Original Research Paper

Surgery



ASSESSMENT OF LEVEL OF ANXIETY & DEPRESSION AMONG WOMEN WITH CARCINOMA BREAST ATTENDING DR. B.R.A.M. HOSPITAL, RAIPUR, C.G.: A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

Dr Manju Singh

Dr Amit Agrawal

Dr Surbhi Dubey

Dr Rupnarayan Sahu

Background: Diagnosis & Treatment Of Breast Cancer Can Be A Very Stressful Issue For A Women. This ABSTRACT Study Was Undertaken To Assess The Psychological Problems & To Know Its Association With Various Factors. Methods: Prospective Observational Study Conducted Between April 2018 To March 2019 In Department Of Surgery, Dr. B.r.a.m. Hospital, Raipur, C.g. Study Population Were 100 Newly Diagnosed Previously Untreated Breast Cancer Women; Interviewed Using Questionnaire Of Hospital Anxiety & Depression Scale At The Certain Time Points. Their Personnel & Disease Related Information Collected To Know Association With Anxiety & Depression. Results: Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression Were 51% & 52% Respectively At The Time Of Diagnosis & 39% & 24% Respectively After 6 Months. Significant Factor Associated With Anxiety Were Education Level (p=0.024), Stage Of Cancer (p=0.002, 0.01), Type Of Therapy (p=0.048, 0.001, 0.04) & Depression Were Age Group (p=0.042), Residence (p=0.046), Education Level (p=0.007,0.02), Family Support (p=0.04), Marital Status (p=0.02), Number Of Children (p=0.028), Employment Status (p=0.01,0.04), Stage Of Cancer (p=0.004, 0.001, 0.02) & Type Of Therapy (p=0.00001, 0.0001, 0.04, 0.007). Both Anxiety & Depression Were More Among Low Educated Women, Having Advanced Stage Of Cancer & Who Planned For Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy First. However, Only Depression Was More Among Elderly, Rural, Single, Unemployed Women, Having More Children & Not Getting Family Support. Conclusion: Psychological Distress Affect The Treatment Outcome & Constriant The Patient From Follow-up Therapy. Comprehensive Approach Should Include Treating The Disease As Well As Recognizing & Managing The Distress Level Throughout The Course Of Disease.

KEYWORDS : Breast Cancer, Prospective Observational Study, Hospital Anxiety & Depression Scale

INTRODUCTION

Breast Cancer Is The Most Common Cancer Among Women Worldwide. It Was Estimated That One In Eight Women In The Western World Will Develop The Disease During Their Lifetime [1].

The Burden Of Breast Cancer Is Increasing In Both Developed & Developing Countries. It Is Now The Most Frequent Occuring Malignant Disease In Women & Comprises 24.2% Of All Female Cancer. Worldwide Breast Cancer Is Second Most Common Cancer (after Lung) & Fifth Most Common Cause Of Cancer Death (after Lung, Stomach, Liver & Colon Cancer) [2].

In World, Breast Cancer Stands Rank 1 In Age Standardized Incidence Rate (24.7%) & Age Standardized Mortality Rate (13.4%). In India, Breast Cancer Receives Rank 1 In Incidence (14%) & Mortality (11.1%) & Prevalence. It Constitutes 27.7% Of All New Cancer Cases In Indian Women In 2018 [3].

The Methods Of Breast Cancer Treatment Have A Well Established Therapeutic Value But Surgical Treatment, Chemotherapy, Radiotherapy & Hormonal Therapy Are Associated With Occurrence Of Adverse Effects. Patients Diagnosed With Breast Cancer Encounter Many Specific Problems At All Stages Of Diagnosis, Therapy, Rehabilation, Remission Or Progression [4]. Studies Have Shown That Prevelence Of Psychological Distress Among Breast Cancer Patients Is High & They Are At High Risk Of Developing Severe Anxiety, Depression & Potential Mood Disorders [5-7].

Depression & Anxiety Are The Two Most Common Psychiatric Comorbidities Encountered In Breast Cancer Patients [8,9]. They May Experience Depression &/or Anxiety At Any Stage Of Illness From Prediagnosis To The Terminal Phase. If Left Untreated, These Disoredrs Can Lead To Poor Treatment Compliance, Prolonged Hospital Stay & Reduced Quality Of

Life [10]. So It Is Important To Assess As Well As Treat Psychiatric Comorbidites Of Cancer Patients & To Motivate Them For Follow-up Treatment To Decrease Attrition Rate & Thus Improve The Prognosis Of Disease & Quality Of Life [11]. This Study Is Aimed To Assess The Level Of Psychological Distress (depression &/or Anxiety) In Women With Carcinoma Breast At The Time Of Diagnosis & During Course Of Treatment & To Investigate Association Of Various Factors With Psychological Distress.

OBJECTIVES

To Assess The Level Of Anxiety & Depression In Women At The Time Of Diagnosis Breast Cancer & While Undergoing Treatment For Same In Dr. BRAM Hospital, Raipur, C.g.

MATERIAL & METHODS

A Prospective Observational Study Conducted Between (april 2018 To March 2019) In Department Of Surgery, Dr. B R A M Hospital, Raipur. Sample Size For The Study Was 100 Calculated By Sample Size Formula For Before & After Study (paired T-test). A Total Of 100 Female Patients Newly Diagnosed Previously Untreated Attending Dr. B.r.a.m.

Hospital, Raipur Were Interviewed Using Questionnaire Of Hospital Anxiety & Depression Scale At Certain Time Points; At The Time Of Diagnosis, After First Intervention (surgery/ Chemo-therapy), During Course Of Treatment & After 6 Months. Hads Is A Screening Tool For Psychological Comorbidities Was Developed By Zigmond & Snaith (1983) & Has Been Validated In Cancer Patients. Hads Is A 14 Item Questionnaire Of Self Assessment Scale Consisting Of Two Subscales: Anxiety & Depression.

Each Item Is Rated On A Four-point Scale Giving Maximum Scores Of 21 For Each Anxiety & Depression. Hads Score 0-7 Considered As Normal Whereas Score >7 Considered As A Case Of Anxiety Or Depression.

VOLUME - 12, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2023 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

Predictors Of Psychosocial Comorbidity Studied Were Age Group, Civil Status(residence), Education Level, Monthly Income, Family Type (joint/nuclear), Family Support (financial & Psychosocial), Employment Status (employed/ Housewife), Number Of Children, Stages Of Breast Cancer & Type Of Therapy Planned. For Association Of Anxiety & Depression With Different Factors Chi-square Test Was Applied & P-value Was Obtained.

OBSERVATION & RESULTS

Table 1: Sociodemographic & Disease Characteristics Of Study Subjects Table

20-40 21 21% $41-60$ 65 65% >60 14 14% Total 100 100% CIVIL STATUS 55 55% Rural 55 55% Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 49 ≤ 5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE $JOINT$ 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% NO 30 30% 30% YES 70 <	AGE GROUP	NO. OF CASES	PERCENTAGE				
41 - 60 65 65% >60 14 14% Total 100 100% CIVIL STATUS Rural 55 55% Urban 45 45% Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100							
>60 14 14% Total 100 100% CIVIL STATUS 100 100% Rural 55 55% Urban 45 45% Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL 111 41% ILITERATE 39 39% MDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% 27% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 51 51% 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 30 30% 90% YES 70 70% 70%	41 - 60	65					
CIVIL STATUS Rural 55 55% Urban 45 45% Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% $>$ 5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% 54% 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% 30% YES 70 70% 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 10% 100 100% 10% YES 10 10% 10% 100 100% 22 46 46% 22 54 54% 100 <	>60	14	14%				
CIVIL STATUS Rural 55 55% Urban 45 45% Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% $>$ 5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% 54% 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% 30% YES 70 70% 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 10% 100 100% 10% YES 10 10% 10% 100 100% 22 46 46% 22 54 54% 100 <	Total	100	100%				
Urban 45 45% Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARTAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 49 49% > 5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% MUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% IOM IOM FAMILY SUPPORT IOM IOM IOM IOM IOM IMM IES 70 70% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS IOM IOM <t< td=""><td colspan="7"></td></t<>							
Total 100 100% EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% S0% <	Rural	55	55%				
EDUCATION LEVEL ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% Total 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER ≤2 54 54% 54% 54% 54% 54% 50% 3 48 48% 44 2	Urban	45	45%				
ILLITERATE 39 39% MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 100% 100% 22 54 54% NO. OF CHILDREN \leq 2 46 46% $>$ 2 54 54% YES 10 100% 100% 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% <td>Total</td> <td>100</td> <td>100%</td>	Total	100	100%				
MIDDLE 41 41% UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% $>$ 5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 100 10% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 54 54% 54% 50% 3 48 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 46% 46% 48%							
UPTO COLLEGE 20 20% TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 100% 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 22 46 46% >2 2% 50% 3 48 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 4% <td>ILLITERATE</td> <td>39</td> <td>39%</td>	ILLITERATE	39	39%				
TOTAL 100 100% MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 100% 100% 22 54 54% NO. OF CHILDREN 22 46 46% >2 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 48% 48% 48% 48% 44 2 2% 7% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70%	MIDDLE	41	41%				
MARITAL STATUS MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% > 2 54 54% TOTAL 100 100% 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 48% 48% 44 2 2% TOTAL 100 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	UPTO COLLEGE	20	20%				
MARRIED 78 78% SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% 70 Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% 90% YES 10 10% Total NO. OF CHILDREN \leq 2 46 46% >2 54 54% 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% 70 70%	TOTAL	100	100%				
SINGLE 22 22% TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% 90% YES 10 10% Total NO. OF CHILDREN \leq 2 46 46% >2 54 54% 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% 70% 70%							
TOTAL 100 100% MONTHLY INCOME \leq 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 100% Total 100 100% 100% NO 90 90% 90% YES 10 10% 100 NO. OF CHILDREN ≤2 46 46% >2 54 54% 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% 707AL 100 100%	MARRIED	78	78%				
MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE	SINGLE	22	22%				
MONTHLY INCOME ≤ 5000 49 49% >5000 51 51% TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% Total 100 100% 100% Staces 2 46 46% >2 46 46% 2 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4% 4% 2% 2%	TOTAL	100	100%				
$ \leq 5000 49 49\% \\ > 5000 51 51\% \\ TOTAL 100 100\% \\ FAMILY TYPE \\ JOINT 46 46\% \\ NUCLEAR 54 54\% \\ Total 100 100\% \\ FAMILY SUPPORT \\ NO 30 30\% \\ YES 70 70\% \\ Total 100 100\% \\ EMPLOYMENT STATUS \\ NO 90 90\% \\ YES 10 10\% \\ Total 100 100\% \\ NO. OF CHILDREN \\ \leq 2 46 46\% \\ >2 54 54\% \\ TOTAL 100 100\% \\ STAGES OF BREAST CANCER \\ 2 50 50\% \\ 3 48 48\% \\ 4 2 2\% \\ TOTAL 100 100\% \\ \end{cases} $							
TOTAL 100 100% FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% 70 Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% 100% 100% YES 10 10% 100% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% >2 54 54% 54% 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% 70 100% 100%	≤ 5000	49	49%				
FAMILY TYPE JOINT 46 46% NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT NO 30 30% YES 70 70% 70 Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES YES 10 10% 100 Total 100 100% 100% YES 10 10% 100 NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% >2 54 54% 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% 707AL 100 100%	>5000	51	51%				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TOTAL	100	100%				
NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT	FAMILY TYPE						
NUCLEAR 54 54% Total 100 100% FAMILY SUPPORT	IOINT	46	46%				
FAMILY SUPPORT 30 30% NO 30 30% YES 70 70% Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% Total 100 Total 100 10% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% 22 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 33 48 48% 4 2 2% 2% 70%	-	54					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	100	100%				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FAMILY SUPPORT						
Total 100 100% EMPLOYMENT STATUS 100% 90% YES 10 10% Total 100 10% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% >2 54 54% 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%		30	30%				
EMPLOYMENT STATUS NO 90 90% YES 10 10% Total 100 100% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% >2 54 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 44 2 2% TOTAL 100 100% 100% 100% 100%	YES	70	70%				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	100	100%				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	EMPLOYMENT ST.	ATUS					
Total 100 100% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% >2 54 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 44% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%	NO	90	90%				
Total 100 100% NO. OF CHILDREN ≤ 2 46 46% >2 54 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL TOTAL 100 100% 100%	YES	10	10%				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total	100	100%				
>2 54 54% TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%							
TOTAL 100 100% STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%	≤2	46	46%				
STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%	>2	54	54%				
STAGES OF BREAST CANCER 2 50 50% 3 48 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%	TOTAL	100	100%				
3 48 48% 4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%							
4 2 2% TOTAL 100 100%	2	50	50%				
TOTAL 100 100%	3	48	48%				
	4	2	2%				
TYDE OF TREATMENT/THERADY	TOTAL	100	100%				
CT+RT 1 1%	CT+RT	1	1%				
CT+Sx+RT 38 38%	CT+Sx+RT	38	38%				
Sx+CT 60 60%	Sx+CT	60	60%				
Sx+RT 1 1%	Sx+RT	1	1%				
Total 100 100%	Total	100	100%				

In Our Study; No One Was Reported In Stage 1 Of Breast Cancer May Be Due To Lack Of Awareness About Disease In Our Areas So Patient Usually Reported In Advance/later Stage Of Breast Cancer

Table 2: Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression At Different Time Phase

268 ★ GJRA - GLOBAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH ANALYSIS

DIFFERENT TIME	PREVALENCE OF	PREVALENCE OF
PHASE	ANXIETY	DEPRESSION
AT THE TIME OF	51%	52%
DIAGNOSIS		
AFTER FIRST	43%	43%
INTERVENTION		
DURING COURSE	57%	50%
OF TREATMENT		
AFTER 6MONTHS	39%	24%
OF DIAGNOSIS		

Table 3: Significant Factors Associated With Anxiety & Depression

DIFFERENT	ANXIETY		DEPRESSION	
TIME POINTS	FACTORS	p- value	FACTORS	p- vαlue
AT THE TIME OF	EDUCATION LEVEL	0.024	CIVIL STATUS	0.046
DIAGNOSIS	TYPE OF THERAPY	0.048	EDUCATION LEVEL	0.007
			FAMILY SUPPORT	0.04
			NUMBER OF CHILDREN	0.028
			STAGE OF BREAST CANCER	0.004
			TYPE OF THERAPY	0.00001
AFTER FIRST INTERVENTI	STAGE OF BREAST CANCER	0.002	AGE GROUP	0.042
ON	TYPE OF THERAPY	0.001	STAGE OF BREAST CANCER	0.001
			TYPE OF THERAPY	0.0001
	NO SIGNIFICANT ASSOCIATION OF ANY FACTOR	MARI TAL STAT US	0.02	
		EMPL OYM ENT STAT US	0.01	
		TYPE OF THER APY	0.04	
AFTER 6MONTHS	STAGE OF BREAST CANCER	0.01	EDUCATION LEVEL	0.02
			EMPLOYMEN T STATUS	0.04
	TYPE OF THERAPY	0.04	STAGE OF BREAST CANCER	0.02
			TYPE OF THERAPY	0.007

DISCUSSION

Studies Have Shown That Prevalence Of Psychological Distress Among Breast Cancer Patients Is High [5-7]. In Our Study, At The Time Of Diagnosis; Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression Were 51% & 52% Respectively. However, After 6 Months; Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression Were Falling To 39% & 24% Respectively. In A Study By Caroline Burgess, Cornelius Et Al In 2005 Found Prevalence Of Depression & Anxiety In 170 Breast Cancer Women Was 33% At The Time Of Diagnosis & Falling To 15% After 1 Year [7]. Another Study By Mohd. Rohaizat Hassan Et Al 2015 Found Among 205 Breast Cancer Patients In Urban Setting In Malaysia Prevalence Of Anxiety Was 31.75% & Depression Was 22% [12]. Similar Study By Dr Vivek Shrivastava, Mumtaz Ahmed Ansari Et Al In 2016 Concluded Among 200 Breast Cancer Patients From North India; Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression At The Diagnosis Time Was 37% & 28% Respectively & After 12 Months Follow-up Was 25% & 21.20% Respectively. There Was Significant Improvement (p=0.001) Found In Both Anxiety & Depression Level At 12 Months Follow-up [13]. Another Study By Tsaras K Et Al In 2018 Found Among 152 Breast Cancer Women; 38.2% Were Depressed & 32.2% Were Anxious [14]. In Our Study, At The Time Of Diagnosis; High Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression Found Might Be Due To More Worried Thoughts Related To Disease Course, Therapeutic Side Effects & Poor Outcome. While, After 6 Months; Low Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression Noticed In Same Group Might Be Due To Well Under-standing Of Disease Course, Its Treatment & Outcome When Patient Were Addressed Well About Disease.

In Our Study, Majority Of Patients Were In Age-group 41-60 Years (65%) Followed By 20-40years (21%). After First Intervention; Depression Was Maximum In >60 Years Age Group & Minimum In 20-40 Years Age Group (p-value=0.042), While At Other Certain Time Points Result Were Statistically Not Significant. Mohd. Rohaizat Hassan Et Al In 2015 Concluded Among 205 Patients; Younger Age Group (50% (11/22) Of 20-39years & 34.4% (44/128) Of 40-59 Years) Was Predictor For Anxiety [12]. Dr Vivek Shrivastava Et Al In 2015 Concluded Among 200 Patients; Younger Age Group (20-60years) Were More Likely To Have Anxiety & Depression [13]. This Adverse Result Might Be Due To Preoccupied Thoughts Related To Other Systemic Illness & Poor Outcome Of Therapy In Old Age.

In Our Study, Majority (55%) Of Patients Belonged Rural Areas While 45% Patients From Urban Areas. At The Time Of Diagnosis; Depression (*p-value=0.046*) Was More In Women From Rural Areas Than From Urban Areas, While At Other Certain Time Points Result Were Statistically Not Significant. Study Conducted By Tsaras K Et Al (2017) Among 152 Patients Shown Being Rural Resident Can Be A Predictor For Both Anxiety & Depression In Breast Cancer Patient [14]. This Result Somehow Might Be Explained By Fear Of Poor Availability Of Health Resources In Rural Areas, More Time Consumption During Transport, High Travel & Other Expenses When Rural Women Come To City For Treatment.

In Our Study, Majority Of Women Were Low Educated (41%) Or Illiterate (39%). At The Time Of Diagnosis; Both Anxiety (pvalue= 0.024) & Depression (p-value=0.007) Was Maximum In Women Educated Up To Middle School & Minimum In Women Educated Up To College. Also After 6 Months; Depression (p-value=0.02) Was Maximum In Women Educated Up To Middle School & Minimum In Women Educated Up To College. While At Other Certain Time Points Result Were Statistically Not Significant. This Result Supported By Mehenert A Et Al (2008) Among 1083 Women Shown Lower Education Level Was Predictor Of Psychological Comorbidity [5]. In A Other Study By Dr Vivek Shrivastava Et Al (2016) Among 200 Patients Shown Illiterate Or Low Educated Women Were More Likely To Have Anxiety (70.27%) & Depression (71.50%) That Was Statistically Significant (p=0.034) [13]. Another Study By Anish Khalil Et Al (2016) Among 300 Patients Shown Uneducated (59.4%) Were More Depressed [15]. Above Result Somehow Might Be Explained By The Facts That Patients With Higher Education Levels Have A Greater Opportunity To Be Awared About Their Disease & Related Aspects.

Were Single (widow/divorced/unmarried). During Course Of Treatment, Single Women Were More Depressed (pvalue=0.02) As Compared To Married Women, While At Other Certain Time Points Results Were Statistically Not Significant. This Supported By Mohd Rohaizaat Hassan Et Al (2016) Among 205 Patients Concluded Being Single Were More Likely To Have Depression (47.4%; P=0.012) [12]. Another Study By Dr Vivek Srivastava Et Al (2016) Among 200 Patients Concluded Being Single Were More Likely To Have Anxiety & Depression (p=0.014) [13]. Study By Calys-tagoe Bnl Et Al (2017) Among 120 Patients Concluded Depression & Anxiety Were More Among Single Women (92.3% & 94.2%) Than Those Living With Their Partners (77.9% & 91.2% Respectively) [16]. This Result Might Be Due To Feel Of Low Self Esteem & Afraid Of Need Of Partner Or Friends To Take Care During Illness In Case Of Single Women.

In Our Study, Majority (90%) Of Women Were Unemployed & 10% Were Employed. Depression Was More In Unemployed Women During Course Of Treatment (*p-value=0.01*) & After 6 Months (*p-value=0.04*), While At Other Certain Time Points Result Were Statistically Not Significant. Study By Dr Vivek Shrivastava Et Al In 2015 Concluded Among 200 Patients; Those Earned Less Income (<2000 Per Month) & Having Less Financial Support (unemployed) Were More Likely To Have Anxiety & Depression (p=0.017) [13]. Above Results Somehow Might Be Explained By Low Income & Higher Treatment & Travel Expenses To The Hospital.

In Our Study, Majority (70%) Of Patients Were Getting Family Support (psycosocial/ Financial) & 30% Were Not Getting Family Support. At The Time Of Diagnosis; Depression (pvalue=0.04) Was More Among Women Not Getting Family Support, While At Other Certain Time Points Results Were Statistically Not Significant. Result Supported By Study Caroline Burgess Et Al In 2005 Concluded Among 170 Patients; Poor Family Relationship & Lack Of Family Support Was Predictor For Depression & Anxiety [7]. Another Study By Lueboonthavatchai P In 2007 Concluded Among 300 Patients; Poor Family Relationship Was Strong Predictor Of Anxiety & Depression (p<0.01) [17]. Study By Mehnert A Et Al In 2008 Concluded Less Social Support Was A Predictor Of Psychological Morbidity (p<0.004) [5]. This Results Might Be Due To Worried Thoughts Related To Who Take Care Of Themselves & Thier Children During Illness & Hospital Stay.

In Our Study, 54% Of Women Were Having >2 Children While 46% Having <2 Children. At The Time Of Diagnosis; Depression (*p*-value =0.028) Was More In Women Having >2 Children, While At Other Certain Time Points Result Were Statistically Not Significant. A Study By Deshields T Et Al In 2006 Concluded Among 200 Patients; Women Having More Children Were More Likely To Be Depressed [5]. Result Somehow Might Be Explained By More Worried Thoughts Related To Take Care & Fortune Of Their Children During Illness.

In Our Study, 50% Women Were In Stage 2, 48% In Stage 3 & 2% In Stage 4 Of Breast Cancer. Women With Advance Stage Of Breast Cancer Were More Anxious (p-value=0.002 & 0.01 Respectively After First Intervention & After 6 Months) & Depressed (p-value=0.004, 0.001 & 0.02 Respectively At The Time Of Diagnosis, After First Intervention & After 6 Months) As Compared To Early Stage Diseased Patients. Study By Reich M Et Al In 2008 Concluded Breast Cancer Stage Is Statistically Not Significant For Psychological Distress [18]. Result Might Be Due To Fear Of Therapeutic Advance Stage Cancer.

Studies Have Shown That Nact Had A Major Role In Development Of Psychological Distress In Some Of Patients. In Our Study, Women Who Planned/received Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy First Were More Anxious (p-value =0.048 At

In Our Study, Majority (78%) Of Patients Were Married & 22%

VOLUME - 12, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2023 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

The Time Of Diagnosis, P=0.001 After First Intervention & P= 0.04 After 6 Months Of Follow-up) & More Depressed (pvalue=0.00001 At The Time Of Diagnosis, P-value =0.0001 After First Intervention, P-value=0.04 During Course Of Treatment & P-value=0.007 After 6months Of Follow-up) As Compared To Women Who Undwewent Surgery First. Study By Saniah Ar, Zainal Nz Et Al In 2010 Concluded Among 141 Patients; Breast Cancer Patients Undergoing Chemotherapy Experienced High Level Of Depressive & Anxiety Symptoms [19]. Another Study By Dr Chintamani Et Al In 2010 Concluded Among 84 Patients; Depression Was Higher In Nonresponders (70.5%) Than Responders (22%) To Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy (p=0.05) & Those Who Received > 3 Cycles (51.5%) Than Who Received <3 Cycles (35.3%) Of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy [20]. Most Patients Were Troubled By The Side Effects Of Nausea, Vomiting, Loose Motion, Alopecia, Skin Manifestatiions, Anemia, Weakness Etc. After The Chemo-therapy Cycles. Might Be Due To This We Noticed More Anxiety & Depression Among Patient Receiving Chemotherapy First.

CONCLUSION

In This Study, We Found Significant Level Of Anxiety & Depression Among Women With Breast Cancer. Both Anxiety & Depression Were More Among Low Educated Women, Having Advanced Stage Of Cancer & Who Planned For Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy First. However, Only Depression Was More Among Elderly, Rural Residents, Single, Unemployed Women, Who Having More Children & Who Not Getting Family Support. Cancer Is Known To Be A Fatal Disease Since Ages & It Has Both Physical & Psychologigal Impact. This Affects Treatment Outcome & Constraints The Patient From Follow-up Therapy. Better Level Of Education, Family Support & Psychological Counselling Of Patient By Health Counseller After Diagnosis & During Treatment Can Lower The Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression. So We Recommend A Comprehensive Approach Towards Patient Of Breast Cancer Which Should Include Treating The Disease As Well As Recognizing & Managing The Psychological Component Throuhout The Course Of Disease To Decrease Attrition Rate & To Improve The Prognosis As Well. Lastly, This Study Shows That Prevalence Of Anxiety & Depression Among Breast Cancer Women Attending Our Hospital Is High & An Association Between Socioeconomic Status, Education Level, Cancer Stage & Type Of Therapy With Anxiety & Depression Seems Likely. However, A Questionnaire For Indian Set-up & Further Longitudinal Studies On Large Population Are Needed To Validate Significance Of These Preliminary Results.

REFERENCES

- 1. population I. 7 632 819 272. 2018; 876: 1-2.
- 2. asia S, Asia S. All Cancers. 2018;876;1-2
- 3. new Global Cancer Data: Globocan 2018 I Uicc
- kaminska M, Kubiatowski T, Ciszewski T, Czarnocki Kj, Makara-studzińska M, Bojar I, Staroslawska E. Evaluation Of Symptoms Of Anxiety And Depression In Women With Breast Cancer After Breast Amputation Or Conservation Treated With Adjuvant Chemotherapy. Annals Of Agricultural And Environmental Medicine. 2015;22(1).
- mehnert Ä, Koch U. Psychological Comorbidity And Health-related Quality Of Life And Its Association With Awareness, Utilization, And Need For Psychosocial Support In A Cancer Register-based Sample Of Long-term Breast Cancer Survivors. Journal Of Psychosomatic Research. 2008 Apr 1;64(4):383-91.
- deshields T, Tibbs T, Fan My, Taylor M. Differences In Patterns Of Depression After Treatment For Breast Cancer. Psycho.oncology: Journal Of The Psychological, Social And Behavioral Dimensions Of Cancer. 2006 May;15(5):398-406.
- burgess C, Cornelius V, Love S, Graham J, Richards M, Ramirez A. Depression And Anxiety In Women With Early Breast Cancer: Five Year Observational Cohort Study. Bmj. 2005 Mar 24;330(7493):702.
- baumeister H, Kriston L, Bengel J, Härter M. High Agreement Of Self-report And Physician-diagnosed Somatic Conditions Yields Limited Bias In Examining Mental-physical Comorbidity. Journal Of Clinical Epidemiology. 2010 May 1:63(5):558-65.
- härter M, Reuter K, Aschenbrenner A, Schretzmann B, Marschner N, Hasenburg A, Weis J. Psychiatric Disorders And Associated Factors In Cancer: Results Of An Interview Study With Patients In Inpatient, Rehabilitation And Outpatient Treatment. European Journal Of Cancer. 2001 Jul 1;37(11):1385-93.
 maeda T, Kurihara H, Morishima I, Munakata T. The Effect Of Psychological

Intervention On Personality Change, Coping, And Psychological Distress Of Japanese Primary Breast Cancer Patients. Cancer Nursing. 2008 Jul 1;31(4):e27-35.

- alacacioglu A, Ulger E, Varol U, Yildiz I, Salman T, Bayoglu V, Dirican A, Demir L, Akyol M, Yildiz Y, Tarhan Mo. Depression, Anxiety And Sexual Satisfaction In Breast Cancer Patients And Their Partners-izmir Oncology Group Study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2014 Jan 1; 15(24):10631-6.
- hassan Mr, Shah Sa, Ghazi Hf, Mujar Nm, Samsuri Mf, Baharom N. Anxiety And Depression Among Breast Cancer Patients In An Urban Setting In Malaysia. Asian Pacific Journal Of Cancer Prevention. 2015;16(9):4031-5.
- shrivastava V, Ansari Ma, Kumar A, Et Al. Study Of Anxiety & Depression Among Breast Cancer Patients From North India. Clin Psychiatry. 2016, 2:1. Doi: 10.21767/2471-9854.100017
- tsaras K, Papathanasiou Iv, Mitsi D, Veneti A, Kelesi M, Zyga S, Fradelos Ec. Assessment Of Depression And Anxiety In Breast Cancer Patients: Prevalence And Associated Factors. Asian Pacific Journal Of Cancer Prevention: Apjcp. 2018;19(6):1661.
- khalil A, Faheem M, Fahim A, Innocent H, Mansoor Z, Rizvi S, Farrukh H. Prevalence Of Depression And Anxiety Amongst Cancer Patients In A Hospital Setting: A Cross-sectional Study. Psychiatry Journal. 2016;2016.
- calys-tagoe B, Senaedza Nα, Arthur Ca, Clegg-lamptey Jn. Anxiety And Depression Among Breast Cancer Patients In A Tertiary Hospital In Ghana. Education (highest Completed). 2017;19:12-5.17.lueboonthavatchai P (2007) Prevalence & Psychosocial 334 Factors Of Anxiety & Depression In Breast Cancer Patients. J Med Assoc Thai 90:2164
- reich M, Lesur A, Perdrizet-chevallier C. Depression, Quality Of Life And Breast Cancer: A Review Of The Literature. Breast Cancer Research And Treatment. 2008 Jul 1;110(1):9-17.
- saniah Ar, Zainal Nz. Anxiety, Depression And Coping Strategies In Breast Cancer Patients On Chemotherapy. Malaysian Journal Of Psychiatry. 2010;19(2).
- chintamani, Gogne A, Khandelwal R, Tandon M, Jain S, Kumar Y, Narayan N, Bamal R, Srinivas S, Saxena S. The Correlation Of Anxiety And Depression Levels With Response To Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy In Patients With Breast Cancer. Jrsm Short Reports. 2011 Mar;2(3):1-5.