



Original Research Paper

"ASSESSMENT OF SOFA VS QSOFA VS DELTA SOFA IN ICU PATIENTS"

Dr. Saraswati D	Resident, General Medicine, Geetanjali Medical College and Hospitals,
Rajput*	Udaipur *Corresponding Author

Dr. Sanjay Kumar
Professor, General Medicine, Geetanjali Medical College and Hospitals,
Udaipur

ABSTRACT Obje

Objective:

- To study the demographic profile of patients with sepsis and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in patients with intensive care units.
- To evaluate the use of SOFA Score for predicting the mortality in patients with severe sepsis.
- To evaluate the use of MAX SOFA and DELTA SOFA for assessing the outcome of patients with severe sepsis.

Materials and Methods: A sample of 100 patients who were admitted to intensive care units and fulfilled the inclusion criteria were selected for this study from the tertiary care hospital, between September 2021-September 2022 with suspected/confirmed sepsis. It was a prospective observational study. Results: SOFA Score at 48 hours, 96 hours, max SOFA, Δ SOFA showed mortality with a P-value of <0.001 which is statistically significant. Hence, use of SOFA score, Δ SOFA score, and MAX SOFA score are good predictors of outcomes in patients with sepsis. Conclusion: Sequential assessment of organ dysfunction during the first few days of ICU admission is a good indicator of prognosis. SOFA score at 48 hours and MAX SOFA score, as well as Δ SOFA score, are useful predictors of the outcome as compared to SOFA score at admission.

KEYWORDS: Sepsis, Sofa, Max Sofa, Delta Sofa

INTRODUCTION:

Sepsis is a major worldwide cause of morbidity and mortality and the second leading cause of death worldwide (1). Sepsis leads to multiple organ failures resulting in poor outcomes for such patients. The incidence of sepsis-related mortality is 85% in Indian ICU (2). There are various predictive models which are used in clinical practice. Some widely used among them are chronic health evaluation IV score (3), the simplified acute physiology score III(4), the logistic organ dysfunction score (5) which are widely used in intensive care units which require historical data or data after ICU admission for calculation. According to some investigations done previously, most of these scores possess inadequate predictive abilities and are very time-consuming to calculate. The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score allows the calculation of both the number and severity of organ dysfunction in six organ systems which are respiratory system, coagulatory, liver, cardiovascular system, renal and neurologic, and the score can measure individual or aggregate organ dysfunction (6). The purpose of this study was to determine whether SOFA score when calculated in patients with severe sepsis with Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome (MODS) would perform with good accuracy for predicting hospital mortality, Similarly, MAX SOFA, defined as maximum sofa score during the ICU stay and DELTA SOFA defined as the change in subsequent sofa score over a predefined time interval were associated with changes in mortality status. Δ SOFA 48 and Δ SOFA 96 is the difference between admission score and the score at 48 hours and 96 hours respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A sample of 100 patients who were admitted to intensive care units and fulfilled the inclusion criteria were selected for this study from the tertiary care hospital, between September 2021-September 2022 with suspected/confirmed sepsis. It was a prospective observational study.

Inclusion Criteria:

All patients admitted to the intensive care unit, medicine department with suspected infection, satisfying two or more criteria of systemic inflammation like;

- Heart rate ≥ 90beats/min
- Respiratory rate $\geq 20/\min OR PaCo2 < 32 mm Hg$

- The temperature was \geq 38°C (100.4°F) or \leq 36°C (96.8°F)
- WBC total count \geq 12,000/mL or \leq 4,000/mL

Exclusion Criteria:

- All patients with age less than 12 years.
- All patients who will not give consent for study.
- Patients with HIV positive status.

RESULTS:

Of the hundred patients enrolled in the study, SOFA was calculated at admission, at 48 hours, and 96 hours. Δ SOFA and max SOFA were also calculated. Among the 100 patients involved in the study, 45% survived and 55% succumbed to their illness. The minimum age of the person enrolled in the study was 17 and the maximum age was 83. Of the 100 patients, 64% were male and 36% were female. The mean SOFA score on admission was 10.1, at 48 hours was 10.4, and at 96 hours was 10.54. A total of 55 patients died, out of which mortality in the male population was 57.8% and mortality in the female population was 50.0% suggesting no difference in mortality due to sex. Fluid culture according to the most affected organ was sent, which was positive in 53% of patients. Specimens or materials sent for culture suggested 53% positive reports among which E.coli was seen in 14 patients followed by Pseudomonas in 9 patients and Klebsiella in 7 patients (Table I). The minimum SOFA score of the patients admitted was 3. Hence, the data column starts with values of 5 and above. There is a sharp rise in non-survivors as the SOFA score increases (Table II). Of the 40 patients having SOFA scores equal to or higher than, 12 had 82.50% mortality. The SOFA score was calculated at 24 hours, showing high mortality in patients having a high sofa score i.e. 12 or above.

At 48 hours, the minimum SOFA score observed among the study population is 8. Hence the data column starts with 8 and above. A SOFA score of 12 and above at 48 hours of admission shows an increase in the number of non-survivors. The minimum SOFA score of the study population at 48 hours is 8.3 patients had expired before 48 hours. Among 52 patients who expired after 48 hours, 40 patients had a SOFA score of 12 and above. The survival rate is reduced when the SOFA score increases above 12, at 96 hours of admission. 7 out of 55 patients expired before 96 hours. Out of 48 patients who expired after 96 hours, 39 patients had a SOFA score of 12 and

SOFA score of 12 or above at admission while 39 patients among 55 expired patients had a SOFA score of 12 or above at 96 hours(Table II). Hence, a high SOFA score suggests high mortality (Table3,). For the Delta SOFA score, the patient data is analysed as those who decreased, unchanged, and increased from the initial score respectively, and the outcome is analysed. The comparison between survivors and nonsurvivors with SOFA at 48 hours and 96 hours was recorded (Table II). total of 44 patients whose SOFA score increased from baseline at 48 hours, there was an increase in mortality of around 75%. Similarly, if the total of 43 patients in whom SOFA score increased from baseline at 96 hours, there was an increase in mortality around 76.7%. As the max sofa score increases, the survival rate of the patient decreases. A patient with a max sofa score of >14 has an 87.5% mortality rate as compared to a patient with an 8-9 sofa score which has a 6% mortality rate (Table II). SOFA score at admission, 48 hours, SOFA at 48 hours and 96 hours, max SOFA 96 hours. increases the survival decreases with P-value < 0.001, which is statistically significant (Table III,4). Among the 64 patients who required ventilator, 48 (75%) expired and among the 36 patients who did not require ventilator support, 7 (19%) expired. This shows poor outcomes in the patients requiring ventilator support (Table III).

Table I: Demographics Of Study Population

	- L
CHARACTERISTICS	VALUES
NO. OF PATIENTS	100
MEAN AGE IN YEARS	54.39±15
MALE	64
FEMALE	36
SOFA SCORE (MEAN):-	10.1 ± 2.54 , 10.4 ± 2.66 ,
T0, T48, T96	10.54 ± 3.23
TOTAL DEATH, MALE, FEMALE	55%, 57.81%, 50.0%
FLUID CULTURE STATUS:-	53%, 47%
POSITIVE, NEGETIVE	
ORGANISM:-	NUMBER OF PATIENTS:-
E- COLI	14
PSEUDOMONAS	9
KLEBSIELLA	7
S. PNEUMONIEA	8
STAPH. AUREUS	5
ACTINOBACTER BAUMANIS	5
CANDIDA	5

Table II: Comparison Of Sofa Score And Its Outcome

SOFA SCORE ON ADMISSION:				
SOFA SCORE	SURVIVORS NON-SURVIVORS		TOTAL	
		(% MORTALITY)		
<=5	5	0	5	
6-7	6	1(14.28%)	7	
8-9	19	8(29.62%)	27	
10-11	8	13(61.90%)	21	
12 AND ABOVE	7	33(82.50%)	40	
TOTAL	45	55	100	
SOFA SCORE ON	24 HOURS:			
SOFA SCORE	SURVIVORS	NON-SURVIVORS	TOTAL	
<=5	5	0	5	
6-7	8	1	9	
8-9	17	5	22	
10-11	9	15	24	
12 AND ABOVE	6	34	40	
SOFA SCORE AT 48 HOURS:				
SOFA SCORE	SURVIVORS	NON-SURVIVORS	TOTAL	
8-9	13	5	18	
10-11	10	7	17	
12 AND ABOVE	2	40	42	
SOFA SCORE AT 96 HOURS:				
SOFA SCORE	SURVIVORS	NON-SURVIVORS	TOTAL	
8-9	13	4	17	

SUE - 04, APRIL - 2023	 PRINT ISSN No 	o. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10	.36106/gjra	
10-11	8	5	13	
12 AND ABOVE	2	39	41	
SEQUENTIAL CH	ANGES IN TH	E SOFA SCORE AM	IONG 55	
EXPIRED PATIENT	'S:			
SOFA SCORE	AT	AT 48 HOURS	AT 96	
	ADMISSION		HOURS	
<=5	0	0	0	
6-7	1	0	0	
8-9	8	5	4	
10-11	13	7	5	
12 AND ABOVE	33	40	39	
MAX SOFA SCORE AND RELATION WITH SURVIVAL:				
SOFA SCORE	SURVIVORS	NON-SURVIVORS	TOTAL	
8-9	16	1(5.88%)	17	
10-11	9	4(30.76%)	13	
12-14	8	20(71.4%)	28	
>14	2	30(93.75)	32	

	COMPARISON BETWEEN SURVIVORS AND NON SURVIVORS WITH Δ SOFA AT 48 HOURS AND 96 HOURS:					
ΔSOFA	A 48 FOR	∆SOFA 48 FOR NON SURVI VORS		96 FOR	∆SOFA 96 FOR NON SURVIVOR S	TOTA L
DECRE ASED	24	8	32	25	8	33
UNCHA NGED	10	11	21	10	7	17
INCREA SED	11	33	44	10	33	43

Table III: Logistic Regression Analysis Of Sofa Derived Parameters As Predictors Of Mortality

	DIED	SURVIVAL	TOTAL		P
SOFA score	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	SE OF MEAN	VALUE (Z
				MILLAIN	TEST)
SOFA- 0	11.40 ± 1.811	8.58±2.472	10.13± 2.55	0.25	< 0.001
SOFA-24	11.82±1.8 16	8.53±2.427	10.34± 2.67	0.27	< 0.001
SOFA – 48	12.44±1.9 60	8.11±2.357	10.49± 3.04	0.30	< 0.001
SOFA- 96	$12.82\!\pm\!1.8 \\ 37$	7.76±2.560	10.54± 3.34	0.33	< 0.001
SOFA-7 days	14.50±2.0 29	3.16±2.306	5.85±5 .35	0.70	< 0.001
SOFA- MAX	14.45±1.8 54	9.24±2.723	12.11± 3.46	0.35	< 0.001
Δ SOFA 24- 0	.42±.762	04±.673	0.21±0 .76	0.08	< 0.001
Δ SOFA 48- 0	1.04±1.29 0	47±1.254	0.36±1 .47	0.15	< 0.001
Δ SOFA 96- 0	1.42±1.48 7	82±1.813	0.41±1 .98	0.20	< 0.001
∆SOFA SCC HOURS ANI		ATION TO C	UTCOM	E IN FI	RST 48
SOFA SCORE CHANGE IN FIRST 48 HOUR	DIED	SURVIVOR	X2	p VALU	Е
INCREASE D (n=47)	36 (76.6%)	11 (23.4%)	20.55	<0.001	
NO CHANGE (n=21)	11 (52.4%)	10 (47.6%)	-	-	
DECREASE D (n=32)	8 (25.0%)	24 (75.0%)	-	-	

VOLUME - 12, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2023 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

TOTAL	55 (55.0%)	45 (45.0%)	-	-
SOFA SCORE IN	DIED	SURVIVOR	X2	p VALUE
96 HOUR				
INCREASED	16 (80.0%)	4 (20.0%)	22.34	< 0.001
(n=20)				
NO CHANGE	38 (60.3%)	25 (39.7%)		
(n=63)				
DECREASED	1 (5.9%)	16 (94.1%)		
(n=17)				
TOTAL	55 (55.0%)	45 (45.0%)		
OUTCOME FOR VENTILATOR SUPPORT				
MECHANICAL	SURVIVO	NON SURV	IVORS	
VENTILATION	RS			
STATUS				
VENTILATED	16	48		
NON VENTILATED	29	7		

DISCUSSION:

Sepsis is the clinical manifestation of a systemic response of the body to infection or an inflammatory associated with acute disease. (9,10) There are many scoring systems to determine and predict the prognosis of sepsis, such as the Glasgow coma scale, SAPS II with SOFA, and APACHE with SOFA. Studies have been performed to compare the merits and prognostic abilities of SAPS II score with SOFA score and APACHE score with SOFA score. (11,12) In this study, all patients with suspected/confirmed sepsis were admitted to the intensive care unit, medicine department were included in the study. Patients had to fulfil two or more criteria of systemic inflammation. The parameters involved in calculating the SOFA score were collected daily. The score was calculated till discharge from ICU, mortality, or day 4 of admission toward whichever was the earliest. The SOFA at admission was labelled T-0 and at day 2 was labelled as T-48 (i.e. at 48 hours) and at day 4 was labelled as T96 (i.e. at 96 hours). The difference is calculated as Delta SOFA. The Maximum SOFA was also calculated and compared with the outcome of the patient. Blood Investigations were taken under strict aseptic conditions with adequate care and sent to the hospital 24 hours laboratories immediately. All the investigations were done in our hospital and no investigations or procedures were done outside the hospital. Any experimental or unused materials or methods were not used on the patients. Serum bilirubin was calculated using an auto-analyzer using the method of Malloy and Evelyn. Blood pressure(BP) was measured every day manually. Glasgow Coma Scale(GCS) was calculated daily. Creatinine was measured by the modified Jaffe method using an automatic analyzer at the biochemistry lab. Various culture reports such as ascitic fluid, sputum, urine, blood, cerebrospinal fluid, endotracheal tube were sent under aseptic conditions. ABG was done using an ion-selective electrode in an ABG analyzer. Platelet count was done by using Sysmex KX 21.3, which is an automated cell count analyzer, in the clinical pathology lab.

The causative organisms were also studied and the SOFA score at admission to ICU was calculated. In the group of patients in whom SOFA was <7 at admission, there were 91% survivors. In patients who had SOFA scores 8-11, there were 43.75% were non-survivors and when the SOFA score was 12 or above, there were 82.5% non-survivors. These results were comparable to the study results published by F L Ferreira (7) which showed mortality is more than 80% when the SOFA score on admission is 12 or above. (Table IV). Minne et al. study showed that SOFA score at admission had only slightly worse performance than APACHE II/III and was competitive with SAPS II models in predicting mortality in the general medical and surgical ICU. Models with SOFA scores seem to have a comparable performance with other organ failure scores (13). Thereafter, the SOFA score at 48 hours of admission was calculated, the patient group, which had scores of 8-11, there were 34.28% non-survivors. In the patient group 12 or above, there were 95.2% non-survivors. There is a significant increase in mortality rate when the SOFA score is above 12. There is a steep rise in the mortality curve at this value. Admission SOFA, 48 hours SOFA, and 96 hours SOFA are all statistically significant with a p-value of <0.001. In a study by Ferreira et al., sequential assessment of organ dysfunction during the first few days of ICU admission was a good indicator of prognosis. Both on admission SOFA score and the highest SOFA score are useful predictors of mortality. Mortality, when the max SOFA score was more than 14, was 93.75% compared to 5.88% mortality when SOFA score was 8-9 (Table IV).

Table IV: Present Vs Previous Study

COMPARISON BETWEEN PRESENT STUDY AND F L FERREIRA ET AL STUDY:				
SOFA SCORE	PRESENT STUDY	F L FERREIRA STUDY		
=<5	0%	11%		
6-7	14.28%	21.5%		
8-9	29.62%	33.3%		
10-11	61.90%	50.0%		
=>12	82.50%	95.2%		
COMPARISON BETWEEN MAX SOFA SCORE AND				
MORTALITY BETWEEN PRESENT STUDY AND F L				
FERREIRA STUDY:				
SOFA SCORE	PRESENT STUDY	F L FERREIRA STUDY		
8-9	5.88%	26.3%		
10-11	30.76%	45.8%		
12-14	71.4%	80.0%		
>14	93.75%	89.7%		

Delta SOFA is the difference in values of SOFA score over some time that is also statistically significant in our study. There is strong evidence that patients whose delta SOFA values when increased from the previous value showed higher mortality. Similar findings were also seen in the Vincent Jl (8) study. Similarly in the study by F L Ferreira et al (7), the results showed that regardless of the initial score, the mortality rate was at least 50% when the sofa score was increased. In the present study, 75% of patients did not survive whose SOFA scores were increased from baseline in the first 48 hours. Thus, the results of this study corroborate with the results of the above-mentioned studies. In our study, sex of the patient did not play a significant role in influencing mortality. The morbidity and mortality are purely related to the underlying disease state. But, the need for mechanical ventilation predicted mortality outcomes, since the patients who were ventilated showed a higher mortality rate (75%) compared to those who did not require ventilator support (19%), as evidenced by the statistically significant p-value of < 0.001. In a study by Rangel-Frausto et al, mortality varies from 35% to 70%, depending on factors such as age, comorbidities, presence of acute lung injury or renal failure, whether the infection is nosocomial or polymicrobial, and whether α fungus is a causative agent. (14) In this study, DM was associated with 21.8% of alcoholic liver disease and 16.36% of mortality. The most common organism isolated was E.COLI seen in 14% of patients, followed by PSEUDOMONAS (9%) and KLEBSIELLA (7%). The fungus was seen in 5% of patients.

CONCLUSION:

Sequential assessment of organ dysfunction during the first few days of ICU admission is a good indicator of prognosis. SOFA score at 48 hours and MAX SOFA score, as well as Δ SOFA score, are useful predictors of the outcome as compared to SOFA score at admission. In this study, SOFA Score at 48 hours, 96 hours, max SOFA, Δ SOFA showed mortality with a P-value of <0.001 which is statistically significant. Hence, use of SOFA score, Δ SOFA score, and MAX SOFA score are good predictors of outcomes in patients with sepsis.

Consent:

Informed consent was taken as per the standard procedures in the institution.

Financial support and sponsorship:

Nil

Conflicts of interest:

There are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical clearance:

Obtained from the ethical committee of the institution.

Acknowledgment:

This paper and the research behind it would not have been possible without the exceptional support of my team members. Their enthusiasm, knowledge and exacting attention to detail have been an inspiration and kept my work on track from my first encounter.

REFERENCES:

- Linde-Zwirble WT, Angus DC. Severe sepsis epidemiology: sampling, selection and society. Crit care. 2004; 8:222-6.
- Sharmila Chatterjee, Mahuya Bhattacharya, Subhashkumar. Epidemiology of adult population sepsis in India. Indian j crit care med. 2017 sep; 21(9): 573577.
- Zimmerman JE, Kramer A. Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE): Hospital mortality assessment for today's critically ill patients. Crit care med. 2006; 34:1297-1310.
- Moreno RP, Metnitz PG, Almeida E, et al. SAPS 3-from evaluation of the patient to evaluation of the intensive care unit. Intensive care med. 2005; 31:1345-1355.
- Le Gall JR, Klar j, Lemeshow S, et al. the logistic organ dysfunction syndrome.
 A new way to assess organ dysfunction in the intensive care unit. ICU scoring group. JAMA. 1996; 276:802-810.
- Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, et al. the sofa score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. Intensive care med. 1996; 22:707-710.
- Ferreira FL, Bota DP, Bross A, MelotC, VincentJL, serial evaluation of the sofa score to predict the outcome in critically ill patients JAMA. 2001 oct 10; 286(14): 1754-8.
- Vincent JL, de mendonca A, cantrain e F, et al. use of the sofa score to assess the incidence of organ dysfunction/failure in intensive care unit.crit care med. 1998;26(11):1793-1800.
- Beal AL, Cerra FB. Multiple organ failure syndrome in the 199s. Systemic inflammatory response and organ dysfunction. JAMA 1994;271:226-33.
- Vincent JL, Bihari D. Sepsis, severe sepsis or sepsis syndrome: need for clarification. Intensive care med 1992;18:255-7.
- Minne L, Abu-Hanna A, De jonge E. Evaluation of SOFA based models for predicting mortality in ICU. A systemic review. Crit care 2008; 12: R161.
- Halim D, Murni T, Redjeki I. comparison of APACHE II, SOFA and modified SOFA scores in predicting mortality of surgical patients in intensive care unit. Crit care shock 2009;12:157-69.
- Bota DP Ferreira FL., Bross A, Melot C, Vincent JL. Serial evaluation of SOFA scores to predict outcome in critically ill patients. IAMA 2001:286:1754-8.
- scores to predict outcome in critically ill patients. JAMA 2001;286:1754-8.

 14. Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S,, Moss M. the epidemiology of sepsis in united states from 1979 through 20. N eng J Med 2003;348:1546-54.