VOLUME 7 ICCLIE O	ALLCHICT 2010	DDINIT ICCNI NI - 2277	0100
	AU(aUSI-2018)	• PRINT ISSN NO 7777 -	18160
1020112 //10002 0/			

South FOR RESERACE	Original Research Paper	Plastic Surgery
men and man and m	CORRELATION OF POST BURNS PATIENT'S CHARAG QUALITY OF LIFE BASED ON BURNS SPECIFIC HEALT H. ADAM MALIK GENERAL HO	CTERISTICS WITH PATIENT'S I'H SCALE - BRIEF (BSHS-B) AT ISPITAL
Dwi Harry Mulia Hasibuan	Resident of Department of Surgery, Faculty of M Utara, Medan	Aedicine, Universitas Sumatera
Utama Abdi Tarigar	Consultant of Digestive Surgery Division, Depa Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan	rtment of Surgery, Faculty of
Frank Bietra Bucha	ri Consultant of Digestive Surgery Division, Depa Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan	rtment of Surgery, Faculty of

## Background

The burns and other related injuries that accompany them, are still one of the leading causes of death and disability worldwide, causing physical, psychological and economic harm in community. Therefore burns are considered as one of the major health complications. From 2003 to 2005, the lowest prevalence of burns was recorded in Singapore with 0.12% per 100,000 people and the highest was in Hungary with 1.98% (Druery, 2005). By 2014 the WHO estimated that there are 265,000 deaths occurring due to burns worldwide (WHO, 2014).

In Indonesia approximately 2.5 million people suffer burns every year. Of this group, 200,000 patients require outpatient treatment and 100,000 patients are hospitalized. The prevalence of burns in 2013 in Indonesia was 0.7% and has decreased by 1.5 compared to 2008 (2.2%) with the highest prevalence in NAD Province and Riau Islands (3.8%) (Ministry of Health, 2013).

In recent years, the quality of life has been proposed as an important index for evaluating personal health and assessing the overall health of communities to find the main problems of various aspects of the lives of individuals, especially patients with chronic medical conditions. Burn Specific Health Scale – Brief (BSHS-B) is a scale that has been established to evaluate recovery after burns. Awareness about quality of life will help health professionals to improve the quality of life of burns patients.

## Methods

This study is an analytic study with cross sectional design. The study began in June 2017 where the data was obtained from 50 burn patients who had been treated in Plastic Surgery Division in H. Adam Malik Medan General Hospital during the period January 2011 to December 2015. Patients aged 18-65 years and willing to fill in BSHS-B questionnaires were included in the study. Methods of data collection was using BSHS-B questionnaire and then analyzed using SPSS program.

## Results

Of 50 burn patients, this study found that the average age of subjects was 33.66 years old, with the youngest age 18 years old and the oldest 61 years old. The mean values (mean  $\pm$  SD) for each of the BSHS-B assessment variables were the length of stay (15.96  $\pm$  7.70 days) and total burn surface area (14.8  $\pm$  4.92%) (Table 1).

## Table 1. Characteristics of numerical variables of burn patients

Characteristic	Mean	SD	Maximum	Minimum
Age (y.o)	33,66	9,68	61	18
Total burn surface area	14,8	4,93	29	7
Length of stay	15,96	7,70	35	6

Number of burns patients are 29 (58%) males and 21 (42%) females. This study found the age group 25-44 years old is the most age group that suffered burns as many as 32 (64%) patients. Total burn surface area less than 20% were the most affected by 44 (88%) patients, with length of stay  $\leq$  21 days as many as 39 (78%) patients. The number of burn patients who have good quality of life as many as 8 (16%) subjects, less good as many as 30 (60%) subjects, not good as many as 12 (24%) subjects, and very not good quality of life as many as 0 (0%) subject (Table 2).

## Table 2. Characteristics of categorical variables of burn patients

Characteristic	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)					
Sex							
Male	29	58					
Female	21	42					
Age (y.o)							
15-24	10	20					
25-44	32	64					
45-64	8	16					
Total burn surface a	rea						
≤ 20%	44	88					
> 20%	6	12					
Length of stay (days	3)						
≤ 21	39	78					
> 21	11	22					
Quality of life		•					
Good	8	16					
Less Good	30	60					
Not Good	12	24					
Very not Good	0	0					
TOTAL	50	100					

In this study it was found the mean value each domain, i.e. simple abilities as many as  $2 \pm 1.04$ , hand function as many as  $3 \pm 1.27$ , affect as many as  $3 \pm 0.65$ , interpersonal relationships as many as  $3 \pm 0.62$ , sexuality as many as  $2 \pm 0.83$ , body image as many as  $2 \pm 0.81$ , heat sensitivity as many as  $3 \pm 1.07$ , treatment regimens as many as  $1 \pm 0.53$ , work as many as  $2 \pm 0.76$ , and total mean BSHS – B score as many as  $21 \pm 4.85$  (Table 3).

# Table 3. Distribution BSHS – B Domain

BSHS-B Domain	Mean	SD	Maximum	Minimum
Simple abilities	2	1,04	4	0
Hand function	3	1,27	4	0
Affect	3	0,65	4	2
Interpersonal relationships	3	0,62	4	2
Sexuality	2	0,83	4	1
Body image	2	0,81	4	1
Heat sensitivity	3	1,07	4	1
Treatment regimen	1	0,53	3	1
Work	2	0,76	3	0
Total	21	0,485	32	13

## VOLUME-7, ISSUE-8, AUGUST-2018 • PRINT ISSN No 2277 - 8160

Based on the results of the analysis using Chi Square test, it is known that there is no correlation between sex and quality of life based on BSHS-B, seen from p = 0,806. Of the 50 burned patients, the age group of 25-44 years old is the most common age group as many as 32 (64%) patients. Of these 32 patients, 19 (59.4%) patients have very not good quality of life based on BSHS-B, so that there is no significant correlation between age with quality of life BSHS-B seen from p = 0,956. There was a significant correlation between total burn surface area and quality of life based on BSHS-B as seen from p = 0,021, because of the total of 50 patients, 44 (88%) suffer from  $\leq$ 20% total burn surface area and 27 (61.4%) of them have very not good quality of life based on BSHS-B. Based on result of analysis by using Chi square test known that there is no significant correlation between length of stay with quality of life based on BSHS-B seen from p = 0,259, because from 50 burn patients, 39 (78%) of patients have length of stay < 21 days, and as many as 22 (56.4%) of them have very not good quality of life based on BSHS-B (Table 4).

# Table 4. Correlation between Post Burns Patient's Characteristics with Patient's Quality of Life Based on BSHS-B

		Quality of Life			Total	р	
		Good	Less Good	Not Good	Very not		Value
					Good		
Sex	Male	8	27	9	0	44	0,806
	Female	0	3	3	0	6	
Age (y.o)	18-24	1	6	3	0	10	0,956
	25-44	6	19	7	0	32	
	45-64	1	5	2	0	8	
Total burn	≤ 20	8	27	9	0	44	0,021
surface area (%)	> 20	0	3	3	0	6	
Length of stay	≤ 21	8	22	9	0	39	0,259
(days)	> 21	0	8	3	0	11	
Total	8	30	12	0	50		

#### Discussion

Based on sex, burn patients were 29 (58%) males and 21 (42%) females. This is similar to studies by Kildal (2001), Stampolidis et al. (2012), Willebrand (2008), Wasiak et al. (2014) that found that men are the most subjects suffered burns. This is predicted as result of many burns occurring while performing jobs that are often performed by men, such as repairing electricity, working in a garage, using kerosene or gasoline, playing firecrackers, or burning the garbage.

The result of the analysis test to assess the correlation between age and quality of life based on BSHS-B concluded that there is no significant correlation between age with quality of life based on BSHS-B. Of the 50 burned patients, the age group of 25-44 years old is the most common age group as many as 32 (64%) patients. Of these 32 patients, 19 (59,4%) patients have very not good quality of life based on BSHS-B. This is in line with research conducted by Elsherbiny et al. (2010) which stated that there is no correlation between age and quality of life. This is, however, different from the research done by Connell et al. (2014) which stated that there is a significant correlation between age and quality of life based on BSHS-B. Younger burn patients (<45 years) are more able to overcome the physical, psychological, and social consequences independently of the burns.

Based on the results of analysis it is known that there is a significant correlation between total burn surface area with quality of life based on BSHS-B. This is in accordance with researches conducted by Elsherbiny et al. (2010) and Ahuja et al. (2015) which stated that there is a significant correlation between the total burn surface area and quality of life based on BSHS-B. Elsherbiny et al. (2010) argue that the wider total burn surface area (> 40%) in full thickness injury will increase the patient's inability to perform the function. Ahuja et al. (2015) also argues that the total burn surface area will also

negatively affect the quality of life of patients and treatment of burns are also a concern for the occurrence of contractures and the formation of scars that can affect the quality of life of these patients. The extent of burns is also important because it will affect the psychological picture and quality of life of the patient.

#### Conclusion

There was a significant correlation between total burn surface area with quality of life based on BSHS-B in post burn patients (p = 0,021) in H. Adam Malik Hospital. There was no significant correlation between age (p = 0,956), gender (p = 0,806), and length of stay (p = 0,259) with quality of life based on BSHS-B in post burn patients in H. Adam Malik Hospital.

#### References

- Berzon RA, Donnelly MA, Simpson RL Jr, Simeon GP and Tilson HH: Quality of life bibliography and indexes: 1994 update. Qual Life Res 1995, 4:547-569.
- Brunicardi FC. 2010. Schwartz's Principles of Surgery. Ed 9:356-382.
   Burckhardt CS, Woods SL, Schultz AA and Ziebarth DM: Quality of life of adults with
- Burckhardt CS, Woods SL, Schultz AA and Zlebarth DW: Quality of life of adults with chronic illness: A psychometric study. Res Nurs Health 1989, 12:347-354.
   Cassileth BR, Soloway MS, Vogelzang NJ, et al. Patients' choice of treatment in stage D
- Cassileth BR, Soloway MS, Vogelzang NJ, et al. Patients' choice of treatment in stage D prostate cancer. Urology 1989;33(5 Suppl.):57–62.
- Chan K Y, 2010. A Review Of Burns Patients Admitted To The Burns Unit Of Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. Department Of Surgery, Faculty Of Medicine, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur
- Collins D. Pretesting survey instruments: an overview of cognitive methods. Qual Life Res 2003;12(3):229–238.
- Deitch EA. 1990. The Management of Burns. New England Journal of Medicine, 323(18);1249
- Drurey M, Brown TL, Muller M, 2005, Long term functional outcomes and quality of life following severe burn injury, J.Trauma surgery
   Finlay V, Phillips M, Wood F, 2013, Enhancing the clinical utility of the Burn Specific
- Health Scale-Brief: Not just for major burns, J Trauma. 2013;64:1581–1586.
- Fitzpatrick JM, Kirby RS, Krane RJ, et al. Sexual dysfunction associated with the management of prostate cancer. Eur Urol 1998;33(6):513–522.
   Guyatt GH, Osoba D, Wu AW, Wyrwich KW, Norman GR. Methods to explain the clinical
- significance of health status measures. Mayo Clin Proc 2002;77(4):371–383. 12. Klevens Rm, Edwards Jr, Richards Cl Jr, Et al. Estimating Health Care-Associated
- Infections And Deaths In U.S. Hospitals, 2002. Public Health Rep 2007;122:160–6.
   Martina NR, Wardhana A. 2013. Mortality Analysis of Adult Burn Patients.
- Jur.Plast.Rekons;2:96-100
   Moenadjat B.J., 2001, Pendekatan terhadap pasien Anemia.Dalam: Sudoyo A W, Setyohadi B, Alwi I, Simadibrata M, Setiati S (Eds), Buku Ajar Ilmu penyakit dalam jilid I, Ed Sth. Balai penerbit ilmu penyakit dalam FK UI: Jakarta. 1109
- Norman GR, Sloan JA, Wyrwich KW. Interpretation of changes in health-related quality of life: the remarkable universality of half a standard deviation. Med Care 2003;41(5):582–592.
- Patrick DL, Erickson P. Assessing health-related quality of life for clinical decisionmaking. In: Walker SR, Rosser RM, eds. Quality of life assessment: key issues in the 1990s. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993:11–64.
- Samsa G, Edelman D, Rothman ML, et al. Determining clinically important differences in health status measures: a general approach with illustration to the Health Utilities Index Mark II. Pharmacoeconomics 1999;15(2):141–155.
- Stampolidis N, et all, 2012, Quality of life in burn patients in Greece, Journal of the euromediteranean council of burns, Greece.
- Tanti Damayanti, Iswinarno Doso Saputro. 2011. Nilai Uji Diagnostik Prokalsitonin sebagai Deteksi Dini Sepsis pada Luka Bakar Berat. Journal of Emergency. Vol. 1. No. 1. Hal. 13-18
- Watnick S, Morrison G, 2008, Kidney Disease. In: Mcphee S J, Papadakis M A, Tierney L M. Current Medical Prognosis & Treatment 47 thed. New York. Mcgraw-Hill.793-800
- WHO. Constitution of the World Health Organization, basic documents. Geneva: WHO, 1948.
- Willebrand, 2008, Kildal M, 2008, A Simplified Domain Structure of the Burn-Specific Health Scale-Brief (BSHS-B): A Tool to Improve Its Value in Routine Clinical Work, J Trauma. 2008;64:1581–1588