

## Original Research Paper

Microbiology

# COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF ICT, WIDAL WITH BLOOD CULTURE FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF TYPHOID FEVER AMONG PUO CASES IN A TERTIARY CARE: A HOSPITAL BASED STUDY OF NORTH EAST.

Banti Das*	Government Medical College.*Corresponding Author
Dr Niladri Sekhar	Assistant Professor, Microbiology. TMC and Dr BRAM Teaching Hospital,
Das	Agartala.

**ABSTRACT** 

Background: Disease burden of acute febrile illness due to infectious etiologies is under reported in various parts of India including North east due to lack of laboratory confirmation. Undifferentiated febrile

illnesses common in tropical areas of Asia and enteric fever is one of them

Aims: This study was conducted to determine the best investigative procedures for the diagnosis of enteric fever.

Setting and Design: This was a hospital based study among 205 patients including paediatric patients admitted with acute febrile illness were evaluated by ICT, Widal and Blood culture

Materials and Methods: ICT, Widal and blood culture were performed according to manufactuter instruction

Results: When ICT was compared to blood culture concordence rate was found to be 92% where as discrepacancy was just 8% and sensitivity and specificity was found to be 100% and 90.24%.

### **KEYWORDS:**

#### INTRODUCTION:

Enteric fever caused by Salmonella Typhi or S. Paratyphi-A is a major health problem in India. Most of the salmonella infections are diagnosed primarily on clinical grounds and treated presumptively leading to delayed diagnosis and emergence of drug resistance. Moreover during the first week of fever, enteric fever cannot be easily distinguished from other illnesses also. Gold standard for diagnosing enteric fever is the blood culture However, its positivity rate is only 50-70%<sup>2</sup>. In most of the developing countries, irrational and widespread use of antibiotics is the prime reason for the low sensitivity of blood cultures3. The causative agent of Salmonella typhi is most frequently isolated from blood during the first week of illness but can also be isolated during the second or third week of illness, during the first week of antimicrobial therapy and during clinical relapse. For early diagnosis, a rapid and reliable method for the detection of  $\vec{S}$ . typhi is essential though blood cultures carry 70-75% of diagnostic yield in the first week of illness and are still regarded as the gold standard for diagnosis (4). The widely used serological test, i.e. Widal test for the diagnosis of typhoid fever lacks sensitivity and specificity and reliance on it alone in areas where enteric fever is endemic leads to errors in diagnosis1. Moreover, it takes more than I week for the significant titers of antibodies to appear.[5] IJMM. Newer serological which directly detect IgM or IgG antibodies against specific S. Typhi antigens have been developed. Detectable levels of IgM antibodies against S. Typhi can be detected as early as within 4-5 days of fever <sup>6</sup>Initial studies done in Asian countries like Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines, Pakistan, Bangladesh and India have shown variable sensitivity (73–95%) and specificity (68–95%) of these tests <sup>7</sup> Hence to widen the clinical awareness a study was undertaken with the objective to compare the ICT, widal test and blood culture for the diagnosis of typhoid fever though.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

This study was conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital of north east India between May 2013 and October 2013. A total of 205 patients who were admitted with acute febrile illness were included in this study . Acute febrile illness was defined as at least 2 consecutive days of fever  $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}.$  Consecutive febrile episodes separated by a symptom free interval of more than 14 days were regarded as separate episode and not included and confirmed cases of tuberculosis were excluded from the study. Samples from 205 patients were evaluated by three diffenent methods ICT for IgM , widal and blood culture which is a gold standard for the diagnosis .

#### RESULT:

Among 205 clinically suspected patients 50 patients were diagnosed to have enteric fever and among these 28 (56 %) were male and 22 (44 %) were female. Most commonly affected age group was found to be 21 years to 40 years (Table 1). Total culture positives were detected 09 in number. When ICT was compared to blood culture 46/50 concordence rate was 92% where as discrepacancy was just 8% among 4 cases where ICT came positive . While widal test was compared with blood culture discordant result was found to be 24%. However the sensitivity and specificity of ICT was found to be 100 % and 90.24% as compared to widal which was 100% and 70.73% . Tests duration of ICT was just 10-15 minutes as compared to culture system which need minimum 48 hrs.

Table-1 Age and Sex wise distribution

Age group (Yrs)	Male	Female	Total
0-20	3	2	05
21-40	13	11	24
41-60	8	6	14
61-70	4	3	07
Total	28	22	50

Table 2: Comparison of ICT with Blood culture system

	Culture positive	Culture negative	Total
ICT positive	9	4	13
ICT negative	0	37	37
Total	09	41	50

Table 3: Comparison of Widal and Blood culture:

	Culture positive	Culture negative	Total
Widal Test	9	12	21
positive			
Widal Test	0	29	29
negative			
Total	9	41	50

#### **DISCUSSION:**

We have evaluated two different technologies for early diagnosis of enteric fever. The results of these two serological tests and blood culture as gold standard have been analyzed for 6 months in 205 patients. Out of which, only 50 samples, for whom request for both blood culture and serological test were received, were included in this study. The overall sensitivity, specificity of immunochromato graphic test were 100 % and 90.24%. Similar results were reported by a study carried out by Jesudason and Shivakumar  $^{8}$  at CMC, Vellore, i.e. sensitivity =

92.3% and specificity = 98.8%. Similarly, a sensitivity of 90.3% and specificity of 91.9% have been reported by Choo et al 9 from Malaysia. Sherwal et al.10 at Lady Harding Medical College, Delhi have also shown high sensitivity (92%) but comparatively low specificity (87.5%). Our results are also comparable to that of other studies carried out in India and abroad  $^{6,10}$  . On other hand While widal test was compared with blood culture discordant result was found to be 24%. and specificity was low 70.73% . Though the gold standard test in the diagnosis of Enteric fever is Blood culture, but it is difficult to isolate in early phase of illness because of limited utility. and in most of the developing countries, irrational and widespread use of antibiotics is the prime reason for the low sensitivity of blood cultures11 In case of emergency especially during odd hours one can rely on rapid point of care that is ICT wth reasonable diagnostic accuracy of 90.24% in our setup. Among blood culture-negative patients 7.8% of cases were additionally detected by using ICT. Similarly, Baig et al 12 picked up 63% more cases of true typhoid fever by Typhidot-IgM as compared to blood culture. This can be explained by the fact that sensitivity of blood culture is low, i.e. 50–70% <sup>2</sup> and the sensitivity of blood culture decreases after the first week of illness and antibiotic therapy<sup>13</sup> Moreover, rapid tests can detect IgM antibodies as early as two days of fever and also up to second week of fever. Rapid Salmonella-IgM tests offer increased sensitivity, rapidity, early diagnosis and simplicity over blood culture and can replace the Widal test, the most commonly used serological test. Positive Salmonella-IgM tests among blood culture negative patients should always be correlated with clinical picture of the patient. Many a times, blood culture may be negative due to other reasons like prior intake of antibiotics, etc., However, culture isolation of Salmonella remains essential, especially for antibiotic susceptibility testing and these serological tests should be used in conjunction with culture for the early diagnosis of enteric fever.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Parry CM, Hien TT, Dougan G, White NJ, Farrar JJ. Typhoid fever. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1770-82.
- Farooqui BJ, Khurshid M, Ashfaq MK, Khan MA.Comparative yield of Salmonella Typhi from blood and bone marrow cultures in patients with fever of unknown origin. J Clin Pathol 1991;44:258-9.
- Gupta A. Multidrug-resistant typhoid fever in children: Epidemiology and therapeutic approach. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1994;13:134-40.
- Hoffman SL, Punjabi Narain, Rockhill RC, Sutomo A, Rivai AR, Pulungsih SP. Duodenal string capsule compared with bone marrow blood and rectal swab cultures for diagnosing typhoid and paratyphoid fever. J Infect Dis 1984; 149-157-61
- Olopenia LA, King AL. Widal agglutination test-100 years: Still plagued by controversy. Postgrad Med J 2000;76:80-4.
- Microbiology News Letter, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital (2011). Time to come out of Widal mode for the diagnosis of Enteric fever. Vol. 17. No. 2
  Sherwal BL, Dhamija RK, Randhawa VS, Jais M, Kaintura A, Kumar M. A
- Sherwal BL, Dhamija RK, Randhawa VS, Jais M, Kaintura A, Kumar M. A comparative study of Typhidot M and Widal test in patients of typhoid fever. J Indian Acad Clin Med2004;5:244-50.
- Jesudason MV, Sivakumar S. Prospective evaluation of a rapid diagnostic test Typhidot for typhoid fever. Indian J Med Res 2006; 123:513-6.
- Choo KE, Davis TM, Ismail A, Ibrahim TA, Ghazali WN.Rapid and reliable serological diagnosis of enteric fever:Comparative sensitivity and specificity of Typhidot and Typhidot-M tests in febrile Malaysian children. Acta Trop 1999:72:175-83.
- Narayanappa D, Sripathi R, Jagdishkumar K, Rajani HS. Comparative study of dot enzyme immunoassay (Typhidot-M) and Widal test in the diagnosis of typhoid fever. Indian Pediatr 2010;47:331-3.
- Gupta A. Multidrug-resistant typhoid fever in children: Epidemiology and therapeutic approach. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1994;13:134-40
- Beig FK, Ahmad F, Ekram M, Shukla I. Typhidot M and Diazo tests vis-avis blood culture and Widal test in early diagnosis of typhoid fever in children in a resource poorsetting. Braz J Infect Dis 2010;14:589-93.
- Ananthanarayan R, Panikar CK. Textbook of Microbiology. Chennai: Orient Longman; 1999. p. 244-9.