### VOLUME-7, ISSUE-3, MARCH-2018 • PRINT ISSN No 2277 - 8160



**ABSTRACT** Present study was undertaken to analyse the qualitative and quantitative variations in abundance and diversity indices of zooplankton of Bhakra –Yamuna link canals in the Narwana region, Haryana. For analysis, samples were collected from three selected sites ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$ ) on Bhakra-Yamuna Link canals for a period of one year from January to December, 2013. For diversity index, Shannon and weaver diversity index, Simpson dominance index and Pielou evenness index were used and to calculate similarity index between selected sites, Jaccard similarity coefficient was used. Result revealed that a total of 13 species of zooplanktons were recorded belonging to group protozoa, rotifera, cladocera and insecta. Population density of zooplankton was low at site  $S_1$  and higher at site  $S_2$ . The diversity indices revealed a significant decline in the population of zooplankton from site  $S_2$  to  $S_3$  after linking with site  $S_1$ . Similarity coefficient was more between  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  as compare to site  $S_3$  and  $S_1$  and site  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Cladocerans were dominant at site  $S_2$ .

**KEYWORDS**: Zooplankton, Shannon diversity indices, Simpson dominance index, Pielou evenness index

## Introduction

Zooplanktons are indispensable members of the aquatic food chain, connecting primary producers to higher trophic levels including economically important population of fish (Umadevi, 2013). They are sensitive to changes in the aquatic environment and any variation in their composition is often a reaction of significant alteration in ambient conditions within aquatic ecosystem. The factors regulating their abundance may be hydrological, chemical, physical and biotic (Ramesha and Sophia, 2013). Some studies on Yamuna river and Western Yamuna Canal have been undertaken by few workers which deals with heavy metal pollution (Jindal and Rumana, 2000; Kaushik et al., 2009), physicochemical parameters (Khaiwal et al., 2003, Bhatnagar et al., 2009, Chopra et al., 2012) and biological assessment (Chopra et al., 2011). However there is no information about diversity of zooplankton of Bhakra – Yamuna Link canals in Narwana region. Hence the present study was undertaken to analyse the zooplankton abundance and diversity indices in Bhakra-Yamuna link canals in the Narwana region of Haryana.

## Materials and methodology

**Study sites:** For the present study, three sampling sites ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$ ) were selected on Bhakra-Yamuna link canal system (Figure 1). Site  $S_1$ , (29°63'N & 76°17'E) is located 1 Km upstream from Dhakal head on Sirsa branch of Western Yamuna Canal. Site  $S_2$  (29°61'N & 76°15'E) is located 1 Km upstream from Dhakal head on Barwala link canal and site  $S_3$  (29°59'N & 76°14'E) is located 2.5 km downstream on Sirsa branch after junction with Barwala link canal in Narwana region, Haryana.



**Fig. 1: Map of Haryana showing study sites on selected canals. Plankton Analysis:** For plankton study, 50 litres of water was filtered through planktonic net of mesh size 50 µm with demarcated

tube fitted at the bottom, the concentrated sample preserved in 4% formalin. The literature consulted for the identification of plankton were:Ward and Whipple (1959), Needham and Needham (1962) and Gupta (1972). Counting of plankton was done with the help of "drop count method" and biodiversity indices were calculated by Shannon and weaver (1963), Simpson (1949) and Pielou (1966). Similarity coefficient was calculated by using Jaccard similarity coefficient (Jaccard, 1912).

### **Result and Discussion**

Zooplankton, by their heterotrophic activity, plays a key role in cycling of the organic matter in an aquatic ecosystem. Zooplankton contributed a minor portion of total plankton and comprised of protozoans, rotifers, cladocearns and insects. A total of 13 species were recorded from all study sites. Cladocerans were dominant at site S<sub>1</sub> with percentage distribution of 37.5% of total species diversity. Malhotra, 2014 was also reported the similar results in Western Yamuna Canal in Yamunanagar. Protozoans were dominant at site S<sub>2</sub> with percentage contribution of 50% whereas at site S<sub>3</sub>, their percentage decreases to 33.33% due to the influence of water from site S<sub>1</sub> (Fig. 1). Only two taxa i.e. *Peridinum* sp. and *Polyarthra* sp. were common at all sites (Table 1).

Species richness was found highest at site S<sub>2</sub>(five species) and lowest at site S<sub>3</sub> (one species) (Fig. 2). Zooplankton density in different months ranged from 49±1.98 L<sup>-1</sup> to 18±2.09 L<sup>-1</sup> at site S<sub>1</sub>, 94±2.38 L<sup>-1</sup> to 38±2.09 L<sup>-1</sup> at site S<sub>2</sub> and 86±4.78 L<sup>-1</sup> to 22±6.31L<sup>-1</sup> at site S<sub>3</sub>. Comparatively, population density of zooplankton was low at site S<sub>1</sub> than site S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>. Bhatnagar *et al.* (2013); Malhotra and Kumar (2014), also observed low values of zooplankton density coupled with low species diversity at WYC. At site S<sub>3</sub> population density was lower from the month of July to September when water current and water level at site S<sub>1</sub> were high which affect their prevalent biota (Fig. 2).

Diversity indices are good indicator of pollution in aquatic ecosystem. Mean value of Shannon diversity index were found maximum at site  $S_2$  (2.77±0.58) and minimum at site  $S_1$  (1.45±0.39). Site  $S_3$  shows decline in the values of diversity as compared to site  $S_2$  but values were greater than site  $S_1$  (Table 2). Simpson diversity index was high at site  $S_1$  (0.33±0.12) than site  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ . Species evenness of zooplankton varied from 0.78 to 0.85 showed little variation at site  $S_2$  as compare to site  $S_1$  (0.60-0.78) and  $S_3$  (0.61-0.84). Evenness was lowest at site  $S_1$  during rainy months. At site  $S_3$ , evenness due to show a negative relationship with water flow and a positive relationship with water residence time (Basu and Pick,

1996; Lair, 2006; Strayer *et al.*, 2008). Basu *et al.* (2010) have reported positive correlation of all the physico-chemical parameters except the dissolved oxygen and TDS with zooplankton community.

Similarity coefficient calculated on the basis of zooplankton revealed that site  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  was more similar as compare to site  $S_3 \& S_1$  and site  $S_1 \& S_2$  throughout the study period (Table 2). This may be due to the fact that site  $S_3$  carried higher volume of water from site  $S_2$  throughout the year and more species were common at these two sites site while site  $S_1$  was dry during some part of the year.

**Conclusion:** In present study, high values of population density of zooplankton coupled with high species diversity recorded at site  $S_2$  which decreased at site  $S_3$  after joining with site  $S_1$  which altered the overall ecology of the canal.

# Table 1: Zooplankton recorded at selected study sites (site S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> andS<sub>3</sub>)during study period

Sr. No.	Scientific name	Site S <sub>1</sub>	Site S <sub>2</sub>	Site S <sub>3</sub>			
I	Family Protozoa						
1	Alona sp.	+	+	+			
2	Ceratium sp.	-	+	-			
3	Diffusia sp.	-	++	-			
4	Peridinum sp.	+	++	++			
II	Family Rotifera						
5	Brachionus sp.	+	-	+			
6	Nothalca sp.	-	+	-			
7	Polyarthra sp.	-	+	-			
8	Trichocerca sp.	-	+	-			
	Family Cladocera						
9	Daphnia sp.	++	-	+			
10	<i>Sida</i> sp.	++	-	-			
11	Simocephalus sp.	++	-	-			
IV	Family Insecta						
12	Chironomous Iarvae	+	-	+			
13	Water mite	-	++	+			

#### + Present, - Absent, ++ Abundant



## Fig.2: Per cent distribution of different groups of zooplankton at study sites



Fig. 3: Monthly variation in population density (Nos. L-1) of

# Table 2: Range and mean ± S.E. (with in parenthesis) of Species

able 2. Nange and mean ± 5.L. (with in parentnesis) of Species								
ichness,	species	diversity	and	evenness	of	zooplanktons		
eported at selected sites during study period.								

<b>Diversity indices/Sites</b>	Site S <sub>1</sub> *	Site S <sub>2</sub>	Site S <sub>3</sub>
Species richness	2-5	3-7	1-5
Shannon diversity index	1.12-1.92	2.61-3.01	1.98-2.85
	(1.45±0.39)	(2.77±0.58)	(2.40±0.31)
Simpson's diversity index	0.28-0.41	0.16-0.23	0.17-0.26
	(0.33±0.12)	(0.18±0.05)	(0.21±0.07)
Equitability index	0.60-0.78	0.78-0.85	0.61-0.84
	(0.68±0.05)	(0.81±0.03)	(0.72±0.08)
Similarity coefficient	$(S_1 \text{ and } S_2)$	$(S_2 \text{ and } S3)$	$(S_3 and S_1)$
	0.311	0.798	0.520

Sampling could not be done from March to May as the canal was completely dry

#### REFERENCES

zooplankton at study sites.

r

r

- Basu, B. K., and Pick, F. R. (1996). Factors regulating phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass in temperate rivers. Limnol. Oceanogr., 41: 1572-1577.
- Basu, M., Roy, N. and Barik, A. (2010). Seasonal abundance of net zooplankton correlated with physico-chemical parameters in freshwater ecosystem. Int. J. Lak. Riv., 3:67-77.
- Bhatnagar, A., Chopra, G. and Malhotra, P. (2009). Water quality indices and abiotic characteristics of Western Yamuna Canal in Yamunanagar, Haryana, India. J. Nat and App Sci., 1(2): 149-154.
- Bhatnagar, A., Chopra, G. and Malhotra, P. (2013). Assessment of water quality of river Yamuna in Yamunanagar, India with reference to planktons and macrozoobenthos. Sch. J. Eng. Tech., 1(4): 204-213.
- Chopra G., Bhatnagar A. and Malhotra P. (2012). Limnochemical characteristics of river Yamuna in Yamunanagar, Haryana, India, Inter j. water res and environ eng., 4: 97-104.
- Gupta, R.S. (1972). Blue green algal flora of Rajasthan. Nova Hedwigia, 23:481-492.
  Jaccard, P. (1912). The distribution of the Flora of alpine Zone. New Phytol. 11:37-50.
- Jaccard, P. (1912). The distribution of the Flora of alpine Zone. New Phytol. 11: 37-50.
  Jindal, R. and Rumana, H.S. (2000): Biomonitoring of water pollution in Western
- Yamuna Canal at Yamunanagar, Haryana. JPAS. 2(1): 177182. 9. Khaiwal, R., Ameena, Meenakshi, Rani, M. and Kaushik, A. (2003): Seasonal variations
- in physico- chemical characteristics of river Yamuna in Haryana and its ecological best designated use. Journal of Environment Monitoring. 5:419-426.
- Kaushik, A., Kansal, A., Meena, S., Kumari, S., and Kaushik, C.P. (2009): Heavy metal contamination of river Yamuna, Haryana, India: Assessment by metal enrichment factor of the sediments. Journal of Hazardous Material. 164: 265-270.
- Lair, N., (2006). Abiotic vs biotic factors: lessons drawn from rotifers in the Middle Loire, a meandering river monitored from 1995 to 2002, during low flow periods. Hydrobiol., 546:457-472.
- Malhotra, P. (2014). Species diversity and distribution of zooplankton of Western Yamuna Canal in Yamunanagar (Haryana) with special reference to industrial pollution. Int. Res. J. Environ. Sci., 3(8):1-4.
- Malhotra, P. and Kumar, A. (2014). Comparative studies on zooplanktonic diversity of River Yamuna and Western Yamuna Canal in relation to industrial pollution in Yamunanagar (Haryana), India. Int. J. Sci. Res., 3(9): 1438-1441.
- Needham, J.E. and Needham, P.R. (1972). A guide to the study of fresh water biology. Holden Day Inc. San Francisco, California.
- Pielou, E.C. (1966). The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol., 13: 131-144.
- Ramesha M.M. and Sophia, S. (2013) Species Composition and Diversity of Plankton in the River Seeta at Seetanadi, the Western Ghats, India, Adv. Bio Tech., 12(08): 20-27.
- Shannon, C.E. and Weaver, W. (1963). The mathematical theory of communication, University of Illinois, Press, Urbana, pp117.
- Simpson, G.H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. Nature, 163:688.
  Strayer, D. L., Pace, M.L., Caraco, N.F., Cole, J.J. and Findlay, S.E.G. (2008). Hydrology and
- grazing jointly control a large-river food web. Ecology, 89: 12-18.Umadevi, T. (2013). Limnological investigation and zooplankton diversity of
- Karanjariver, Karnataka. International journal of Science and Research. 2(3):133-136.
  Ward, G.B. and Whipple, G.C. (1959). Freshwater Biology, John Willey and Sons, New York, pp 1248.