

# Original Research Paper

Nursing

# AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT OF ANXIETY DUE TO NOMOPHOBIA BY PRANIC PSYCHOTHERAPY AS COMPLIMENTARY THERAPY AMONG THE B.SC(N) STUDENTS IN SELECTED COLLEGE OF NURSING, C.G.

Mr. Debabrata Joshi

Assistant Professor, Rourkela Senior Nursing College, Sundargarh, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT
Objectives: This study undertaken with the objectives to assess the level of anxiety among the B.Sc (N) student, to evaluate the effectiveness of Pranic Psychotherapy on anxiety management due to Nomophbia among the B.Sc. Nursing Students in a selected College of Nursing and to find out the association in Pre-test level of anxiety with socio-demographic variables. Results:- Data Shows that, in Pre-Test 05 (12.5%) found to be mild and 21(52.5%) found to be moderate whereas 14(35%) were having severe anxiety due to Nomobphobia. After administration pre-Test Pranic Psychotherapy was administrated over a period of one month and Post-Test conducted. Post- Test data shows that 17(42.5%) found to be mild and 19(47.5%) found to be moderate and rest 04(10%) having the severe anxiety. Conclusion:- The Pranic psychotherapy significantly reduced the level of anxiety among the B.Scs. (N) student.

# KEYWORDS: Assesment, Nomophobia, Pranic Psychotherapy

#### INTRODUCTION:-

With the advancement of technology, there is a lot of invention for making life more and more luxurious. The uses of mobile phones in each and every aspect in life makes it one of the most important and essential component in human's life. Mobile phone has become integrated part of everyone life. Nowadays, technology features grow very fast and no deny that human activities cannot be separated from the needs of technology, for example Mobile Phone (MP). Miserable thing that happened is most of people are now starting to ignore the real life and moved to gadget world. The existence of MP surely provides many positive things, such as human can easily connected with anyone in anyplace as the wish. Other than the positive impact, Mobile Phone can produce many serious negative impacts. The wrong thing is not in the Mobile Phone, but the user that uses Mobile Phone. There has been an increasing trend of use of mobile phones that creates the dependency on the use of mobile phones. This issue is then known as Nomophobia (no-mobile-phobia) which is related to discomfort, anxiety, nervousness or anguish which is usually caused by being out of contact with a mobile phone. Many previous studies proved that nomophobia produces many negative psychological impacts.

The impacts are anxiety and panic disorder, withdrawal symptoms, social relationship disorder, sleep disorder, behavioral disorder, low self-esteem and self-efficacy, impulsiveness, and aggression. One another factor causing higher prevalence of nomophobia in India is that India is the second largest market of mobile phones after the China. The approximately telecom user is around 884.37 million as reported by the telecom company

# Development And Description Of Thetool:

For this study Nomophobic questionnaires and Dr. Mithailal Gupta Anxiety scle was used to determine the Nomophobic tendency and Level of anxiety among the participants.

### Scoring:

# Table-I Scoring key for Nompphobia an Category of Anxiety Level.

| NMP-Q                  | CATEGORY | PROBLEM<br>ANXIETY SCALE |
|------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| NMP-Q SCORE= 20        | ABSENT   |                          |
| 21 < NMP-Q SCORE < 60  | Mild     | 12 and Below             |
| 60 < NMP-Q SCORE < 100 | Moderate | 13-22                    |
| 100 < NMP-Q SCORE <    | Severe   | 23 and above             |
| 140                    |          |                          |

# Research Methodology:-

Research design adopted for the study is Pre experimental

design (one group Pre-test and Post-test). The sampling techniques used for present study is Non-Probality Purposive sampling method for selection of 40 no of sample.

#### RESILTS

1. Distribution of B.Sc. ( N) Students  $\,$  According to  $\,$  Pre-Test level of Anxiety  $\,$  N=40  $\,$ 

| Sl no | Level of anxiety | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1     | Mild             | 05        | 12.5       |
| 2     | Moderate         | 21        | 52.5       |
| 3     | Severe           | 14        | 35         |

2. Distribution of B.Sc. ( N) Students According to Post-Test-Test level of Anxiety N=40

| Sl no | Level of anxiety | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1     | Mild             | 17        | 42.5       |
| 2     | Moderate         | 19        | 47.5       |
| 3     | Severe           | 04        | 10         |

3. Evaluate the Effectiveness of Pranic Psychotherapy:-N=40

| Sl no | Level of anxiety | Pre-test |      | Post te | st   | t-Test     |  |
|-------|------------------|----------|------|---------|------|------------|--|
|       |                  | F        | %    | F       | %    | Calculated |  |
| 1     | Mild             | 05       | 12.5 | 17      | 42.5 | value 3.45 |  |
| 2     | Moderate         | 21       | 52.5 | 19      | 1,.0 | T value:-  |  |
| 3     | Severe           | 14       | 35   | 04      | 10   | 2.750      |  |

 $t > t \ 0.05$  level of significance. So research Hypothesis is accepted.

## 4. Association between Pre-Test anxiety level with sociodemographic variables

| Demog    | Tota | αl       | Mild | l         | Mod | dera      | sev | ere,      | Calcu | Table | Infe |
|----------|------|----------|------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-------|-------|------|
| raphic   |      |          |      |           | te  |           |     |           | lated | Value | renc |
| Variabl  |      |          |      |           |     |           |     |           | Value |       | е    |
| es       | F    | %        | F    | %         | F   | %         | F   | %         |       |       |      |
| 1) Age o | f Ye | ar       |      |           |     |           |     |           |       |       | •    |
| 19       | 16   | 40       | 1    | 6.2<br>5  | 10  | 62.<br>5  | 5   | 31.<br>25 | 6.8   | 12.59 | NS   |
| 20       | 13   | 32.<br>5 | 2    | 15.<br>38 | 4   | 30.<br>76 | 7   | 53.<br>84 |       |       |      |
| 21       | 7    | 17.<br>5 | 1    | 14.<br>28 | 4   | 57.<br>14 | 2   | 28.<br>57 |       |       |      |
| 22       | 4    | 10       | 0    | 0         | 1   | 25        | 3   | 75        |       |       |      |
| 2) Gend  | er   |          |      |           |     |           |     |           |       |       |      |
| Male     | 9    | 22.<br>5 | 3    | 33.<br>33 | 3   | 33.<br>33 | 3   | 33.<br>33 | 6.99  | 5.99  | HS   |
| Female   | 31   | 77.<br>5 | 1    | 3.2<br>2  | 16  | 51.<br>61 | 14  | 45.<br>16 |       |       |      |

|                 |       |         |     |           |     |       |         |       |           | VOLUI | ME - |
|-----------------|-------|---------|-----|-----------|-----|-------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|------|
| Androi<br>d     | 34    | 85      | 3   | 8.82      | 18  | 52.94 | 13      | 38.23 | 3.22      | 12.59 | NS   |
| i-phone         | 1     | 2.5     | 0   | 0         | 0   | 0     | 1       | 100   |           |       |      |
| Black<br>berry  | 0     | 0       | 0   | 0         | 0   | 0     | 0       | 0     |           |       |      |
| others          | 5     | 12.5    | 1   | 20        | 1   | 20    | 3       | 60    |           |       |      |
| 4)AVG.T         |       |         |     | 20        |     | 120   |         | 100   |           |       |      |
| 4-5             | 33    | 82.5    | 4   | 12.1      | 15  | 45.45 | 1.4     | 10 10 | 1 04      | 12 50 | MC   |
| hours           |       |         | 4   | 2         |     |       |         |       | 1.04      | 12.59 | NS   |
| 5-6<br>hours    | 5     | 12.5    | 0   | 0         | 3   | 60    | 2       | 40    |           |       |      |
| 6-7<br>hours    | 0     | 0       | 0   | 0         | 0   | 0     | 0       | 0     |           |       |      |
| >7              | 2     | 5       | 0   | 0         | 1   | 50    | 1       | 50    |           |       |      |
| hours           | _     |         | ľ   |           | 1   |       | -       |       |           |       |      |
| 5)Place         | of li | ivina   |     |           |     |       |         |       |           |       |      |
| Hostel          |       | 52.5    | 1   | 4.76      | 9   | 42.85 | 11      | 52.38 | 5.85      | 5.99  | NS   |
| Day             | _     | 47.5    | 3   | 15.7      | 10  | 52.63 | 6       | 31.57 | 3.30      | 3.30  | ```  |
| Scholar         |       |         |     | 8         |     | 02.00 |         | 01.07 |           |       |      |
| 6)Types         |       |         | 0   | 100       | 4   | 20.00 | <u></u> | E0.   | E 01      | 7.01  | NTO  |
| Joints          |       | 30      | 2   | 6         | 4   | 33.33 | 6       | 50    | 5.21      | 7.81  | NS   |
| Nuclear         |       | 60      | 1   | 4076      | _   |       | 11      |       |           |       |      |
| Extend<br>ed    | 4     | 10      | 1   | 25        | 3   | 75    | 0       | 0     |           |       |      |
| 7)Educo         | tior  | of the  | e p | arent     | s   |       |         |       |           |       |      |
| Illitrate       | 3     | 7.5     | 1   | 33.3<br>3 | 1   | 33.33 | 1       | 33.33 | 10.3<br>3 | 15.51 | Ns   |
| Primary         | 8     | 20      | 0   | 0         | 2   | 25    | 6       | 75    |           |       |      |
| Second          |       | 25      | 1   | 10        | 4   | 40    | 5       | 50    |           |       |      |
| ary<br>Gradua   |       |         |     | 6025      |     | 68.75 | 4       | 25    |           |       |      |
| te              |       | 40      | 1   |           |     |       |         |       |           |       |      |
| Gradua<br>te &  | 3     | 7.5     | 1   | 33.3      | 1   | 33.33 | 1       | 33.33 |           |       |      |
| above           |       |         |     |           |     |       |         |       |           |       |      |
| 8) Occu         | pati  | on of   | the | pare      | nts |       |         |       |           |       |      |
| Agricult<br>ure | 17    | 42.5    | 5   | 29.4<br>1 | 10  | 58.52 | 2       | 11.76 | 4.57      | 12.59 | NS   |
| PVT.Job         | 9     | 22.5    | 0   | 0         | 7   | 77.77 | 2       | 22.22 |           |       |      |
| Govt.Jo         | 11    | 27.5    | 2   | 18.1      | 7   | 63.63 | 2       | 18.18 |           |       |      |
| Busines         | 3     | 7.5     | 0   | 0         | 2   | 66.66 | 1       | 33.33 |           |       |      |
| s<br>9) AVG.1   | M     | thler T |     |           |     |       |         |       |           |       |      |
|                 |       |         |     | T         | 4   | 00 55 | 7       | E0.   | 0.07      | 15.51 | NTO  |
| below<br>10K    | 14    | 35      | 3   | 21.4      | 4   | 28.57 | 7       | 50    | 9.67      | 15.51 | NS   |
| 10K-<br>20K     | 13    | 32.5    | 0   | 0         | 7   | 53.84 | 6       | 46.15 |           |       |      |
| 20K-<br>30K     | 8     | 20      | 0   | 0         | 5   | 62.5  | 3       | 37.5  |           |       |      |
| 30K-<br>40K     | 3     | 7.5     | 0   | 0         | 2   | 66.66 | 1       | 33.33 |           |       |      |
| Above<br>40K    | 2     | 5       | 1   | 50        | 1   | 50    | 0       | 0     |           |       |      |
|                 | inc   | aroa    |     | 1         |     | I     | 1       | l     |           |       |      |
| 10) Livir       | _     |         | 1   | E 00      | c   | 25 00 | 10      | E0 00 | 2 10      | E 00  | NTC  |
| Urban           | 17    | 42.5    | 1   | 5.88      | 6   | 35.29 | 10      |       | 3.18      | ა.ჟყ  | NS   |
| Rural           | 23    | 57.5    | 3   | 13.0<br>4 | 13  | 56.52 | 7       | 30.43 |           |       |      |
|                 |       |         |     |           |     |       |         |       |           |       |      |

# NS:- Not Significant, S:- Significant

# CONCLUSION:-

The Pranic Psychotherapy significantly reduced the level of anxiety due to Nomophobia among the B.Sc. Nursing Student. Analysis of data showed that there was significant difference between pre-test and post-test anxiety level. This study could help in brining awareness among the studnets about the nomophbia and management of anxiety.

#### REFERENCES:-

- King, Anna Lucia, S. Valence, Alexander M, Nardi, Nomophobia: The Mobile Phone in Panic Disorder with Agrophobia: Reducing Phobias or Worseing of Dependence? Available from https://journals.lww.com?cogbehavneurol/ 2010/03000/Nomophobia-the mobile-phone-in-panic-disorder.10.aspx
- Sanjay Dixit, Harish Shukla, AK Bhagwat, Arpita Bindal, Abhilasha Goyal, Alia K Zaidi, and Akansha Shrivastava, A Study to Evaluate Mobile Phone Dependence Among Students of a Medical College and Associated Hospital of Central India, Available from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC2940198/
- A.L.S.King "A.M. Valença "hA.C.O.Silva" "T.Baczynski" M.R. Carvalho "A.E. Nardi", Nomophobia: Dependency on virtual environments or social phobia?, Computers in Human Behavior Volume 29, Issue 1, January 2013, Pages 140 144.