VOLUME - 13, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2024 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

Original Research Paper

Anaesthesiology



COMPARISION OF EFFICACY OF INTRATHECAL FENTANYL AND INTRATHECAL TRAMADOL AS ADJUVANT TO HYPERBARIC BUPIVACAINE 0.5% IN ELECTIVE CAESAREAN SECTION PATIENTS

Dr. Namitha
Ganta*Junior Resident, Department Of Anaesthesiology, Katuri Medical College &
Hospital, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Corresponding AuthorDr. Bheesetti<br/Varaha Bhaskar</th>Junior Resident, Department Of Anaesthesiology, Katuri Medical College &
Hospital, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT Introduction: Subarachnoid block is the preferred technique for caesarean section. Hyperbaric bupivacaine is the most common drug used in spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section. Addition of opiods to local anaesthetics is routinely done in central neuraxial blockade as it provides better intraoperative and early post operative analgesia. Although intrathecal bupivacaine offers good sensory blockade, a substantial number of patients experience haemodynamic instability, pain and discomfort and may require analgesic supplementation in early postoperative period. Aim: To study the duration of postoperative analgesia, intraoperative haemodynamic changes, level of sensory and motor blockade, depth of sedation as well as postoperative haemodynamic changes, pain, nausea & vomiting, depth of sedation, pruritis ans shivering and total analgesics required over 24hours of postoperative period. Materials And Methodology: This study was conducted at Katuri Medical College & Hospital, Chinakondrupadu on 60 patients undergoing LSCS both emergency and elective surgery. Informed consent was obtained from patients included in the study. Patients were divided into two groups, Group A received 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 9mg(1.8 ml) + 10mg(0.2ml) of tramadol intrathecally. Group B received 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 9mg(1.8ml) + 10mcg(0.2ml) of fentanyl intrathecally. RESULTS: When the pulse rates of two groups are compared at set intervals, there is no obvious difference. When comparing the mean arterial pressure between the pre and post anaesthesia procedure there is statistical difference. When compared postoperatively pain score between the two groups reveiled a significant difference. CONCLUSION: Both the groups were effective in providing adequate surgical anaesthesia and haemodynamic stability, but fentanyl seems to be a better alternative to tramadol as an adjuvant to spinal bupivacaine in elective caesarean surgeries.

KEYWORDS : spinal; bupivacaine; tramadol; fentanyl; mean arterial pressure; postoperative pain.

INTRODUCTION:

Subarachnoid block is the preferred technique for caesarean section. It is

I. Easy to perform

- II. Rapid onset of action.
- III. Involves lesser drug doses
- IV. Produces minimal neonatal depression
- V. Lesser incidence of chemical pneumonitis.

However, it also has disadvantages which include producing only a fixed duration of anaesthesia, causing intra-operative hypotension and difficulty in controlling the height of block.Hyperbaric bupivacaine is the most common drug used in spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section. Bupivacaine belongs to amide group of local anaesthetics with high potency, slow onset (5-8 minutes) and long duration of action. For caesarean section intrathecal dose of hyperbaric bupivacaine is 12 to 15 mg.

Addition of opioids to local anaesthetics is routinely done in central neuraxial blockade as it provides better intraoperative and early postoperative analgesia.Spinal anaesthesia is often used for both emergency and elective caesarean section. The advantages of spinal anaesthesia are its rapidity in onset, safety and reliability. Caesarean delivery requires traction of peritoneum and handling of intraperitoneal organs, resulting in intra operative visceral pain. With higher doses of hyperbaric bupivacaine, incidence of intra operative visceral pain associated with organ manipulation is reduced.

Although intrathecal bupivacaine alone offers good sensory blockade, a substantial number of patients experience haemodynamic instability, pain and discomfort and may require analgesic supplementation in early postoperative period.

To overcome these drawbacks, opioids are administered intrathecally along with bupivacaine. Numerous benefits like

- Stable hemodynamics
- Denser analgesia
- Lesser pulmonary complications
- Earlier ambulation of patients
- Prompt return of bowel function and reduced stress response.

AIM AND OBJECTIVES:

Primary Objective:

To study the duration of post-operative analgesia

Secondary Objective

To study the intra-operative haemodynamic changes, level of sensory and motor blockade, depth of sedation and duration of surgery as well as postoperative haemodynamic changes, pain, nausea and vomiting, depth of sedation, pruritus and shivering and total analgesics required over 24 hours of postoperative period.

METHODOLOGY:

This study was done among patients undergoing elective LSCS under SAB in Katuri Medical College & Hospital , chinakondrupadu ,Guntur, Andhra Pradesh.

Study Population:

All Patients undergoing elective LSCS under SAB in Katuri Medical College & Hospital , chinakondrupadu ,Guntur District.

Study Design:

A randomized, double-blinded trial

Study Setting:

Patients undergoing elective LSCS under SAB in Katuri Medical College & Hospital , chinakondrupadu ,Guntur District.

Statistical Analysis:

Sample size calculation was done based on previous study

VOLUME - 13, ISSUE - 04, APRIL - 2024 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

with difference of 66 minutes and SD of 61 minutes - 25 patients/each group will be need with 90% of power& 5% significance.15 to 30 patients will be included in each group to avoid possible dropouts . Statistical analysis was done by independent T-test , Mann Whitney U test, chi square test whichever is applicable.

Inclusion Criteria:

Patients consenting for elective caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia belonging to

- ASAII
- Age group of 18 to 35 yrs
- Body Mass Index between 18.5 24.9 Kg/m2

Exclusion Criteria:

- Refusal to spinal anaesthesia.
- ASA III and above physical status
- Allergic to local anaesthetics and adjuvants.
- Infection at needle site

Contraindications to subarachnoid block like bleeding tendencies, gross spinal deformities.

Sample Size:

Total size of 60 patients

Group I - 30 patients

Group II-30 patients

Study Procedure

Group I received 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 9 mg(1.8 ml) + 10 mg(0.2 ml) of tramadol intrathecally (2ml).

Group II received 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 9 mg (1.8ml) + 0.2 ml i.e. 10micro grams of fentanyl intrathecally (2ml).

The patients as well as the anaesthetist involved in the assessment of the block were blinded to the drug used for spinal anaesthesia. Separate independent investigator prepared the syringes with drugs and handed over to the performing anaesthetist. A total of 60 patients who were willing for surgery.

RESULTS:

Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP):

The mean and standard deviation of the Mean arterial pressure of the fentanyl and tramadol group at various time intervals during the post-operative period is represented in the following table. The post op mean arterial pressures of Fentanyl and Tramadol groups were statistically insignificant

Table 1 : Mean Arterial Pressure Of The Fentanyl And Tramadol Group At Varioustime Intervals During The Postoperative Period.

MAP	GROUP	N	MEAN	STD. DEVIATION	p VALUE BY 't' TEST
4 hours	Fentanyl	30	89.98	4.47	0.891
	Tramadol	30	89.78	6.57	
8 hours	Fentanyl	30	87.62	5.52	0.685
	Tramadol	30	88.20	5.46	
12 hours	Fentanyl	30	88.98	4.11	0.429
	Tramadol	30	88.11	4.32	
16 hours	Fentanyl	30	87.27	5.82	0.760
	Tramadol	30	86.73	7.55	
20 hours	Fentanyl	30	87.69	6.85	0.463
	Tramadol	30	88.93	6.19	
24 hours	Fentanyl	30	87.82	5.40	0.772
	Tramadol	30	88.27	6.37	
	Tramadol	9	1.00	0.00	

The mean and standard deviation of the pain of the fentanyl and tramadol group at various time intervals during the postoperative period is represented in the following table. The post op pain score of Fentanyl group when compared to Tramadol group was statistically significant at interval of 8 hours (p value = 0.001) and 12 hours (p value = 0.015).

Table 2 : Pain Of The Fentanyl And Tramadol Group AtVarious Time Intervals During The Postoperative Period.

PAIN		Group	p value			
		Fentanyl		Tramadol		by Chi
		Count	%	Count	%	sq test
4 hours	Yes	15	53.6%	13	46.4%	0.605
	No	15	46.9%	17	53.1%	
8 hours	Yes	5	21.7%	18	78.3%	0.001*
	No	25	67.6%	12	32.4%	
12 hours	Yes	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0.015*
	No	19	41.3%	27	58.7%	
16 hours	Yes	5	33.3%	10	66.7%	0.136
	No	25	55.6%	20	44.4%	
20 hours	Yes	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	1
	No	23	50.0%	23	50.0%	
24 hours	Yes	9	52.9%	8	47.1%	0.774
	No	21	48.8%	22	51.2%	

DISCUSSION:

Pain Score:

We have evaluated the Efficacy of Intrathecal Fentanyl and Intrathecal Tramadol as an adjuvants to hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5% In Elective Caesarean Section Patients. We studied the onset of sensory and motor blockade and duration of sensory and motor blockade. We studied the quality of analgesia in terms of pain score and total analgesic requirement, sensory characteristics, motor characteristics in patients receiving bupivacaine with tramadol and bupivacaine with fentanyl. We also studied the haemodynamic changes, incidence of nausea, vomiting, pruritus in the two groups.

The study population had a wide range of age distribution from minimum of 21 years to maximum 34 years. The mean age of the study population was 26.38 ± 3.54 years with a mode of 24 years. The onset of sensory in Fentanyl group is $1.73 \pm$ 0.49 min compared to 2 \pm 0.69 min in Tramadol group and the difference is statistically significant (p < 0.001).

The onset of motor in Fentanyl group is 1.73 ± 0.45 min compared to 2.3 ± 0.65 min in Tramadol group and the difference is statistically significant (p < 0.001). The time for maximum sensory level in Fentanyl group is 3.7 ± 0.65 min compared to 4.3 ± 0.7 min in Tramadol group and the difference is statistically significant (p = 0.001). The time for bromage in Fentanyl group is 3.13 ± 0.51 min compared to 3.33 ± 0.61 min in Tramadol group but the difference is not statistically significant (p = 0.171).

CONCLUSION:

Both the groups were effective in providing adequate surgical anaesthesia and hemodynamic stability, but fentanyl seems to be a better alternative to tramadol as an adjuvant to spinal bupivacaine in elective caesarean surgeries.

Ethics Approval And Consent To Participate:

Approval was taken from Katuri Medical College and Hospital's Ethics Committee and written informed patients consents were also taken.

List Of Abbreviations:

Abbreviation	Expansion
LSCS	Lower Segment Caesarean Section
SAB	Subarachnoid Block

48 ★ GJRA - GLOBAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH ANALYSIS

ASA	American Society of Anaesthesiologists
MAP	Mean Arterial Pressure
min	Minutes

Conflict Of Interest

None

Source Of Funding

Self

REFERENCES:

- Gauchan S, Thapa C, Prasai A, Pyakurel K, Joshi I, Tulachan J. Effects of intrathecal fentanyl as an adjuvant to hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for elective caesarean section. Nepal Med Coll J. 2014 Sep[cited 2018 Sep 13];16(1):5-8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25799801
- Scott LJ, Perry CM. Tramadol. Drugs. 2000 Jul[cited 2018 Sep 13];60(1):139-76. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.2165/00003495-200060010-00008
- Bogra J, Arora N, Srivastava P. Synergistic effect of intrathecal fentanyl and bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. BMC Anesthesiol [Internet]. 2005 Dec 17 [cited 2018 Sep 13];5(1):5. Available from: http://bmcanesthesiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2253-5-5
- Pedersen H, Santos AC, Steinberg ES, Schapiro HM, Harmon TW, Finster M. Incidence of visceral pain during cesarean section: the effect of varying doses of spinal bupivacarine. Anesth Analg [Internet]. 1989 Jul [cited 2018 Sep13];69(1):46-9.Availablefrom: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/2742167
- De Simone CA, Leighton BL, Norris MC. Spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. A comparison of two doses of hyperbarric bupivacaine. Reg Anesth [Internet]. 1995 [cited 2018 Sep 13];20(2):90–4. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7605770
- Chavan G, Chavan A, Ghosh A. Effect of Intrathecal Fentanyl on subarachnoid block with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. Int J Healthe Biomed Bes [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2018 Sep 13]: (2):67. Available from: www.iihbr.com
- Res [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2018 Sep 13];(2):67. Available from: www.ijhbr.com 7. Upadya M, Neeta S, Manissery JJ, Kuriakose N, Singh RR. A randomized controlled study comparing intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine-fentanyl mixture and isobaric bupivacaine-fentanyl mixture in common urological procedures. Indian J Anaesth [Internet]. 2016 Jan [cited 2018 Sep 13];60(1):44-9.Availablefrom: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26962255
- Biswas BN, Rudra A, Bose BK, Nath S, Chakrabarty S, Bhattacharjee S. INTRATHECAL FENTANYL WITH HYPERBARIC BUPIVACAINE IMPROVES ANALGESIA DURING CAESAREAN DELIVERY AND IN EARLY POST-OPERATIVE PERIOD [Internet]. Vol. 46, Indian J. Anaesth. 2002 [cited 2018 Sep 13]. Available from:http://medind.nic.in/add/t02/i6/iadt02i6p469.pdf
- Chakraborty S, Chakrabarti J, Bhattacharya D. Intrathecal tramadol added to bupivacaine as spinal anesthetic increases analgesic effect of the spinal blockade after major gynecological surgeries. Indian J Pharmacol [Internet]. 2008 Aug [cited 2018 Sep 13]; 40(4): 180–2. Available from: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20040953
- Van de Velde M. Anaesthesia for caesarean section. Curr Opin Anaesthesial [Internet]. 2001 Jun [cited 2018 Oct 8];14(3):307–10. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17019107
- Yeoh SB, Leong SB, Heng ÅST. Anæsthesia for lower-segment cæsarean section: Changing perspectives. Indian J Anæsth [Internet]. 2010 Sep [cited 2018 Oct 8];54(5):409–14. Available from:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21189878
 Santos A, Pedersen H, Finster M, Edström H. Hyperbaric bupivaccine for
- Santos A, Pedersen H, Finster M, Edström H. Hyperbaric bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia in cesarean section. Anesth Analg [Internet]. 1984 [cited 2018 Oct 8];63(11):1009–13. Available from:https://europepmc.org/abstract/ med/6496970