VOLUME - 10, ISSUE - 06, JUNE- 2021 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

Original Research Paper

Dental Science

EVALUATION OF SURGICAL MORBIDITY ASSOCIATED WITH COLLAGEN AND BUCCAL FAT PAD AS INTRAORAL GRAFTS IN SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF ORAL SUBMUCOUS FIBROSIS

Ajita dwivedi*	MDS (oral and maxillofacial surgery), senior resident, shri shankaracharya institute of medical sciences, bhilai, chattisgarh, india. *Corresponding Author
Gaurav singh	MDS (oral and maxillofacial surgery), principal, professor and head, department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, sardar patel post graduate institute of dental and medical sciences lucknow, india.
Amit gaur	MDS (oral and maxillofacial surgery), professor, department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, sardar patel post graduate institute of dental and medical sciences lucknow, india.
Dhritiman pathak	MDS (oral and maxillofacial surgery), consultant maxillofacial surgeon, sarvodaya healthcare, guwahati, assam.
Abhigyan kumar	MDS (oral and maxillofacial surgery), assistant professor, department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, babu banarasi das college of dental sciences, lucknow, india.

ABSTRACT

Objective- this study was conducted to assess the efficacy of buccal fat pad and collagen in surgical management of oral submucous fibrosis.

Study design- the study was conducted on 20 patients with reduced mouth opening due to oral submucous fibrosis (khanna and andrade classification grade 3 and 4 a), randomly divided into 2 groups. In one group, buccal fat pad was harvested and in other group, only wet bovine collagen sheet was applied as surgical dressing in the intra-oral wound after fibrotomy. Patencyof both as dressing material were compared.

Conclusion- buccal fat pad as an autogenous graft has advantage of better vascular supply, minimum donor site morbidity, better strength and resilience during healing phase. Xenogenic collagen has better availability, no donor site morbidity, ease of application and better coverage of the surgical wound

KEYWORDS :

INTRODCTION-

Oral submucous fibrosis is an incidious chronic disease affecting any part of the oral cavity and sometimes the pharynx. Occasionally proceeded by and/or associated with vesicles formation, it is always associated with juxta-epithelial inflammatory reaction followed by fibro-elastic change in lamina propria with epithelial atrophy leading to stiffness of the oral mucosa and causing trismus and inability to eat.[1,2] patients generally complaints of burning sensation while eating spicy food. The fibrosis also leads to difficulty in swallowing, mastication, speech and pain in throat. In advanced cases there may be severe trismus, and totally inelastic mucosa forced against the teeth leading to chronic ulceration and subsequent infection. The pathogenesis of the disease is multifactorial including arecanut chewing, ingestion of chillies, genetic and immunologic processes, nutritional deficiencies and other factors.

The treatment of patients with osmf depends on the degree of clinical involvement. If the disease is detected at very early stage, cessation of the habit is sufficient along with medicines comprising of multi-vitamins, b- complex etc. Patients' diet should include proteins, vitamin d,e and b-complex and micro-nutrients. Intra- lesional injections of dexamethasone is injected in the fibrotic bands along with hylauronidase weekly for 4-6 weeks followed by aggressive physiotherapy and regular monitoring of mouth openingis done. Alfa-lipoic acid and lycopene are anti-proliferative, anti- inflammatory and anti-oxidant used as first line of treatment. Anti-oxidants restrict damage caused by reactive free radicals to cells and cellular components.[3,4]

The management of osmf aims at improving mouth opening and relieve associated symptoms. Surgical management comprises of release of fibrosis by excision of fibrous bands with or without grafts. In cases with severe trismus, bilateral coronoidectomy and temporalis myotomy can be done to relieve the trismus and enhance the mouth opening.[5]

The buccal fat pad is a supple and lobulated mass of a specalized fatty tissue which is distinct from subcutaneous fat, easily accessible and can be mobilized in the oral cavity. The accelerated wound healing property of the buccal fat pad can be attributed to its rich vascular anastomoses through the small branches of facial, internal maxillary and superficial temporal artery and veins.[6]THE clinical application of buccal fat pad is strongly grounded on the results of studies on its anatomy and clinical significance by tidemanet al[7] and stuzinet al[6].

A resorbable naturally occurring collagen has been incorporated into various medical devices and is used for multiple purposes. For intra-oral applications homogenized reconstituted collagen mixed with cell culture media has been used for burn treatment and endodontic repair[8]. Resorbable collagen wound dressing have been used in oral wounds and closure of grafted areas or extraction sites because they stabilize blood clots, protect surgical sites and accelerate the healing process. Collagen based membranes have been widely used in periodontal dressing and implant therapy as barriers that prevent the migration of epithelial cells and enhance migration and attachment of fibroblasts through its space-making ability.[9,10]

MATERIAL AND METHODS-

The study was conducted in the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, sardar patel post graduate institute of dental and medical sciences lucknow from february 2016 to

december 2017 after approval from institutional ethical committee. 20 patients with reduced mouth opening due to oral submucous fibrosis (khanna and andrade classification grade 3 and 4 a), randomly divided into 2 groups. Written consent in patients regional language was obtained. The patients were operated and were kept under observation for particular time period in o.p. Chaudhary hospital and research centre lucknow by the same authors.fibrotomy, masseter and temporalis myotomy and coronoidectomy was done along with prophylactic extraction of all third molars in all the patients. In one group, buccal fat pad was harvested and in other group, only wet bovine collagen sheet was applied as surgical dressing in the intra-oral wound. Patency of both as dressing material was compared. Follow up was done on day-1 and 3, week-1,2,4,6, month-3 and 6 and 1 year post-operatively. Parameters of assessment were pain (visual analogue scale), mouth opening, relapse and wound dehiscence. The statistical analysis was done using spss (statistical package for social sciences) version 15.0 statistical analysis software.

RESULTS-

Pain, post operatively was evaluated using visual analogue scale (vas) and the median pain score between both the groups was not found statistically significant on day-1,3 and 7. Significant difference in pain score was seen on week-2 post operatively (p=0.012), group ii reported with median score of 1.0 and group i reported with 1.5. At week 4, median pain score of patients of both the groups was 0 and none of the patient reported pain 6 week onwards. Mean pain score of patients of group i was more than that of group ii. (Graph 1)



Graph 1 Pain - Intragroup comparison

Pre-operative mouth opening was not statistically significant in both the groups (p=0.403). Intra-operative mouth opening and mouth opening at consecutive follow ups was found to be higher in group ii (collagen group). Significant difference was found on day-1(p=0.046) and day-3(p<0.001). In both the groups, at all periods of follow ups, mouth-opening was found to be higher than baseline and changes in mouth-opening were found to be statistically significant at all the periods of observation in both the groups. (Graph 2)



Graph 2 Swelling- Intragroup comparison Grafting with Collagen membrane

Grafting with Buccal Fat Pad

Wound dehiscence was observed only at week-1 and 2 of follow-up. Partial wound dehiscence was observed in patients of group ii at week-1 (p=0.531) and 2(p=0.606) of follow-up which was not statistically significant when compared with group ii. At week-4, complete healing of intra-oral wounds were observed in both groups.

At week-1, no incidence of relapse was found in any of the groups. Proportion of relapse was higher in group ii as compared to group-i at all the consecutive follow up periods, at week-2(30.0% vs 0.0%); at 1 year(20.0% vs 10.0%). Difference in incidence of partial relapse among patients of group i and ii was not found to be statistically significant at any of the follow up.

DISCUSSION –

The management of oral submucous fibrosis aims to improve mouth opening and relieve the associated symptoms. Various surgical modalities have evolved mainstay is release of fibrosis by excision of fibrous bands along with temporalis and masseter myotomy and coronoidectomy to achieve better mouth-opening post surgically. Various authors have proposed different grafts/dressing materials for intra-oral wound coverage after fibrotomy. Reconstruction of the defect is done by variety of options such as skin grafts[11], island palatal mucoperiosteal flap[12], bilateral tongue flap[13], superficial temporal fascia flap with split thickness skin graft[14], radial forearm flap[15], flaps from antero-lateral thigh[16], artificial dermis[17], buccal fat pad graft[18]and nasolabial flaps[19]. Authors evaluated two reconstruction modalities, buccal fat pad and collagen because they believed that these are relatively convenient and carry less post-operative morbidity.

Bfp transplantation has been known since 1892 when neder[20] first described it. The first report of use of buccal pad of fat as a pedicled graft for defect upto 4 cm diameter covering it with a free split thickness skin graft was made in 1977. It was found in various studies that harvesting of bfp did not produced any marked defect on the cheek. In reference to the oral cavity, bfp is technically easy to harvest and graft as both donor and recipient sites are contiguous in the oral cavity, there is no visible scar in the donor area, anatomic proximity permits rapid grafting and the graft can be directly rotated onto the defect, it is not necessary to sever the graft pedicle. The uncovered pedicled graft provided a bed of tissue for subsequent epithelialisation thereby obviating the need of split thickness skin cover. The authors chose pedicled buccal fat pad and chose not to cover their graft.

The advantages of collagen sheet as a wound dressing material in osmf includes easy availability of collagen sheet, convenience of application, good tolerance to oral tissue, no incidence of allergic reaction in the patients, obviation of second surgery to obtain graft or detachment of the pedicle and there is no morbidity associated with donor site healing.

Post operative pain was controlled in all the patients using the same analgesic of same dosage, frequency and was prescribed for same length of time. Post operative pain was assessed on vas scale of 0-10. By two weeks time there was a declined pattern of pain score was observed in both the groups, suggestive of proper healing in both the groups. Samman et al had shown histological evidence of wound healing in 2-3 weeks.[21]

Mouth opening in both groups, on post-operative day 1, showed a significant reduction as compared with recorded intra-operative mouth opening (42MM avg.). Authors attributed this reduction to post-operative pain and swelling due to which patients were not able to open their mouth fully. However, there was significant increase in mouth opening in both the groups at the end of followup. Post-operative day-2

onwards mouth opening increased steadily. This outcome suggested a successful outcome in both groups, and this result found support of the various workers who recommend surgical resection of fibrous bands such as kothari m.c. Et al.[22],gupta h. Et al.[23], kamnath vv[24] and chang ym et al[25].

Proportion of relapse was higher in group ii as compared to group i at the follow up periods after month-3,6 and 12. This can be attributed to the fact that collagen is fragile and does not act as a strong interpositional graft so as to prevent repositioning of muscles leading to relapse as reported by rastogi s et al[26], tideman h[7] and many other researchers.

Wound dehiscence was observed only at 1ST and 2ND week of follow up. At week 1 and 2, partial wound dehiscence was observed in higher proportion in patients of group-ii when compared with group-i but the difference was not statistically significant. None of the patients of either group had complete wound dehiscence. This can be attributed to the fact that collagen has high tendency to accumulate debris and has least flushing property due to more fragility when compared with buccal fat pad. This led to secondary infection and subsequent wound dehiscence occurred. Patients were adviced to maintain oral hygine and no further complications occurred. Same findings regarding collagen application was reported by rehman a et al[27]., shivpriya et al.[28], kamnath vv[24] and sowjanya np et al[29].

The authors, in a different study, compared collagen membrane as a covering material over buccal fat pad versus buccal fat pad in management of osmf, concluded that though surgical time increases on application of collagen membrane over the grafted buccal fat pad, it is acceptable as pain score, physical trauma, food lodgement and subsequent infection at surgical site are reduced. Collagen when place over the buccal fat pad graft as a covering material, provides sufficient protection and helps in maintaining structural integrity of bfp during healing phase. (singh g et al.)[30]

CONCLUSION-

In this study, the authors compared two graft materials, one being autogenous buccal fat pad and the other being collagen sheet which is of animal origin for reconstruction of intra-oral wound created by fibrotomy. Buccal fat pad had advantages such as rich vascular supply, minimal donor site morbidity, ease of surgery as well as no impairment in physiologic functions of cheek after surgery, good patient acceptance and minimal post operative morbidity while disadvantages being anterior reach of the graft being limited and restricted use for larger defects because excessive stretching of bfp invariably impairs the vascularity so closure of larger defects cannot be guaranteed without producing flap necrosis or creating new fistula. Collagen sheet that was grafted in the other group, the authors found that despite its weakening by collagenolysis, contributing factor for higher proportion of relapse in this study, collagen membrane were robust enough to withstand strong masticatory forces for a sufficient duration of time to allow granulation tissue to form, which appeared uniformly and clinically healthy. Clinically, collagen is well tolerated with no adverse effects. Uneventful wound healing was observed without incidence of any allergic reaction despite being xenogenic with minimal morbidity to the patient.

Hence, in this study, we conclude that the use of collagen sheet as a wound dressing material as a surgical dressing was more convenient than the buccal fat pad. Both the dressings resulted in achievement of nearly normal mouth opening with insignificant difference in proportion of relapse, considering post-operative healing and surgical convenience, use of collagen sheet is a superior method to transposition of buccal fat pad. However more studies with large sample size are required to further support the following study.

REFERENCES

1. Pindborg J, Sirsat S. Oral submucous fibrosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*.1966;22:764.
2. Oral submucous fibrosis: Etiology, pathogenesis and future research. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1994;72:985-96.
3. Arakeri G, Brennan PA. Oral submucous fibrosis: an overview of etiology, pathogenesis classification and principles of management. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*.2013;51:587-93.
4. Yoithapprabhunath TR, Maheshwaran T, Dineshshankar J, Anshunath A, Sindhuja P, Sitra G. Pathogenesis and therapeutic intervention of oral submucous fibrosis. *J Pharm Bioall Sci*.2013;5(Suppl 1):85-8.
5. Chang YM, Tsai CY, Kildal M, Wei FC. Importance of coronoidectomy and masticatory muscle myotomy in surgical release of trismus caused by submucous fibrosis. *J Plast Reconstr Surg*.2004;113:1949-54.
6. Stuzin JM, Wagstorm L, Kawamto HK, Baker TJ, Wolfe SA. The anatomy and clinical applications of buccal fat pad. *J Plast Reconstr Surg*.1990;85:29-37.
7. Tideman H. Buccal fat pad as a pedicled graft. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*.1986;44:435-8.
8. Nevins AJ. Endodontic composition and method. US patent 3,968,567. July 13,1976.
9. Wang HL. Guided tissue regeneration. *Dent Clin North Am*.1998;42:505-23.
10. Lu HK, Lee HY, Lin FP. Elastic modulus, permeation time and swelling ratio of a new procine dental collagen membrane. *J Periodontal Res*.1998;33:243-8.
11. Yen DJC. Surgical treatment of submucous fibrosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*.1982;54(3):269-72.
12. Khanna JN, Andrade NN. Oral submucous fibrosis: a new concept in surgical management- Report of 100 cases. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*.1995;24:433-9.
13. Mehrotra D, Pradhan R, Gupta S. Retrospective comparision of surgical treatment modalities in 100 patients with oral submucous fibrosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*.2009;107:1-10.
14. Mokal NJ, Raje RS, Ranade SV et al. Release of oral submucous fibrosis and reconstruction using superficial temporal fascia flap and split skin graft- a new technique. *Br J Plast Reconstr Surg*.2005;58:1055.
15. Wei FC, Chang YM, Kildal M, Tsang WS, Chen HC. Bilateral small radial forearm flaps for reconstruction of buccal mucosa after release of submucosal fibrosis: a new reliable approach. *J Plast Reconstr Surg*.2001;107:1679-83.
16. Huang JJ, Wallace C, Lin JY et al. Two small flaps from one antero-lateral thigh donor site for bilateral buccal mucosa reconstruction after release of submucous fibrosis and/or contracture. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*.2010; 63: 440.
17. Ko EC, Shen YH, Yang CF, Huang IY, Shieh TY, Chen CM. Artifical dermis as a substitute for split thickness skin graft in the treatment of oral submucous fibrosis. *J Craniofac Surg*.2009;20:443-5.
18. Yeh CJ. Application of buccal fat pad in surgical treatment of oral submucous fibrosis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*.1996;25:130-3.
19. Borle RM, Nimonkar PV, Rajan R. Extended nasolabial flaps in the management of oral submucous fibrosis. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2009; 47: 382.
20. Neder A. Use of buccal fat pad for graft. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*.1983;55:349-51.
21. Samman N, Cheung LK, Tideman H. The buccal fat pad in oral reconstruction. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.1993;22:2-6.
22. Kothari M C, Hallur N , Sikkerimaths B, Gudi S, Kothari C R. Coronoidectomy, masticatory myotomy and buccal fat pad graft in management of advanced oral submucous fibrosis. . *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2012;41(11):1416-21
23. Gupta H et al. Role of coronoidectomy in increasing mouth opening. *Nat J Maxillofac Surg*.2014;5(1):23-30.
24. Kamnath VV. Surgical interventions in oral submucous fibrosis: A systematic analysis of literature. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg*.2015;14(3):521-31.
25. Chang YM, Tsai CY, Kildal M, Wei FC. Importance of coronoidectomy and masticatory muscle myotomy in surgical release of trismus caused by submucous fibrosis. *J Plast Reconstr Surg*.2004;113:1949-54.
26. Rastogi S, Modi M, Sathian B. The efficacy of collagen dressing as biodegradable wound dressing material for surgical defects of oral mucosa: A prospective study. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg*.2009;67(8):1600-6.
27. Rahman A, Pakairaj I, Genmorgan, Alaguvelrajan, Kandaswamy. Role of collagen membrane: a comprehensive review. *J Adv Med Dent Scie Res*.2015;3(3):95-7.
28. Shivpriya et al. Collagen- Animal sources and biomedical application. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*.2015;5(3):123-7.
29. Soujanya NP, Rao NM, Satyabhushan NVV, Krishnan G. Versitality of the use of collagen membrane in oral cavity. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*.2016;10(2):30-3.
30. Singh G, Mishra M, Gaur A, shrivastava A, Shukla B, Das G. Collagen membrane over buccal fat pad versus buccal fat pad in surgical management of oral submucous fibrosis: A comparative prospective study. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg*.2018;17(4):482-7.