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#### Abstract

Background: Hypertension or high blood pressure (HBP) is a most common non-communicable disease. Childhood HBP is a major public health problem worldwide as it tracks to adulthood. HBP was associated with obesity. Objective: This study was undertaken to investigate the prevalence of high blood pressure in Indian adolescents and its association with various anthropometric obesity indices. Methods: The study was conducted among school students aged 11-17 years. Blood pressure was measured using digital oscillometric blood pressure monitor Anthropometric parameters like height, weight, Neck circumference, Waist circumference and Hip circumference were assessed using standard methods. BMI, Neck height ratio and waist height ratio were calculated. Blood pressure status was evaluated on the basis of American Academy of Pediatrics guideline. SBP and/or DBP > 95th percentile in children below 13 years and $130 / 80$ or above in adolescent 13 years or older was considered as high blood pressure. The association between BP and anthropometric parameters were examined. Results: A total 1272 students of class V-XII were participated in this study out of which $56.3 \%$ were female and $43.7 \%$ were male. $26.8 \%$ boys and $13.1 \%$ girls were under $H B P$ category. Significant positive correlation was observed between BP and body fat indices. Overweight and obesity were significantly associated with risk of hypertension among boys and girls. Central obesity and upper body obesity were also associated with high blood pressure. Conclusion: Prevalence of high blood pressure in adolescent is alarming. Hypertension was significantly associated with general obesity, abdominal obesity and upper body obesity. Thus screening of risk of high BP should be carried out in adolescents and initiate intervention to control the consequences of it.
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## INTRODUCTION

High blood pressure (HBP) or hypertension is a commonest non communicable disease. It is a silent threat to the health of people all over the world. In children and adolescent HBP is an emerging public health issue. There is strong evidence of tracking of high BP from childhood to adulthood ${ }^{1,2}$. Thus early detection and intervention for HBP among children and adolescents are gaining importance in recent years.

Recently it is seen that there is an increase the prevalence of high blood pressure in children and adolescent due to obesity, intake of more calorie and salt, reduced physical activity, high stress and family history ${ }^{3}$.It places the affected individuals at an increased risk of cardiovascular accident, ischemic heart disease and renal failure ${ }^{4}$. The development of hypertension in younger age causes greater reduction of life expectancy if HBP is left untreated ${ }^{5}$. It has also been noted that even asymptomatic adolescents with blood pressure elevation can have target organ damage including left ventricular hypertrophy and pathological vascular changes ${ }^{6,7}$.

Obesity is an important risk factor for cardio metabolic diseases including hypertension ${ }^{8}$. Prevalence of elevated blood pressure is higher in overweight and obese children in compare to non-overweight and non-obese children ${ }^{9,10}$. Worldwide 43 million children are either overweight or obese out of which 35 million are in developing countries ${ }^{11}$. According to WHO 70 million children will be overweight and obese by $2025^{12}$. In India prevalence of childhood and adolescent obesity varies from $3 \%$ to $29 \%{ }^{13}$.Epidemiological studies suggested that overweight predicts future development of HBP and there is linear relationship between BP and $\mathrm{BMI}^{8}$. George et al. $2016^{14}$ suggested the relationship between HBP and body fat. Measurement of body fat using anthropometric indicators had proven to be an effective approach in predicting HBP particularly in large population and community-based studies ${ }^{15}$.There are several anthropometric indicators for body fat including BMI, WC, HC and NC. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the prevalence of hypertension and its association with
anthropometric body fat indices among school going adolescent of West Bengal.

## MATERIALS \& METHODS:

Subject:
The present study was conducted among adolescent school students having standard class V to class XII aged 11-17 years from five schools in West Bengal, India during their school hours. The prior written permission of school authority was taken. Written consent from the parents of students experimented in the study was obtained. 1272 students were included in this study. Every students in this study was given a predesigned questionnaire to obtain the information regarding age, last blood pressure readings, diabetes, kidney disease, congenital heart defect, myocardial infarction and/or stroke.

## Measurement of Blood Pressure:

Blood pressure was measured using digital oscillometric blood pressure monitor. Before recording the blood pressure students were allowed to wait for 10 minutes in a sitting positions to relieve their restlessness and anxiety ${ }^{16}$. Each student was then called one by one and pressure was measured in the sitting posture in the right upper arm. The cuff size was based on circumference of the upper arm of participants ${ }^{17}$. Two readings were taken at 2 minute intervals and their mean was taken as subject's blood pressure. In the case when the differences of two readings were above 5 mmHg a third reading was taken. The final reading would be the based on the average of all readings taken. Normotensive, elevated blood pressure and hypertension was defined on the basis of American Academy of Paediatrics Guideline-2017 ${ }^{18}$. In adolescent aged 11-12 years SBP and/or DBP $\geq 90^{\text {th }}$ percentile but $<95^{\text {th }}$ percentile or $120 / 80$ (whichever is lower) is considered as elevated blood pressure and SBP and/or DBP $\geq$ $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile plus 12 mm Hg or 130/80 (whichever is lower) as hypertension. In adolescent 13 years and older SBP and/or DBP $120 /<80$ to $129 /<80$ ls considered as elevated blood pressure and 130/80 or above as hypertension.

Anthropometric Measurements:

Prior to the weight and height measurement subjects were asked to remove their shoes and heavy clothing. Body weight was measured using bathroom scale accurate to 0.5 kg . The scale was kept on a flat surface and adjusted with '0' mark. Now the subject was requested to step on it in bare feet. Weight was recorded to the nearest 0.5 kg . For the measurement of height subjects were asked to stand still and quiet and erect position, hanging their arms freely and keeping their head aligned in the Frankfort plane. The measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm for each subject using anthropometric rod ${ }^{19}$. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from the height and weight using following equation: BMI $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=$ weight (kg) / height ${ }^{2}(\mathrm{~m})$. BMI was calculated from the height and weight using following equation: $\mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=$ weight (kg) / height ${ }^{2}$ (m). Age and sex-specific BMI percentiles criteria of each students were determined. Nutritional status of the students was categorized based on percentile value of BMI: $<5^{\text {th }}$ percentile as underweight, $>5^{\text {th }}$ percentile but $<85^{\text {th }}$ percentile as normal, $\geq 85^{\text {th }}$ percentile but $<95^{\text {th }}$ percentile as overweight and $\geq 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile as obese ${ }^{20}$. Neck circumference ( $\mathrm{NC} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{was} \mathrm{measured} \mathrm{just} \mathrm{below} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{laryngeal}$ prominence (Adam's apple) using calibrated plastic tape ${ }^{7}$. Waist circumferences (WC) was measured mid-way between iliac crest and lowermost margin of the ribs in quiet breathing using plastic tape. Hip circumference (HC) was measured using plastic tape at horizontal level of greater trochanters with the leg close together. Waist- height- ratio (W: Ht) was calculated by dividing waist circumference with height. Waist to hip ratio (WC: HC) was calculated by dividing WC with HC and Neck height ratio ( $\mathrm{NC}: \mathrm{Ht}$ ) by dividing NC with height.

Statistical analysis: Results are described as mean values $\pm$ standard deviations (SD). Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to determine the gender specific relationship between blood pressure and various anthropometric indices. Numerical data from boys and girls were compared using independent samples of t-test. The association between BP with anthropometric variables and age were analyzed using chi square test. The prevalence of elevated blood pressure, Overweight and obesity was presented as frequency and percentage. Statistical significance was determine at $p$ value $<0.05$

## RESULTS:

Gender wise anthropometric characteristic of study population are presented in table-land table-2. 1272 adolescent having age limit 11-17 year were participated in this study. Out of all 556 were boys and 716 were girls. Among different age group majority were in the age group of 13 years for boys and 16 years for girls. Age and gender wise mean values and standard deviation of all tested parameters also represented in table-l.

Table-1: Characteristics of adolescent male

| Age (year) | Heig ht (cm) | Weigh t (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BMI} \\ & (\mathrm{~kg} / \\ & \mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NC} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{WC} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}$ | Ht | t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 38.8 \\ (11.24) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.2 \\ & (4.32) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 29.1 \\ (2.64) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.4 \\ & (11.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76.1 \\ (9.75) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 12 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 41.9 \\ (10.57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 17.9 \\ (3.54) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 29.6 \\ (2,72) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69.9 \\ & (9.98) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 77.9 \\ (8.22) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.194 \\ (0.018) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.459 \\ (0.059) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 157.1 \\ (8.83) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 49.1 \\ (13.31) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19.7 \\ (4.50) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30.0 \\ (2.95) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 71.2 \\ (12.21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.5 \\ & (9.79) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.191 \\ (0.016) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.453 \\ (0.073) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 14 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 162.5 \\ (8.16) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.3 \\ & (12.07) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19.7 \\ (3.88) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 32.22 \\ (2.85) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.9 \\ & (11.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 84.0 \\ (14.58) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.198 \\ (0.017) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.449 \\ (0.069) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 167.5 \\ & (6.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.8 \\ & (13.78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20.5 \\ (4.22) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33.0 \\ (2.33) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.2 \\ & (11.93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 88.7 \\ (8.92) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.198 \\ (0.013) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.449 \\ (0.064) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 170.0 \\ (6.11) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.7 \\ & (12.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 20.3 \\ (3.73) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 33.1 \\ (2.44) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76.6 \\ (10.68) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 89.1 \\ (8.14) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.195 \\ (0.015) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.439 \\ (0.060) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 171.8 \\ & (6.84) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.7 \\ & (14.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21.9 \\ & (4.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34.4 \\ (2.39) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 77.8 \\ (11.82) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 91.8 \\ (8.75) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.200 \\ (0.015) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0.453 \\ (0.071) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

*Data represent as mean. Figures in the parentheses are standard deviations

Table-2: Characteristics of adolescent female

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { (ye } \\ & \text { ar) } \end{aligned}$ | Heig <br> ht <br> (cm) |  | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{kg} / \\ & \mathrm{m} 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NC} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{WC} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HC} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 35.5 \\ (9.29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16.6 \\ (3.58) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 27.6 \\ (2.92) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58.2 \\ & (7.29) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.7 \\ & (12.60) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 12 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 40.3 \\ (10.18) \end{array}$ | (3.96) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 27.8 \\ (2.04) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 62.5 \\ & (8.05) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 44.5 \\ (12.86) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 18.7 \\ (4.63) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28.5 \\ & (2.40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 62.1 \\ & (9.74) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 78.6 \\ (12.02) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.186 \\ (0.014) \end{array}$ |  |
| 14 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 48.3 \\ (10.69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19.9 \\ (3.85) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29.2 \\ & (2.26) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 64.8 \\ (8.62) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 78.0 \\ (10.69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.188 \\ (0.014) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.417 \\ (0.052) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 156.4 \\ & (6.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.9 \\ & (11.60) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19.5 \\ & (4.30) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29.9 \\ & (2.80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 66.4 \\ & (8.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 78.9 \\ & (11.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.191 \\ (0.016) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 156.9 \\ & (5.44) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 52.8 \\ (12.64) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 21.4 \\ (4.69) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 31.1 \\ (3.09) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 73.8 \\ (11.75) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 84.5 \\ (15.44) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.198 \\ (0.020) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.470 \\ (0.073) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 157.7 \\ & (5.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.0 \\ & (13.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 21.7 \\ (5.10) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30.7 \\ & (3.12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 74.5 \\ (12.64) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.7 \\ & (14.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.195 \\ (0.020) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.473 \\ (0.080) \end{array}$ |

*Data represent as mean. Figures in the parentheses are standard deviations

The comparative analysis of study parameters of boys and girls represent in table-3. All the study parameters viz height, weight, NC, HC, and WC increase with advancing age among male and female adolescents. All the study parameters differ significantly between male and female adolescents.

Table-3: Comparison of age and anthropometric parameters between boys and girls

| Parameters | Boys |  |  | Girls | p |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |  |
| Age (year) | 13.8 | 1.99 | 14.6 | 1.86 | $<0.0005$ |
| Height (Cm) | 160.1 | 11.57 | 154.7 | 7.67 | $<0.0005$ |
| Weight (kg) | 51.2 | 15.28 | 48.0 | 13.11 | $<0.0005$ |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 19.7 | 4.39 | 20.5 | 6.36 | $<0.01$ |
| NC (cm) | 31.4 | 3.28 | 29.6 | 2.91 | $<0.0005$ |
| WC (cm) | 72.5 | 11.76 | 67.8 | 11.52 | $<0.0005$ |
| HC (cm) | 83.2 | 11.41 | 80.6 | 12.05 | $<0.0005$ |
| NC: Ht | 0.196 | 0.016 | 0.192 | 0.022 | $<0.0005$ |
| WC: Ht | 0.453 | 0.067 | 0.438 | 0.073 | $<0.0005$ |

Age and gender wise mean of SBP and DBP was given in table-4. In male there was no significant difference of SBP and DBP among 11 and 12 year of age. Both type of BP increase gradually with advancing age for male and female but comparatively slow rate in female. SBP of male adolescent was significantly higher than female counter part in all age group. Like SBP similar pattern was obtained for DBP except of age group 13 year.

Table-4: Age and gender wise distribution of SBP and DBP

| Age (year) | SBP |  |  | DBP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | p | Male | Female | p |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 105.2 \\ & (12.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.9 \\ & (12.00) \end{aligned}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71.9 \\ & (8.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.6 \\ & (9.17) \end{aligned}$ | <0.005 |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 105.9 \\ & (12.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.5 \\ & (9.17) \end{aligned}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 71.6 \\ (10.27) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.0 \\ & (5.90) \end{aligned}$ | $<0.0005$ |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 108.2 \\ & (14.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 105.4 \\ (13.80) \end{array}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 69.9 \\ (9.27) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.2 \\ & (11.38) \end{aligned}$ | $>0.05$ |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 115.3 \\ & (12.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 103.7 \\ \text { (11.03) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 74.1 \\ (8.95) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 68.1 \\ & (8.07) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $<0.0005$ |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 117.4 \\ & (13.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 103.1 \\ (16.72) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 72.9 \\ & (8.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69.1 \\ & (10.71) \end{aligned}$ | $<0.0005$ |
| 16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 118.8 \\ (12.17) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 105.9 \\ \text { (14.74) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 75.3 \\ (11.09) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 71.2 \\ (8.09) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $<0.0005$ |
| 17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 124.0 \\ (15.90) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 107.8 \\ \text { (12.65) } \end{array}$ | $<0.0005$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 76.4 \\ (9.83) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 72.0 \\ & (8.56) \end{aligned}$ | $<0.0005$ |
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| $11-17$ | 112.5 <br> $(16.62)$ | 104.7 <br> $(13.28)$ | $<0.0005$ | 72.7 <br> $(9.71)$ | 69.9 <br> $(9.05)$ | $<0.0005$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The correlations between obesity indicators and systolic and diastolic blood pressure are shown in table-5. Highly significant correlations are noted between systolic and diastolic blood pressure and all obesity indices viz. BMI (General obesity marker) NC and Neck circumference: height ratio (upper body fat markers) WC, HC and WC: Ht (abdominal obesity markers) except waist to hip ratio. Waist to hip ratio shows insignificant correlation with SBP and DBP of both males and females.

Table-5: Correlation between blood pressure and anthropometric obesity indices

| Para <br> mete <br> rs <br> rs  <br>   | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SBP |  | DBP |  | SBP |  | DBP |  |
|  | r | p | r | p | r | p | r | p |
| NC | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | 0.280 | < 0.001 |
| WC | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.35 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | 0.286 | < 0.001 |
| HC | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | 0.271 | < 0.001 |
| BMI | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.39 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.32 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | 0.202 | < 0.001 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{NC} / \\ \mathrm{Ht} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.15 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.18 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | 0.230 | < 0.001 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{WC} / \\ \mathrm{Ht} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.18 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | < 0.001 | 0.283 | <0.001 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{WC} / \\ \mathrm{HC} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (-) 0. | >0.1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline(-) \\ 014 \\ 014 \end{array}$ | >0.1 | $\begin{gathered} (-) \\ 010 \\ 0 . \end{gathered}$ | >0.1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline(-) \\ 0.007 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | >0.1 |

The overall prevalence of HBP was 26.8\% for male and 13.1\% for female adolescent. In male highest prevalence of HBP was $42.0 \%$ and $18.5 \%$ among female having age group 17 year and 13 year respectively. In female prevalence for 13 years ( $18.5 \%$ ) and 17 year ( $18.3 \%$ ) was very close (table-6).

Table-6: Age and gender wise prevalence of elevated and high blood pressure among Bengali adolescent

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Age } \\ \text { (ye } \end{array}$ | Mal |  |  | Fem | nale |  | Total (M female) | $\text { le }+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ar) | n | EBP | HBP | n | EBP | HBP | EBP | HBP |
| 11 | 82 | 2 | 14 (17.1) | 62 | 10 | 6 (9.7) | 12 (8.3) | 20 (13.9) |
| 12 | 86 | 4 | 17 (19.8) | 66 | 10 | 6 (9.1) | 14 (9.2) | 23 (15.1) |
| 13 | 113 | 8 | 20 (17.7) | 54 | 3 | 10 (18.5) | 11 (6.6) | 30 (18.0) |
| 14 | 71 | 9 | 22 (31.0) | 143 | 4 | 14 (9.8) | 13 (14.0) | 36 (38.7) |
| 15 | 65 | 12 | 21 (32.3) | 102 | 6 | 14 (13.7) | 18 (10.8) | 35 (21.0) |
| 16 | 56 | 9 | 20 (35.7) | 169 | 6 | 22 (13.0) | 15 (6.7) | 42 (18.7) |
| 17 | 83 | 12 | 35 (42.2) | 120 | 9 | 22 (18.3) | 21 (10.3) | 57 (28.1) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Tot } \\ \text { al } \end{array} \end{array}$ | 556 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 56(1 \\ 0.1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 149 \\ (26.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 716 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 48 \\ (6.7) \end{array}$ | 94 (13.1) | 104 (8.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \\ & (19.1) \end{aligned}$ |

It is seen from table-7 that prevalence of HBP was more among all type of obese group in respect to non-obese counterpart. Overweight and obesity were significantly associated with risk of hypertension. Central obesity (indicated by age and sex specific $>85$ th percentile of WC, HC and WC: Ht ) and upper body obesity (indicated by age and sex specific $>$ 85th percentile of NC and NC: Ht) were also associated with HBP.

The association between BMI, NC, NC: Ht, WC, HC, WC: Ht with HBP was found to be statistically highly significant among male adolescents. Like male similar result was obtained for female except that there is no significant association between HBP and NC : Ht .

Table-7: Association between anthropometric obesity markers and high blood pressure

| Obesity <br> indices | Gender | Grade of <br> obesity | Non- <br> HBP | HBP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| BMI | Male | Underweight to Normal | 360 | 106 (22.7) | $\begin{aligned} & 22.09(\mathrm{df-1;} \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Overweight to obesity | 48 | 42 (46.7) |  |
|  | Female | Underweight to Normal | 537 | 60 (10.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 23.17(\text { df-1; } \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Overweight to obesity | 85 | 34 (28.6) |  |
| NC | Male | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 349 | 105 (23.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 17.00 \text { (df-1; } \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 58 | 44 (43.1) |  |
|  | Female | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 544 | 63 (10.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 20.50(\mathrm{df-1} ; \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \end{array}$ | 78 | 31 (28.4) |  |
| NC: Ht | Male | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 368 | 117 (24.1) | $\begin{aligned} & 13.85(\mathrm{df-1} ; \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 39 | 32 (45.1) |  |
|  | Female | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 595 | 85 (12.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.63 \text { (df-1; } \\ & \text { p }>0.05 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 27 | 9 (25.0) |  |
| WC | Male | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline<85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 363 | 105 (22.4) | $\begin{aligned} & 26.70(\mathrm{df-1} ; \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 44 | 44 (50.0) |  |
|  | Female | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 540 | 68 (11.2) | $\begin{aligned} & 10.37 \text { (df-1; } \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.01) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 82 | 26 (24.1) |  |
| HC | Male | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 349 | 107 (23.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 13.83(\mathrm{df-1} ; \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 59 | 42 (41.6) |  |
|  | Female | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 531 | 70 (11.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.59 \text { (df-l; } \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.02) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 91 | 24 (20.9) |  |
| WC: Ht | Male | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \ll 85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 362 | 116 (24.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 11.12 \text { (df-1; } \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>85 \text { th } \\ \text { percentile } \end{array}$ | 45 | 33 (42.3) |  |
|  | Female | $\begin{aligned} & <85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 543 | 69 (11.3) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.86(\mathrm{df-} \mathrm{l} ; \\ & \mathrm{p}<0.01) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>85 \text { th } \\ & \text { percentile } \end{aligned}$ | 79 | 25 (24.0) |  |

## DISCUSSION

In this study prevalence of EBP and HBP was $10.1 \%$ and $26.8 \%$ for male and $6.8 \%$ and $13.1 \%$ for female. Overall prevalence of EBP and HBP was $8.2 \%$ and $19.1 \%$ respectively. The prevalence of HBP in most studies in India were variable and ranging from $2.2 \%$ to $25.1 \%^{21,22}$. In a large cross sectional study in central India on children between 5-15 years of age was found prevalence of HBP 6.8\% among boys and 7.0\% among girls ${ }^{23}$. Prevalence of HBP was $4.5 \%$ among school going adolescents of North India ${ }^{24}$ and $6.48 \%$ in Western India ${ }^{25}$. Ujunwa et al. ${ }^{26}$ reported that the prevalence of HBP and EBP was $5.4 \%$ and $17.3 \%$ respectively. Another study conducted by Fan et al ${ }^{27}$ showed that prevalence of HBP and EBP was $10.6 \%$ and $6.0 \%$ respectively. There is significant heterogeneity of prevalence of HBP in different studies partly due to the age group studied, use of different cutoffs for diagnosing HBP and difference in the BP measurement procedure.

Elevation of BP in childhood frequently progresses to adult hypertension ${ }^{28,29}$ resulting in increased risk of cardiovascular accident. Thus early detection of HBP and associated risk factors is essential to prevent long term adverse effect in adulthood. It is well established that obesity is an important
risk factor for hypertension and anthropometric indicators had proven to be an effective approach in predicting $\mathrm{HBP}^{15}$.

BMI, indicator of general obesity is restricted in screening regional body fat distribution. WC is superior to BMI in identifying central adiposity reflecting higher cardiovascular risk. NC has been suggested as an index of upper body fat distribution ${ }^{30}$ and as a surrogate marker of central obesity in children and adults ${ }^{31,32}$. NC, indices of upper body subcutaneous fat ${ }^{33}$ is now considered as an alternative screening tool for hypertension ${ }^{34}$.

In the present study significant positive correlation was noted between all anthropometric obesity indicators and systolic and diastolic blood pressure except waist-hip ratio. It is seen that prevalence of HBP was more among all type of obese group in respect to non-obese counterpart. The association between BMI, NC, NC: Ht, WC, HC, WC: Ht with HBP was found to be statistically highly significant among male adolescents. Like male similar result was obtained for female except that there is no significant association between HBP and NC: Ht. Thus obesity either general, or abdominal or upper body is the risk factor for HBP in adolescence.

A limitation of the study was no availability of biochemical measurements. Secondly we didn't record family history of hypertension which may have some effect on the blood pressure status. However, the community surveys for screening hypertension with noninvasive measurement anthropometric obesity indices are very much useful.

## CONCLUSION:

In this study we found unexpectedly large burden of HBP in adolescent male and females living in Hooghly, West Bengal, India. As children with HBP are likely to become adults with HBP, suggesting urgent need of early screening and detection of risk factors. In conclusion it is recommended most of the anthropometric obesity indicators viz BMI, NC, WC, HC, WC;Ht and NC:Ht are useful for prediction of hypertension among adolescents having 11-17 years of age.
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