VOLUME-9, ISSUE-6, JUNE-2020 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjrα				
South FOR RESEARCH	Original Research Paper	Anesthesiology		
Mernational	"AN OBSERVATIONAL COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DEXAMETHASONE AND NALBUPHINE AS ADJUVANT WITH LEVOBUPIVACAINE FOR PROLONGATION OF POSTOPERATIVE ANALGESIA IN POPLITEAL NERVE BLOCK FOR ANKLE AND FOOT SURGERY"			
Jaideep Singh Department of anaesthesiology, Gandhi medical college, Bhopal		ical college , Bhopal M.P.		
Ajay Singh*	Department of anaesthesiology, Gandhi medi *Corresponding Author	ical college , Bhopal M.P.		
Himanshu Bisht	Department of anaesthesiology , Gandhi medi	ical college , Bhopal M.P.		
Aditya Agarwal	ditya Agarwal Department of anaesthesiology, Gandhi medical college, Bhopal M.F			
ABSTRACT Pain is	a predictable part of the postoperative experience. Aim of this size effect and safety of dexamethasone or nalbuphine in addit	is study is to compare postoperative tion to levolupivacaine in lower limb		

analgesic effect and safety of dexamethasone or nalbuphine in addition to levobupivacaine in lower limb ankle and foot surgeries. Ninety patients were divided into Group D (n= 30, were given 24 ml of 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride + 1ml (4mg) of dexamethasone), Group N (n=30, were given 24ml of 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride + 1 ml(10mg) of nalbuphine ) and Group C (n=30, were given 24ml of 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride + 1ml of normal saline). Postoperative analgesia in terms of VAS score, time to first rescue analgesic (duration of analgesia) and side effect or complication were studied in each group. VAS score was  $38.56 \pm 1.79$  at 16 hours in Group N, whereas Group D had VAS score of  $42.83 \pm 0.99$  at 12 hours and Group C had  $49.46 \pm 1.132$  at 8 hours (p value < 0.001). At 24 hours VAS score of group N, D and C were  $15.17 \pm 1.497$ ,  $15.67 \pm 1.309$  and  $15.67 \pm 1.714$  respectively. So we conclude that Nalbuphine is a good alternative for post operative pain management along with Levobupivacaine hydrochloride compared to dexamethasone with minimal postoperative complication.

# KEYWORDS : Orthopaedic Surgery, Vas Score, First Rescue Analgesic, Dexamethasone, Nalbuphine

### Introduction

Unrelieved postoperative pain may result in clinical and psychological changes that increase morbidity and mortality as well as cost and also impairs the quality of life. Effective and appropriate pain management requires a proactive approach using a variety of treatment modalities.<sup>1</sup>

Regional anesthesia is a safe, inexpensive technique with an advantage of prolonged postoperative pain relief. Effective treatment of postoperative pain blunts autonomic, somatic and endocrine responses. It has become common practice to use a multimodal approach for the treatment of postoperative pain, as no single drug has yet been identified which inhibits nociception without associated side effects.<sup>2,3</sup>

Many drugs and adjuvants has been used and research still continues to find out different techniques and drugs that could prolong the duration of regional anesthesia and postoperative pain relief.

Dexamethasone synergises with local anesthetics on blockage of impulse conduction in nerve fibers. It alters the function of potassium channels in excitable neurons. <sup>4</sup> It occupies the glucocorticoid receptors in the endothelium of cutaneous blood vessels.<sup>5</sup>

Nalbuphine is an opioid, structurally related to oxy-morphone with an agonist action at the -opioid receptor and an antagonist activity at the -receptor. Nalbuphine and other agonists had provided reasonably potent analgesia in certain models of visceral nonciception. <sup>6</sup> Nalbuphine is popular in producing analgesia during conscious sedation and has been used as ambulatory sedative for MRI and other outpatient surgeries.

Hence present study was planned to compare postoperative analgesic effect and safety of dexamethasone or nalbuphine in addition to levobupivacaine in lower limb ankle and foot surgeries.

# Materials and Methods

A prospective study including 90 patients of ASA grade I and II

undergoing lower limb ankle and foot surgeries was performed in the Department of Anaesthesiology of Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal .Patients who were able to provide written informed consent, willing to participate in study, patients scheduled for lower limb ankle and foot surgeries belonging to ASA physical grade I and II, age group between 18 to 65 years of either sex and having weight 50-90 kg and height  $\geq$  150 cm were included.

Uncooperative patients and those who were not able to understand pain assessment test, history of clinically significant cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, renal, neurological, psychiatric, or metabolic disease, patients who are unable to understand VAS assessment, patients having severe obesity (BMI > 35 kg/m2), coagulation disorder, on anticoagulants, severe spinal deformity, allergy to local anaesthetic, or any contraindication to spinal anaesthesia, patients with history of drug allergy, drug addic / patient on long term steroid therapy and pregnant patients were excluded from the present study.

Study cohort was divided as Group D (n= 30, were given 24 ml of 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride + 1ml (4mg) of dexamethasone), Group N (n=30, were given 24ml of 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride + 1 ml(10mg) of nalbuphine) and Group C (n=30, were given 24ml of 0.25% levobupivacaine hydrochloride + 1ml of normal saline)

# Results

Most of the patients were male in all the groups (27 in Group D, 25 in Group N and 26 in Group C; p=0.749). Mean age of patients in Group D, Group N and Group C was  $34.67\pm13.55$ ,  $37.90\pm10.04$  and  $38.93\pm12.54$  years respectively (p>0.05).

Table	1:	Comparing	Intra-operative	parameters	between
group	s				

Intra- operative Parameters	Group D	Group N	Group C	P value
Pulse rate (min)	89.711±13.64	86.752±4.19	91.519±15.79	NS

#### VOLUME-9, ISSUE-6, JUNE-2020 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

SBP	120.085±8.5	$117.440 \pm 23.22$	$118.743 \pm 27.60$	NS
(mmHg)				
DBP	76.315±7.34	85.522±5.36	89.619±7.60	NS
(mmHg)				
MAP	89.212±9.35	$82.325 \pm 4.90$	$82.694 \pm 5.60$	NS
(mmHg)				
Sp02 (%)	98.402±4.26	$98.567 \pm 12.74$	97.214±7.07	NS

SBP; systolic blood pressure, DBP; diastolic blood pressure, MAP; mean arterial pressure, SpO2; partial pressure of oxygen, NS; not significant, P value of<0.05 is considered as significant

# Table 2: Comparing Post-operative parameters between groups

Post-	Group D	Group N	Group C	Р
operative				value
Parameters				
Pulse rate	90.62±16.09	$97.59 \pm 2.81$	$97.94 \pm 0.90$	NS
(min)				
SBP	$122.11 \pm 7.34$	$105.522 \pm 5.36$	111.619±7.60	NS
(mmHg)				
DBP	90.58±13.96	$72.30 \pm 4.56$	79.89±5.68	NS,
(mmHg)				0.01*
MAP	90.62±16.09	$97.59 \pm 2.81$	$97.94 \pm 0.90$	NS
(mmHg)				
Sp02 (%)	$98.402 \pm 4.26$	$97.567 \pm 12.74$	$98.214 \pm 7.07$	NS

\*between Group N and Group C, SBP; systolic blood pressure, DBP; diastolic blood pressure, MAP; mean arterial pressure, SpO2; partial pressure of oxygen, NS; not significant, P value of <0.05 is considered as significant



Data is expressed as mean, VAS; visual analogue scale,



Data is expressed as no of patients.



#### Discussion

Pain is reported to be the most common medical cause of delayed recovery and discharge after ambulatory surgery and leads to unplanned admission and subsequently delayed return to work. Treatment for postoperative pain after surgical procedure based on conventional drugs (consisting of maximal dose of paracetamol, non-steroidal ant inflammatory drugs, and oral or intravenous opioid) with pain escape is very important. Nonetheless, this is associated with adverse effects, such as nausea, sedation, hypotension, reduced lung capacity and increased cardiac load. All these effects impede rehabilitation and early discharge.<sup>7</sup>

Mean age of patients in Group D, Group N and Group C were  $34.67 \pm 13.55$  years,  $37.90 \pm 10.04$  years and  $38.93 \pm 12.54$  years respectively. Mean ( $\pm$ SD) age of patients were almost identical in three groups (P>0.05). The Mean ( $\pm$ SD) age of the patients in our study was well in accordance with the study done by other workers.<sup>8,9</sup>

It is evident that males are more prone to accidents in comparison to females in present study may be because of their nature of job. Since all the patients were taken for surgery only after the preancesthetic assessment, the study was conducted only in routine hours.

Mean intra-operative pulse rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure, SpO2 were found to be stable among the 3 groups and statistically insignificant (P> 0.05). Mean post-operative pulse rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure among the 3 groups increased with increase in time due to bearing off of analgesic effect of drugs given intra-operatively but found to be statistically insignificant (P> 0.05). This result was in accordance with the study conducted by Padma et al to establish the effectiveness of intrathecal nalbuphine as an adjunct and also the efficacy of nalbuphine for post operative haemodynamic were not showing any significant difference.<sup>9</sup>

In present study in Group N, maximum VAS score was at 16 hours (38.56), in Group D, maximum VAS score was at 12 hours (42.33) and in Group C, maximum VAS score was at 8 hours (49.46). These findings were in accordance to Das et al who studied the effect of nalbuphine with levubupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block for upper limb surgeries. They found that in group nalbuphine, VAS score was lower at 24 hours postoperatively.<sup>10</sup> Several hypothesized mechanisms of action have been suggested to explain the analgesic effect of nalbuphine. Nalbuphine is a synthetic mixed -agonist, antagonist opioid with a moderate analgesic effect when compared to morphine. Apart from opioid based spinal and supraspinal analgesia, inhibition of neuronal serotonin uptake leads to augmentation of the spinal inhibitory pathways for pain.<sup>11</sup>

Use of dexamethasone as an adjuvant for peripheral nerve blockade remains controversial. Some recent studies questioned the benefit of perineural dexamethasone compared with intravenous route. Rahangdale et al found that perineural dexamethasone (8 mg) did not improve quality of recovery. However, perineural dexamethasone prolonged analgesia and reduced pain on postoperative day one. <sup>12</sup> In another study De Oliveira et al gave 4 mg dexamethasone perineurally as adjuvant to brachial plexus block and concluded that there is no improvement in pain. This might be due to the dose taken in their study was less. <sup>13</sup>

Nalbuphine has been used extensively as an adjunct for peripheral nerve blockade. Tiwari et al observed that nalbuphine is very effective in subarachnoid as well as epidural route for prolonging sensory block duration and delays analgesic requirements.<sup>14</sup>

Time for the first rescue analgesia was 11.56±3.42hrs,

 $15.64 \pm 4.34$  hrs and  $6.92 \pm 1.24$  hrs in dexamethasone, nalbuphine and control group respectively which was found to be higher in nalbuphine group (p<0.01).

In our study, we have found nausea and vomiting in all three groups. Nalbuphine group had 2 patients whereas dexamethasone and control group had 1 patient each. Incidence was quite comparable in all the groups. All the patients were managed with Inj. Ondansentron 4 mg i.v. 1 patient in each nalbuphine and control group had shivering postoperatively. Ahulwalia et al found that nausea and vomiting was associated with intrathecal nalbuphine group <sup>15</sup> while Mukherjee et al <sup>16</sup> reported nausea and vomiting associated with higher dose of nalbuphine given intrathecally.

#### Conclusion

Nalbuphine and dexamethasone both provide pain relief post operatively. However nalbuphine is a good alternative for post operative pain management given in popliteal nerve block along with Levobupivacaine hydrocholoride compared to dexamethasone with Levobupivacaine hydrocholoride for post operative analgesia with minimal postoperative complication.

#### REFERENCES

- Sivrikaya GU. Multimodal Analgesia for Postoperative Pain Management. Sisli Etfal Training and Research Hospital, Department of 2nd Anaesthesiology and Reanimation, Istanbul, Turkey; 9: 177-211
- Bone ME, Dowson S, Smith G. A comparison of nalbuphine with fentanyl for postoperative pain relief following termination of pregnancy under dya care anaesthesia. Anaesthesia. 1988;43:194-7.
- Tourtier JP, Raynaud L, Murat I, Gall O, Audit of protocols for treatment of paediatric burns in emergency department in the lle de France. Burns. 2010;36:1196-200.
- Maharajan SK, Shreshtha BR, Tabedar S: Supraclavicular brachial plexus block with & without dexamethason-A comparative study. Kathmandu university medical journal(2003):vol 1:No:3:158-160.
- Marks R, Barlow JW, Funder JW: Steroid induced vasoconstriction; glucocorticoid antagonist studies. J Clin EndoMeta 1982; 54;1075-7.
- Pick CG, Paul D, Pasternak GW. Nalbuphine, amixed kappa 1 and kappa 3 analgesic in mice. J Pharmocol Exp Ther. 1992;262:1044-50.
- Vallano A, Aguilera C, Arnau JM, Baños J-E, Laporte J-R, Postoperative Analgesia Study Group of the Spanish Society of Clinical Pharmacology Coordinating Centre and Data Analysis: Management of postoperative pain in abdominal surgery in Spain. A multicentre drug utilization study. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 1999;47(6):667-673.
- Casati A, Santorsola R, Aldegheri G, Ravasi F, Fanelli G, Berti M et al. Introperative epidural anesthesia and postoperative analgesia with levobupivacaine for major orthopaedic surgery: A double-blind, randomized comparison of racemic bupivacaine and ropivacine. J clin Anesth 2003; 15(2): 126-31.
- Padma T, Mydhili K. A comparative study of post operative analgesia after spinal nalbuphine with bupivacaine and spinal bupivacaine for lower limb surgeries. Jo Evidence Based Med & Healthcare 2015; 2(38): 6105-6109.
- Das A, RoyBasunia S, Mukherjee A, Biswas H, Biswas R, Mitra T, Chattopadhyay S, Mandal SK. Perineural nalbuphine in ambulatory upper limb surgery: A comparison of effects of levobupivacaine with and without nalbuphine as adjuvant in supraclavicular brachial plexus block – A prospective, double blind, randomized controlled study. Anesth Essays Res 2017 Jan-Mar;11(1):40-46.
- 11. Pick CG, Paul D, Pastemak GW. Nalbuphine, amixed kappa 1 and kappa 3 analgesic in mice. J Pharmocol Exp Ther. 1992;262:1044-50.
- Rahangdale R, Kendall MC, McCarthy RJ, Tureanu L, Doty Jr R, Weingart A et al. The Effects of Perineural Versus Intravenous Dexamethasone on Sciatic Nerve Blockade Outcomes: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study, Anesth Analg 2014;118:1113-9.
- De Oliveira Jr GŠ, Alves LJC, Nader A, Kendall MC, Rahangdale R, McCarthy RJ. Perineural Dexamethasone to Improve Postoperative Analgesia with Peripheral Nerve Blocks: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Hindawi Publishing Corporation Pain Research and Treatment 2014; 2014:1-9.
- Tiwari AK, Tomar GS, Agrawal J. Intrathecal Bupivacaine in Comparison With a Combination of Nalbuphine and Bupivacaine for Subarachnoid Block: A Randomized Prospective Double-Blind Clinical Study. Am J Ther. 2011 Sep 8.
- Ahluwalia P, Ahluwalia A, Varshney R, Thakur S, Bhandari S. A Prospective Randomized Double Blind Study to Evaluate the Effects of Intrathecal Nalbuphine in Patients of Lower Abdominal Surgeries Under Spinal Ancesthesia. Int J Sci Stud 2015;3(3):19-23.
- Mukherjee A, Pal A, Agarwal J, Mehrotra A, Dawar N. Intrathecal nalbuphine as an adjuvant to subarachnoid block: what is the most effective dose? Anaesth Essays Res 2011;5:171-5.