VOLUME - 12, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2023 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

SPART FOR RESEARCE	Original Research Paper	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	
rmore Philade	EVALUATION OF OGCT AS SCREENING AND DIAGNOSTIC TOOL FOR GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS		
Pravalika	Post Graduate Resident, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pacific Medical College and Hospital, Bedla, Udaipur.		
Kamalesh Dhanjani	Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pacific Medical College and Hospital, Bedla, Udaipur.		
Rajrani Sharma*	Professor and HOD, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pacific Medical College and Hospital, Bedla, Udaipur. *Corresponding Author		
Akshika	PG Resident, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pacific Medical College and Hospital, Bedla, Udaipur.		
KEYWORDS .			

INTRODUCTION:

Pregnancy induces progressive changes in maternal carbohydrate metabolism. As pregnancy advances insulin resistance and diabetogenic stress due to placental hormones necessitate compensatory increase in insulin secretion. Gestational diabetes mellitus developed as a result in this situation. In respect to complications, GDM and pre GDM women are having equal magnitude.High prevalence ethnically proven population with T2DM is strongly recommended to universal Screening. 2 hrs Blood suagr level above 140 mg/dl is worldwide criteria for gestational diabetes mellitus. Pregnant women with GDM are having poor pregnancy outcome with increasing incidence of pre eclampsia, lower segment caesarean section and macrosomia.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES:

- 1. To know the Incidence of GDM from their first visit at the antenatal opd.
- 2. To analyse maternal and perinatal outcome in GCT positive and GCT negative patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Type of Study: Prospective Study

Inclusion criteria

Women aged between 18-40 years.

All pregnant women irrespective of parity. Women with singleton pregnancy. Women with no past history of GDM or DM.

Exclusion criteria-

- Already a known case of type 1 & 2 diabetes mellitus.
- Women with auto immune disorders like systemic lupus erythematous, thyroid, PCOS.
- Women with multiple gestation. Women with co-morbid conditions such as PIH and heart conditions. Patients on drugs steroids, Calcium Channel blockers, Thiazides.

Study protocol:

Patients who will fulfil the above criteria will undergo the following tests.

IV blood sampling by GOD-POD METHOD after 50gm of glucose for GCT.

IV blood sampling by GOD-POD METHOD after 75gm of glucose for OGTT.

Method of performing GCT: Patient is asked to drink 50g of glucose dissolved in 200 ml of water, without regard to time or

day of last meal Those with blood glucose level >/= 140mg/dl are considered to be positive. METHOD OF PERFORMING 75GM OGTT BY WHO CRITERIA: Those who are positive at screening test using a cut off of >/= 140 mg/dl will undergo confirmatory oral glucose tolerance test with 75g of oral glucose Patient will be instructed to come after 72 hours after overnight fasting (8-14 hours). She should take a carbohydrate unrestricted diet (not less than 150 gram per day) for 3 days before test is performed. Fasting venous sample is obtained. 75 grams of glucose is dissolved in 200-400 ml of water and is asked to drink in 5 minutes. Venous blood is drawn after 2 HRS. Test sample was collected in glass tube with sodium fluoride for inhibiting glucose utilization and EDTA for anti coagulation.

- Criteria for diagnosing GDM by WHO criteria of 2 hours blood sugar level 140 mg % and above is to be diagnosed as GDM. Patients diagnosed as GDM were closely monitored with frequent antenatal checkup. Intrapartum monitoring, mode of delivery, details regarding neonatal complications were observed.
- Data analysis Demographic, biochemical and obstetric data have been analysed with suitable statistical software.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION -Table 1: Incidence:

Out of 100 patients included in our study, 14 % were having

post-meal sugar more than 140 mg/dl, 9 were having postmeal sugar more than 130 mg/dl and 11 were having postmeal sugar more than 120 mg/dl.

GDM	No. of patients		Incidence
			Percentage
hr Blood sugar > 2)			
(140 mg/dl			
Yes		14	14.0%
No		86	86.0%
Total		100	100%

Table 1 - Incidence of GDM in study population

INC	IDEN	CE O	F GDM



Figure 1 – Incidence of GDM in study population

GJRA - GLOBAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH ANALYSIS * 27

VOLUME - 12, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2023 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

Table 2: Fasting blood sugar

FB	Non	GDM	Percentage
S	GDM		GDM
< 95 mg%	82	2	14.29
96-125 mg%	4	10	71.43
>125 mg%	0	2	14.29
	86	14	100%

Table No. 2 shows that in our study, fasting blood sugar range of 96-125 mg% is found in 71.43% GDM women. Mean fasting blood sugar is 115 mg%.

Table 3: Post Prandial Blood Sugar - GDM

PPBS	Number of GDM	Percen
	women	tage
< 160 mg%	8	57.14
161-200 mg%	4	28.57
> 200 mg%	2	14.29
	14	100%

Table no 2 shows that in our study more than 200mg% is in 14.29% and PPBS less than 160 mg% is in 57.14% GDM women. Mean PPBS was 178 mg%



Table 4: Mode of Treatment

Mode of Treatment	No	Percentage
Meal Plan	10	71.43
Insulin	4	28.57
	14	100%

10 GDM women were started on meal plan, 4 patients were started on insulin. 80% of patients started with Meal plan had been supported with insulin later.



Table 5: Mode of Delivery

Mode of	Number	Percentage	
delivery			
LSCS	4	28.57%	
Normal	10	71.42%	
delivery			
	14	100%	

Majority of GDM women (71.42%) participated in our study were delivered by normal vaginal delivery.

CONCLUSION-

Incidence of GDM is 14% in the study conducted. Universal screening by single step procedure is convenient, economical and suitable alternative screening test without sacrificing

these sensitivity expected for screening test. Thereby early detection and effective management to maintain optimal blood glucose concentration will reduce perinatal mortality and morbidity and adverse effect for the mother in future. Despite years of meticulous study, paucity of information still exits regarding the optimal maternal glucose levels that should be aimed for in order to reduce the embryonic, fetal and perinatal morbidity and yet not cause any harm to intra uterine development. The goal is to encourage early referral of both pre gestational and gestational diabetic women so that the strict glycemic control will be instituted at the proper time in order to prevent maternal hyperglycemia complications. The key finding here is that the risk of overweight and obese children rises in step with higher levels of blood sugar during pregnancy. By treating Gestational Diabetes, future risk of children becoming overweight, obese, PCOS, Diabetes drops considerably. To help the all expectant women for there good maternal outcome, the Government of Rajasthan has planned the GDM screening incorporate in the routine ANC check up in all Government Hospitals. in order to ensure the early diagnosis of GDM, to reduce infant mortality rate in the state.



REFERENCES

- Mooyaart AL. Diabetic nephropathy: pathology, genetics and carnosine 1. metabolism. Department Pathology, Faculty of Medicine/Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Leiden University; 2011 Jan 27.
- King H, Rewers M. Global estimates for prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in adults. Diabetes care. 1993 Jan 1;16(1):157-77. 1.
- 2. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH. Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. Diabetes care. 1998 Sep 1;21(9):1414-31.
- 3. Young LY, Koda-Kimble MA, Kradjan WA, Guglielmo BJ, editors. Applied therapeutics: the clinical use of drugs. Vancouver, WA: Applied therapeutics; 1988.
- 4. Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Jameson JL, Fauci AS, Longo DL, Loscalzo J. Medicina Interna de Harrison-2 Volumes-19. McGraw Hill Brasil; 2016 Oct 24. 5
- https://idf.org/our-activities/care-prevention/diabetes-andthekidney.html
- 6. Defronzo RA. Pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes: metabolic and molecular implications for identifying diabetes genes. Diabetes reviews. 1997;5:177266.
- 7. Alvin C Powers, Joe C Davis. Diabetes mellitus in Harrison's principle of internal medicine by Anthony S, fauci, dennis L Kasper, dan L. longo, and Eugene Braunwald et al ; 17th EDN ; Mc Graw-hill companies, united states of America, 2008
- Hillier TA, Pedula KL. Complications in young adults with early-onset type 2 8. diabetes: losing the relative protection of youth. Diabetes care. 2003 Nov 1:26(11):2999-3005.
- 9. American Diabetes Association. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes—2019. Diabetes Care. 2019 Jan 1;42 (Supplement 1):S13-28.
- There are several identifiable predisposing risk factors for GDM in the 10. absence of risk factors for less incidence of GDM.(1)
- 11. Using 2 hour plasma glucose > 140 mg% is simple and economical particularly for countries ethnically more prone to high prevalence of diabetes mellitus (2).
- en Harouch et al, Diabet Med 2004, Feb 21(2): 103-13 related articles. 12
- SeshiaeV et al, J. Association of physician of India 2004: Sep 25: 707-11. 13.
- Mclean M et al, Diabetic Med 2006, Nov 23 (11): 1213-15. Related articles. 14.
- Sandoval et al, gynecol and obstet 2006 Apr : 74 (4) : 199 204 15.
- ${\tt Benchi\,Mol\,M\,et\,al,\,obstet\,gynecol,\,Fertile\,2006\,Feb:\,34\,(2)107-14.}$ 16. Agarwal M et al, screening in high risk population, gynecol obstet : 2006 273 17. (6) 323-30.
- Diciannai G. el, Diabetes Res Clinic Pract 2003 Nov 612 (2): 137-7.
- 19 Bartza et al, Am. J. Obst. Gynec. 2000:182:346.
- 20. GDM, obstet gynecol 83: 1038 (1994).
- IAMA, influence of diagnostic criteria for GDM and prenatal mortality. 21. 1993:26:609.
- 22 HAPO Study Co operation research group, Int. J .of obstetrics and gynecol, 2002.78.69-7
- Anjalakshi et al, Balaji et al, Journal OGGSI, 2007, 7-11. 23
- Diabetes care, 1991: 40: 25-9. 24

VOLUME - 12, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2023 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

- 25
- Raviendar Madan et al, 17th IDF congress, Mexico 2000. Seshiah. V et al, DIPAP Data presented at WDF, Diabetes summit, Hanoi, Feb 26. 2006.
- 27. Xiong et al, Int. J. Obstet and Gynecol, 2001:75:221-8.
- 28. Boet et al, Diabetologia, 2001; 44; 972-8.
- Jimenez et al Predictive value of screening for GDM, Acta obstet and gynecol scand, 2000: 79: 991-8.
- 30. Ege land et al, GDM population based study. Br.Med J, 2002: 321:546-7 31. Wang et al, GDM prevalence and risk factors. Int. J ob and gynecol, 2001: 75:
- 221-8. 32.
- Koustal et al, prevalence of PCOS with GDM, *clincal endocrinol*, 2000: 53:5017 Mikola et al, PCOS women with obstetric outcome, human reproduction, 2001: 33. 16:226-9
- 34. Irgens et al, birth characteristics of women who developed GDM. Br. Med J, 2000: 321: 546-7.
- 35.
- Mac Neil et al, recurrence of GDM. *Diabetes care*, 2001:24:659–62 Sivan E et al, Impact of fetal reduction on GDM. *Obstet of gynecol*, 2002: 99: 36. 91-4.
- 37. ARD Ann J et al, Diabetes care, 2007 Feb: 30:251
- Christine C et al, obst. Gynecol, April 2006; 107:655.
 Monigue et al, obst. Gynecol, 2006; 108:1153-1161.
 Anna et al, Diabetes care, 2007, 30:878-883.
- 41. Gultonen and Terano, Hypertension and PIH in women with GDM, 1993:72:269-272.
- Ingrid et al, Acta obstetrica et gynecological Scandinavian, 2004,83:46-51.
 JAMA: Body weight and subsequent DM, 249: 942- 952.
 AMJ, Obs & gynecol, 1989: 161: 981