VOLUME-7, ISSUE-2, FEBRUARY-2018 • PRINT ISSN No 2277 - 8160

Original Research Paper

Anesthesiology

RANDOMIZED DOUBLE BLIND COMPARISON OF KETAMINE – PROPOFOL AND FENTANYL – PROPOFOL FOR THE INSERTION OF LARYNGEAL MASK AIRWAY IN CHILDREN

Dr Akila Kaliannan Assistant Professor, Department of Anaestehsia, Government Kapv Medical College, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India

Dr Bhaskar Manohar* Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Government Dharmapuri Medical College and Hospital, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu, India *Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Background: Laryngeal Mask Airway started gaining popularity as an alternative to endotracheal intubation as well as facemask because it causes less hemodynamic changes, associated with negligible raise in intraocular pressure after inserting LMA, causes decreased incidence of sore throat and also frees the hands of the anaesthesiologist to perform other important tasks during the surgical procedures

Methods: Study design: Prospective, randomized, double blinded, comparative study

Setting: This study was conducted in the day care surgery theatre, Institute of Child Health and Hospital for Children, an attached institution of Madras Medical College over a period of three months.

Results:

1. The incidence of head and limb movements was less in Group Propofol +Fentanyl compared to Group Propofol+ Ketamine with p value of 0.0148
2. Coughing or gagging was seen in 2.86% of both the groups.
3. Resistance to insertion was statistically significant with p value of 0.0268 showing more in Propofol + Ketamine group.
4. There was no statistical significance in the occurrence of restricted mouth opening, restriction to LMA insertion and occurrence of swallowing between the two groups.
5. Laryngospasm was absent in either groups.
6. Fentanyl group showed the incidence of more apnoea compared to Ketamine group.
7. The heart rate (HR0, systolic blood pressure(SBP), diastolic blood pressure(DBP) and mean arterial pressure(MAP) were statistically more with Ketamine group than Fentanyl group.

Conclusions: co-induction with Fentanyl (2µg/kg) prior to Propofol (2.5 mg/kg) for insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in children provided better insertion conditions and minimal alteration in haemodynamic parameters than co-induction with Ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) and Propofol (2.5 mg/kg)..

KEYWORDS :

INTRODUCTION:

To master in anaesthesia profession, airway management is one of the most important skills. For securing patients airway under anaesthesia and providing adequate oxygenation and ventilation, various airway devices have become available. Undoubtedly, the endotracheal intubation is the definitive way of securing the airway. But this needs the usage of neuromuscular blocking agents and has its own side effects. Bag and mask ventilation may be used for providing anaesthesia for short surgical procedures.Since the introduction of Laryngeal Mask Airway (LMA) by Dr.ARCHIE BRAIN, LMA has gained popularity among anaesthetist in securing and maintaining spontaneous ventilation in short surgical procedures bridging the gap between the endotracheal tubes and facemask. It frees the anaesthesiologist's hands for performing other important tasks, lesser incidence of airway injury and minimal cardiovascular and haemodynamic response. Commonly, Propofol is used as induction agent for LMA insertion. The LMA insertion requires adequate depth of anaesthesia for obtundation of airway reflexes and also it has to be tolerated without undue coughing, bucking or laryngospasm. Many combinations of drugs have been tried for ideal LMA insertion conditions. Here, we have done a comparative evaluation of the conditions for LMA insertion with Ketamine versus Fentanyl adding Propofol in spontaneously breathing children undergoing day care procedures

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

Prospective, randomized, double blinded, comparative study

STUDY POPULATION

This study was conducted in the day care surgery theatre, Institute of Child Health and Hospital for Children, an attached institution of Madras Medical College over a period of three months.

SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION:

Sample size was determined based on the study "Randomized, Double- Blind Comparison of Ketamine + Propofol and Fentanyl + Propofol for the Insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Children authored by Ranju Singh, Madhur Arora, and Homay Vajifdar published in Journ Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2011 Jan Mar; 27(1): 91–96.

In this study the incidence of apnoea with respect to success of LMA insertion in first attempt was published to be higher in the Fentanyl group (80%) compared to patients of Ketamine group(50%) with difference- 30%.

Description:

☐ The estimated confidence level is 95%
☐ Z-value of 1.96
☐ The confidence interval (or)margin of error is estimated to be at +/- 10
☐ Assuming the 80% of the sample, will have the specified feature p%=80 and q%=20

$n = p\% \times q\% \times [z/e\%]^2$
$n = 80 \times 20 \times [1.96/5]^2$
$n = 62$

Therefore 62 is the lowest sample size, possibly required for the study (n=31 in intervention arm and n=31 in control arm)

So a sample size of 70 is taken in this study.

A prospective, randomized, double -blinded controlled study was conducted on 70 ASA I & II children of both the sex, aging 3 -12 years undergoing elective surgery under general anaesthesia with spontaneous breathing using LMA.

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

- ☐ Age 3-12 years
- ☐ ASA :I& II
- ☐ Elective Surgeries
- ☐ Informed consent by the parents or guardians of the patients.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

- ☐ ASA III & IV
- ☐ Patients not satisfying inclusion criteria.
- ☐ Patients who are at risk of aspiration.
- ☐ Patients with Airway abnormalities
- ☐ In patients with anticipated difficult airway.
- ☐ Reactive airway diseases.
- ☐ Known asthmatic
- ☐ Known egg allergy.
- ☐ Seizure disorder
- ☐ Neuro muscular diseases.

MATERIALS:

LMA - 2 size and 2.5 size, 16G, 20 G IV Cannula

Drugs-Propofol, Ketamine, Fentanyl, Oral Midazolam, Emergency drugs Ringer Lactate

Monitors – Cuff pressure monitor, ECG, NIBP, SPO2

METHODS

- ☐ After getting ethical committee clearance,70 children were enrolled for the study over a period of three months. Preoperative assessment, investigations and evaluation were done. Informed consent got from the parents.
- ☐ Children were fasted 6hrs for solids and 4hrs for fluids. Oral Midazolam 0.5mg/kg, was given as premedication, 30mins prior to induction of anaesthesia. Midazolam (5mg/ml) IV preparation was mixed with honey in a syringe and given to all children, as oral preparation was not available.
- ☐ All children were monitored using sedation score :

Grade I:anxious;agitated
Grade II: oriented;calm, and co-operative
Grade III: drowsy; responding to verbal commands
Grade IV: responds to painful stimuli, but not to oral commands
GradeV: does not respond to painful stimuli

Most of the children were under grade II sedation (57 out of 70).IV access was obtained in the dorsum of the hand with 22 G cannula without any agitation because of quietening effect of oral Midazolam

- ☐ In the operation theatre, baseline parameters like heart rate (HR),blood pressure(NIBP) and oxygen saturation (SPO2) were recorded. Inj.glycopyrrrolate (0.005mg/kg) was given i.v 5 mins, prior to the administration of test drug. Patients were selected randomly by sealed envelope into 2 groups: Group F-Fentanyl group (n=35) and Group Kketamine group (n=35) as per the calculated doses based on body weight both Fentanyl and Ketamine were taken and subsequently diluted in normal saline. It was diluted to 10 ml by a blinded observer not involved in the study.
- ☐ Fentanyl of 2µg/kg was injected intravenously to group F over 10 seconds and 0.5mg/kg of Ketamine was injected intravenously to group K over 10 seconds.
- ☐ Pre-oxygenation was done with 100% oxygen for 3 minutes. Heart rate, blood pressure, SpO2 and respiratory rate were observed. Both the groups were induced with intravenous Propofol (prepared in a 10 ml syringe with 1 ml of 1% preservative free Lidocaine) in the dose of 2.5mg/kg was given over 15 s econds.
- ☐ Heart rate, blood pressure, SPO2 and respiratory rate were observed.

After 90 seconds of start of Propofol injection, LMA (size selected according to body weight) was inserted by standard finger insertion technique.

- ☐ Cuff inflated with air to maintain a cuff pressure of not more than 60cms of H2O ideally kept at 45cm of H2O using cuff pressure monitor.
- ☐ Also HR, BP, SPO2 and RR noted just before LMA insertion.

The following parameters were observed

Heart rate(HR),Systolic blood pressure(SBP),Diastolic blood pressure(DBP),Mean blood pressure(MBP),Respiratory rate(RR) and Oxygen saturation(SpO2),and ECG were monitored continuously.

The parameters were noted at subsequent intervals:

- ☐ Baseline parameter
- ☐ Immediately before induction of anaesthesia
- ☐ Immediately before LMA insertion
- ☐ 1 minute after insertion of LMA
- ☐ Thereafter at 3 and 5 minutes after LMA insertion
- ☐ At the end of the surgery, the device was removed in a deep plane and a face mask was used.
- ☐ After patient became conscious, he/she was shifted to the recovery room
- ☐ Patients were observed till discharge for both intraoperative and postoperative complications like laryngospasm, bronchospasm, blood staining of the device, stridor, hoarseness of voice or painful phonation

OBSERVATION AND RESULTS

TABLE 1-Age



Age Distribution	Ketamine + Propofol group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%
≤ 3 years	**5**	14.29	**6**	17.14
4-6 years	**25**	71.43	**19**	54.29
7-9 years	**4**	11.43	**10**	28.57
> 9 years	**1**	2.86	**0**	0.00
Total	**35**	**100**	**35**	**100**

Age Distribution	Ketamine + Propofol Group	Fentanyl +Propofol Group
N	35	35
Mean	4.89	5.50
SD	1.76	1.74
P value Unpaired t Test		0.1507

Majority of the Ketamine + Propofol Group patients belonged to the 4-6 years age class interval (n=25, 71.43%) with a mean age of 4.89 years. In the Fentanyl + Propofol Group patients, majority belonged to the 4-6 years age class interval (n=19, 54.29%) with a mean age of 5.50 years. The association between the intervention groups and age distribution is considered to be not statistically significant since p > 0.05 as per 2 tail unpaired t test.

TABLE 2-ASA



ASA Physical Classification System	Ketamine + Propofol group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%
ASA 1	27	77.14	25	71.43
ASA 2	8	22.86	10	28.57
Total	35	100	35	100
P value Chi Squared Test			0.2991	

Majority of the Ketamine + Propofol Group patients belonged to the ASA 1 class interval (n=27, 77.14%). In the Fentanyl + Propofol Group patients, majority belonged to the ASA 1 class interval (n=25, 71.43%). The association between the intervention groups and ASA physical classification is considered to be not statistically significant since p > 0.05 as per Chi squared test.

TABLE 3-Height



Height Distribution	Ketamine + Propofol group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%
≤ 0.9 mts	9	25.71	4	11.43
1.0-1.1 mts	20	57.14	21	60.00
1.2-1.3 mts	6	17.14	10	28.57
Total	35	100	35	100

Height Distribution	Ketamine + Propofol Group	Fentanyl + Propofol Group
N	35	35
Mean	1.03	1.06
SD	0.11	0.10
P value Unpaired t Test		0.2263

Majority of the Ketamine + Propofol Group patients belonged to the 1.0-1.1 mts height class interval (n=20, 57.14%) with a mean height of 1.03 mts. In the Fentanyl + Propofol Group patients, majority belonged to the 1.0-1.1 mts height class interval (n=21, 60%) with a mean height of 1.06 mts. The association between the intervention groups and height distribution is considered to be not statistically significant since p > 0.05 as per 2 tail unpaired t test.

TABLE 4 –BMI



BMI Distribution	Ketamine + Propofol group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%
Underweight (≤ 18.49)	33	94.29	35	100
Normal (18.50 to 24.99)	2	5.71	0	0
Overweight (25 to 29.99)	0	0	0	0
Obese	0	0	0	0
Total	35	100	35	100

BMI Distribution	Ketamine + Propofol Group	Fentanyl + Propofol Group
N	35	35
Mean	12.95	13.59
SD	2.02	1.53
P value Unpaired t Test		0.1417

Majority of the Ketamine + Propofol Group patients belonged to the underweight BMI class interval (n=33, 94.29%) with a mean BMI of 12.95. In the Fentanyl + Propofol Group patients, majority belonged to the underweight BMI class interval (n=35, 100%) with a mean BMI of 13.59. The association between the intervention groups and BMI distribution is considered to be not statistically significant since p > 0.05 as per 2 tail unpaired t test.

TABLE 5-LMA Insertion Ease LMA Insertion



LMAinsertion ease	Ketamine + Propofol group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%
Satisfactory	21	60.00	33	94.29
Difficult	14	40.00	2	5.71
Total	35	100	35	100
P value Fishers Exact Test			0.0007	

Satisfactory LMA insertion was significantly and consistently more in Fentanyl + Propofol Group compared to Ketamine + Propofol Group, when used for Laryngeal Mask Airway insertion in Children.

TABLE 6: LMA Insertion Attempts



LMAinsertion attempt	Ketamine + Propofol group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%
One	29	82.86	32	91.43
Two	6	17.14	3	8.57
Total	35	100	35	100
P value Fishers Exact Test			0.3139	

Ketamine + Propofol Group patients had 1 attempt on successful LMA insertion (n=29, 82.86%). In the Fentanyl + Propofol Group patients, majority patients had one attempt on successful LMA insertion (n=32, 91.43%). The association between the intervention groups and LMA insertion attempts is considered to be statistically not significant since p value is greater than 0.05 as per fishers-exact test.

TABLE 7- Problems during LMA Insertion



LMA Insertion Problems	Ketamine + Propofol Group	%	Fentanyl + Propofol Group	%	P value Fishers Exact Test
Nil	21	60	33	94.29	REF
Limb Movements	8	22.86	1	2.86	0.0148
Resist to Insertion	5	14.29	0	0.00	0.0268
Gagging	1	2.86	1	2.86	0.9999
Total	35	100	35	100	

Results

LMA insertion complication like limb movements and resistance to insertion were significantly and consistently lower in Fentanyl + Propofol Group compared to Ketamine + Propofol Group when used in insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Children.

Table 8 - Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP)



Systolic Blood Pressure		Baseline	Pre ind	Pre LMA	1 min	3 mins	5 mins
Ketamine + propofol group	N	35	35	35	35	35	35
	Mean	101.00	109.07	92.94	91.40	90.83	92.60
	SD	8.80	8.60	10.97	7.03	8.92	10.49
Fentanyl+ propofol group	N	35	35	35	35	35	35
	Mean	103.4	98.6	84.46	85.69	88.00	88.26
	SD	9.04	10.36	9.02	8.23	9.45	9.10
P value Unpaired T Test		0.2759	0.0000	0.0008	0.0027	0.0022	0.0488

By conventional criteria the association between the intervention groups and SBP status among study subjects is considered to be statistically significant since $p < 0.05$.

Results

The mean SBP measurement was statistically more in Ketamine + Propofol Group compared to the Fentanyl + Propofol Group by 1.05 times with a mean difference of 4.91 mm Hg

This difference is true and significant and has not occurred by chance.

The mean systolic blood pressure measurement was significantly and consistently higher in Ketamine + Propofol Group compared to the Fentanyl + Propofol when used in insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Children

Table 9: Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP)



Diastolic Blood Pressure		Baseline	Pre ind	Pre LMA	1 min	3 mins	5 mins
Ketamine + propofol group	N	35	35	35	35	35	35
	Mean	63.20	66.80	58.43	53.60	53.94	55.20
	SD	9.01	8.36	8.80	7.64	8.31	9.61
Fentanyl+ propofol group	N	35	35	35	35	35	35
	Mean	66.29	61.77	50.46	48.97	57.37	51.00
	SD	10.11	8.62	8.05	6.71	8.08	7.99
P value Unpaired T Test		0.1822	0.0157	0.00022	0.0089	0.0140	0.0480

By conventional criteria the association between the intervention groups and DBP status among study subjects is considered to be statistically significant since $p < 0.05$.

Results

The mean DBP measurement was statistically more in Ketamine + Propofol Group compared to the Fentanyl + Propofol Group by 1.06 times with a mean difference of 3.56 mm Hg

This difference is true and significant and has not occurred by chance.

The mean diastolic blood pressure measurement was significantly and consistently higher in Ketamine + Propofol Group compared to the Fentanyl + Propofol when used in insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Children

Table 10: Respiratory Rate (RR)



Respiratory rate		Baseline	Pre ind	Pre LMA	1 min	3 mins	5 mins
Ketamine + propofol group	N	35	35	35	35	35	35
	Mean	18.6	20.5	18.85	24.38	24.11	22.34
	SD	3.47	3.63	5.23	5.81	4.01	3.16
Fentanyl+ propofol group	N	35	35	35	35	35	35
	Mean	17.83	16.69	14.19	18.15	19.43	18.89
	SD	10.11	8.62	8.05	6.71	8.08	7.99
P value Unpaired T Test		0.3689	0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001

By conventional criteria the association between the intervention groups and respiratory rate status among study subjects is considered to be statistically significant since $p < 0.05$.

Results

The mean RR measurement was more in Ketamine + Propofol Group compared to the Fentanyl + Propofol Group by 1.22 times with a mean difference of 3.94 breaths per minute. This difference is true and significant and has not occurred by chance. The mean respiratory rate measurement was significantly and consistently higher in Ketamine + Propofol Group compared to the Fentanyl + Propofol when used in insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Children.

Table 11: Apnoea



Apnoea time	**Ketamine + Propofol group**	**%**	**Fentanyl +Propofol Group**	**%**
≤2 mts	4	80.00	9	75.00
2.01 to 5 mts	1	20.00	2	16.67
>5 mts	0	0.00	1	8.33
Total	5	100	12	100
Apnoea time	**Ketamine + Propofol Group**		**Fentanyl + Propofol Group**	
N	5		12	
Mean	98.00		122.92	
SD	113.0		131.09	
P value Unpaired t Test			0.0025	

Results

The mean apnoea time was more in Fentanyl + Propofol Group compared to Ketamine + Propofol Group by 24.92 seconds. This significant difference of 1.25 times increase in mean apnoea time in Fentanyl + Propofol Group compared to Ketamine + Propofol Group is true and has not occurred by chance.

The mean apnoea time was significantly and consistently higher in Fentanyl + Propofol Group compared to Ketamine + Propofol Group when used in insertion of Laryngeal Mask- Airway in Children

Table 12: LMA Extubation Complications



Apnoea time	**Ketamine + Propofol group**	**%**	**Fentanyl + Propofol Group**	**%**	**P value fishers exact test**
Nil	31	88.57	35	100.00	REF
Blood stain	1	2.86	0	0	0.9999
cough	3	8.57	0	0	0.1196
Total	5	100	35	100.00	

Majority of the Ketamine + Propofol Group patients had cough as the main LMA extubation complication (n=3, 8.57%). In the Fentanyl + Propofol Group patients, majority patients had no LMA extubation complication (n=35, 100%). The association between the intervention groups and LMA extubation complications is considered to be not statistically significant since $p > 0.05$ as per fishers exact test.

DISCUSSION

Comparisons have been made between Propofol 2.5mg/kg with Fentanyl 2µg/kg and Propofol 2.5mg/kg with Ketamine 0.5mg/kg with reference to ideal LMA insertion conditions. In my study, the insertion conditions of LMA were observed on the basis of 6 variables such as resistance to mouth opening, resistance to insertion, swallowing, coughing, gagging, limb and head movements and laryngospasm as proposed in Sivalingam *et al* and Cheam *et al* study.

In our study the patients showed 94.29 % satisfactory insertion condition with Fentanyl + Propofol group compared to Ketamine + Propofol with 60%. The frequent variable that we encountered was limb and head movements that too especially limb movements. The higher incidence of head and limb movements in Group Propofol + Ketamine could be due to the combined effects of excitatory movements caused by Propofol and increased muscle tone caused by Ketamine. Also the incidence of head and limb movements in Group PF (2.86%) was less compared to Group Propofol + Ketamine (22.86%) with $p<0.0148$ which is significant. Ranju Singh et al, in their study also found that a statistically highly significant head and limb movements ($p=0.007$) were encountered in Group PK(Propofol+Ketamine) compared to Group PF (Propofol+ Fentanyl).

The study done by Goh PK *et al*, showed greater occurrence of head and limb movement in Ketamine group(40%) than Fentanyl group (16%), the incidence was more than what we noted. There was no laryngospasm in both the groups in our study. This has been supported by the study done by Ranju Singh *et al*, which showed nil occurrence of laryngospasm Group Propofol + Fentanyl had adequate (100%) jaw relaxation showing nil case of resistance to insertion with 14.29% resistance to insertion in Group Propofol +

Ketamine of p<0.0268. Our results are consistent with the study conducted by Asha Gupta and Sarabjit Kaur in which they compared jaw relaxation according to Young's criteria. Their results showed that the incidence of absolute jaw relaxation was highest in Group PB (Propofol + Butarphanol) - 28(93.33%), intermediate in Group PF (Propofol + Fentanyl) - 53.33% and lowest in Group PK(Propofol + Ketamine) -11 patients (36.66%). Tanmoy Ghatak et al, also compared the efficiency of Ketamine +Propofol, Fentanyl + Propofol or Saline + Propofol for hemodynamic features and insertion conditions for LMA in children premedicated with oral Clonidine. Ketamine and Fentanyl group showed a significantly better LMA insertion summed score (P<0.004) and was similar in both the groups than saline group. But the dose of Fentanyl they used was 1µg/kg. In a study by Gamal T Yousef et *al*, used Ketofol as induction agent ,that lead to adequate jaw relaxation and adequate mouth opening in the KP group i.e., Ketamine + Propofol {n=45 (90%)}than in the Propofol group {n=38(76%)}.

Bah J *et al,* studied ideal insertion conditions with different doses of Propofol along with Ketamine + Lidocaine spray for inserting LMA. The study concluded that, dosage more than 3 mg/kg of Ketamine achieved satisfactory degree of jaw relaxation.

Goh PK *et al* in his study reported 23% of patients in Fentanyl group required additional bolus dose of Propofol compared to 10% of patients in Ketamine group. Our study showed only 8.5% of patients in Fentanyl group required additional bolus dose of Propofol with second attempt, compared to 17.1% of patients in Ketamine group. He has also reported that inserting LMA and resistance to mouth opening was found to be higher in Fentanyl group. The incidence of coughing/gagging between the two groups was not significant in our study. There was higher occurrence of coughing & gagging in KP Group (Ketamine-Propofol),of the study conducted by Asha Gupta et al, compared to Fentanyl-Propofol and Butorphanol-Propofol. The overall insertion ease was significantly good with Group PF compared to Group PK (p=0.0007).

Statistically, a high incidence of apnoea was observed in Group PF with p<0.0025 in our study. Supporting our study, the study conducted by Asha Gupta et *al,* the incidence of apnoea was greater with Propofol – Fentanyl compared to Propofol-Butorphanol because of Butorphanol receptor specificity and µ antagonism. The incidence is greatest with Group PF and also the mean duration of apnoea was greatest with Group PF. Also the study conducted by Cheam EWS and Chui PT et al, showed that Fentanyl improved the conditions during Laryngeal Mask Airway insertion, but showed prolonged duration of apnoea. Study conducted by Ranju Singh *et al,* showed more incidence of apnoea with 40 children out of 50 in Fentanyl group (80%) compared to 25 children out of 50 in Ketamine group (50%). Also in my study, prolonged apnoea was shown in 1 child out of 35 with Fentanyl group compared to none in Ketamine group. But study conducted by Raju Singh et al, showed prolonged apnoea in Ketamine + Propofol group (14%) as compared to Fentanyl + Propofol group (12%).In the study conducted by Goh PK et al, the occurrence of sustained apnoea was higher in group Fentanyl (23.1%) than group Ketamine(6.3%). Sustained apnoea happened more with Fentanyl than Ketamine or saline group by Gatak et al study. The apnoea caused by either Fentanyl or Ketamine has little clinical significance and this parameter may in fact allow enough time in checking the LMA position after insertion by manual ventilation. Kodaka et al noted that a Fentanyl dose of 0.5 µg/kg is adequate to reduce predicted EC-50LMA (the effective concentration for 50% of the attempts to secure laryngeal maskinsertion of Propofol using a target-controlled infusion with minimum respiratory depression and without a high BIS value.) In our study, the baseline parameters like heart rate (p=0.7), systolic blood pressure (SBP) (p=0.264) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (p=0.182) were same for the both the groups. Group PK showed a significant rise in systolic, diastolic blood pressure and mean arterial pressure during preinduction, pre LMA insertion, 1 min after LMA insertion and 3 mins after LMA insertion. This effect of Ketamine is due to indirect sympathomimetic action on sinus node. Our results were similar with those of Ranju Singh et al in which Ketamine showed higher mean arterial pressure throughout the study period as compared to the Fentanyl group. Studies done by Goh PK *et al, Ghatak*et aland Asha Gupta et al also showed similar results supporting our study.

Heart rate was found to be higher in Group PK compared to Group PF in our study. This similar outcome was observed in studies of Goh Pk etal, Ghatak et al and Asha Gupta et al.

Pain while injecting Propofol is considered as a negligible complication, but it might lead to uncooperation and distress to the child. Pain can be due to activation of kininogens or by the free aqueous concentration of Propofol in the emulsion. In our study, pain following Propofol injection was similar in all the groups and was statistically insignificant between two groups. This was analogous to the study done by Ritu Goyal et al. The study done by Ritu Sinha also found that, apart from addition of Propofol with Lignocaine (preservative free), Thiopentone mixed with Propofol causes decreased release of kinins and altered pH in admixture preventing injection pain during Propofol.

Results:

1. The incidence of head and limb movements was less in Group Propofol + Fentanyl compared to Group Propofol+ Ketamine with p value of 0.0148
2. Coughing or gagging was seen in 2.86% of both the groups.
3. Resistance to insertion was statistically significant with p value of 0.0268 showing more in Propofol + Ketamine group.
4. There was no statistical significance in the occurrence of restricted mouth opening, restriction to LMA insertion and occurrence of swallowing between the two groups.
5. Laryngospasm was absent in either groups.
6. Fentanyl group showed the incidence of more apnoea compared to Ketamine group.
7. The heart rate (HR0, systolic blood pressure(SBP), diastolic blood pressure(DBP) and mean arterial pressure(MAP) were statistically more with Ketamine group than Fentanyl group.

CONCLUSION

In this study, I conclude that co-induction with Fentanyl (2µg/kg) prior to Propofol (2.5 mg/kg) for insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in children provided better insertion conditions and minimal alteration in haemodynamic parameters than co-induction with Ketamine (0.5 mg/kg) and Propofol (2.5 mg/kg).

References:

Books:

1. Chandy Verghese Gabriel Mena David Z. Freson Archie I.J. Brain, Laryngeal Mask Airway. Benumof and Hagber's Airway Management, 3rd Edition.
2. Dorsch and Dorsch – Understanding Airway Equipment
3. Stoelting's Pharmacology and Physiology in Anaesthetic Practice

Journals

4. Asha Gupta, Sarabjit Kaur, Joginder Pal Attri, and Nisha SainiComparative Evaluation of Ketamine - Propofol, Fentanyl - Propofol and Butorphanol- Propofol on Haemodynamics and Laryngeal Mask Airway Insertion Conditions J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2011 Jan-Mar; 27(1): 74–78.
5. Bahk JH, Han SM, Kim SD. Management of paediatric difficult airway with a laryngeal mask airway under Propofol anaesthesia. Paed Anaesth. 1999;9:163–166.
6. Brimacombe J, Berry AM. The laryngeal mask airway anatomical and physiological implications. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1996;40:201–209
7. Cheam EWS, Chui PT. Randomised double – blind comparison of Fentanyl, Mivacurium or placebo to facilitate laryngeal mask airway insertion. Anaesthesia. 2000;55:323–326
8. Gamal T. Yousef and Khalid M. Elsayed. clinical comparison of ketofol (ketamine and propofol admixture) versus propofol as an induction agent on quality of laryngeal mask airway insertion and hemodynamic stability in children., Anesth Essays Res. 2013 May-Aug; 7(2): 194–199.
9. Gauchan S, Agrwal JK, Jha BD, Rana RB, Pokharel A. Comparative study of Propofol versus Thiopentone as an induction agent for insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway. PMJN Postgraduate Medical Journal of NAMS Volume 11 Number 2 Jul-Dec 2011.Page 31 -35
10. Goel S, Bhardwaj N, Jain K. Efficacy of Ketamine and Midazolam as coinduction agents with Propofol for laryngeal mask insertion in children. Paediatric Anaesthesia. 2008;18:628–634
11. Goh PK, Chiu CL, Wang CY, Chan YK, Loo PL. Randomised double – blind comparison of Ketamine - Propofol, Fentanyl Propofol and Propofol saline on haemodynamics and laryngeal mask airway insertion conditions. Anaesth Intens Care. 2005;33:223–228
12. Goyagi T, Tanaka M, Nishikawa T. Fentanyl decreases Propofol requirement for

laryngeal mask airway insertion. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2003;47:771–774
13. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci. 2012 Jan-Apr; 2(1): 17–20.
14. Jeff Harless, Ramesh Ramaiah, Sanjay M Bhananker. Pediatric airway management, International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science | Vol. 4 | Issue 1 | Jan-Mar 2014,65-70
15. 12. JH Bahk, J Sung, IJ Jang. Comparison of Ketamine and Lidocaine spray with Propofol for the insertion of LMA in children double blind randomized trial. Anesth Analg. 2002;95:1586–1589.
16. Joseph A. Fisher , Chidambaram Ananthanarayan Gerald Edelist . Role of the laryngeal mask in airway management. CAN J ANAESTH 1992 / 39: I/ppl
17. M. Kodaka*, Y. Okamoto, F. Handa, J. Kawasaki and H. Miyao. Relation between Fentanyl dose and predicted EC50 of Propofol for laryngeal mask insertion, British Journal of Anaesthesia 92 (2): 238±41 (2004)
18. Priyesh Bhaskar, Anita Malik, Rajni Kapoor, Monica Kohli, Jyotsana Agarwal, and Mamta Harjai.Effect of Midazolam Premedication on the Dose of Propofol for Laryngeal Mask Airway Insertion in Children. Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2010 Oct-Dec; 26(4): 503–506.
19. Ranju Singh, Madhur Arora, and Homay Vajifdar. Randomized Double Blind Comparison of Ketamine Propofol and Fentanyl Propofol for the Insertion of Laryngeal Mask Airway in Children J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2011 JanMar; 27(1): 91–96
20. Renu SINHA,Dilip Shende, Rakesh Gang. Comparison of Propofol (1 %) with admixture (1:1) of Thiopentone (1.25%) and Propofol (0.5%)for Laryngeal Mask Airway in children undergoing elective eye surgery: Double – masked randomized clinical trail. 2010-IJA Volume 54,Issue 2,pages 104 -108
21. Ritu Goyal, Manpreet Singh, and Jaiprakash Sharma. Comparison of Ketamine with Fentanyl as co-induction in Propofol anesthesia for short surgical procedures
22. Rowbottom SJ, Simpson DL, Grubb D: The laryngeal mask airway in children. A fibreoptic assessment of position. Anaesthesia 46:489, 1991
23. SHIBA GOEL, NEERJA BHARDWAJ and KAJAL JAIN. Efficacy of Ketamine and Midazolam as co-induction agents with Propofol for laryngeal mask insertion in children. 2008 Pediatric Anesthesia Volume 18, Issue 7, pages 628–634
24. Sivalingham P, Kandasamy R, Madhaven G, Dhakshinamoorthi P. Conditions for laryngeal mask insertion. A comparison of Propofol versus Sevoflurane with or without alfentanil. Anaesthesia 1999;54:271–276
25. Tanmoy Ghatak, Dinesh Singh1, Rajni Kapoor1, Jaishree Bogra. Effects of addition of Ketamine, Fentanyl and saline with Propofol induction on hemodynamics and laryngeal mask airway insertion conditions in oralClonidine premedicated children . Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia. Vol. 6, Issue 2, April-June 2012