities about their history of struggle and their history of backwardness in this country. If teaching community will not fulfill their responsibility given the condition that there is no hope from the government in present era of globalization then it will result in intense social/caste tension and clash as Dr. Ambedkar had warned.

### Conclusion

In present era of globalization and free market economy the role of government especially in social sector is continuously shrinking. Government is escaping from its responsibility of providing education and health to all. Public institutions in the field of education and health are shrinking and private institutions are emerging and now education and health have become commercial goods. It is very dangerous for poor, who do not have money to pay for it will be excluded from it which will lead further multiple exclusion and discrimination. There is a great need to protect weaker sections of the society from the exploitation or the bad effects of capitalistic mode of production. State must ensure better education, good health, respectful employment and other basic amenities to the people who are being neglected by the market forces.

### References:

1. Ahir, D.C. (1997), Dr. Ambedkar and Indian Constitution, Low Price Publications, Delhi.
2. Athreya Venkatesh (2002), "A new resonance", Frontline Vol. 19 - Issue 15, July 20 - August 02, 2002
3. Gaikwad. S. M, Ambedkar and Indian nationalism, Economic and political Weekly, pp515-18, March 7, 1998.
4. Jadhav, N. (2014), Ambedkar: Awakening India's Social Conscience, Konark Publishers Private Ltd., New Delhi.
5. Jaffrelot, Christophe - "Dr. Ambedkar and Untouchability" (New Delhi, 2005), pp. 74.
6. Kazeha, K. S. (1998), "B.R. Ambedkar; The Architect of the Constitution", in Shymal & Kazeha K.S. (ed), Ambedkar and National Building, Rawat Publication: Jaipur.
7. Kumar A. Ranjith (2011), "Ambedkar's Notion of Social Justice - A Different Perspective", International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Vol. 2, Issue 12, December-2011 P. Lalbeer, Mohan (2003), "Ambedkar on Religion: A Liberative Perspective", ISPC: Delhi.
8. Lal, Shyam (1998), "Ambedkar and Social Justice", in Shymal & K.S. Sazena (ed), Ambedkar and National Building, Rawat Publication: Jaipur.