VOLUME-7, ISSUE-4, APRIL-2018 • PRINT ISSN No 2277 - 8160

Original Research Paper

Surgery

CHRONIC GRANULOMATOUS MASTITIS: A SURGEON'S DISTRESS

Dr. Pratik D. Ajagekar

Resident in Dept of General Surgery, KIMS, Karad

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Chronic granulomatous mastitis is a benign inflammatory disease of breast. It presents as a lump in breast with granulomatous changes around the lobules and ducts. This study discusses the clinical presentation, radiological examination results and its correlation with histopathological results of 50 cases diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis.

Materials and Methods: We conducted a study of 50 patients that were diagnosed with granulomatous mastitis after a histopathological examination in Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Karad, India from Feb 2015 – July 2017.

Results: Out of the 50 cases with clinical features of granulomatous mastitis, 47 were diagnosed as Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis in their histological examination and 3 cases were diagnosed as Tuberculosis. 45 of these cases were referred with the initial diagnosis of inflammatory breast carcinoma. H & E (Gram) and Erlich Ziehl Nelson (ZN) staining results were negative for all cases.

Discussion: Chronic granulomatous mastitis is disease of the reproductive age group, which may be misdiagnosed as breast carcinoma in clinical and radiological examinations, which is proved otherwise in histopathological reports.

KEYWORDS : Chronic granulomatous mastitis, breast, granulomatous inflammation, tuberculosis.

INTRODUCTION

"Chronic granulomatous mastitis (CGM)"or "Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis" (IGM) is a benign breast disease of unknown etiology which tends to occur in young females of reproductive age group and was first described by Kessler and Wolloch in 1972 (1). They reported five women with breast masses characterized by florid granulomatous mastitis, which was not associated with trauma, specific infections, or exogenous materials. It is usually characterized by a lump in the breast, which is firm to hard n tender, at times fixed, mimicking the clinical and radiological features of carcinoma breast. Although it is a benign entity, CGM clinically mimics breast cancer in terms of clinical and radiological findings, often leading to disastrous consequences . The diagnosis may be made by identifying granulomatous inflammation without caseaous necrosis in the lobules via histopathological examination and by excluding all other reasons which may cause granulomatous inflammation of the breast (2,3). At present, the definitive diagnosis of CGM can only be established and confirmed by histopathology (11).

AIM & OBJECTIVE

The aims of this study were to examine the reports and to review the clinical presentation of 50 cases that were histopathologically diagnosed as granulomatous mastitis, to discuss the general approach to granulomatous inflammation in the breast, and to focus on the features of CGM, which may be misdiagnosed as carcinoma.

METHOD ANS MATERIAL

A retrospective study included 50 women who had the required clinicopathological criteria of CGM and who were treated between Dec 2015 – July 2017. This study was performed in a medical institute; the patients were ones who presented in the outpatient Department of the hospital or referred from peripheral government /private health clinics**. A series of investigations was done including the blood and radiological studies like ESR, Tuberculin Test and Chest X-ray.** The diagnosis was confirmed either by Core needle biopsy or FNAC for the suspicious breast lesions and also from excision biopsy of the lump as well as from the biopsy taken from the abscess wall during drainage. Clinical data of the presentation, histopathology, and management were analyzed by review of medical records. Follow-up information was obtained from the patients when they came to the outpatient department for regular follow-up at monthly intervals. The types of symptoms, severity, and duration were documented. The data collected were then studied and the various parameters were compared retrospectively.

RESULTS

Complete follow-up information for all patients diagnosed with CGM with regard to the clinical presentation, radiological findings (5), histology report, and management was obtained for all 50 patients. The patients ranged in age from 25 to 47 years. Median age being 35.8 years.

Fig.1 CLINICAL FINDINGS

Clinical findings	Number of cases	Percentage
Lump	43	86%
Abscess	5	10%
Axillary mass	2	4%
Skin thickening	26	52%
Sinus formation	1	2%
Lump with inflammatory changes	7	14%

Fig.2 MAMMOGRAPHY FINDINGS

	No of patients	Percentage
1) Duct ectasia	34	68%
2) Mastitis	15	30%
3) Lobulated or irregular mass	43	86%
4) Axillary adenopathy	5	10%
5) Asymmetric density	24	48%
6) Skin thickening	26	52%

Fig.3 HISTOPATHOLOGY FINDINGS

1) Chronic inflammation	32	64%
2) Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis	15	30%
3) Tuberculosis	3	6%

CLINICALY[10]

Five of 50 patients had undergone incision and drainage (I&D) on presentation. Symptoms persisted in spite of several courses of various antibiotics. **Flouroquinolones being avoided**. The duration of symptoms ranged from 2 days to 8 months. The most common presenting symptoms were a mass in the breast (43/50, 86%) and pain, erythema, and inflammation (7/50, 14%). Draining sinus tracts were seen in 1 women at initial presentation, and Axillary adenopathy was noted in 2 of 50 (28%) women (Fig.1). The mass was hard on palpation in 60% of the women and clinically measured 1.0–4.2 cm. None of the women had any systemic disorder or history of a specific infection.

RADIOLOGICALY[8,9]

Forty-three of 50 (86%) patients showed a heterogeneously dense

or with an irregular or lobulated mass on mammography. Twenty-four women showed a large focal asymmetric density (Fig.2). Three women had diffusely increased density of the affected breast. Associated skin thickening or axillary adenopathy was seen in 26 women (Fig.2). Ultrasound examination showed lesions in all 50 women. A large irregular hypo echoic mass with multiple tubular extensions was identified in 32 women (59%). Duct ectasia was seen in 34 women (33%). All masses were heterogeneously hypo echoic; with the mean diameter ranging from 0.8 to 4 cm. Parenchymal distortion with acoustic shadowing and no discrete mass was noted in four women (7%). We observed skin thickening in 26 women (52%) and axillary adenopathy in 5 (Fig.2).

HISTOPATHOLOGICALY

Microscopic findings of 35 cases suggested chronic inflammation. It was then considered that the patient could be diagnosed with IGM after excluding all diseases that could lead to granulomatous inflammation in the breast, which turned out to be 15.

Tuberculosis was considered in the microscopic examination of 3 cases out of 35, and pathology reports recommended further examination for granulomatous diseases, particularly tuberculosis like AFB and ZN staining. One of the cases was diagnosed as granulomatous mastitis, and was microscopically defined as having a tendency for tubercular granuloma formation. In all 3 patients, PAS and ZN staining results were negative. Sinus formation to the skin was present in 1 case whose initial diagnosis suggested tuberculosis. (Fig.3). The lesions in all cases were unilateral, and axillary involvement, if any, was ipsilateral; mass were located either in the right breast or the left breast. In 2 cases, it was located in the retroareolar area.

The information about the localization of the lesion within the breast in these cases revealed that the lesion could be in different quadrants and there was no specificity related to the disease. The microscopic examination of the cases revealed perilobular granulomatous inflammation including polymorph nuclear leukocytes, epithelioid histiocytes, multinuclear giant cells of the Langhans type, lymphocytes, and plasma cells.

DISCUSSION

Many pathological processes are responsible for the granulomatous inflammation of the breasts. These are examined under the overarching title of granulomatous mastitis. In addition to tuberculosis, leprous, and bacterial infections such as brucella, fungal infections, and parasitic infections, and foreign substance reactions may also lead to granulomatous mastitis. Another cause of granulomatous mastitis, is a rare chronic disease of unknown etiology which is accompanied by perilobular granulomatous inflammation.

It is thought to be a cellular reaction to breast secretion flowing to perilobular connective tissue secondary to epithelial damage as a result of infection, trauma or a chemical event; however, no specific antigen has been shown. Even though some studies have claimed that CGM develops within 2 years after childbirth and is associated with nursing, oral contraceptive use, and hyperprolactinemia, it is not true for all cases.

CGM may be seen in women aged between 17 and 82, with a mean occurrence age of 30-34 yrs. Hmissa et al.(12) studied 10 IGM cases and identified the mean age as 36.4 yrs. It was 35.8 yrs in our patient study, with only one above the age of 45 yrs.

Bilateral involvement is seen in one fourth of IGM cases and the lesion may be located in any quadrant of the breast, however in all the cases that we studied it was found to be unilateral involvement. Thus, there is no specificity of the side as far as the involvement of breast is considered.

Table 1. Etiology in granulomatous lesion of breast

1. Infection:
Mycobacterium tuberculosis
Blastomikosis
Kriptokokosis
Histoplazmosis
Actinomycosis
Filarial infections
Corinobacterium
2. Autoimmune disease:
Wegener granulomatosis
Giant cell arteritis
Foreign body reaction
3. Ductal ectasia:
Plasma cell mastitis
Subareolar granuloma
Periductal mastitis
4. Diabetes mellitus
5. Sarcoidosis
6. Fat necrosis
7. Idiopathic

For the diagnosis to be made, it is crucial that all granulomatous mastitis reasons, primarily tuberculosis, be excluded after the detection of chronic granulomatous inflammation in the histopathological examination. The presence of fungi and bacteria should be excluded by using radiological and clinical tests; culture and histochemical staining methods. Tuberculosis bacilli DNA in the tissue should be sought by using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method. Another granulomatous disease with no known etiology, sarcoidosis should also be included in the differential diagnosis. It can be excluded with radiological tests, Kweim test, serum ACE, and lysozyme levels[11]. The absence of caseification necrosis in the 18 cases interpreted as IGM in our series and the **presence of suppurative granulomatous inflammation in 15 of them discards the diagnosis of tuberculosis** (Fig.3). At the same time**, tuberculosis and fungal infections were discarded in all patients by using EZN and PAS staining**, which revealed no acid resistant bacilli or mycotic agent. **We have also examined for Serum Prolactin levels, which showed presence of Hyperprolactinemia in 12 cases**. However, histopathological findings alone are not enough to exclude other reasons for granulomatous inflammation. Particularly in endemic regions such as in India, a breast mass in a female patient with signs of chronic inflammation could always lead to the possibility of tuberculosis. Therefore, even though the morphological findings in the pathology reports of our cases may have been consistent with CGM, the need to exclude all our granulomatous mastitis causes for a definitive diagnosis has been stressed upon

The most distinctive feature of CGM is the clinical and radiological suggestion of breast carcinoma in more than half of the patients. When the patient presents to the doctor with a breast mass whose diameter ranges between 1 and 8 cm (mean diameter 3 cm), inflammation on the breast skin and ulceration, the clinical and radiological tests may lead to the misdiagnosis of carcinoma (inflammatory).

Mastectomy may unnecessarily be performed on these patients due to false positive results of fine needle aspiration cytology(15). There are studies considering the use of Methotrexate for the treatment of CGM but with little success(16). Steroids have also been used in the past in these circumstances. However we have avoided the use of Flouroquinolones in the management in our studies as it is one of the second line drugs for granumatous/tuberculosis treatment regimen. There have been studies with the use of Prednisolone by DeHertogh DA in 1980 still not an efficient measure to treat IGM (4). Some patients in our studies underwent aggressive surgical management; but have shown a high recurrence rate in the same

breast or the opposite breast after few weeks or months. Whereas, on the other hand the medical or conservative management has lasted for 3 weeks to 10 months, without any significant outcome in few cases.

CONCLUSION

Thus it is a dilemma whether to go for the aggressive surgical management or to go for the conservative line of management[13]. However it has proved fruitful to have a medical line of management rather than surgical in most of the cases. Thus, it is better to go for complete evaluation of the breast lump and the inflammation than to prophylactically go for mastectomy. Hence, unnecessary mastectomies can be avoided and conservative line of management should be considered.

REFERENCES

1. Kessler E, Wolloch Y. Granulomatous mastitis: a lesion clinically simulating carcinoma. Am J Clin Pathol 1972; 58:642–646
2. Going JJ, Anderson TJ, Wilkinson S, Chetty U. Granulomatous lobular mastitis. J Clin Pathol 1987; 40:535–540
3. Imoto S, Kitaya T, Kodama T, Hasabe T, Mukai K. Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: case report and review of the literature. Jpn J Clin Oncol 1997; 27:274–277
4. DeHertogh DA, Rossof AH, Harris AA, Economou SG. Prednisone management of granulomatous mastitis. N Engl J Med 1980; 303:799–800
5. Memis A, Bilgen I, Ustun EE, Ozdemir N, Erhan Y, Kapkac M. Granulomatous mastitis: imaging findings with histopathologic correlation. Clin Radiol 2002; 57:1001–1006
6. Bassler R. Mastitis: classification, histopathology and clinical aspects [in German Translated to English]. Pathologe 1997; 18:27–36
7. Akcan A, Akyildiz H, Deneme MA, Akgun H, Aritas Y. Granulomatous lobular mastitis: a complex diagnostic and therapeutic problem. World J Surg 2006; 30:1403–1409
8. Han BK, Choe YH, Park JM, et al. Granulomatous mastitis: mammographic and sonographic appearances. AJR 1999; 173:317–320
9. Yilmaz E, Lebe B, Usal C, Balci P. Mammographic and sonographic findings in the diagnosis of idiopathic granulomatous mastitis. Eur Radiol 2001; 11:2236–2240
10. Lee JH, Oh KK, Kim EK, Kwack KS, Jung WH, Lee HK. Radiologic and clinical features of idiopathic granulomatous lobular mastitis mimicking advanced breast cancer. Yonsei Med J 2006; 47:78–84
11. Dixon JM, Chetty U. Diagnosis and treatment of granulomatous mastitis. Br J Surg 1995; 82:1143–1144
12. Hmissa S, Sahraoui W, Missaoui N, Stita W, Mokni M, Yacoubi M.T., Khairi H, Korbi S. Lobular idiopathic granulomatous mastitis. About 10 cases. Tunis Med 2006; 84:353-357. (PMID: 17042208)
13. Wilson JP, Massoll N, Marshall J, Foss RM, Copeland EM, Grobmyer SR. Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: in search of a therapeutic paradigm. Am Surg 2007; 73:798–802
14. Verfaillie G, Breucq C, Sacre R, Bourgain C, Lamote J. Granulomatous lobular mastitis: a rare chronic inflammatory disease of the breast which can mimic breast carcinoma. Acta Chir Belg 2006;106:222-224. (PMID: 16761483)
15. Bani-Hani KE, Yaghan RJ, Matalka II, Shatnawi NJ. Idiopathic granulomatous mastitis: time to avoid unnecessary mastectomies. Breast J. 2004;10:318-322. (PMID: 15239790)
16. Kim J, Tymms KE, Buckingham JM. Methotrexate in the treatment of granulomatous mastitis. ANZ J Surg 2003; 73:247–249.