



INFLAMMATORY PARAMETERS IN COVID-19: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY OF 50 PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE IN EASTERN INDIA.

Jayati Gupta	Msc PhD Biochemistry, Senior Consultant, Department of Biochemistry, Desun Hospital Kolkata, West Bengal, India.
Priyanka Maity*	MD Pathology, Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, College of Medicine & JNM Hospital, West Bengal, India. *Corresponding Author
Dipanwita Nag	MD Pathology, Professor, Department of Pathology, Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.
Himadri Mondal	MD Microbiology, Senior Consultant, Department of Microbiology, Desun Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.

ABSTRACT

Background And Objectives- The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused the recent pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Inflammatory responses play a critical role in the progression of COVID-19. It is important to identify early manifestations of COVID-19 patients who are at risk for disease progression and manage them accordingly. In this study we aimed to determine the inflammatory markers viz. C-reactive protein (CRP), D-dimer, procalcitonin, ferritin and IL-6, in COVID-19 patients and compare these parameters between discharged (after recovery) and expired patients. We also looked for any association between these parameters and number of days of hospitalisation of the discharged (after recovery) patients. **Methods-** We conducted a retrospective observational study on 50 COVID-19 patients. The level of inflammatory markers viz. CRP, D-dimer, Procalcitonin, Ferritin and IL-6 of the patients were recorded. The patients were divided into two broad categories: - Group A: Discharged from hospital after recovery; Group B: expired at hospital. Group A was divided into Group A1: Discharged from hospital after recovery, number of days of hospitalisation ≤ 10 ; Group A2: Discharged from hospital after recovery, number of days of hospitalisation > 10 . **Results-** Patients in group B (non survivors) had significantly higher serum levels of d-Dimer, procalcitonin and ferritin than group A (survivors). **Conclusion-** High serum levels of d-Dimer, procalcitonin and ferritin can predict worse outcome in COVID-19 patients.

KEYWORDS : COVID-19, CRP, d-Dimer, Ferritin, IL6, Procalcitonin, SARS-CoV-2

INTRODUCTION

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused the recent pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). This disease has made major public health and economic impact.⁽¹⁾ The clinical spectrum of COVID-19 is varied. The patients can be asymptomatic carrier or they can develop severe, bilateral, and diffuse pneumonia, leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), respiratory failure and multiple organ dysfunction.^(2,3) The common clinical features of COVID-19 are cough, fever, dyspnea, fatigue, myalgia, headache, anosmia, ageusia and diarrhoea.^(4,5) SARS-CoV-2 spreads among people through direct contact routes and by droplet and airborne transmission. It is important to identify early manifestations of COVID-19 patients who are at risk for disease progression and manage them accordingly. This will alleviate the major stress on healthcare systems.

Inflammatory responses play a critical role in the progression of COVID-19.⁽⁶⁾ Inflammatory responses are induced by rapid viral replication of SARS-CoV-2 and cellular destruction. There is recruitment of macrophages and monocytes and the release of cytokines and chemokines.

These cytokines and chemokines then attract immune cells and activate immune responses, leading to cytokine storms and aggravations.⁽⁷⁾ Several inflammatory markers can detect disease severity and fatality. Inflammatory markers such as procalcitonin, serum ferritin, d-dimer, C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been reported to be significantly associated with the high risks of the development of severe COVID-19.^(8,9) However, these results remain controversial due to no observed difference in their levels by other studies.^(10,11)

The early stage of COVID-19 may be associated with high D-

dimer, prolonged prothrombin time (PT), and elevated levels of fibrinogen, indicating activation of coagulation pathways and thrombosis. Studies have reported a 3 to 4-fold rise in D-dimer levels is linked to poor prognosis. In addition, underlying diseases such as diabetes, cancer, stroke, and pregnancy may trigger an increase in D-dimer levels in COVID-19 patients.⁽¹²⁾

Procalcitonin is a glycoprotein without hormonal activity and the precursor of calcitonin. Serum procalcitonin levels are usually low or undetectable. They are increased in bacterial infections and relatively low in viral infections and, therefore, can be used to distinguish between bacterial and viral infections.⁽¹³⁾

Increased serum ferritin caused by the excessive inflammation is associated with high mortality and represents an indication to recognize high-risk patients to guide the therapeutic intervention to control inflammation. Serum ferritin, a feature of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, which is a known complication of viral infection, is closely related to poor recovery of COVID-19 patients.⁽¹⁴⁾

CRP is a non-specific acute-phase protein induced by IL-6 in the liver and a sensitive biomarker of inflammation and tissue damage. CRP expression level is usually low but increases rapidly and significantly during acute inflammatory responses. The elevation of CRP in isolation or in combination with other markers may reveal bacterial or viral infections.

Lionte et al demonstrated that inflammation biomarkers, when performed on admission and predischARGE, in a cohort of noncritically ill COVID-19 hospitalized patients throughout successive pandemic waves, showed a moderate predictive value for 30-day mortality and modest predictive value for post-acute long COVID-19. Among inflammation biomarkers, CRP had the best performance.⁽²²⁾

In this study we aimed to determine the inflammatory markers viz. CRP, D- dimer, procalcitonin, ferritin and IL-6, in COVID-19 patients and compare these parameters between discharged (after recovery) and expired patients. We also looked for any association between these parameters and number of days of hospitalisation of the discharged (after recovery) patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective observational study at Desun Hospital. It included 50 COVID-19 patients admitted at our institution, from July to September 2020. This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institute. Data pertaining to the patients were retrieved from institutional archives.

The study included real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT PCR) confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. Patients who had hematological malignancies and immunodeficient states were excluded from the study. Clinical data pertaining to the cases such as age, sex, signs and symptoms as well as relevant history were obtained from medical records. The level of inflammatory markers viz. CRP, D- dimer, Procalcitonin, Ferritin and IL-6 of the patients were recorded.

The RT PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infected patients were divided into two broad categories based on outcome: - Group A: Discharged from hospital after recovery; Group B: expired at hospital. Group A was further divided into Group A1: Discharged from hospital after recovery, with number of days of hospitalisation ≤ 10 ; Group A2: Discharged from hospital after recovery, with number of days of hospitalisation > 10 . The cut off for high CRP, high D- dimer, high procalcitonin, high ferritin and high IL-6 were 10 mg/L, 200 ng/ml, 0.05 ng/ml, 300 ng/ml and 6 pg/ml respectively.

The values of CRP, D- dimer, procalcitonin, ferritin and IL-6 of the RT PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infected patients were expressed as mean and range. These variables were compared between group A and group B, and group A1 and group A2 using unpaired t test- two tailed. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages, and compared between the groups using Fischer's exact test or Chi square test. All statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 6™. p Value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Our study included 50 patients. The mean age of the patients was 61.9 years (range: 27–89 years). 37 patients (74%) were male and 13 patients (26%) were females. Group A included 40 (80%) patients and group B included 10 (20%) patients, respectively. The mean age of patients in group A was 59.6 years (range: 27-89 years) and that in group B was 70.7 years (range: 62-85 years) ($p = 0.01$). Group A1 and group A2 included 26 (65%) and 14 (35%) patients, respectively. The mean age of patients in group A1 was 60.6 years (range: 27-89 years) and that in group B was 57.9 years (range: 32-79 years) ($p = 0.5$). Among males, 29 (78.3%) patients were discharged while 8 (21.7%) patients died. Among females, 11 (84.6%) patients were discharged while 2 (15.4%) patients died.

The mean D- dimer of group A was 233.3 ng/ml (range: 150 – 400 ng/ml) and that of group B was 360 ng/ml (range: 200 – 400 ng/ml) ($p=0.02$). 90% (9 out of 10) of patients in group B had d-Dimer ≥ 200 ng/ml while in group A, 62.5% (25 out of 40) had d- Dimer ≥ 200 ng/ml ($p=0.1$). The mean procalcitonin of group A was 0.194 ng/ml (range: 0.02 – 1.12 ng/ml) and that of group B was 1.376 ng/ml (range: 0.16 – 3.51 ng/ml) ($p=0.03$). 100% (10 out of 10) of patients in group B had Procalcitonin ≥ 0.05 ng/ml while in group A, 90% (36 out of 40) had procalcitonin ≥ 0.05 ng/ml ($p=0.5$). The mean ferritin of group A was 693.3 ng/ml (range: 3 – 2666 ng/ml) and that of

group B was 1105 ng/ml (range: 329 – 2000 ng/ml) ($p=0.1$). 100% (10 out of 10) of patients in group B had ferritin ≥ 300 ng/ml while in group A, 65% (26 out of 40) had ferritin ≥ 300 ng/ml ($p=0.04$). The mean CRP of group A was 105 mg/L (range: 3 – 313 mg/L) and that of group B was 180.8 mg/L (range: 51 – 359 mg/L) ($p=0.09$). 100% (10 out of 10) of patients in group B had CRP ≥ 10 mg/L while in group A, 85% (34 out of 40) had CRP ≥ 10 mg/L ($p=0.3$). The mean IL-6 of group A was 77.43 pg/ml (range: 1.5- 930 pg/ml) and that of group B was 141.9 pg/ml (range: 13 – 512 pg/ml) ($p=0.2$). 100% (10 out of 10) of patients in group B had IL-6 ≥ 6 pg/ml while in group A, 30% (12 out of 40) had IL-6 ≥ 6 pg/ml ($p=0.9$). (Table 1 and 2)

The mean D- dimer of group A1 was 218.18 ng/ml (range: 150 – 400 ng/ml) and that of group A2 was 257.14 ng/ml (range: 200 – 400 ng/ml) ($p=0.3$). 66.7% (10 out of 15) of patients in group A2 had d- Dimer ≥ 200 ng/ml while in group A1, 60% (15 out of 25) had d- Dimer ≥ 200 ng/ml ($p=0.7$). The mean procalcitonin of group A1 was 0.1384 ng/ml (range: 0.02 – 0.70 ng/ml) and that of group A2 was 0.3410 ng/ml (range: 0.07 – 1.12 ng/ml) ($p=0.1$). 100% (15 out of 15) of patients in group A2 had procalcitonin ≥ 0.05 ng/ml while in group A1, 84% (21 out of 25) had procalcitonin ≥ 0.05 ng/ml ($p=0.3$). The mean ferritin of group A1 was 599.6 ng/ml (range: 3 – 2666 ng/ml) and that of group A2 was 867.3 ng/ml (range: 10.20 – 1917 ng/ml) ($p=0.2$). 60% (9 out of 15) of patients in group A2 had ferritin ≥ 300 ng/ml while in group A1, 68% (17 out of 25) had ferritin ≥ 300 ng/ml ($p=0.7$). The mean CRP of group A1 was 96.50 mg/L (range: 3 – 313 mg/L) and that of group A2 was 122 mg/L (range: 3 – 292 mg/L) ($p=0.4$). 80% (12 out of 15) of patients in group A2 had CRP ≥ 10 mg/L while in group A1, 88% (22 out of 25) had CRP ≥ 10 mg/L ($p=0.6$). The mean IL-6 of group A1 was 74.30 pg/ml (range: 1.5 – 930 pg/ml) and that of group A2 was 82.97 pg/ml (range: 1.5 – 279 pg/ml) ($p=0.8$). 80% (12 out of 15) of patients in group A2 had IL-6 ≥ 6 pg/ml while in group A1, 64% (16 out of 25) had IL-6 ≥ 6 pg/ml ($p=0.5$). (Table 1 and 2)

DISCUSSION

In spite of the fact that most cases have mild symptoms and a good prognosis, COVID-19 can develop into ARDS and even death. To date, there is no effective therapy for COVID-19. Therefore, it is important to identify the markers monitoring the progression of disease and treat patients early. Several studies have shown increased proinflammatory cytokines in serum of COVID-19 patients. Anti-inflammatory agents for COVID-19 therapy highlight the critical role of inflammation in the progression of COVID-19.^[6] However, the role of inflammatory markers in monitoring the severity of COVID-19 is still controversial.

It has been well documented that abnormal d-Dimer is helpful in indicating deep venous thrombosis in cardiovascular diseases.^[6] We analysed the correlation between D- dimer level and clinical prognosis in the patients. Only one of the patients had cardiovascular disease. The mean d- Dimer of non survivors was more than that of survivors ($p=0.02$). Li et al found that among patients without cardiovascular disease, non -survivors had significantly higher d -dimer levels than survivors.^[7] Zhang et al showed that D- dimer on admission greater than 2.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ could effectively predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19, which indicated D- dimer could be an early and helpful marker to improve management of Covid-19 patients.^[18]

We reported the mean procalcitonin levels of non survivors to be more than that of survivors ($p=0.03$). Studies have shown that procalcitonin levels are over four times higher in severe patients than in moderate patients and over eight times higher in critical patients than in moderate patients. For discharged patients, both high-normal procalcitonin levels and abnormal

procalcitonin levels decrease during recovery. In cases where the patients have succumbed, serum levels of procalcitonin have increased as the disease worsened. Procalcitonin may be an indicator of disease severity in COVID-19 and serial procalcitonin measurements may be useful in predicting the prognosis.^[19]

The overall number of COVID-19 patients with increased procalcitonin values is limited. A meta-analysis suggests that serial procalcitonin measurement may play a role in predicting progression towards a more severe form of disease. The production of procalcitonin and its release into the circulation is enormously amplified during bacterial infections, actively sustained by enhanced concentrations of interleukin -1 β , tumor necrosis factor- α and IL-6. The synthesis of procalcitonin is inhibited by interferon - γ , whose concentration increases during viral infections. Therefore, the procalcitonin value remains within the reference range in several patients with non-complicated SARS-CoV-2 infection. Its substantial increase reflects bacterial coinfection in those developing severe form of disease, thus contributing to complicate the clinical picture.^[20]

100% of the non survivors in our study had ferritin \geq 300 ng/ml while 65% of survivors had ferritin \geq 300ng/ml (p=0.04). A meta.analysis revealed role of ferritin in indicating a severe disease and a mortality risk in COVID- 19. It also showed that COVID.19 patients who were at higher risk because of the comorbidities including diabetes, thrombotic complication, and cancer showed a higher level of ferritin than that in COVID.19 patients without the same comorbidities.^[14] Zhou et al reported that the increase in ferritin level was associated with the worsening of the COVID.19.^[21]

Circulation ferritin level increases during viral infections and can be a marker of viral replication. Increased levels of ferritin due to cytokine storm have also been reported in severe COVID.19 patients. During the cytokine storm in COVID.19, many inflammatory cytokines are rapidly produced, which stimulate hepatocytes, Kupffer cells, and macrophages to secrete ferritin. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7595919/-jcla23618-bib-0090>The dysfunctional immune response associated with macrophage activation and thrombotic storm finally leads to multiple organ damage. The cytokine storm and the exaggerated host immune response i.e., ferritin participate in the development of ARDS.^[14]

In our study, although 100% of the non survivors had CRP $>=$ 10 mg/L, there was no significant difference in CRP between survivors and non survivors. In the study by Chen et al., no statistically significant difference was found in the level of CRP between the non severe and the severe group.^[10] Other studies have reported CRP level to be positively related to the severity of COVID-19.^[8] Ponti et al found CRP to be significantly increased in the initial phases of the infection for severe COVID-19 patients and an early predictor for severe COVID-19.^[9]

In our study, although 100% of the non survivors had IL-6 $>=$ 6 pg/ml, there was no significant difference in IL-6 between survivors and non survivors. IL-6 has been reported to be significantly increased in non-survivors versus survivors. The significant increase of IL-6, is connected to Cytokine Storm and can lead to further tissue damage. This hyperbolic systemic inflammation relates to lymphopenia and is associated with severe disease.^[9]

Lionte et al, in their study showed that CRP correlated with 30-day mortality in noncritically ill COVID-19 patients. Ferritin and fibrinogen showed comparative performance with IL-6 for post-acute COVID-19.^[22]

CONCLUSION

We observed that COVID- 19 can lead to alterations in inflammatory markers. Serum levels of d-Dimer, procalcitonin and ferritin correlated with COVID-19 mortality . Covid-19 affected patients can be prognosticated on the basis of readily available and rapidly performed serum inflammatory marker assays and these are of specific relevance to resource-constrained environments like under-developed countries.

Table I- Comparison of mean and range of CRP, D- dimer, Procalcitonin, Ferritin and IL-6 between group A and group B and between group A1 and group A2.

	Comparison between group A and B			Comparison between group A1 and A2		
	Group A (Discharged)	Group B (Death)	P value	Group A1 (Hospitalisation \leq 10 days)	Group A2 (Hospitalisation $>$ 10 days)	P value
Mean CRP (mg/l)	105	180.8	0.09	96.50	122	0.4
Range of CRP (mg/l)	3 – 313	51 – 359		3 – 313	3 – 292	
Mean D-dimer (ng/ml)	233.3	360	0.02	218.18	257.14	0.3
Range of D-dimer (ng/ml)	150 – 400	200 – 400		150 - 400	200 - 400	
Mean Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	0.194	1.376	0.03	0.1384	0.3410	0.1
Range of Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	0.02 – 1.12	0.16 – 3.51		0.02 – 0.70	0.07 – 1.12	
Mean Ferritin (ng/ml)	693.3	1105	0.1	599.6	867.3	0.2
Range of Ferritin (ng/ml)	3 – 2666	329 – 2000		3 – 2666	10.20 – 1917	
Mean IL-6 (pg/ml)	77.43	141.9	0.2	74.30	82.97	0.8
Range of IL-6 (pg/ml)	1.5- 930	13 – 512		1.5 – 930	1.5 – 279	

Table II- Comparison of CRP, D- dimer, Procalcitonin, Ferritin and IL-6 between group A and group B and between group A1 and group A2.

	Comparison between group A and B			Comparison between group A1 and A2		
	Group A (Discharged) [N=40]	Group B (Death) [N=10]	p value	Group A1 (Hospitalisation \leq 10 days) [N=25]	Group A2 (Hospitalisation $>$ 10 days) [N=15]	p value
CRP						

CRP < 10 mg/L	6 (15%)	0	0.3	3 (12%)	3 (20%)	0.6
CRP >= 10 mg/L	34 (85%)	10 (100%)		22 (88%)	12 (80%)	
D Dimer						
D Dimer < 200 ng/ml	15 (37.5%)	1 (10%)	0.1	10 (40%)	5 (33.3%)	0.7
D Dimer >= 200 ng/ml	25 (62.5%)	9 (90%)		15 (60%)	10 (66.7)	
Procalcitonin						
Procalcitonin < 0.05 ng/ml	4 (10%)	0	0.5	4 (16%)	0	0.3
Procalcitonin >= 0.05 ng/ml	36 (90%)	10 (100%)		21 (84%)	15 (100%)	
Ferritin						
Ferritin < 300 ng/ml	14 (35%)	0	0.04	8 (32%)	6 (40%)	0.7
Ferritin >= 300 ng/ml	26 (65%)	10 (100%)		17 (68%)	9 (60%)	
IL-6						
IL-6 < 6 pg/ml	12 (30%)	0	0.09	9 (36%)	3 (20%)	0.5
IL-6 >= 6 pg/ml	28 (70%)	10 (100%)		16 (64%)	12 (80%)	

21) Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020; 395: 1054-62.

22) Lionte C, Sorodoc V, Haliga RE, Bologna C, Ceasovschi A, Petris OR, et al. Inflammatory and Cardiac Biomarkers in Relation with Post-Acute COVID-19 and Mortality: What We Know after Successive Pandemic Waves. *Diagnostics (Basel).* 2022; 12: 1373.

REFERENCES

1) Acter T, Uddin N, Das J, et al. Evolution of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: a global health emergency. *Sci Total Environ* 2020; 730: 138996.

2) Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020; 323: 1239-42.

3) Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of Coronavirus Disease. 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382: 1708-20.

4) Wan S, Xiang Y, Fang W, Zheng Y, Li B, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features and treatment of COVID-19 patients in northeast Chongqing. *J Med Virol* 2020; 92: 797-806.

5) Jabaris S SL, V A. The current situation of COVID-19 in India. *Brain Behav Immun Health* 2021; 11: 100200.

6) Mehta P, McAuley D F, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall R S, Manson J J. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1033-34.

7) Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020; 8: 420-22.

8) Zeng F, Huang Y, Guo Y, Yin M, Chen X, Xiao L, Deng G. Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020; 96: 467- 74.

9) Ponti G, Maccaferri M, Ruini C, Tomasi A, Ozben T. Biomarkers associated with COVID-19 disease progression. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci.* 2020; 57: 389-99.

10) Chen L, Liu H G, Liu W, Liu J, Liu K, Shang J. Analysis of clinical features of 29 patients with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (In Chinese) *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi.* 2020; 43: 203-208.

11) Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, Yuan YD, Yang YB, Yan YQ et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy.* 2020; 75: 1730-41

12) Rostami M, Mansouritorghabeh H. D- dimer level in COVID-19 infection: a systematic review. *Expert Rev Hematol.* 2020; 13: 1265-75.

13) Rodríguez A, Reyes LF, Monclou J, Suberviola B, Bodí M, Sirgo G, et al. Relationship between acute kidney injury and serum procalcitonin (PCT) concentration in critically ill patients with influenza infection. *Med. Intensiv.* 2018; 42: 399-408.

14) Cheng L, Li H, Li L, Liu C, Yan S, Chen H, Li Y. Ferritin in the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2020; 34: e23618.

15) Mooiweer E, Luijk B, Bonten MJ, Ekkelenkamp MB. C-Reactive protein levels but not CRP dynamics predict mortality in patients with pneumococcal pneumonia. *J. Infect.* 2011; 62: 314-16.

16) Giannitsis E, Mair J, Christersson C, Stegmann A, Huber K, Jaffe AS, et al. How to use D-dimer in acute cardiovascular care. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* 2017; 6: 69-80.

17) Li Y, Zhao K, Wei H, Chen W, Wang W, Jia L, et al. Dynamic relationship between D- dimer and COVID-19 severity. *Br J Haematol.* 2020; 190: e24-e27.

18) Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, Liu H, Liu X, Liu Z et al. D- dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020; 18: 1324-29.

19) Hu R, Han C, Pei S, Yin M, Chen X. Procalcitonin levels in COVID-19 patients. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2020 Aug; 56: 106051.

20) Lippi G, Plebani M. Procalcitonin in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A meta-analysis. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2020; 505: 190-91.