



RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DIASTOLIC SHOCK INDEX AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES IN PATIENTS WITH SEPTIC SHOCK

Dr Ram Nandan Prasad

Head, Department of Anaesthesia, MMIMSR Mullana, Haryana

Dr. Devant Goswami

Head, Department of Cardiac Anaesthesia, MMIMSR Mullana, Haryana

Dr Surinder Singh Sodhi*

Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology MMIMSR Mullana Ambala, Haryana. *Corresponding Author

Dr. Nalin Vilochan

Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesia, MMDU Hospital, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Background: Study evaluate the relationships between heart rate (HR) to diastolic arterial pressure (DAP) ratios and clinical outcomes during early phases of septic shock.

Methods: Diastolic shock index (DSI) was defined as the ratio between HR and DAP DSI calculated just before starting vasopressors (Pre-VPs/DSI) in a preliminary cohort of 108 patients with septic shock and at vasopressor start (VPs/DSI) in 208 patients with septic shock included in a recent randomized controlled trial was partitioned into five quantiles to estimate the relative risks (RR) of death with respect to the mean risk of each population (assumed to be 1). In Results Progressive DAP decrease or HR increase was associated with higher mortality risks only when DSI concomitantly increased. Areas under the ROC curve for Pre-VPs/DSI, SOFA and initial lactate were similar, while mean arterial

Conclusions: Isolated DAP or HR values do not clearly identify such risk. Usefulness of DSI to trigger or to direct therapeutic interventions in early resuscitation of septic shock need to be addressed in future studies.

KEYWORDS : Septic shock, acute circulatory dysfunction, Diastolic shock index, Clinical outcomes

BACKGROUND

Diastolic shock index (DSI) represent a simple ratio between DAP and heart rate (HR), as a magnitude possibly reflecting how severe cardiovascular dysfunction [1]. However, hypotension observed during septic shock results from a complex interaction between vasodilation, relative and absolute hypovolemia, myocardial dysfunction, and altered blood flow distribution [2-4]. In these cases, diastolic arterial pressure (DAP) would better reflect vasodilation than SAP or MAP. In healthy people, DAP is mainly determined by vascular tone and it remains nearly constant from the ascending aorta to the peripheral vessels [5-6]. Thus, detection of low DAP at peripheral vessels should reflect systemic vasodilation as long as aortic valve is competent. However, in general, DAP is not considered for definition of septic shock, and with few exceptions, its relationship with clinical outcomes has not been widely described [7]. Important studies in patients with septic shock define hypotension in terms of MAP and SAP values [8-10] assuming the pivotal role of MAP [11] or SAP, on organ perfusion [12-14], in addition to the prognostic value of sustained low MAP values [15-16]. Remarkably, DAP should not be evaluated separately from heart rate. Acute reductions in arterial pressure are compensated by increased sympathetic activity, although sometimes such compensation becomes maladaptive. This was the original rationale to indexing SAP by heart rate (HR) during hemorrhagic shock and acute critical illness [17, 18], or indexing MAP by HR to detect myocardial hypoperfusion [19]. Likewise, as DAP depends on vascular tone and the duration of the cardiac cycle [20], a combination of DAP and HR could reflect the severity of circulatory dysfunction during vasodilatory conditions. Thus, we evaluated the relationships between very early HR:DAP ratios and clinical outcomes in patients with septic shock, hypothesizing that very early DSI values could promptly identify patients at high risk of unfavorable outcomes, while persistence of high DSI during the first hours of resuscitation could reflect more severe cardiovascular dysfunction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

A total of 359 patients were analyzed: a preliminary cohort of

108 patients with sepsis requiring vasopressor support and 208 patients enrolled in the study. The respective ethical and research committee involving human beings approved the use of the data obtained in both the initial cohort and the randomized controlled trial [21-22].

Meanwhile, patients from the preliminary cohort were included under the diagnostic criteria for septic shock stated in the Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2012 [23], based on the previous 2001 SCCM/ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS International Sepsis Definitions Conference [24], valid during the period in which the database was constructed.

Exclusion criteria for preliminary cohort covered patients < 18-year old, pregnant women, patients with liver failure (prothrombin time > 15 s or international normalized ratio \geq 1.5 and any hepatic encephalopathy), advanced liver cirrhosis (Child-Pugh C), acute/ chronic atrial fibrillation, presence of ventricular arrhythmia, use of definitive/transitory pacemaker and those with do-not-resuscitate orders. Meanwhile, exclusion criteria for the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK population are detailed elsewhere [21].

Study design

DSI was calculated as the quotient between HR and DAP registered just before the start of vasopressor therapy (Pre-VPs/DSI) in the preliminary cohort and at the randomization point in the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK population (< 4 h of septic shock diagnosis according to inclusion criteria), i.e., VPs/DSI [21]. Then, DSI was subsequently calculated 2, 4, and 8 h after the introduction of vasopressor support in both populations. Time elapsed from the first hypotension episode and the first fluid load with resuscitative intention was registered in the preliminary cohort, while time elapsed from the diagnosis of septic shock up to randomization was recorded for the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK population. Most of the initial measurements (i.e., pre-vasopressor and at the start of vasopressor) were obtained by non-invasive techniques using an oscillometric brachial cuff, typically in those patients admitted from the emergency room and general wards.

However, invasive pressures were registered later on, when an indwelling intra-arterial catheter was placed. The volume of resuscitation fluids was registered at Pre- VPs point, and then, 2, 4 and 8 h after in the preliminary cohort, and at the VPs/DSI point, and 8 h after in the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK population. Meanwhile, net fluid balance was recorded at 8 and 24 h after the start of vasopressors in both populations. The HR-to-SAP ratio [18, 25] was also calculated at same time points. Multiple organ dysfunction was assessed using the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score (SOFA) [26], while ventilator-free days and requirement of acute renal replacement therapy were also registered. Finally, as a simple exploratory observation, the effect of timing to start vasopressor support was evaluated in the preliminary cohort. A very early start of vasopressor was defined as the one started within the first hour of receiving the first fluid load with resuscitative intention such as it was recently reported [27].

General management

Patients from the preliminary cohort followed an early quantitative resuscitation protocol adapted from the Surviving Sepsis Campaign [23, 28], aimed in general to target (a) MAP \geq 65 mmHg; (b) urine output $>$ 0.5 mL/kg/h; (c) ScvO₂ \geq 70%, when available; (d) normalization of lactate levels or decreasing of 20% every-2 h in lactate levels. A complete description of the resuscitation protocol and general management in such cohort is described elsewhere [29]. Meanwhile, patients collected from ANDROMEDA-SHOCK trial were randomly allocated to peripheral perfusion-targeted resuscitation or lactate level-targeted resuscitation following a protocol described in detail elsewhere [30].

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

First, DSI values, calculated just before the start of vasopressors (Pre-VPs/DSI) in the preliminary cohort or at the randomization point (VPs/DSI) in the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK population, were partitioned into five quintiles to estimate the relative risks (RR) of death in relation to the mean risk of their respective population. The mean risk and 95% confidence intervals at each DSI quintile were calculated after adjustment for the covariables: age, SOFA score day-1, APACHE II, initial arterial lactate, and volume of resuscitation fluids received before start of vasopressors and from vasopressor start up to 8 h after. Then, new partitions were performed aiming to evaluate the effect of individual components of Pre-VPs/DSI or VPs/DSI (i.e., DAP and HR) on the relative risk of death, as follows: (a) into quintiles of progressively higher DAP; (b) into quintiles of progressively higher HR; (c) re-stratifying each original quintile of DAP into 5 sub-clusters of DSI to extract patients with similar DSI values and therefore, simultaneous increasing of HR and DAP. Second, repeated-measures ANOVA were used to evaluate differences in the time-course of DSI, mean arterial pressure, DAP, HR, pulse pressure, and vasopressor doses between survivors and non-survivors at day-90 in both preliminary and ANDROMEDASHOCK populations. Similarly, the time-course of the product of DSI and dose of vasopressor (DSI*NE.dose) was compared between survivors and non-survivors at day-90. Third, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to identify the performance of variables at pre-VP point (for preliminary cohort) or at randomization point (for ANDROMEDA-SHOCK), and 8 h after, to predict mortality at day-28 and 90. Such variables were Pre-VPs/DSI (or VPs/DSI, in the case of patients from ANDROMEDA-SHOCK), lactate, mean arterial pressure, SOFA score, APACHE II, and systolic shock index (HR:SAP ratio). In addition, the interaction or product of DSI by the dose of vasopressor (DSI*NE.dose) was also included at points where the patients were under vasopressor support. Fourth, the effect of very early start of vasopressors on mortality at day-90 in each quintile of Pre-VPs/DSI from the preliminary cohort was evaluated using a Chi square test and additionally, logistic regression models

adjusted by SOFA score and initial lactate at each Pre-VPs/DSI quintile. A Hosmer and Lemeshow test was used to assess the goodness of fit in each model.

RESULTS

A total of 359 patients with septic shock were analyzed: 108 patients from a preliminary cohort and 208 from the randomized controlled trial.

Overall mortality at days-28 and 90 were 38.3% and 43.0% in the preliminary cohort, and 39.2% and 43.9% in the study. Similar HR values were related with progressively lower risk of death as long as DAP gradually increases, and consequently, DSI values decrease. Likewise, similar DAP values were related with progressively higher risk of mortality as long as HR gradually increases, and consequently, DSI. Nevertheless, simultaneous increases in HR and DAP with subsequent similar DSI values were related with similar risk of death.

Conversely, mean arterial pressure or isolated diastolic arterial pressure and the systolic shock index showed poor performance for such prediction. DSI and DSI*NE.dose at 8 h showed again similar performances than SOFA score and lactate values, while mean arterial pressure, diastolic arterial pressures and the systolic shock index depicted a poor performance to predict mortality at day-90. Very early start of norepinephrine (i.e., norepinephrine started within the first hour of the first fluid load with resuscitative intention) was related with a lower mortality in higher Pre-VPs/DSI.

DISCUSSION

Our study retrieves four important findings: (a) progressively higher DSI values calculated just before or at the start of vasopressors are associated with a gradual increase in the risk of death in patients with septic shock; (b) isolated low DAP or high HR values do not clearly identify such risk; (c) non-survivors evolve with persistently high DSI values while requiring higher doses of vasopressors and more resuscitation fluids than survivors; (d) Pre-VPs/DSI and VPs/DSI showed similar performance to SOFA score and initial lactate levels to predict mortality, while mean arterial pressure and systolic shock index did not. Vasodilation plays a key role in the development of hypotension and tissue hypoperfusion in septic shock [5]. DAP reflects in part the vascular tone when aortic valve is competent. Nevertheless, the duration of the cardiac cycle, the blood volume ejected to the aorta and the arterial compliance also influence DAP [20]. Thus, under isovolemic conditions and constant arterial compliance, shortening diastolic times are associated with higher DAP while a prolonged diastole leads to an opposite effect [20]. Consequently, simultaneous and opposite variations in DAP and HR could suggest more severe cardiovascular dysfunction, with progressively high HR unable to compensate DAP drops as a consequence of gradual decrease in vascular tone. Supporting this, our data suggest that such progressively opposite changes in HR and DAP represent more severe circulatory dysfunction with proportional increases in the relative risk of death. Persistently low MAP [15, 31] or DAP [7] have been related to worse outcomes in septic shock, while new-onset prolonged sinus tachycardia as a consequence of sympathetic activity has been associated with increased major cardiovascular events, prolonged length of stay [32], and higher mortality rates [33].

We hypothesized that although MAP and SAP are used to operatively define septic and another types of shock, initial MAP or SAP does not reflect systemic vasodilation, which is a leading mechanism in septic shock. Although DSI depicted a similar AUC-ROC than SOFA score and initial lactate levels, DSI could add some practical and valuable information about how to intervene the initial hemodynamic condition in sepsis. Progressively high DSI values calculated just before and at

the start of vasopressor support were related with gradual increases in the risk of death. Patients in the higher quintiles of pre-VPs/DSI and VPs/DSI required more renal replacement therapy, depicted higher lactate values and also showed slower lactate decreases over the first 8 h of resuscitation. They also required significantly more resuscitation fluids and higher doses of vasopressors as reflected by the product of DSI and dose of norepinephrine (DSI*NE). We hypothesize that persistently higher DSI values reflect a lack of vascular tone requiring progressively higher doses of vasopressors with an inadequate restoration of tissue perfusion. However, the observational nature of our study hinders the direct effect of variations in vasopressor dose or fluid loading on the DSI since the resuscitation maneuvers in each group were guided targeting MAP but not DAP. All arterial pressure measurements used for DSI calculations in our study were obviously obtained at the peripheral circulation (i.e., at brachial, femoral or radial sites). Although some disagreement in systolic or mean arterial pressure is observed from the ascending aorta to the peripheral vessels, DAP remains almost constant [6, 34], even during experimental endotoxemic conditions in which a "vascular tone decoupling" from central-to-peripheral circulation can occur [35]. Thus, DAP records obtained at peripheral circulation closely reflect central DAP measurements even during severe inflammatory conditions with increased vasodilation and altered arterial compliance. Although it could be argued that invasive vs. non-invasive measurement methods to measure arterial pressure could influence our results, the bias for DAP measurements is far lower than that observed for SAP [36]. Furthermore, although significant difference in SAP or MAP are observed according to if invasive vs. non-invasive method are used [36], DAP recordings are closer at progressively lower DAP values [35]. Consequently, all these considerations claim against the introduction of considerable errors in DSI calculation when using invasive vs. non-invasive DAP values and also favour the notion of DSI as a global marker of decreased vascular tone since DAP is less influenced by the reflection of pulse waves. This study may have some important clinical implications. It is unlikely that severe hypotension as a result of severe vasodilation could be reversed by simple fluid administration and instead, unnecessary fluids with subsequent harmful accumulation can occur [37, 38].

Although also considered as "first line intervention", vasopressors are usually used as a rescue therapy when initial fluid administration fails to correct hypotension or when arterial pressure is judged to be insufficient to ensure an adequate tissue perfusion. Recent experimental and observational data suggest that very early start of vasopressor support could be beneficial [27, 39]. Nevertheless, there are no clear signals indicating when vasopressor support should be started. In this way, very early signals of severe vasodilation should alert on its possible immediate requirement. Thus, DSI should not be interpreted as "another index of death". Instead, a higher DSI value at presentation of severe cases of sepsis could identify patients who might benefit from some very early interventions capable of modifying the course of septic shock. Our data suggest some beneficial of very early start of vasopressors in patients at the higher pre- VPs DSI. Nevertheless, sample size and the retrospective nature of such observation simply pose a hypothesis to be tested in the future.

Our study has several limitations. First, as previously mentioned, its retrospective nature might limit the conclusions since some confounding factors and potential bias may not have been controlled. Nevertheless, observations from preliminary cohort, corroborated in prospectively collected data from a recent randomized controlled trial, reinforce the strength of DSI as an early identifier of septic patients at high risk of death. Second, we did not include a control group of

normal subjects, so recognizing a DSI cutoff to identify abnormality could be misleading. Nevertheless, this could be an important research question as recent experimental observations suggest that some early therapeutic interventions might modify the time-course of cardiovascular dysfunction in septic shock. Finally, despite the apparent plausibility of DSI at very early stages of septic shock, our observations are limited to a relative small sample of patients. Consequently, the potential utility of DSI in the clinical practice should be additionally explored.

CONCLUSION

Isolated DAP or high HR is not clearly related with such risk. Whether the DSI could be used as a trigger or to direct therapeutic interventions in septic shock or sepsis-related cardiovascular dysfunction deserves future research efforts.

REFERENCES

- Ospina-Tascón GA, Teboul JL, Hernandez G, Alvarez I, Sánchez-Ortiz AI, Calderón-Tapia LE, et al. Diastolic shock index and clinical outcomes in patients with septic shock. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10(1):41
- van Diepen S, Katz JN, Albert NM, Henry TD, Jacobs AK, Kapur NK, et al. Contemporary management of cardiogenic shock: a Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;136(16):e232-68.
- Stern SA, Dronen SC, Birrer P, Wang X. Effect of blood pressure on haemorrhage volume and survival in a near-fatal hemorrhage model incorporating a vascular injury. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1993;22(2):155-63.
- Vincent JL, De Backer D. Circulatory shock. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369 (18): 1726-34.
- Siegel JH, Greenspan M, Del Guercio LR. Abnormal vascular tone, defective oxygen transport and myocardial failure in human septic shock. *Ann Surg*. 1967;165(4):504-17.
- Hamilton W. The patterns of the arterial pressure pulse. *Am J Physiol Legacy Content*. 1944;141(2):235-41.
- Benckroune S, Karpati PC, Berton C, Nathan C, Mateo J, Chacra M, et al. Diastolic arterial blood pressure: a reliable early predictor of survival in human septic shock. *J Trauma*. 2008;64(5):1188-95.
- Mouncey PR, Osborn TM, Power GS, Harrison DA, Sadique MZ, Grieve RD, et al. Trial of early, goal-directed resuscitation for septic shock. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(14):1301-11.
- Peake SL, Delaney A, Bailey M, Bellomo R, Cameron PA, Cooper DJ, et al. Goal-directed resuscitation for patients with early septic shock. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(16):1496-506.
- Yealy DM, Kellum JA, Huang DT, Barnato AE, Weissfeld LA, Pike F, et al. A randomized trial of protocol-based care for early septic shock. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(18):1683-93.
- Sevransky JE, Nour S, Susla GM, Needham DM, Hollenberg S, Pronovost P. Hemodynamic goals in randomized clinical trials in patients with sepsis: a systematic review of the literature. *Crit Care*. 2007;11(3):R67.
- Peake SL, Moran JL, Leppard PI. N-Acetyl-L-cysteine depresses cardiac performance in patients with septic shock. *Crit Care Med*. 1996;24(8):1302-10.
- Tuchschmidt J, Fried J, Astiz M, Rackow E. Elevation of cardiac output and oxygen delivery improves outcome in septic shock. *Chest*. 1992;102(1):216-20.
- Bollaert PE, Charpentier C, Levy B, Debouverie M, Audibert G, Larcan A. Reversal of late septic shock with supraphysiologic doses of hydrocortisone. *Crit Care Med*. 1998;26(4):645-50.
- Varpula M, Tallgren M, Saukkonen K, Voipio-Pulkki LM, Pettilä V. Hemodynamic variables related to outcome in septic shock. *Intensive Care Med*. 2005;31(8):1066-71.
- Hamaoui O, Teboul JL. Temporary removal: importance of diastolic arterial pressure in septic shock. *PRO. J Crit Care*. 2018;51:238.
- King RW, Plewa MC, Buderer NM, Knotts FB. Shock index as a marker for significant injury in trauma patients. *Acad Emerg Med*. 1996;3(11):1041-5.
- Rady MY, Nightingale P, Little RA, Edwards JD. Shock index: a re-evaluation in acute circulatory failure. *Resuscitation*. 1992;23(3):227-34.
- Buffington CW, Sivarajan M, Bashein G. The quotient of mean arterial pressure and heart rate predicts hypoperfusion of collateral-dependent myocardium. *J Cardiothorac Anesth*. 1989;3(1):65-9.
- O'Rourke MF. Steady and pulsatile energy losses in the systemic circulation under normal conditions and in simulated arterial disease. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1967;1(4):313-26.
- Hernández G, Ospina-Tascón GA, Damiani LP, Estenssoro E, Dubin A, Hurtado J, et al. Effect of a resuscitation strategy targeting peripheral perfusion status vs serum lactate levels on 28-day mortality among patients with septic shock: the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2019;321(7):654-64.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). *JAMA*. 2016;315(8):801-10.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. *Intensive Care Med*. 2013;39(2):165-228.
- Levy MM, Fink MP, Marshall JC, Abraham E, Angus D, Cook D, et al. 2001 SCCM/ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS international sepsis definitions conference. *Crit Care Med*. 2003;31(4):1250-6.
- Allgöwer M, Burri C. Schockindex. *DMW-Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift*. 1967;92(43):1947-50.
- Vincent JL, de Mendonça A, Cantraine F, Moreno R, Takala J, Suter PM, et al. Use of the SOFA score to assess the incidence of organ dysfunction/failure in intensive care units: results of a multicenter, prospective study. Working group

- on "sepsis-related problems" of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Crit Care Med.* 1998;26(11):1793–800.
27. Ospina-Tascón GA, Hernandez G, Alvarez I, Calderón-Tapia LE, Manzano-Nunez R, Sánchez-Ortiz AI, et al. Effects of very early start of norepinephrine in patients with septic shock: a propensity score-based analysis. *Crit Care.* 2020;24(1):52.
 28. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic Shock: 2016. *Intensive Care Med.* 2017;43(3):304–77.
 29. Ospina-Tascón GA, Umaña M, Bermúdez W, Bautista-Rincón DF, Hernandez G, Bruhn A, et al. Combination of arterial lactate levels and venous-arterial CO₂ to arterial-venous O₂ content difference ratio as markers of resuscitation in patients with septic shock. *Intensive Care Med.* 2015;41(5):796–805.
 30. Hernández G, Cavalcanti AB, Ospina-Tascón G, Zampieri FG, Dubin A, Hurtado FJ, et al. Early goal-directed therapy using a physiological holistic view: the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK-a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2018;8(1):52.
 31. Dünser MW, Takala J, Ulmer H, Mayr VD, Luckner G, Jochberger S, et al. Arterial blood pressure during early sepsis and outcome. *Intensive Care Med.* 2009;35(7):1225–33.
 32. Sander O, Welters ID, Foëx P, Sear JW. Impact of prolonged elevated heart rate on incidence of major cardiac events in critically ill patients with a high risk of cardiac complications. *Crit Care Med.* 2005;33(1):81–8 (discussion 241–2).
 33. Park S, Kim DG, Suh GY, Park WJ, Jang SH, Hwang YI, et al. Significance of new-onset prolonged sinus tachycardia in a medical intensive care unit: a prospective observational study. *J Crit Care.* 2011;26(5):534.e1–e8.
 34. O'Rourke MF. Pressure and flow waves in systemic arteries and the anatomical design of the arterial system. *J Appl Physiol.* 1967;23(2):139–49.
 35. Hatib F, Jansen JR, Pinsky MR. Peripheral vascular decoupling in porcine endotoxic shock. *J Appl Physiol.* 2011;111(3):853–60.
 36. Lehman LW, Saeed M, Talmor D, Mark R, Malhotra A. Methods of blood pressure measurement in the ICU. *Crit Care Med.* 2013;41(1):34–40.
 37. Marik PE, Linde-Zwirble WT, Bittner EA, Sahatjian J, Hansell D. Fluid administration in severe sepsis and septic shock, patterns and outcomes: an analysis of a large national database. *Intensive Care Med.* 2017;43 (5): 625–32.
 38. Silversides JA, Fitzgerald E, Manickavasagam US, Lapinsky SE, Nisenbaum R, Hemmings N, et al. Deresuscitation of patients with iatrogenic fluid overload is associated with reduced mortality in critical illness. *Crit Care Med.* 2018;46:1600–7.
 39. Byrne L, Obonyo NG, Diab SD, Dunster KR, Passmore MR, Boon AC, et al. Unintended consequences; fluid resuscitation worsens shock in an ovine model of endotoxemia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2018;198:1043–54.