PGIMS, Rohtak.

June Pres	Original Research Paper	Microbiology
Pricemational	PREVALENCE AND ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTE ISOLATES FROM EAR INFECTIONS IN A TERTIARY O	ERN OF BACTERIAL CARE HOSPITAL
Dr Rama Sikka	Professor, Department of Microbiology, Pt BD Sharma,	PGIMS, Rohtak.
Dr Antariksh Deep	Professor, Department of Microbiology, Pt BD Sharma,	PGIMS, Rohtak.
Dr Kausalya Raghuraman*	Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Pt Rohtak. *Corresponding Author	BD Sharma, PGIMS,
Dr Aparna Yadav	Senior Professor and head, Department of Microbio	ology, Pt BD Sharma,

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ear Infection linked with frequent antibiotic prescription, severe disability and hearing impairment is a major public health threat. Bacteria can spread to adjacent structures leading to complications like mastoiditis, labyrinthitis, facial nerve palsy, intracranial abscess and thrombosis. The study was designed

to determine the microbial profile and antibiogram of ear infections at our institute. Material And Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted over a period of 6 months in a tertiary care teaching hospital. A total of 367 ear infections were screened. The bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing was done by standard

microbiological techniques Statistical Analysis: The data were entered in a Microsoft Excel sheet and analyzed using SPSS software version 16.0. The

data were expressed as percentages and proportions. Results: A total of 367 ear infections were received during the 6 months period. Among the samples received, 231(62.9%) had pathogenic infection, 87(23.7%) were sterile and 49(13.4%) were contaminants. Eleven samples had polymicrobial infection and 220 had monomicrobial infection. The commonest bacterial pathogen was Pseudomonas species 147(63.6%) followed by Staphylococcus aureus 37(16%). Among the Pseudomonas isolates, 129(87.8%) were sensitive to meropenem, 82(55.8%) to amikacin and 50(34%) were sensitive to ciprofloxacin

Discussion And Conclusion: The present study highlights the prevalence of 62.9% ear infection in our hospital setup. The commonest bacterial pathogen was Pseudomonas species and sensitive to meropenem. Treatment of ear infections needs to be guided by antibiotic susceptibility testing of the isolates.

## **KEYWORDS** : ear discharge, bacterial profile, Pseudomonas

# **INTRODUCTION:**

Ear discharge can occur due to external auditory meatus (otitis externa) and middle ear infection (otitis media).<sup>1</sup>World wide data suggests that around 65-300 million people suffer from ear infections during a year.<sup>2</sup> Ear infection accounts for 60% of deafness and can cause permanent perforation.<sup>1</sup>

Otitis media is a common problem and a preventable cause of hearing loss encountered in developing countries.<sup>2,3</sup> Highest prevalence of ear infection are in developing regions of south east Asia and sub-Saharan Africa.<sup>3</sup> Children are more susceptible to ear infections due to their shorter eustachian tube, access of pathogens from their nasopharynx and adenoids.2

Complications due to ear infections can be mastoiditis, meningitis, chronic otitis media, brain abscess, facial nerve palsy, thrombosis and sepsis.<sup>2,4</sup>Treatment needs to be started at the earliest to prevent such complications. Empirical treatment is usually started based on the bacteriological profile in the locality.<sup>4</sup>However, antibiotics have reduced the incidence of complications but have led to antibiotic resistant bugs.1

Hence this study was designed to determine the microbial profile and antibiogram of ear infections at our institute.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS:

## Study Design And Study Period:

A retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted on ear discharges of patients for a period of 6 months in a tertiary care teaching hospital, Haryana.

## Study Participants And Data Collection:

Patients presenting with ear discharge to ENT outpatient and

inpatient were included in the study. Demographic profile, microbiological report of the sample and the antibiotic susceptibility pattern was collected from laboratory reporting data.

Isolation, identification, and antibiotic susceptibility pattern: Ear discharge swabs were collected from the patient and transported to the lab immediately. From the swab gram stain was performed and the other swab was inoculated onto blood agar and Mac Conkey's agar. The plates were incubated at 37°C. Growth was identified by colony morphology, and appropriate biochemical reactions. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern was done by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method as per CLSI guidelines.<sup>5</sup>

### **RESULTS:**

A total of 367 ear discharges were received during the 6 months period, of which 87% were from outpatients and 13% were from inpatients. Around 50% of the patients were in the age group of 11 to 30 years.



Figure 1 depicts the age group of patients presenting with ear discharge.

Among the samples received, 231(62.9%) had pathogenic infection, 87(23.7%) were sterile and 49(13.4%) were contaminants. Eleven samples had polymicrobial infection and 220 had monomicrobial infection. Table 1 shows the various bacteria isolated from the samples along with their percentages. The commonest bacterial pathogen was *Pseudomonas* species 147(63.6%) followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* 37(16%).

The antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the isolates was done and table 2 depicts the antibiotic pattern of the common isolates. Among the *Pseudomonas* isolates, 129(87.8%) were sensitive to meropenem, 82(55.8%) to amikacin and 50(34%) were sensitive to ciprofloxacin. Of the Staphylococcus aureus isolates, all the isolates were sensitive to doxycycline and linezolid and 83.8% were susceptible to gentamicin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.

Table 1: Various Bacterial Isolates And Their Perce	ntages
-----------------------------------------------------	--------

Organism isolated	Number of the	Percentage of
	isolates	isolates (%)
Pseudomonas species	147	64
S.aureus	37	16
Enterobacter spp	10	4
Escherichia coli	14	6
Proteus mirabilis	5	2
CONS	9	4
Citrobacter spp	2	1
Acinetobacter spp	5	2

Table 2: The Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern Of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, Staphylococcus Aureus And Enterobacteriaceae

Pseudomonas		Staphylo	ylococcus Ente		erobacteriacea	
species N=147		aureus N=3/		e N=39		
Antibioti	Number	Antibioti	Number	Antibioti	Number	
с	sensitive	с	sensitive	с	sensitive	
	(%)		(%)		(%)	
Ciproflox	50 (34%)	Erythrom	24	Ciproflox	24	
acin		ycin	(64.9%)	acin	(61.5%)	
Amikacin	82	Gentami	31	Amikacin	36	
	(55.8%)	cin	(83.8%)		(92.3%)	
Piperacill	59	Amoxicill	25	Amoxicill	25	
in+	(40.1%)	in+	(67.6%)	in+	(64.1%)	
tazobact		clavulani		clavulani		
am		c acid		c acid		
Cefepim	11(7.5%)	Trimetho	31(83.8%	Trimetho	23(59%)	
е		prim+	)	prim+		
		sulfamet		sulfamet		
		hoxazole		hoxazole		
Meropen	129	Doxycycli	37	Doxycycli	12	
em	(87.8%)	ne	(100%)	ne	(30.8%)	
Imipene	62(42.2%	Linezolid	37(100%)	Imipene	28(71.8%	
m	)			m	)	

## DISCUSSION:

Ear infection, a treatable cause of hearing loss, is associated with ear discharge, deafness , itching , pain and fever.<sup>6</sup> Epidemiological risk factors like age, sex, socioeconomic status, cultural factors , poor sanitation have a huge role in these infections.<sup>7</sup>Ear infections if left untreated leads to impaired speech, language development, poor school performance, economic burden, distress to the patient and decreased quality of life.<sup>38</sup>

The present study showed 62.9% prevalence of bacterial ear infections in our hospital. The study highlights that *Pseudomonas aeruginasa* (63.6%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (16%) were the most common isolates. The prevalence of bacterial infections in our study is concordant with study conducted by Oguntibiju et al.<sup>7</sup> However few studies have shown a high prevalence of more than 80%.<sup>233</sup>

In our study 50% of patients were in age group of 11-30. Study conducted by Yousuf et al among Kashmir patients showed 60% of them were in the same age group.<sup>8</sup> Eleven samples had polymicrobial infection and 220 had monomicrobial infection in our study, similar to other studies.<sup>6,10</sup>

Prevalence and antibiotic sensitivity of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa in our study compared to other studies. (table 3)

Table	3:	Prevalence	And	Antibiotic	Sensitivity	Of
Pseudo	omo	nas Aeruginos	sa In (	Our Study Co	mpared To Ot	her
Studies	5					

studies	Prevale	Antibiotics (sensitivity)			
	nce (%)	ciprofloxa cin	amikaci n	Piperacil lin- tazobact um	cefepime
Our study	63.6	34	56	40	8
Hailu et al²	30	92	95	-	-
Denboba et al³	17	90	-	-	-
Yousuf et al <sup>9</sup>	16	48	16	72	-
Agrawal et al <sup>10</sup>	33	68	83	85	-
Arif et al <sup>6</sup>	34	96	90	-	-
Gorems et al <sup>®</sup>	18	79	70	-	36
Nisarta et al¹	44	70	72	65	-
Mane et al <sup>11</sup>	39	14	14	-	-

In our study Pseudomonas aeruginosa was 63.6% compared to 16-40% in other studies.<sup>13,6,8,11</sup> Ciprofloxacin was a good topical antibiotic in other studies however in our study amikacin was a better drug.<sup>13,6,8,11</sup>

Various studies have been conducted showing difference in prevalence and antibiogram of *Staphylococcus aureus*. (table 4)

Table 4: Prevalence And Antibiotic Sensitivity Of Staphylococcus Aureus In Our Study Compared To Other Studies

studies	Prevalen ce (%)	Antibiotics (sensitivity)				
		erythrom ycin	genta micin	Amoxicillin -clavulanic acid	Trimetho prim sulfamet hoxazole	
Our study	16	65	84	68	86	
Hailu et al²	27	86	-	-	77	
Denboba et al³	24	68	-	-	70	
Yousuf et al <sup>9</sup>	40	-	72	12	-	
Wasihun et al <sup>12</sup>	28	61	59	40	33	
Arif et αl <sup>6</sup>	18	75	93	100	87	
Gorems et αl <sup>8</sup>	51	42	77	66	20	

In our study Staphylococcus aureus prevalence is 16% which is similar to study conducted by Arif et al.<sup>6</sup> Gentamicin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole are good treatment options.<sup>236</sup>

### CONCLUSION:

The present study highlights the prevalence of 62.9% ear infection in our hospital setup. The commonest bacterial pathogens were Pseudomonas species and Staphylococcus aureus Treatment of ear infections need to be guided by antibiotic susceptibility testing of the isolates. Irrational, improper and indiscriminate use of antibiotics leads to drug resistant isolates.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Nisarta A, Rajat R. Study of Bacterial and Mycological profile of Cases of Ear 1. Infections in Tertiary Care Hospital in Bhavnagar, Gujarat. Int.J.Curr. Microbiol.App.Sci. 2019; 8(04): 606-613.
- 2 Hailu D, Mekonnen D, Derbie A, Mulu W, Abera B. Pathogenic bacteria profile and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of ear infection at Bahir Dar Regional Health Research laboratory Center, Ethiopia. Springer Plus 2016; 5:466.doi10.1186/S40064-016-2123-7
- Denboba AA, Abejaw AA, Mekonnen AG. Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria Are 3. Major Threats of Otitis Media in Wollo Area, Northeastern Ethiopia: A Ten-Year Retrospective Analysis. International Journal of Microbiology 2016; 1-9. doi.org/10.1155/2016/8724671
- Loy AHC, Tan AL, Lu PKS. Microbiology of chronic suppurative otitis media in 4. Singapore. Singapore Med J 2002; 43(6): 296-9.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility test. Twenty ninth international supplement. CLSI 5 document. M100-S29. Wayne PA: 2019.
- Arif D, Mukhia RK, Goud SK, Nissar J, Shah RP, Singh S, et al. Bacteriological 6. Profile of Ear Infections and Its Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern in Tertiary Care Hospital Navi Mumbai. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences.2014; 13(5): 58-62.
- 7. Oguntibeju OO. Bacterial isolates from patients with ear infection. Indian J Med Microbiol 2003;21:294-5
- Gorems K, Beyene G, Berhane M, Mekonnen Z. Antimicrobial susceptibility 8 patterns of bacteria isolated from patients with ear discharge in Jimma Town, Southwest, Ethiopia. BMC Ear, Nose and Throat Disorders 2018; 18:17. doi.org/10.1186/s12901-018-0065-0
- Yousuf A, Malik M, Shamas IU, Beigh Z, Kumari S, Pampori PRA. Bacteriological Profile Of Ear Discharge And Their Antibiotic Sensitivity In Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media In Kashmir,India. Bangladesh Journal of 9. Medical Science 2012; 11(3):212-6.
- Agrawal A, Kumar D, Goyal A, Goyal S, Singh N, Khandelwal G. 10. Microbiological profile and their antimicrobial sensitivity pattern in patients of otitis media with ear discharge. Indian J Otol 2013;19:5-8. Mane PM, Basawraju A. Clinical significance of microbial flora in middle ear
- 11. infections and its implications. Trop J Med Res 2016;19:128-30.
- Wasihun AG, Zemene Y. Bacterial profile and antimicrobial susceptibility 12. patterns of otitis media in Ayder Teaching and Referral Hospital, Mekelle University, Northern Ethiopia. SpringerPlus ;2015 4:701. Doi 10.1186/s40064-015-1471-z