

Original Research Paper

Surgery

A RETROGRADE STUDY OF NON-TRAUMATIC ACUTE ABDOMINAL PAIN IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTER.

Dr Sravya
Changavali*
Dr Sandin Iad

*Corresponding Author

Dr Sandip Jadhav

Dr Rajesh Sonsale

KEYWORDS:

INTRODUCTION

Acute abdominal pain (AAP), defined as pain of nontraumatic origin with a maximum duration of 5 days (1), is one of the most common complaints leading people to the Emergency Department (ED), accounting for up to 7-10% of all ED visits (2,3). Despite substantial improvement in the diagnostic approach to AAP, mainly attributable to the extensive use of imaging techniques [especially computed tomography (CT)], many diagnostic pitfalls remain, which can be associated with a substantial number of misdiagnoses and/or avoidable surgery (6-8). The differential diagnosis of AAP in the adult population is rather broad, including appendicitis, peptic ulcer, urinary stones, inflammatory bowel disease, hepatobiliary diseases (e.g., biliary colic, cholecystitis, and pancreatitis), referred pain due to pneumonia as well as several other "mimics" of extra-abdominal origin (9-11). In young women, gynecologic disorders (e.g., ectopic pregnancy, endometriosis, and pelvic inflammatory disease) are additional conditions which should be considered in the differential diagnosis (12-14). Since the underlying cause for AAP can entail many different medical specialties such as gynaecology, surgery, internal medicine, and urology, expert assessment is an essential requisite that emergency physicians (EPs) should have for the managed care of these patients.

An accurate knowledge of all the different causes of AAP is of paramount importance, and the patients can be simply classified as needing urgent management (i.e., requiring treatment within 24 h in order to prevent the onset of severe complications) or not needing urgent management (1). It has been previously reported that the urgent causes most frequently encountered include appendicitis, peritonitis, cholecystitis, and bowel obstruction, whereas the most common non-urgent cause are nonspecific abdominal pain (NSAP), also known as undifferentiated abdominal pain (UDAP), which is mostly considered as a diagnosis of exclusion (2,3). A relatively low number of studies has been published about the epidemiology of AAP in the ED. Almost all these were based in the USA, and the majority were published between the 1980s and 1990s (15-18). The scarce information available so far is particularly concerning if one considers that abdominal pain represents one of the leading causes of repeated ED visits, often necessitating additional and expensive testing, and sometimes plagued by unfavorable outcomes (20-23). Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the epidemiology of AAP in an adult patient population admitted to a large tertiary care hospital and, even more importantly and to analyze the clinical outcomes.

METHODS

The epidemiology and the clinical outcome of AAP was investigated by retrospective analysis of all records of visits for abdominal pain in adult patients (i.e., older than 16 years) during the entire year of 2019 at the ED of the Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and General Hospital (Pune, India)

which is a 1,100-bed teaching general hospital, serving a population of about 24,45,000 inhabitants. The facility is also a level-2 Trauma Center, and is the only tertiary hospital in the area. The cases of AAP were extracted from the hospital database using both verbal strings (i.e., "abdominal pain", "appendicitis", "cholecystitis", etc.), and pertinent ICD-IX codes (i.e., 540, 541, 542, 562, 567, 574, 575, 577, 7880, 789). All the cases that could be retrieved were then analyzed to avoid selection errors and exclude erroneous codifications. All selected cases were then classified according to age, gender and final ED diagnosis.

Due to practical purposes, the whole patient sample was classified in 20 different diagnostic groups, as reported in alphabetical order. This classification was then applied to both the entire patient population and to selected groups (i.e., males and females, younger than 65 years and older than 65 years, admitted to the hospital). The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, under the terms of relevant local legislation.

Diagnostic groups

Ap	pend	li	citi

Biliary colic and cholecystitis

Bowel obstruction

Diverticulitis

Extra-abdominal causes of abdominal pain (i.e., radicular pain, sickle cell disease, myocardial ischemia, pneumonia, among others)

Gastritis/peptic ulcer

Gastroenteritis and colitis

Gynecologic pain

Hernias

Iatrogenic pain (both drugs and surgery)

Intestinal perforation

Inflammatory bowel disease

Liver disease (i.e., liver cirrhosis, hepatitis)

Nonspecific abdominal pain (NSAP)

Nonspecific abdominal pain in pregnant women

Oncologic pain

Others (i.e., all those conditions not precisely otherwise classified, such as sarcoidosis, adenomesenteritis, muscle pain, overeating, alcohol and/or abuse substances, abdominal wall abscess or hematoma, vascular abdominal diseases infection)

Pancreatitis

Perforation peritonitis

Renal colic

Urinary tract infection and other urologic pain (i.e., testicular, prostatic)

RESULTS

A total number of 93,367 visits have been recorded in the local ED during the year 2019, in 5,340 of which AAP was identified as the leading symptom, thus accounting for 5.76% of the total

adult ED visits. The mean age of the patients was 49 years for both genders, and the age distribution of entire patient cohort is summarized in Table 2. Overall, 2,487 patients were men and 2,853 were women, respectively. The length of stay (LOS) in the ED was as follows: 6 hours and 15 min (mean value); 4 hours and 5 min (median value). The retrospective analysis of data showed that 2,561 (47.9%) patients left the ED in $<\!4$ hours, 2,016 (37.7%) between 4–8 hours and 763 (14.3%) after $>\!8$ hours.

Table 2. Age distribution of the study population

-			
Age classes (years)	Number of patients	Frequency (%)	
16-25	699	13.09	
26–35	875	16.39	
36-45	957	17.92	
46-55	926	17.34	
56–65	593	11.10	
66–75	601	11.25	
76–85	477	8.93	
86–95	200	3.75	
96–105	12	0.22	

The first ten diagnoses in patients admitted to the ED with AAP are summarized in Table 3. The most frequent cause was NSAP (1,680 visits, 31.46%), followed by renal colic (1,665 visits, 31.18%). These two diagnoses thus represented >60% of all causes. Other less frequent causes included gastroenteritis (1.93%) pancreatitis (1.89%), oncologic pain (1.16%), extra-abdominal causes of abdominal pain (0.86%), hernias (0.82%), bowel obstruction (0.77%), acute abdomen (0.60%), liver disease (0.52%), inflammatory bowel disease (0.52%), and NSAP in pregnant woman (0.43%).

Table 3. Leading causes of acute abdominal pain observed in the local emergency department

in the local emergency department			
Cause (in decreasing order of	Number of	Frequency	
frequency)	patients	(%)	
Nonspecific abdominal pain (NSAP)	1,680	31.46	
Renal colic and ureteric colic	1,665	31.18	
Biliary colic/cholecystitis	411	7.70	
Appendicitis	203	3.80	
Diverticulitis	194	3.63	
Urinary tract infection and other	147	2.75	
urologic pain (i.e., testicular,			
prostatic)			
Gastritis/peptic ulcer	143	2.68	
Others	140	2.62	
Iatrogenic pain	138	2.58	
Gynaecologic pain	120	2.25	

The distribution of the different diagnoses according to the age of the patients (i.e., younger or older than 65) is shown in Table 4. Biliary colic and cholecystitis exhibited a twice higher frequency in patients aged over 65 years (i.e., 13.17% vs. 5.95%), whereas diverticulitis was also found to be 3-time more frequent in this class of elderly patients (i.e., 7.28% vs. 2.47%). At variance with this data, appendicitis (i.e., 4.54% vs.1.47%) and renal colic (34.48% vs. 20.84%) were found to be more frequent in patients aged <65 years. Notably, the NSAP was the most common cause in both age classes, despite being slightly more frequent in younger patients (32.03% compared to 29.67% in patients aged >65 years).

Table 4. Frequency of causes of acute abdominal pain in the patient population, classified according to the age

Cause	<65 years	≥65 years
	(%)	(%)
Acute perforation Peritonitis	0.37	1.32
Appendicitis	4.54	1.47
Biliary colic/cholecystitis	5.95	13.17
Bowel obstruction	0.27	2.32

2.47	7.28
0.77	1.16
2.91	1.94
2.32	0.70
2.86	0.31
0.67	1.32
2.00	4.42
0.40	0.23
0.37	1.01
32.03	29.67
0.40	3.56
2.32	3.56
1.43	3.33
34.48	20.84
2.87	2.40
	0.77 2.91 2.32 2.86 0.67 2.00 0.40 0.37 32.03 0.40 2.32 1.43 34.48

Except for gynecologic pain, some minor differences were observed between genders. Renal colic was found to be the most frequent cause of ED admission for AAP in men, whereas NSAP was found to be more prevalent in women. Urinary tract infection was significantly higher in women than in men (see Table 5).

Table 5. Frequency of causes of acute abdominal pain in the patient population, classified according to the gender

Cause (in alphabetical order)	Males (%)	Females (%)
Acute abdomen	0.56	0.63
Appendicitis	3.98	3.65
Biliary colic/cholecystitis	7.72	7.68
Bowel obstruction	0.88	0.67
Diverticulitis	3.50	3.75
Extra abdominal causes of	1.13	0.63
abdominal pain		
Gastritis/peptic ulcer	2.65	2.70
Gastroenteritis	1.93	1.93
Gynaecologic pain	0	4.21
Hernias	1.09	0.60
Iatrogenic pain	2.37	2.77
Inflammatory bowel disease	0.39	0.39
Liver disease	0.68	0.39
Nonspecific abdominal pain (NSAP)	26.13	36.10
Nonspecific abdominal pain in	0	0.81
pregnant women		
Oncologic pain	1.17	1.16
Others	2.21	2.98
Pancreatitis	2.17	1.65
Renal colic	39.40	24.01
Urinary tract infection	0.76	2.66
Other urologic pain (i.e., testicular, prostatic)	1.33	0.67

Open in a separate window

Overall, 885 patients (16.57%) were hospitalized after the ED visit, 435 of whom were men (17.49%) and 450 were women (15.77%), respectively. The admission rate for each diagnosis group is shown in Table 6. Ninety one patients (5.41%) with a diagnosis of NSAP and 90 patients (5.40%) with renal colic needed hospital admission, mainly for the presence of comorbidities in NSAP patients and for recidivism or complications (e.g., pyelonephritis) in renal colic patients. Biliary colic and cholecystitis accounted for as many as 18% of the total hospital admissions, followed by appendicitis (15%) and diverticulitis (11%). Overall, these three diagnoses represented nearly half of all hospital admissions for AAP.

Table 6. Rate of hospital admission for the different diagnostic groups

Cause	Number of hospital Frequency of hospital		
	admissions	admission (%)	
Acute abdomen	32	100.00	

_		
Pancreatitis	82	81.19
Appendicitis	133	65.52
Liver disease	16	57.14
Oncologic pain	35	56.45
Bowel	23	56.10
obstruction		
Diverticulitis	93	47.94
Biliary	163	39.66
colic/cholecystitis		
Others	41	29.29
Iatrogenic pain	33	23.91

DISCUSSION

Hospital emergency services in the world and India provide uninterrupted 24 hours and fast health service, and this leads to high patient densities especially in developing countries. The number of patients admitted to emergency services due to acute abdominal pain is higher than average. Undeniable evidence was brought that AAP of non-traumatic origin is one of the more frequent complaints leading people to EDs. The results of our study show that this condition represented 5.76% of total ED visits during a 1-year period, thus substantially confirming the data earlier published (3,19).

Despite remarkable improvements in the diagnostics approach, entailing the use of useful techniques such as echography and CT, the leading diagnosis remains NSAP, which represented approximately one third of all causes of AAP in our patient population. Notably, the frequency of NSAP diagnoses ranges widely in the published literature (i.e., between 15.5% and 39.9%) (24,25), a heterogeneity mostly depending on the selection criteria used in the individual studies and on the local organization.

The widespread use of sophisticated imaging techniques was found to bring marginal improvements of diagnostic specificity in the last decades, especially for surgical illness but has not generated a substantial reduction of the admission rate. Despite reliable evidence of scarce diagnostic performance (26), plain abdomen X-ray is still widely prescribed in as many as 35-45% of all cases of AAP in different facilities (28).

In some previous studies the diagnoses of renal colic were excluded from the final study cohort of patients needed ED visit for AAP(29). Unlike this scenario, patients with renal colic were included in our retrospective investigation, since the clinical presentation is often atypical (i.e., absence of flank pain) and sometimes the pain is irradiated to abdomen thus needing to be carefully considered for the differential diagnosis (30,31). We have hence observed that renal colics accounted for as many as one third of all ED visits for AAP, a frequency that was slightly lower than that of NSAP. Interestingly, these two diagnostic groups, accounting for up to 63% of all causes, have also the highest risk of recurrence counterbalanced by the lowest rate of hospital admission.

Although the overall diagnostic accuracy of combining medical history, physical examination and laboratory testing appears to be insufficient to reach a final correct diagnosis (32,33,34), this strategy is seemingly useful for discriminating urgent and non-urgent causes of AAP and supports the choice of prescribing additional imaging investigations in patients with a suspect of an urgent disease.

Diagnostic delay, late management and the risk of clinical worsening are the leading concerns of many EPs during the evaluation of patients with AAP. A comprehensive physical examination, close observation and serial diagnostic testing were found to be effective means to lower the risk of adverse outcome (35,36). This conclusion is supported by the data of a systematic review and meta-analysis of the scientific

literature, showing that the length of hospital stay and the rate of complications or readmission were not significantly different when comparing active observation with early laparoscopic intervention (37). Additional evidence was brought that an observational period of 10 hours enhanced the ability to diagnosing appendicitis in patients with an intermediate probability (38). In another prospective study including 220 patients of all ages admitted with AAP, a substantial decrease (i.e., from a 33% to a 5%) of negative findings on laparotomy was observed at the end of follow-up (39,40). Interestingly, the data of our retrospective analysis confirms a large use of active clinical observation during ED stay, since 52% of our patients were discharged after more than 4 hours of LOS in the ED.

It has been previously underlined that routinely outpatient reevaluation of patients suspected of a nonurgent condition after clinical evaluation and ultrasound testing led to a change in diagnosis in diagnosis in a substantial 18%, change in management in 13%, and a change from conservative to surgical treatment in 3% of patients (41).

CONCLUSION

Abdominal pain is the most frequent cause of visit to the department of surgery. A well-established large scale study is of utmost need so as to improve diagnostic accuracy and standard of care. Having a large parchment of sub groups and differentials it makes diagnosis challenging and evolving on a day to day basis. Use of latest radiological invents with a background of strong history, clinical examination skills and good acumen makes for appropriate treatment.

Notes

Ethical Statement: Institutional ethics approval was applied but waived due to the retrospective nature of the study and to the anonymous way in collecting data.

Footnotes

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Gans SL, Pols MA, Stoker J, et al. Guideline for the diagnostic pathway in patients with acute abdominal pain. Dig Surg 2015;32:23-31. 10.1159/000371583 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Powers RD, Guertler AT. Abdominal pain in the ED: stability and change over 20 years. Am J Emerg Med 1995;13:301-3. 10.1016/0735-6757(95)90204-X [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Hastings RS, Powers RD. Abdominal pain in the ED: a 35 year retrospective.
 Am J Emerg Med 2011;29:711-6. 10.1016/j.ajem.2010.01.045 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Selbst SM, Friedman MJ, Singh SB. Epidemiology and etiology of malpractice lawsuits involving children in US emergency departments and urgent care centers. Pediatr Emerg Care 2005;21:165-9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Kachalia A, Gandhi TK, Puopolo AL, et al. Missed and delayed diagnoses in the emergency department: a study of closed malpractice claims from 4 liability insurers. Ann Emerg Med 2007;49:196-205. 10.1016/j.annemergmed. 2006.06.035 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Patterson BW, Venkatesh AK, AlKhawam L, et al. Abdominal Computed Tomography Utilization and 30-day Revisitation in Emergency Department Patients Presenting With Abdominal Pain. Acad Emerg Med 2015;22:803-10. 10.1111/acem.12698 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- 10.1111/acem.12698 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
 Flum DR, Morris A, Koepsell T, et al. Has misdiagnosis of appendicitis decreased over time? A population-based analysis. JAMA 2001;286:1748-53.
 10.1001/jama.286.14.1748 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Medford-Davis L, Park E, Shlamovitz G, et al. Diagnostic errors related to acute abdominal pain in the emergency department. Emerg Med J 2016;33:253-9. 10.1136/emermed-2015-204754 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- McNamara R, Dean AJ. Approach to acute abdominal pain. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2011;29:159-73, vii. 10.1016/j.emc.2011.01.013 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Palmer J, Pontius E. Abdominal Pain Mimics. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2016;34:409-23. 10.1016/j.emc.2015.12.007 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Cervellin G, Lippi G. Abdominal migraine in the differential diagnosis of acute abdominal pain. Am J Emerg Med 2015;33:864.e3-5. 10.1016/j.ajem. 2014.12.066 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Tayal VS, Bullard M, Swanson DR, et al. ED endovaginal pelvic ultrasound in nonpregnant women with right lower quadrant pain. Am J Emerg Med 2008;26:81-5. 10.1016/j.ajem.2007.02.029 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- 13. Cervellin G, Comelli I, Sartori E, et al. A four-year survey on unexpected

- pregnancy diagnoses in a large urban emergency department in Parma, Italy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2014;127:51-4. 10.1016/j.ijgo.2014.04.006 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Kaplan BC, Dart RG, Moskos M, et al. Ectopic pregnancy: prospective study with improved diagnostic accuracy. Ann Emerg Med 1996;28:10-7. 10.1016/S0196-0644(96)70131-2 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Brewer BJ, Golden GT, Hitch DC, et al. Abdominal pain. An analysis of 1,000 consecutive cases in a University Hospital emergency room. Am J Surg 1976;131:219-23. 10.1016/0002-9610(76)90101-X [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Lukens TW, Emerman C, Effron D. The natural history and clinical findings in undifferentiated abdominal pain. Ann Emerg Med 1993;22:690-6. 10.1016/S0196-0644(05)81849-9 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Graff LG, 4th, Robinson D. Abdominal pain and emergency department evaluation. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2001;19:123-36. 10.1016/S0733-8627(05)70171-1 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Silen W. Cope's early diagnosis of the acute abdomen. 19th edition. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996. [Google Scholar]
 Caporale N, Morselli-Labate AM, Nardi E, et al. Acute abdominal pain in the
- Caporale N, Morselli-Labate AM, Nardi E, et al. Acute abdominal pain in the emergency department of a university hospital in Italy. United European Gastroenterol J 2016;4:297-304. 10.1177/2050640615606012 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Long A, Cambridge R, Rosa M. Physician's changes in management of return visits to the Emergency Department. Emerg Care J 2016;12:35-9. ['Google Scholar!
- Sauvin G, Freund Y, Saïdi K, et al. Unscheduled return visits to the emergency department: consequences for triage. Acad Emerg Med 2013;20:33-9. 10.1111/acem.12052 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Wu CL, Wang FT, Chiang YC, et al. Unplanned emergency department revisits
 within 72 hours to a secondary teaching referral hospital in Taiwan. J Emerg
 Med 2010;38:512-7. 10.1016/j.jemermed.2008.03.039 [PubMed] [CrossRef]
 [Google Scholar]
- Ferlander P. Elfström C., Göransson K, et al. Nonspecific abdominal pain in the Emergency Department: malignancy incidence in a nationwide Swedish cohort study. Eur J Emerg Med 2016. [Epub ahead of print]. 10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000409 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Irvin TT. Abdominal pain: a surgical audit of 1190 emergency admissions. Br J Surg 1989;76:1121-5. 10.1002/bjs.1800761105 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar!
- Flasar MH, Cross R, Goldberg E. Acute abdominal pain. Prim Care 2006;33:659-84, vi. 10.1016/j.pop.2006.06.004 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- van Randen A, Laméris W, Luitse JS, et al. The role of plain radiographs in patients with acute abdominal pain at the ED. Am J Emerg Med 2011;29:582-589.e2.10.1016/j.ajem.2009.12.020 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Paolillo C, Spallino I, Cervellin G, et al. Is There Still a Role for Abdominal Plain X-ray in Acute Abdomen? Emerg Care J 2015;11:50-1. 10.4081/ecj. 2015.5528 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Laméris W, van Randen A, van Es HW, et al. Imaging strategies for detection of urgent conditions in patients with acute abdominal pain: diagnostic accuracy study. BMJ 2009;338:b2431. 10.1136/bmj.b2431 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Macaluso CR, McNamara RM. Evaluation and management of acute abdominal pain in the emergency department. Int J Gen Med 2012;5:789-97.
 10.2147/IJGM.S25936 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Shokeir AA. Renal colic: new concepts related to pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment. Curr Opin Urol 2002;12:263-9. 10.1097/00042307-200207000-00001 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Worcester EM, Coe FL. Clinical practice. Calcium kidney stones. N Engl J Med 2010;363:954-63. 10.1056/NEJMcp1001011 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Kraemer M, Yang Q, Ohmann C, et al. Classification of subpopulations with a minor and a major diagnostic problem in acute abdominal pain. Theor Surg 1993;8:6-14. [Google Scholar]
- Hancock DM, Heptinstall M, Old JM, et al. Computer aided diagnosis of acute abdominal pain. The practical impact of a 'theoretical exercise'. Theor Surg 1987;2:99-105. ["Google Scholar]
- Laurell H, Hansson LE, Gunnarsson U. Diagnostic pitfalls and accuracy of diagnosis in acute abdominal pain. Scand J Gastroenterol 2006;41:1126-31. 10.1080/00365520600587485 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Ditillo MF, Dziura JD, Rabinovici R. Is it safe to delay appendectomy in adults with acute appendicitis? Ann Surg 2006;244:656-60. 10.1097/01.sla. 0000231726.53487.dd [PMC free article][PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Agresta F, Ansaloni L, Catena F, et al. Acute appendicitis: position paper, WSES, 2013. World J Emerg Surg 2014;9:26. 10.1186/1749-7922-9-26 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Maggio AQ, Reece-Smith AM, Tang TY, et al. Early laparoscopy versus active observation in acute abdominal pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2008;6:400-3. 10.1016/j.ijsu.2008.06.004 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Graff L, Radford MJ, Werne C. Probability of appendicitis before and after observation. Ann Emerg Med 1991;20:503-7. 10.1016/S0196-0644(05)81603-8 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Morino M, Pellegrino L, Castagna E, et al. Acute nonspecific abdominal pain: A randomized, controlled trial comparing early laparoscopy versus clinical observation. Ann Surg 2006;244:881-6; discussion 886-8. 10.1097/01.sla. 0000246886.80424.ad [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Thomson HJ, Jones PF. Active observation in acute abdominal pain. Am J Surg 1986;152:522-5. 10.1016/0002-9610(86)90220-5 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Toorenvliet BR, Bakker RF, Flu HC, et al. Standard outpatient re-evaluation for patients not admitted to the hospital after emergency department evaluation for acute abdominal pain. World J Surg 2010;34:480-6. 10.1007/s00268-009-0334-6 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossReft] [Google Scholar]