



BIOMARKERS IN ORAL CANCER-A REVIEW

Khumukcham Sophia	Assistant Professor ,Department of periodontics,Dental College,Jawaharlal Nehru Institute of Medical Sciences ,Porompat,Imphal.
Manju J*	Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology ,Thai Moogambigai Dental College and Hospital,Dr.MGR Educational and Research Institute,Chennai,Tamilnadu. *Corresponding Author
Mutum Sangeetha Devi	Dental Oncologist,Tata Medical Center, Kolkata.
Linda Christabel S	Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Madha Dental College and Hospital, Chennai, Tamilnadu.
Merrylda Claribel S	M.Sc Clinical Research, Second year, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, Tamilnadu.
Vidyasri M	Postgraduate ,Departmet of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Thai Moogambigai Dental College and Hospital,Dr.MGR Educational and Research Institute,Chennai,Tamilnadu

ABSTRACT

The incidence and mortality rate of oral cancer have increased throughout the world. Early detection through different investigatory methods and newer screening approaches are very important to reduce the mortality of this disease. Sensitive and specific Biomarkers for oral cancer are not only used for effective screening but also the use extends in diagnosing, and even for staging. Advancement in technology in genomics, proteomics and molecular pathology have increased the clinical value of biomarkers. Clinical significance of biomarkers can be used to combat oral cancer. Hence, we have reviewed the importance of biomarkers for oral cancer.

KEYWORDS : Oral cancer, Biomarkers, Screening, Staging, Public health.

INTRODUCTION

Oral cancer (OC) a malignant tumor of the oral cavity. The sixth most common type of cancer affecting world wide is cancer occurring in the oral cavity and pharynx.^{1,2} Changes of genetic and epigenetic causes imbalance in the homeostatic equilibrium between cell proliferation and cell death which leads to cancer. In carcinogenesis the molecular changes are: (i) cancer cell proliferation without external stimuli, (ii) insensitivity to inhibitory growth signals, (iii) evasion of apoptosis or cell death mechanisms and/or activation of anti-apoptotic genes, (iv) unlimited replicative potential, (v) sustained angiogenesis, (vi) invasion and metastasis ability, (vii) genomic instability, and (viii) protooncogenes mutation caused by defects in DNA repair³. Though OC occurs in areas that can be adequately visualized the accurate diagnosis of subtle symptoms of early OC and inflammatory lesions is still difficult⁴, leading to diagnosis of OC in advanced stages^{5,6} with low prognosis, despite advances in treatment, which have resulted in an overall 5-year survival rate of approximately 50%^{1,7,8}. Few biomarkers are tested clinically for detection of oral cancer and open biopsy is presently the only assured criteria to confirm a diagnosis of cancer. Although open biopsy is effective to diagnose OC, this method provides definitive drawbacks, such as invasiveness⁹. Molecular biomarkers are ideal for objective screening and diagnosis, enabling the early detection of OC^{10,11}. Compared with biomarkers in blood¹²,salivary biomarkers have obvious advantages; sampling is non-invasive, convenient and safe, thus facilitating frequent screening for oral cancers.

Biomarker Categories :

The underlying tissue changes in the disease process could be categorized as genomic, proteomic, or metabolomic expressions³. Biomarkers include nucleic acids, proteins, peptides, enzymatic changes, antibodies, metabolites, lipids, and carbohydrates¹³.Biomarkers can be derived from one, or a

combination, of the following body fluids blood, serum, plasma, body secretions (sputum, saliva), or excretions (stool, urine). Body fluids sample for biomarker investigation can be obtained by noninvasive, minimally invasive or invasive methods¹⁴.

Uses :

Assessment of patients using biomarkers can be carried out in different clinical settings. It is used for estimating the risk of the disease, it is used for screening primary cancers, to differentiate between benign and malignant ,and also different types of malignant tumors. The prognosis of the disease is determined hence the bio markers are used for screening as well as to monitor the status of the disease. Biomarkers can be used during treatment to evaluate the recurrence of the disease and progression during the treatment.The risk reduction strategies or screening have been effective and when these strategies applied to high-risk groups are much more efficient than wholesale application to the entire population.¹⁵

Salivary biomarkers such as L-phenylalanine serve as screening biomarkers and help in the early diagnosis and monitoring of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)¹⁶. Cloning of an acidic laccase gene 2 is a proteomic biomarker that is used to differentiate between squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma¹⁷. The angiogenic marker cluster of differentiation factor 34 (CD34) serves as an important predicting tool for recurrent cases of OSCC¹⁸. Genomic biomarkers such as integrin 3 and integrin 4 have been positively correlated with distant metastases and prognosis of tumors¹⁹.Sixty vascular endothelial growth factor, B-cell lymphoma-2, claudin 4, yes-associated protein 1 and MET proto-oncogene, and receptor tyrosine kinase were suggested as a novel group of biomarkers that function as therapeutic monitors and radioresistance predictors in OSCC patients²⁰.

Immunoassay validation of salivary proteins such as Mac-2-binding protein (M2BP), profilin, CD59, MRP14, catalase, histone H1, S100A12, rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (Ras)-related protein Rab-7, moesin, involucrin, S100 calcium binding protein P (S100P), and hematopoietic lineage cell-specific protein are differentially abundant in OSCC and healthy control subjects according to Hu et al.²¹ Aberrant expression of miR-375, miR-200a, and miR-200c-144 methylation was initially identified in OSCC and suggested as a potential clinical application for OSCC diagnosis²².

CONCLUSION:

The use of biomarkers are not only considered for cancer screening or assessment of risk but might also be proven useful for staging of cancer. The ideal biomarker when used for staging it should be sensitive, specific, fast and cost-effective. The clinical value must demonstrate beyond that of the other types of information that are already available at the time of diagnosis. More studies to be conducted for the use of biomarkers in future.

REFERENCES

- Jemal, A. et al. Cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 59, 225–249 (2009)
- Sidransky, D. Molecular genetics of head and neck cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol* 7, 229–233 (1995)
- Vadas M, Xia P, McCaughan G, Gamble J. The role of sphingosine kinase 1 in cancer: Oncogene or non-oncogene addiction? *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008;1781:442-7.
- Lingen, M. W. Screening for oral premalignancy and cancer: what platform and which biomarkers? *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 3, 1056–1059 (2010).
- Carvalho, A. L. et al. Predictive factors for diagnosis of advanced-stage squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 128, 313–318 (2002).
- Ishikawa, S. et al. Significant improvement in temporary dental rehabilitation by notable miniplate application in the hard palate for a patient with a large anterior maxillary defect: a case report/technique article. *Head Face Med* 9, 34 (2013)
- Ishikawa, S. et al. Acantholytic squamous cell carcinoma of the maxillary gingiva: A case report – The third case of ASCC to affect the maxillary gingiva –. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medicine, and Pathology* 26, 488–491 (2014)
- Epstein, J. B., Zhang, L. & Rosin, M. Advances in the diagnosis of oral premalignant and malignant lesions. *J Can Dent Assoc* 68, 617–621 (2002).
- Rethman, M. P. et al. Evidence-based clinical recommendations regarding screening for oral squamous cell carcinomas. *J Am Dent Assoc* 141, 509–520 (2010).
- Arellano-Garcia, M. E. et al. Identification of tetranectin as a potential biomarker for metastatic oral cancer. *Int J Mol Sci* 11, 3106–3121 (2010).
- Bijian, K. et al. Serum proteomic approach for the identification of serum biomarkers contributed by oral squamous cell carcinoma and host tissue microenvironment. *J Proteome Res* 8, 2173–2185 (2009).
- Tiziani, S., Lopes, V. & Gunther, U. L. Early stage diagnosis of oral cancer using 1H NMR-based metabolomics. *Neoplasia* 11, 269–276, 264p following 269 (2009).
- Nass SJ, Moses HL. *Cancer Biomarkers: The Promises and Challenges of Improving Detection and Treatment*. 1st ed. Washington, DC: The National Academic Press; 2007. p. 29-32.
- Henry NL, Hayes DF. Cancer biomarkers. *Mol Oncol* 2012;6:140-6
- Yoon AJ, Shen J, Santella RM, Zegarelli DJ, Chen R, Weinstein IB. Activated checkpoint kinase 2 expression and risk for oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:2768-72.
- Wang Q, Gao P, Wang X, Duan Y. The early diagnosis and monitoring of squamous cell carcinoma via saliva metabolomics. *Sci Rep* 2014;4:6802.
- Shinmura K, Igarashi H, Kato H, Kawanishi Y, Inoue Y, Nakamura S, et al. CLCA2 as a novel immunohistochemical marker for differential diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma from adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Dis Markers* 2014;2014:619273.
- Kademani D, Lewis JT, Lamb DH, Rallis DJ, Harrington JR. Angiogenesis and CD34 expression as a predictor of recurrence in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;67:1800-5.
- Nagata M, Noman AA, Suzuki K, Kurita H, Ohnishi M, Ohyama T, et al. ITGA3 and ITGB4 expression biomarkers estimate the risks of locoregional and hematogenous dissemination of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 2013;13:410.
- Akervall J, Nandalur S, Zhang J, Qian CN, Goldstein N, Gyllerup P, et al. A novel panel of biomarkers predicts radioresistance in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Eur J Cancer* 2014;50:570-81.
- Hu S, Arellano M, Boontheung P, Wang J, Zhou H, Jiang J, et al. Salivary proteomics for oral cancer biomarker discovery. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14:6246-52.
- Majem B, Rigau M, Reventós J, Wong DT. Non-coding RNAs in saliva: Emerging biomarkers for molecular diagnostics. *Int J Mol Sci* 2015; 16: 8676-98.