



BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF SCALING AND ROOT PLANING WITH 0.2% CLORHEXIDINE AS AN REPEATED PROFESSIONAL PLAQUE REMOVAL

Kumar Abhima*

Senior Resident (Registrar), Dept. of Periodontics, GDC, Jammu, India
*Corresponding Author

Tahira ashraf

PG 3rd year, Dept. of Periodontics, Govt. Dental College, Srinagar

Prabhathi Gupta

Senior Resident (Registrar), Dept. of Periodontics, GDC, Jammu, India

ABSTRACT

Objective: Evaluation of the clinical effects of scaling and root planing (SRP) alone or in combination with 0.2% chlorhexidine (CHX) rinsing.

Methods: A blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial was conducted in 30 subjects with generalised chronic periodontitis. Subjects were assigned to two therapeutic groups: control (SRP + placebo) and test (SRP + CHX up to 42 days post-therapy).

Results: Sites (4–6 mm) in the test group showed less plaque accumulation, gingival bleeding, bleeding on probing and a greater reduction in attachment level and probing depth (PD) at 42nd days after treatment.

Conclusion: The combination of CHX rinses and SRP leads to better clinical benefits than SRP alone.

KEYWORDS : chlorhexidine , scaling and rootplaning, placebo

INTRODUCTION

Periodontal diseases are chronic inflammatory conditions characterised by loss of connective tissue, alveolar bone resorption and formation of periodontal pockets as a result of the complex interaction between pathogenic bacteria and host immune response.¹ Periodontitis is result of cumulative exposure of dental plaque, thus the main aim of periodontal therapy is the prevention of plaque accumulation and maintain periodontal health. The clinical effect of scaling and root planing (SRP) are well documented.^{2,4} These studies indicated that SRP decreased pocket probing depth and loss of attachment level measurements particularly at the deeper sites

Although mechanical treatment significantly decreases the prevalence and levels of subgingival microorganisms, it does not necessarily eliminate all pathogens.⁵ As probing depth increases, the effectiveness of scaling and root planing decreases leaving subgingival plaque and calculus on the root surfaces,⁶ and repopulation of scaled teeth from bacterial reservoirs in dentinal tubules.⁷ Haffajee et al. reported that SRP alone has limited effect on some pathogenic species.⁵ Microbiological techniques demonstrated that the combination of SRP and repeated professional plaque removal could have a beneficial effect on the subgingival microbiota.^{8,9} This has led to use of antimicrobial agents as an adjunct to periodontal therapy.

To improve the outcome of mechanical oral hygiene procedures several antimicrobial agents, delivered by rinsing, irrigation, systemic administration and local devices, have been used to overcome the limited efficacy of conventional treatment of periodontitis.¹⁰ One of the most frequently antimicrobial agents used is chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX), it is a broad spectrum antiseptic with a pronounced antimicrobial effect on both gram negative and gram positive bacteria as well as on some yeast and lipophilic viruses and its prolonged substantivity is still recognised as the gold standard for chemical plaque control.¹¹ 0.2% chlorhexidine solution was the first clinically effective mouth rinse that inhibited supragingival plaque formation.¹² In this study we will aim to evaluate a) the effects of Scaling and Root planing with or without 0.2% Chlorhexidine rinse on clinical parameters

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLE SIZE

• 30 Subjects were randomly selected comprising of both the sexes, visiting outpatient department of Periodontology, Indira Gandhi Govt. Dental College and JAMMU, were considered for the present clinical study after meeting inclusion and exclusion criteria.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

The criteria for inclusion in the study will be:-

- Patients of age between 25-50 years.
- Patients diagnosed as suffering from chronic generalized periodontitis determined on clinical and radiographic examination
- Minimum of 4 teeth with one site with pocket depth $\geq 5\text{mm}$ & $\leq 7\text{mm}$
- Cooperative patients who are able to attend the hospital at frequent intervals.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patient who had not received any type of invasive periodontal therapy for past 4 months.
- Presence of any systemic disease that would influence the course of periodontal disease.
- Pregnancy and lactation.
- Smoking habit.
- Allergic to chlorhexidine
- Subjects having periapical lesions, gingival abscess, periodontal abscess
- Patients with no history of antimicrobial drug intake for 7 days or longer in previous 3 months

BEFORE THE SELECTED SUBJECTS WERE TAKEN UP FOR THE STUDY, THEY WERE MADE TO SIGN A WRITTEN CONSENT FROM REGARDING THE BENEFITS AND PROTOCOL OF THE STUDY.

METHODOLOGY EMPLOYED

After the selection of subjects for the study based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the periodontal examination was done. Subjects were randomly assigned into two groups:- 15 Subjects in Control Group (Group A) and 15 Subjects in Treatment Group (Group B) i.e.:-

- The Control group- Group A (SRP + PLACEBO)
- Treatment group- Group B (SRP + 0.2% CHX)

AT BASELINE:

4- Non adjacent periodontal pockets in posterior segment of mouth measuring depth $\geq 5\text{mm}$ & $\leq 7\text{mm}$ were assessed. Following periodontal parameters were recorded in both groups (Group I and Group II) at BASELINE:

- Plaque index (Sillness and Loe, 1964).¹³
- Sulcus bleeding index (Muhlemann H.R and son, 1971).¹⁴
- Probing Pocket depth. (with Williams Graduated Periodontal Probe)¹⁵
- Relative Attachment level i.e. distance between base of Sulcus

or pocket and a fixed reference point (horizontal notch) on the acrylic stent.¹⁵

TREATMENT PROCEDURE:

- The Control group(Group A – SRP + PLACEBO) Will receive oral hygiene instructions and full mouth scaling using ultra-sonic scaler (magnetostrictive) followed by root planing using Gracey curettes performed under local anaesthesia if required. Put on saline rinses after completion of periodontal therapy till 42nd day.
- Treatment group(Group B - SRP + 0.2% CHX) Received oral hygiene instructions and full mouth scaling using ultra-sonic scaler (magnetostrictive) followed by root planing using Gracey curettes performed under local anaesthesia if required. Were put on 0.2% chlorhexidine rinses 15 ml twice a day after completion of periodontal therapy till 42nd day with a gap of 3 days on 21st day to reduce the side effects.

DAY 21st

RECORDING OF PERIODONTAL PARAMETERS:

Following periodontal parameters will be recorded in both groups (Group A and Group B) at day 21.

- Plaque index (Sillness and Loe, 1964).¹³
- Sulcus bleeding index (Muhlemann H.R and son, 1971).¹⁴
- Reinforce oral hygiene instructions.
- A gap of 3 days for CHX rinses.

DAY 42nd

RECORDING OF PERIODONTAL PARAMETERS:

Following periodontal parameters will be recorded in both groups (Group A and Group B) at day 42.

- Plaque index (Sillness and Loe 1964).¹³
- Sulcus bleeding index (Muhlemann H.R and son, 1971).¹⁴
- Probing Pocket depth. (with Williams Graduated Periodontal Probe)¹⁵
- Relative Attachment level i.e. distance between base of Sulcus or pocket and a fixed reference point (horizontal notch) on the acrylic stent.¹⁵

ORAL HYGIENE INSTRUCTIONS

1. Brushing once daily with Colgate dentifrice in both control and test group using Bass methods.
2. Use of no adjunctive interdental aids in both test and control.
3. Use of mouthwash half an hour after breakfast and tooth brushing and at night before going to sleep..
4. Not to drink or eat till half an hour after mouthwash .

RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Of the 30 subjects selected, the mean baseline clinical parameters for the two subject groups were tabulated:

Plaque Index: Mean plaque index of control group (**Group A- SRP +PLACEBO**) at baseline was 1.8805, at day 21 was 1.4297, at day 42 was 1.2195. Therefore, the difference in the mean plaque index scores between baseline to 21 day and baseline to 42 days was 0.4508 and 0.6610 with p value 0.006 which is statistically significant and p value <0.001 highly significant, Mean plaque index of test group (**Group B- SRP +CHX**) at baseline was 1.9164 at 21 day was 1.2756 and 42 day was 0.9871. Difference in the mean plaque index scores between baseline to 21 day and From baseline to 42 days was 0.6408 and 0.9293 with p value <0.001 which is statistically highly significant in both.

On comparison between the two groups at the baseline the difference was statistically not significant. The test grp (group B) showed greater improvements in plaque control index scores than control group (group A) .The difference in results showed a statistically significant decrease at day 21 (p < 0.001) statistically highly significant decrease at day 42 (p < 0.001).

Sulcus Bleeding Index: Mean sulcus bleeding index of control group (**Group A- SRP +PLACEBO**) at baseline was 1.8805, at day 21

was 1.1004, at day 42 was 0.9479. The difference in the mean sulcus bleeding index scores between baseline to 21 day was 0.7704 with p value 0.003 which is statistically significant . From baseline to 42 days the difference in mean sulcus bleeding index scores are 0.9229 with p value <0.001 highly significant.

Mean plaque index of test group (**Group B- SRP +CHX**) at baseline was 1.9168 at 21 day was 0.9839 and 42 day was 0.7900. Therefore, the difference in the mean sulcus bleeding index scores between baseline to 21 day was 0.9329 with p value <0.001 which is statistically highly significant . From baseline to 42 days the difference in mean sulcus bleeding index scores are 1.1268 with p value <0.001 highly significant.

On comparison between the two groups at the baseline the difference was statistically not significant. The test grp (group B) showed greater improvements in sulcus bleeding index scores than control group (group A) .The difference in results showed a statistically significant decrease at day 21 (p < 0.004) and statistically highly significant decrease at day 42 (p < 0.001).

Probing Pocket Depth: Mean probing pocket depth in control group (**Group A- SRP +PLACEBO**) at baseline was 6.82, at day 42 was 4.31. In control group (group A) the reduction in mean probing pocket depth from the baseline to 42 days was 2.51 mm with a p value <0.001 which is statistically highly significant .

Mean probing pocket depth in test group (**Group B- SRP +CHX**) at baseline was 6.89 , at 42 day was 3.90 .In test group (group B) the reduction in mean probing pocket depth from the baseline to 42 days was 2.99 mm with a p value <0.001 which is statistically highly significant .

On comparison between the two groups at the baseline the difference was statistically not significant. Whereas at day 42nd the test grp (group B) showed greater improvements in mean probing pocket depth scores than control group (group A) .The difference in results showed a statistically significant decrease of probing depth at day 42 (p < 0.013).

Relative Attachment Levels: Mean relative attachment level in control group (**Group A- SRP +PLACEBO**) at baseline was 9.82, at day 42 was 7.26. In control group (group A) the reduction in mean relative attachment levels or the mean gain of attachment from the baseline to 42 days was 2.56 mm with a p value <0.001 which is statistically highly significant .

Mean relative attachment level in test group (**Group B- SRP +CHX**) at baseline was 9.89, at 42 day was 6.93. In test group (group B) the reduction in mean relative attachment levels or the mean gain of attachment from the baseline to 42 days was 3.32 mm with a p value <0.001 which is statistically highly significant .

On comparison between the two groups at the baseline the difference was statistically not significant. The test grp (group B) showed greater reduction in mean relative attachment levels or the mean gain of attachment than control group (group A) on 42nd day .The results showed a statistically significant difference at day 42 (p < 0.012).

DISCUSSION

To improve the outcome of mechanical oral hygiene procedures, a number of different antiseptic substances have been incorporated into mouthrinses. One of the most frequently used compounds, CHX digluconate, is a broad-spectrum antiseptic with a pronounced antimicrobial effect on both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, as well as on fungi and some viruses **Loesche 1979¹³, Addy & Moran 1983¹⁶, Sekino et al. 2003¹⁷**. Thus, the purpose of this placebo controlled study was to test the difference in the effect of treatment with the adjunctive use of CHX rinsing during non-surgical periodontal treatment compared with SRP alone, in

subjects with chronic periodontitis at day 42 after the completion of SRP.

The difference in reduction in plaque index could be due to demonstrated action of 0.2% CHX in inhibiting supragingival plaque formation and the development of gingival inflammation (Loe & Rindom Schiott 1970)¹⁸. These results were found to be consistent with the studies of Grossman et al 1985¹⁹, Sanz et al 1994²⁰ that confirm the antiplaque efficiency of CHX rinses. The superior antiplaque activity of chlorhexidine is due to its property of sustained availability –“substantivity” of 8-12 hours this involves a reservoir of Chlorhexidine, slowly dissolving from all oral surfaces, resulting in the “Bacteriostatic milieu” the oral cavity.

The bacterial component of the plaque formed using chlorhexidine rinses has been reported to be in different states of lysis and the plaque vitality scores was found to be less as compared to controls (Brecx et al. 1990).²¹ Thus, chlorhexidine may have both quantitative and qualitative effects on deposits formed in its presence. The reductions in sulcus bleeding score are comparable to the results reported by Badersten et al. (1987)²² on single rooted teeth. Christie P²³, Walsh²⁴. The greater difference in reduction in sulcus bleeding index scores could be also attributed to decrease in gingival inflammation (Loe & Rindom Schiott 1970)¹⁸. These results were found to be consistent with the studies of Grossman et al 1985¹⁹, Sanz et al 1994²⁰ that confirm the antiinflammatory effect of CHX rinses.

The difference in the mean reduction in probing pocket depth between Group A Group B at baseline and 42nd was statistically significant (p value <0.05). These results were found to be consistent with the studies of (Christie P²³, Faveri M²⁵). Although the reduction in group B was statistically more significant, it could be because maintenance of strict supragingival plaque control in a previously cleaned site effectively retards the recolonization of subgingival plaque (Katsanoulas)²⁶ decrease in amount of inflammation, it may to some extent explain this improved reduction in probing depth Mean gain in attachment level between Group A Group B at 42nd day was statistically significant (p value <0.05). These results were found to be consistent with the studies of (Christie P²³, Faveri M²⁵). Although the reduction in group B was significantly more this could be due to maintenance of strict supragingival plaque control in a previously cleaned site (supragingival and subgingival) effectively retards the recolonization of subgingival plaque (Katsanoulas)²⁶. Thus the effect of CHX in altering subgingival microbiota by preventing the recolonization of putative periopathogens into the pocket. Also the property of “substantivity” of CHX²⁷, thereby creating more healthy periodontal environment for attachment gain.

Hence, to conclude CHX rinsing and repeated professional plaque removal could have equivalent therapeutic benefits, the use of CHX offers the great advantage of not requiring the patient's presence in the dental office.

REFERENCES

- Williams R.C. Periodontal disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1990;322: 373-82.
- Morrison E.C, Ramfjord S.P & Hill R.W. Short term effects of initial non surgical periodontal treatment (hygienic phase). *J Clin Periodontol*. 1980; 7: 199-211
- Lindhe J, Westfelt E, Nyman S, Socransky S.S & Haffajee A. D. Long term effects of surgical/nonsurgical treatment of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1984; 5: 246-71.
- Ramfjord S.P, Caffesse R.W, Kerry G.J, Appleberry E.A, Nissle R.R & Sluts D.L. 4 modalities of periodontal treatment compared over 5 years. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1987; 14: 445-52.
- Haffjee A.D, Cugini MA, Dilbart S, Smith C, Kent R.L Jr & Socransky S. The effect of SRP on the clinical and microbiological parameters of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1997;24:324-33
- Rabbani G.M, Ash M.M & Caffesse. The effectiveness of subgingival SRP in calculus removal. *J Periodontol* 1981; 5:119-23
- Rateitschak-Pluss E.M. Nonsurgical periodontal treatment: where are the limits? An SEM study. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1992;19(4):240-4
- Ximenez-Fyvie LA, Haffajee AD, Som S, Thompson M, Torresyap G, & Socransky S.S. The effect of repeated supragingival plaque removal on the composition of the supra and subgingival microbiota. *J Clin Periodontol* 2000a; 27: 637-47
- Haffajee AD, Arguello EI, Ximenez -Fyvie LA & Socransky S.S. Controlling the plaque biofilm. *Int Dent J*. 2003;53: 191-9.
- Loesche WJ. Clinical and microbiological aspects of chemo therapeutic agents used according to the specific plaque hypothesis. *J Dent Res*. 1979;58: 2402-12
- Jones CG. Chlorhexidine: is it still the gold standard? *Periodontol* 2000 1997;15:55-62
- Löe H, Vonder Fer, FR & Rindson Schiott. Inhibition of experimental caries by plaque prevention. The effect of chlorhexidine mouthrinse. *J Dent Res* 1972;80: 1-9
- Silness J, Loe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy. Correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 1964. 22 (1): 121-35.
- Muhlemann HR, Son S. Gingival sulcus bleeding: a leading symptom in initial gingivitis. *Helv Odontol Acta* 1971; 15:107-9.
- Fleming I, Thorkild K & Rolf A. Reproducibility of pocket depth and attachment level measurements when using a flexible splint. *J Clin Periodontol* 1984; 11: 662-8.
- Addy M & Moran J. Comparison of plaque accumulation after topical application and mouth rinsing with chlorhexidine gluconate. *J Clin Periodontol* 1983; 10:69-71.
- Sekino S, Ramberg P, Uzel NG, Socransky S.S & Lindhe J. Effect of various chlorhexidine regimens on salivary bacteria and de novo plaque formation. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2003;30: 919-25
- Löe H and Schiött R. The effect of mouthrinses and topical application of chlorhexidine on the development of dental plaque and gingivitis in man *J Periodontol Res* 1970;5(2):79-83.
- Grossman E, Reiter G, Sturzenberger O.P, Rosa M.D.L, Dickinson T.D, Flrretti G.A, Ludlam G.E and Meckel A.H. Six-month study of the effects of a chlorhexidine mouthrinse on gingivitis in adults *J Periodontol Res* 1986;21:33-43.
- Sanz M, Vallcorba N, Fabregues S, Müller I, Herkströter F. The effect of a dentifrice containing chlorhexidine and zinc on plaque, gingivitis, calculus and tooth staining. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1994;21(6): 431-7.
- Brecx M, Macdonald L.L, Legay K, Cheang M, Forgy M.G.E. Long-term Effects of Meridol and Chlorhexidine Mouthrinses on Plaque, Gingivitis, Staining, and Bacterial Vitality. *J Dent Res* 1993; 72 (8): 1194-7
- Badersten, A., Nilve'us, R. & Egelberg, J. Effect of nonsurgical periodontal therapy (VIII). Probing attachment changes related to clinical characteristics. *J Clin Periodontol* 1987; 14: 425-32
- Christie, P., Claffey, N. & Renvert, S. The use of 0.2% chlorhexidine in the absence of a structured mechanical regimen of oral hygiene following the non-surgical treatment of periodontitis. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 1998;25: 15-23.
- Walsh MM, Robertson PB. Professional mechanical oral hygiene practices in the prevention and control of periodontal disease. *CDA J* 1985; 13(12):58-62
- Faveri M, Gursky LC, Feres M, Shibli JA, Salvador SL, de Figueiredo. Scaling and root planing and chlorhexidine mouthrinses in the treatment of chronic periodontitis: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2006 Nov;33(11):819-28.
- Katsanoulas T, Renee I, Attstrom R. The effect of supragingival plaque control on the composition of the subgingival flora in periodontal pocket. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1992; 19:760-5
- Lang N. P. & Brecx M. C. Chlorhexidine digluconate an agent for chemical plaque control and prevention of gingival inflammation. *J Periodontol Res*. 1986;21: 74-89.