



EFFICACY OF INTRATHECAL DEXMEDETOMIDINE WITH BUPIVACAINE VERSUS FENTANYL WITH BUPIVACAINE IN SPINAL ANAESTHESIA FOR ELECTIVE CAESAREAN SECTIONS.

**Dr. Guddipo
chagalla Kranthi
kiran**

Junior Residents, Department of Anaesthesia, Mallareddy institute of medical sciences, Hyderabad, 500055.

Dr. Boda Madhuri

Junior Residents, Department of Anaesthesia, Mallareddy institute of medical sciences, Hyderabad, 500055.

**Dr. Suresh
Chander***

HOD, Department of Anaesthesiology, Mallareddy institute of medical sciences, Hyderabad. *Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Background: Post-operative pain management in caesarean cases is major challenge to the anaesthesiologists. Bupivacaine is the preferable choice which prolong sensory and motor block. But adding intrathecal adjuvants to this helps in reducing hemodynamic effects and provide prolonged satisfactory block. This study aimed to assess efficacy of intrathecal 5µg Dexmedetomidine with 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg and 25µg fentanyl with 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg in spinal anaesthesia for elective caesarean section. **Materials and methods:** A total 120 primigravida and multigravida cases between 20 to 35 years, undergoing Caesarean section under subarachnoid block belong to ASA grade I & II were included. Cases were randomly divided into two groups. Group 1 administered with 5µg Dexmedetomidine & 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg intrathecally and group 2 with 25µg fentanyl & 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine intrathecally. **Results:** Onset of motor blockade ($p=0.021$) was statistically significant whereas complete motor blockade ($p=0.089$), onset of sensory blockade (0.734), Two segment regression time (0.254) and duration for maximum sensory blockade (0.648) were not statistically significant. Mean sedation rate was not statistically significant. **Conclusion:** Dexmedetomidine group had prolonged duration of post-operative analgesia and had no associated clinical complications. Dexmedetomidine group had better haemodynamic stability and sedation scores.

KEYWORDS : Spinal anaesthesia, Efficacy, Dexmedetomidine, Bupivacaine, Fentanyl, sedation score.

INTRODUCTION

Intrathecal adjuvants are crucial in postoperative pain management. In recent years, the rate of caesarean sections were increased among worldwide. Post-operative pain management in caesarean cases is important to avoid the adverse events of pain in mother and it helps to early recovery and better nursing of the baby⁽¹⁻³⁾.

In anaesthesia practice, bupivacaine is commonly used local anaesthetic agent which has remarkable ability to produce prolonged sensory and motor block. But bupivacaine had a drawback in producing side effects. This reason encourages the concept and choice of adding adjuvants to local anaesthetic agents in spinal anaesthesia. Adding intrathecal adjuvants to the local anaesthetic agents helps in reducing hemodynamic effects and provide satisfactory block^(4,5).

Dexmedetomidine is a α_2 agonist, has ability to extend the duration of analgesia, maintains haemodynamic stability and has minimal neonatal and maternal complications⁽⁶⁾. Fentanyl is a lipophilic μ receptor opioid agonist. As an adjuvant to local anaesthetic agents it helps to reduce visceral and somatic pain⁽⁷⁾. The present study was designed to assess the efficacy of intrathecal 0.5 ml 5µg Dexmedetomidine with 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg (1.5ml) and 0.5ml 25µg fentanyl with 1.5ml 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for elective caesareans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present prospective study was conducted in Department of Anaesthesiology, at Mallareddy institute of medical sciences, suraram, Hyderabad, during March 2021 to August 2022. A total 120 primigravida and multigravida cases between 20 to 35 years, undergoing Caesarean section under subarachnoid block belong to ASA grade I & II were included. Cases with psychiatric complications, renal complications, seizures, cardiovascular complications, gestational hypertension, sensitive to the study drugs were excluded from

the study. Informed consent was obtained from all the cases and study protocol was approved by institutional ethics committee.

All the study participants were randomly divided in to two groups. Group 1 cases administered with 5µg Dexmedetomidine in 0.5ml of normal saline and 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 7.5mg (1.5ml) intrathecally and group 2 cases administered with 0.5ml 25µg fentanyl with 1.5ml 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine intrathecally. All patients received Inj. Ranitidine 50mg IV and Inj. metoclopramide 10mg IV for aspiration prophylaxis 30 minutes before surgery.

During procedure, time to onset and complete motor blockade and sensory blocked was observed. Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, Mean heart rate and Oxygen saturation rates were recorded at the beginning, 5, 10, 15 and thereafter every 15 min until 60 minutes. Sedation scores were recorded based on Ramsay sedation score. Associated clinical complications were noted and neonatal APGAR scores 1 and 5 minutes. The data was collected in to Microsoft excel sheet and was analysed by statistical software SPSS of windows by using student 't' test.

RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic values of present study groups.

Demographic parameters	Group 1		Group 2		P-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age (In years)	25.82	4.02	26.14	3.67	0.728
Height (In cm)	151.05	4.64	151.8	4.48	0.236
Weight (In kg)	56.12	6.45	56.28	7.35	0.456
Duration of surgery	51.26	6.83	51.56	7.01	0.524

Table 2: Details of motor and sensory blockade among two drug groups.

Demographic parameters	Group 1		Group 2		P-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Motor block					

Onset of motor blockade	2.12	1.04	2.49	0.99	0.021
Complete motor blockade	5.66	2.24	6.23	2.05	0.089
Sensory block					
Onset of sensory blockade	4.52	2.01	4.63	1.85	0.734
Time for maximum sensory blockade	12.34	3.57	12.28	3.54	0.648
Two segment regression time	89.4	11.08	88.82	11.02	0.254




Figure 1: Sedation scores of the two study groups.

Table 3: Comparative values of mean heart rate and mean arterial pressure among study groups.

Time	Mean heart rate			Mean arterial pressure		
	Group 1 (Mean ± SD)	Group 2 (Mean ± SD)	P-value	Group 1 (Mean ± SD)	Group 2 (Mean ± SD)	p-value
At 0 min	94.7 ± 12.28	93.9 ± 10.2	0.672	90.98 ± 8.54	93.76 ± 6.58	0.054
At 5 min	89.1 ± 14.22	79.2 ± 10.5	0.005*	80.45 ± 9.26	81.25 ± 4.94	0.266
At 10 min	84.7 ± 13.98	75.6 ± 9.54	0.003*	74.21 ± 7.10	76.32 ± 5.28	0.582
At 15 min	81.7 ± 12.36	77.3 ± 11.2	0.784	72.06 ± 6.65	71.22 ± 6.64	0.668
At 30 min	84.1 ± 12.04	80.2 ± 9.74	0.609	70.28 ± 6.42	67.18 ± 8.02	0.021
At 45 min	80.74 ± 10.1	79.5 ± 8.89	0.561	73.86 ± 5.58	73.54 ± 8.66	0.628
At 60 min	78.54 ± 9.82	78.6 ± 7.23	0.477	76.44 ± 5.12	77.28 ± 6.82	0.437




Figure 2: Comparison of mean values of systolic blood pressure (SBP) among study groups.




Figure 3: Comparison of mean values of diastolic blood pressure (DBP) among study groups.

Table 4: Details of neonatal outcome and time period for rescue analgesia among study groups.

	Group 1 (Mean ± SD)	Group 2 (Mean ± SD)	p-value
APGAR score			
APGAR score at 1 min	7.62 ± 2.04	7.54 ± 1.18	0.542
APGAR score at 5 min	9.55 ± 1.08	9.66 ± 0.98	0.848
Time period for rescue analgesia (In sec)			
	228 ± 24.5	156.2 ± 18.78	0.002*

Time period of rescue analgesia was statistically significant between two study groups ($P=0.002$). The associated side effects was nausea and vomiting in two groups but pruritis was seen in group 2.

DISCUSSION

Spinal anaesthesia technique is a preferable choice for caesarean section⁽⁸⁾. Hyperbaric bupivacaine is a desired alternative for spinal anaesthesia but has less duration of analgesia post operatively which needs analgesic backup. Combination of bupivacaine along with other drugs in spinal anaesthesia is helpful to improve intra operative and postoperative analgesia with minimal neonatal and maternal adverse effects⁽⁹⁾. This study was conducted to assess the efficacy of adding Dexmedetomidine and fentanyl with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section. In this study age ($p=0.728$), height ($p=0.236$), weight ($p=0.456$) and duration of surgery (0.524) was statistically not significant between two drug groups (Table 1). Study by Xia et al. found no significant difference among demographic data and duration of surgery between two study groups⁽¹⁰⁾.

In this study onset of motor blockade ($p=0.021$) was statistically significant whereas complete motor blockade ($p=0.089$), onset of sensory blockade (0.734), Two segment regression time (0.254) and duration for maximum sensory blockade (0.648) were not statistically significant (Table 2). Study by Xia et al. found no significant difference in the onset time of motor block and highest level of motor block. Mean duration of sensory block was statistically significantly⁽¹⁰⁾.

The mean Sedation score of group 1 was slightly more than group 2 which was statistically significant whereas at other time intervals sedation scores was statistically not significant (Figure 1). The mean sedation scores was high in Dexmedetomidine group than fentanyl group⁽¹¹⁾. Study by Gupta et al stated mean sedation score was more in Dexmedetomidine group and was statistically significant⁽¹²⁾. The mean heart rate at 5 min and 10 min was statistically significant whereas at other time intervals it was not statistically significant. At the beginning, 5min, 10min, 15min, 30 min the mean heart rate of group 1 was higher than group 2 whereas at 45 min & 60 min values are comparatively similar in both groups (Table 3). Study by Anjan das et al. tested different doses Dexmedetomidine along with bupivacaine noticed bradycardia in cases undergoing abdominal hysterectomy⁽¹³⁾. In this study mean heart rate was significantly lower in group 2 and no bradycardia was noticed in cases.

The mean arterial pressure at the beginning, 5min, and 10min and at 60 min was less in group 1 than group 2 and statistically significant at 30 min (Table 3). The mean systolic blood pressure was less in group 1 at the beginning, 5min, 10min and at 15min and SBP become more at 30 min, 45 min and 60 min in group 1. The mean SBP at 10 min and 30 min was statistically significant among two study groups (figure 2). The mean diastolic blood pressure in entire duration of procedure was more in group 2 than group 1 except at 30 min. The mean DBP at 10 min, 15min and 30 min was statistically significant among two study groups (figure 3).

The mean APGAR scores in group 1 & 2 was statistically not significant at 1 min and 5 min. APGAR score was more in

group 1 at 1 min and more in group2 at 5 min.Study by Neuman et al. on cases undergoing caesarean section found no adverse effects on neonatal APGAR scores in Dexmedetomidine drug group ⁽¹⁴⁾. Palanisamy et al in their study found no adverse events on APGAR score and maternal symptoms associated with Dexmedetomidine in caesarean cases ⁽¹⁵⁾. Sun et al. in their study stated that effects of Dexmedetomidine with bupivacaine and bupivacaine with fentanyl were similar and there was no significant difference in Apgar score among two groups ⁽¹⁶⁾.Time period of rescue analgesia was statistically significant in both groups (Table 4). Gupta et al. noticed similar findings and time period of rescue analgesia was statistically significant ⁽¹²⁾.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study concluded that, Dexmedetomidine group has haemodynamic stability but fentanyl group need backup with ephedrine more often than Dexmedetomidine group. The rates of motor blockade and mean sedation scores were better in Dexmedetomidine group the fentanyl group. Dexmedetomidine group had prolonged duration of post-operative analgesia and had no associated clinical complications.

REFERENCES

- Gizzo S, Noventa M, Fagherazzi S, Lamparelli L, Ancona E, Di Gangi S, Saccardi C, D'Antona D, Nardelli GB. Update on best available options in obstetrics anaesthesia: perinatal outcomes, side effects and maternal satisfaction. Fifteen years systematic literature review. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2014;290:21-34.
- Eisenach JC, Pan PH, Smiley R, Lavand'homme P, Landau R, Houle TT. Severity of acute pain after childbirth, but not type of delivery, predicts persistent pain and postpartum depression. *Pain* 2008;140:87-94.
- Samal S, Rani P, Chandrasekar LJ, Jena SK, Mail ID. Intrathecal buprenorphine or intrathecal dexmedetomidine for postoperative analgesia: A comparative study. *Health* 2014;2:9-14.
- Hunt CO, Naulty JS, Bader AM, Hauch MA, Vartikar JV, Datta S. Perioperative analgesia with subarachnoid fentanyl-bupivacaine for cesarean delivery. *Anesthesiology* 1989; 71:535-540.
- Combic C.R, Wong C.A. Labour analgesia and systemic outcomes, *British J Anaesth*. 2010;105(suppl 1):150-60.
- Meitei AJ, Rubina O, Laithangbam PKS, Singh JI, Ingudum D, Mithun Raj R. Evaluation of intrathecal bupivacaine alone, bupivacaine with butorphanol and bupivacaine with dexmedetomidine for lower segment caesarean section: a randomized control trial. *Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol* 2016;5:2675-82.
- Un Canan, D Ornek, F Donmez et al. Comparison of maternal and neonatal effects of bupivacaine plus fentanyl and ropivacaine plus fentanyl during cesarean section. *Niger J ClinPrac* 2013; 16(2):195-200.
- Veena A, Amit A, Jagdish SP, Gupta V. Comparison of intrathecal sufentanil and morphine in addition to bupivacaine for cesarean section under spinal anesthesia. *Anaesth Pain Intensive Care* 2010; 14:99-101.
- Dahlgren G, Hultstrand C, Jakobsson J, Norman M, Eriksson EW, Martin H. Intrathecal sufentanil, fentanyl, or placebo added to bupivacaine for cesarean section. *Anesth Analg* 1997; 85:1288-1293.
- Feng Xia, Xiangyang Chang, Yinfa Zhang, Lizhong Wang, Fei Xiao. The effect of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine on the dose requirement of hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section: a prospective, double blinded, randomized study. *BMC Anesthesiology* (2018) 18:74.
- Mahdy WR, Abdullah SI. Effect of adding Dexmedetomidine versus fentanyl to intrathecal bupivacaine on spinal block characteristics and neonatal outcome in caesarean delivery: a randomized double blind placebo controlled study. *Meno Med Journ*. January 2011; 24(1): 221-232.
- Gupta R, Varma R, Bogra J, Kohli M, Raman R, Kushwala J K. A comparative study of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl as adjuvants to Bupivacaine. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol* 2011;27(3):339-43.
- Das A, Halder S, Chattopadhyay et al. Effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine as adjuvant in bupivacaine induced subarachnoid block for elective abdominal hysterectomy operations. A prospective, double blind randomized controlled study. *Oman med J* 2015;30(4):257-63.
- Neumann MM, Davio MB, Macknet MR, Applegate RL 2nd. Dexmedetomidine for awake fiberoptic intubation in a parturient with spinal muscular atrophy type III for cesarean delivery. *Int J Obstet Anesth*. 2009;18(4):403-7.
- Palanisamy A, Klickovich RJ, Ramsay M, Ouyang DW, Tsen LC. Intravenous dexmedetomidine as an adjunct for labor analgesia and caesarean delivery anesthesia in a parturient with a tethered spinal cord. *Int J Obstet Anesth*. 2009;18(3):258-61.
- Sun Y, Xu Y, Wang GN. Comparative evaluation of intrathecal bupivacaine alone, bupivacaine-fentanyl, and bupivacaine dexmedetomidine in caesarean section. *Drug Res*. 2014;65(9):468-72.