



## MALIGNANT TRANSFORMATION OF ORAL LEUKOPLAKIA – A REVIEW

<b>Dr. Hari Prasad. S*</b>	CRRI, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute Of Dental Sciences, Chengalpattu, India *Corresponding Author
<b>Dr. Malathi</b>	CRRI, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute Of Dental Sciences, Chengalpattu, India
<b>Dr. Mohamed Azeem</b>	CRRI, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute Of Dental Sciences, Chengalpattu, India
<b>Dr. Ilayanila. C</b>	PG, Department of Oral Pathology, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute Of Dental Sciences, Chengalpattu, India
<b>Dr. Sathish Kumar. M</b>	Head of the Department, Department of Oral Pathology, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute Of Dental Sciences, Chengalpattu, India.
<b>Dr. Arunachalam. M</b>	Reader, Department of Oral Pathology, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute Of Dental Sciences, Chengalpattu, India.

**ABSTRACT**

Oral Leukoplakia is one of the most common oral premalignant lesions and defined as white patch or plaque that does not rub off clinically identified as another entity. Etiological factors are smoking, viral and fungal agent and specific nutrients and deficiency may have role in the development and progression. Clinically it can be classified as homogenous and non-homogenous. It most seen on buccal mucosa and lateral border of tongue. Malignant transformation of oral leukoplakia is about 5-18%. A higher chance of it transformation has been seen in non-homogeneous, high risk anatomic structures such as the floor of the mouth or the tongue, as well as a history of non-smoking. It can be diagnosed by biopsy, toluidine blue staining or lugol s iodine and exfoliative cytology. Management is surgical excision, cryosurgery, CO2 laser, retinoids, photodynamic therapy.

**KEYWORDS :** Oral Leukoplakia, malignant, smoking, cytology, surgical excision.

**INTRODUCTION**

Precancerous lesion is a benign morphologically altered tissue that has a greater than normal risk of malignant transformation. Precancerous lesions can grow into some forms of cancer, but early detection and prompt treatment of these lesions can save them from becoming cancerous. Oral leukoplakia is noted to be the most common premalignant lesion of the oral mucosa<sup>1</sup> and it is crucial to describe its histological and clinical features. However, the mechanism of malignant transformation remains unknown. In this review, we concentrate on the connection between oral leukoplakia's clinical and histological traits and its malignant development. Leukoplakia is recognized by two forms: Homogeneous and the non-homogeneous type. In contrast to non-homogeneous leukoplakia, which is a mixture of white and red lesions that may be irregularly flat, nodular, or verrucous, homogeneous leukoplakia has a predominately white lesion that is uniform flat, thin, and smooth across the lesion.<sup>2</sup> Typical histologic findings in leukoplakia include epithelial hyperplasia, hyperkeratosis, and epithelial dysplasia or carcinoma.<sup>3</sup> The pooled estimate of the annual rate of OL malignant transformation is 5-18%. Some clinical traits, such as lesion shape, size, and placement, dysplasia, and cigarette use, may be linked to increased malignant potential.

**Terminologies**

Axéll (1996) states leukoplakia as a white patch measuring 5 mm or more which cannot be scrapped off and cannot be attributed to any other diagnostic disease.<sup>4</sup> As per the International Symposium, Uppsala, Sweden (1996): It is "a predominantly white lesion of the oral mucosa that cannot be characterized as any other definable disease." The WHO (1997) described leukoplakia as "a predominantly white lesion of the oral mucosa that cannot be characterized as any other definable lesion."<sup>5</sup> Warnakulasuriya et al. (2007) defined this lesion as "a white plaque with an increasing questionable oral cancer risk after excluding other known

diseases and disorders that do not increase the risk"<sup>6</sup>

**Epidemiology**

In a 10-year prospective research in India of large random samples from diverse geographic locations with varying types of tobacco use, the yearly incidence rates of leukoplakia were one thousand population per year varied from 1.1 to 2.4 among men and from 0.2 to 1.3 among women; the prevalence varied from 0.2 to 4.9%.<sup>7</sup> In an adult Swedish population, a 3.6% prevalence rate was recorded<sup>7</sup>. Leukoplakia typically develops after the age of 30, with a peak incidence occurring over the age of 50<sup>8</sup>.

**Etiopathogenesis**

According to epidemiological research, smokers have a 5-9 times higher chance of acquiring oral cancer than non-smokers.<sup>9-11</sup> Roed-Petersen et al. and Daftary et al. (1972) found that the role of Candida in correlation with the clinical types and histological dysplasia's<sup>12</sup> and the concurrent existence seemed to play a role in malignant transformation (25.9%).<sup>13</sup> Bánóczy observed statistically significant decrease in serum levels of Vitamin A, B12, C, beta carotene, and folic acid in patients with oral leukoplakia. Mutations of p53 in the cells from dysplastic areas of leukoplakia who smoke and drink heavily.<sup>14</sup> Caldera et al. found a high-risk factor of leukoplakia for malignant transformation is the infection with human papilloma viruses as oncogenic proteins such as HPV-16L1 can promote carcinogenesis.<sup>15</sup>

**Clinical Features**

Leukoplakia usually presents as a single or multiple lesions, localized change of the oral mucosa. Clinically leukoplakia can be classified homogeneous and the non-homogeneous type.<sup>2</sup> Axéll et al. (1996) found that leukoplakia was commonly present in buccal mucosa (76%), alveolar sulcus (19%), and tongue (5%).<sup>4</sup> Brouns et al. found the location of the Oral leukoplakia were present on Tongue, Floor of the mouth, lower

lip, hard palate, buccal mucosa, upper alveolus and gingiva, lower alveolus, and gingiva and finally in multiples sites.<sup>16</sup>

### Malignant Transformation Of Leukoplakia

The lesions and conditions that are considered precancerous or pre-malignant are now referred as oral potentially malignant disorders – OPMD. Of these conditions, most encountered OPMD is oral leukoplakia. Warnakulasuriya et al. were listed as a risk for malignant transformation in PMD.<sup>6</sup>

1. Female gender
2. Long duration of leukoplakia
3. Leukoplakia in non-smokers (idiopathic leukoplakia)
4. Location on the tongue and/or floor of the mouth
5. Size >200 mm<sup>2</sup>
6. Non-homogeneous type
7. Presence of *C. albicans*
8. Presence of epithelial dysplasia

Lind et al reported that females were more prone to endure malignant transformation and epithelial dysplasia.<sup>17</sup> Yet, some studies discovered a higher risk of malignant transformation among males in India, particularly in connection with smoking and chewing tobacco use<sup>18</sup> the incidence of malignant transformation from leukoplakia increases with time. The Mean malignant transformation rate increased by 7-fold from 5th year of leukoplakia when compared to first 5 years. Patients with a higher number of lesions were at greater risk of developing carcinomas<sup>19</sup>. Martorell-Calatayud et al. found that leukoplakia situated in the ventrolateral area of the tongue and on the floor of the mouth, with a 43% average transformation rate. This is attributed to the fact that these areas are more exposed to carcinogens in Salivary secretions and that the epithelium is more permeable in this area.<sup>20</sup> some studies identified the buccal mucosa and the Labial commissure as the locations with the highest malignant transformation rate,<sup>21</sup> but this is particularly true of patients with Tobacco chewing and smoking habits. Increase in the risk of malignant transformation in non-homogenous leukoplakia as compared to homogenous leukoplakia.<sup>22</sup> Among the three clinical manifestations of non-homogeneous leukoplakia, erythroleukoplakia had a much greater rate of malignant development. On study noted that dysplasia was higher in Oral Leukoplakia lesions infected with *Candida* (55.9%)<sup>23</sup>. An aggressive kind of leukoplakia known as proliferative verrucous leukoplakia carries a significant chance of developing into verrucous or OSCC. The severity of oral epithelial dysplasia is currently used as the gold standard for predicting malignant transformation in oral leukoplakia. In a Dutch study<sup>24</sup> Oral Leukoplakia diagnosed with moderate or severe epithelial dysplasia had a significantly higher risk of malignant development. HPV is detected frequently in oral dysplasia lesions and carcinoma, and is well known to be an independent risk factor for oral cancer<sup>25</sup>. A correlation between high macrophage infiltration and M2 polarization with histomorphology parameters of tumour progression and inferior outcome in early stage OSCC was already shown<sup>26</sup>.

### Histopathology

The various cellular changes that may occur in epithelial dysplasia are Kramer et al. 1. Loss of polarity of the basal cells 2. Presence of more than one layer of cells having a basaloid appearance 3. Increased nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio 4. Drop-shaped rete processes 5. Irregular epithelial stratification 6. Increased number of mitotic figures 7. Presence of mitotic figures in the superficial half of the epithelium 8. Cellular pleomorphism 9. Nuclear hyperchromatism 10. Enlarged nucleoli 11. Reduction of cellular cohesion 12. Keratinisation of single cells or cell groups in the prickle layer<sup>27</sup>. A change in the micro vasculature, a rise in the quantity of subepithelial lymphocytes, plasma cells, Langerhans cells, and interepithelial cells, as well as the presence of *Candida* organisms, are some of the additional dysplasia indications.

Epithelial dysplasia is typically categorised into three ranges based on the presence of dysplastic features: mild, moderate, and severe<sup>28</sup>. It is recommended that the histological report of a leukoplakia should include absence or presence of epithelial dysplasia and an assessment of its severity.<sup>29</sup> The location of oral leukoplakia has a correlation with the dysplastic or malignant changes at biopsy. In the study by Waldron and Shafer, the floor of mouth was the highest-risk site (42.9%), followed by the tongue (24.2%) and lip (24.0%)<sup>30</sup> the thicker leukoplakia, the greater the chance of locating dysplastic changes; therefore, verrucous leukoplakia show more dysplasia.<sup>29</sup>

### Diagnosis

Before accepting a firm clinical diagnosis of leukoplakia in a patient with a white lesion of the oral mucosa, the clinician will first attempt to rule out any other clearly identifiable white lesions. If symptoms are evident, the biopsy should be performed at the site of redness or induration. Biopsies of exophytic, verrucous or papillary lesions should be taken deep enough to include enough underlying connective tissue, and preferably from the margins. Diagnostic methods other than histological examination, such as the use of toluidine blue staining or Lugol's iodine, and exfoliative cytology<sup>2</sup>. Precancerous lesions and early oral malignancies are frequently inconspicuous and asymptomatic. Consequently, it's crucial for the physician to keep a high level of scepticism, particularly if there are risk factors like tobacco use or alcohol misuse. Clinically discernible premalignant alterations of the oral mucosa frequently precede invasive oral squamous cell carcinoma. These lesions present as either white or red patches. The patient can detect a non-healing ulcer as the cancer progresses. Bleeding, loosening of the teeth, dysphagia, dysarthria, odynophagia, and the formation of a neck mass are later-stage symptoms. The best and most reliable way to diagnose a suspicious lesion is still with a traditional biopsy using a scalpel or small biopsy forceps. PET scans are also becoming an increasingly popular tool for the identification of primary, recurrent, and metastatic disease

### Treatment Plan

The primary goal of treating white oral lesions is to eradicate any potential contributing factors, e.g. friction, *C. albicans*, thus ruling out other definable lesions. Apart from the surgical excision, various treatment modalities are available, such as cryosurgery, CO<sub>2</sub>-laser surgery, retinoids and other drugs, and recently photodynamic therapy Surgical excision. surgical removal has been advised as the best course of treatment for oral leukoplakia. Oral leukoplakia can be effectively treated with vitamin A. Disadvantage of vitamin A and its derivatives is its toxicity, necessitating reduction of the dose or temporary abstinence of the drug. Beta-carotene and vitamin E are considerably less toxic than 13- cis-retinoid acid. The major drawback for most current agents is the recurrence of lesions when treatment is discontinued.<sup>2</sup> Ramanathan et al. suggested that the candida-associated leukoplakia may respond to topical antifungal agents including imidazoles.<sup>31</sup> Lamey et al. (1994) reported an OL with epithelial dysplasia that resolved within 11 days of systemic treatment with fluconazole antifungal agent.<sup>32</sup> Lodi and Porter showed that CO<sub>2</sub> LASER vaporization showed maximal while CO<sub>2</sub> LASER evaporation showed minimal recurrence of leukoplakia. However, cryosurgery and conventional blade surgery showed up to 22% and 13% recurrence rates, respectively.

### CONCLUSION

The chance of malignant transformation is higher in oral leukoplakia, when compared to other OMPDs. To avoid these complications, the treatment phase should be started in the initial stage.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J.J., Reichart P.A., Smith C.J., van der Waal I., in Collaboration with Sobin L.H.,

- and Pathologists in 9 Countries, WHO International Histological Classification of Tumours: Histological Typing of Cancer and Precancer of the Oral Mucosa, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1997.
- [2] Van der Waal I, Axéll T. Oral leukoplakia: A proposal for uniform reporting. *Oral Oncol* 2002; 38:521-6.
  - [3] Wu L, Feng J, Shi L, Shen X, Liu W, Zhou Z. Candidal infection in oral leukoplakia: A clinicopathologic study of 396 patients from eastern China. *Ann Diagn Pathol* 2013; 17:37-40.
  - [4] Axéll T, Pindborg JJ, Smith CJ, van der Waal I. Oral white lesions with special reference to precancerous and tobacco – Related lesions: Conclusions of an International Symposium held in Uppsala, Sweden, May 18-21, 1994. International Collaborative Group on Oral White Lesions. *J Oral Pathol Med* 1996; 25:49-54.
  - [5] Feller L, Lemmer J. Oral leukoplakia as it relates to HPV infection: A review. *Int J Dent* 2012; 2012:540561.
  - [6] Warnakulasuriya S, Johnson NW, van der Waal I. Nomenclature and classification of potentially malignant disorders of the oral mucosa. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2007; 36:575-80.
  - [7] Gupta, P. C., Mehta, F. S., Daftary, D. K., et al, Incidence rates of oral cancer and natural history of oral precancerous lesions in a 10-year follow-up study of Indian villagers. *Community Dental and Oral Epidemiology*, 1980, 8, 287-333.
  - [8] Waldron, C. A. and Shafer, W. G., Leukoplakia revisited. A clinicopathologic study of 3256 oral leukoplakias. *Cancer*, 1975, 36, 1386-1392.
  - [9] Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, et al. *Oral & maxillofacial pathology*. 2nd ed. Phila., PA: Saunders; 2002;337-369.
  - [10] Mashberg A, Boffetta P, Winkelmann R, et al. Tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking, and cancer of the oral cavity and oropharynx among U.S. veterans. *Cancer* 1993; 72:1369-1375.
  - [11] Lewin F, Norell SE, Johansson H, et al. Smoking tobacco, oral snuff, and alcohol in the etiology of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. A population-based case-referent study in Sweden. *Cancer* 1998; 82:1367-1375.
  - [12] Roed-Petersen B, Gupta PC, Pindborg JJ, Singh B. Association between oral leukoplakia and sex, age, and tobacco habits. *Bull World Health Organ* 1972; 47:13-9.
  - [13] Bánóczy J. Follow-up studies in oral leukoplakia. *J Maxillofac Surg* 1977; 5:69-75.
  - [14] Soames JV, Southam JC. *Oral Pathology*. Oxford: Oxford University of Press; 1999. p. 139-40.
  - [15] Schepman KP, Bezemer PD, van der Meij EH, Smeele LE, van der Waal I. Tobacco usage in relation to the anatomical site of oral leukoplakia. *Oral Dis* 2001; 7:25-7.
  - [16] Brouns ER, Baart JA, Bloemena E, Karagozoglu H, van derWaal I. The relevance of uniform reporting in oral leukoplakia: Definition, certainty factor and staging based on experience with 275 patients. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2013;18: e19-26.
  - [17] Lind PO. Malignant transformation in oral leukoplakia. *Scand J Dent Res* 95: 449-455, 1987.
  - [18] Mehta, F.S., Shroff, B.C., Gupta, P.C., Daftary, D.K.: Oral Leukoplakia in relation to tobacco habits. A ten-year follow-up study of Bombay policeman. *Oral Surg (Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.)* 34: 426-433, 1972.
  - [19] Hamadah O, Goodson ML, Thomson PJ: Clinicopathological behaviour of multiple Oral dysplastic lesions compared with that of Single lesions. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2009.08.027.
  - [20] Martorell-Calatayud A, Botella-Estrada R, Bagán-Sebastián JV, Sammartín-Jiménez O, Guillén-Baronca C. Oral leukoplakia: Clinical, histopathologic, and molecular features and therapeutic Approach. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 2009;100:669-84.
  - [21] Gupta P, Mehta F, Daftary D. Incidence rates of oral cancer and Natural history of oral precancerous lesions in a 10-year followup study of Indian villagers. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*.1980;8:287-333.
  - [22] Holmstrup P, Vedtofte P, Reibel J., and Stolze K.: Longterm treatment outcome of oral premalignant lesions: *Oral Oncol* 42: 461-474, 2006.
  - [23] Hebbar PB, Pai A and Sujatha D. Mycological and histological associations of Candida in oral mucosal lesions. *J Oral Sci* 2013; 55(2): 157-160
  - [24] Ramos- García P, González- Moles MÁ, Mello FW, Bagan JV, Warnakulasuriya S. Malignant transformation of oral proliferative verrucous leukoplakia: A systematic review and meta- analysis. *Oral Dis*. 2021;00:1- 12.
  - [25] Miller C.S., and Johnstone B.M.: Human papillomavirus as a risk factor for oral squamous cell carcinoma: a metaanalysis, 1982-1997. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 91: 622-635, 2001.
  - [26] Weber M, et al. Small oral squamous cell carcinomas with nodal lymphogenic metastasis show increased infiltration of M2 polarized macrophages—an immunohistochemical analysis. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*. 2014;42(7):1087-94.
  - [27] Kramer, I. R. H., Lucas, R. B., Pindborg, J. J., Sobin, L. H. WHO Collaborating Centre for Oral Precancerous Lesions, Definition of leukopakia and related lesions: an aid to studies on oral precancer. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicin', Oral Pathology*, 1978, 46- 518-539.
  - [28] Pindborg, J. J., Reibel, J., Roed-Petersen, B. and Mehta, F. S., Tobacco-induced changes in oral leukoplakic epithelium. *Cancer*, 1980, 45, 2330-2336.
  - [29] Daniels, T. E., Hansen, L. S., Greenspan, J. S., et al, Histopathology of smokeless tobacco lesions in professional baseball players. Association with different types of tobacco. *Oral Surget<sup>3</sup>*. *Oral Medicine. Oral Pathology*, 1992, 73, 720- 725.
  - [30] Waldron CA, Shafer WG. Leukoplakia revisited: A clinicopathologic study of 3256 oral leukoplakias. *Cancer* 1975;36:1386-1392.
  - [31] Ramanathan K, Han NK, Chelvanayagam PI. Oral candidiasis – Its pleomorphic clinical manifestations, diagnosis and treatment. *Dent J Malaysia* 1985;8:39-45.
  - [32] Lamey PJ, Douglas PS, Napier SS. Secretor status and oral cancer. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1994;32:214-7.