



MATERNAL AND NEONATAL OUTCOME IN PREMATURE RUPTURE OF MEMBRANES

Dr. V. B. Meghana

Assistant professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Maharajahs Institute of Medical Sciences, Andhrapradesh, India.

Dr. Kalluru Revathi

Postgraduate, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Maharajahs Institute of Medical Sciences, Andhrapradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study was to assess the maternal and neonatal outcome in premature rupture of membranes. **Material and Methods:** A prospective study was carried out in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology from October 2022– February 2024 in Maharajah's institute of medical sciences. The sample size was 100. The maternal and neonatal outcome of pre-labour rupture of membranes in both term and preterm pregnancies was observed and statistically analysed. **Results:** Incidence of PROM (premature rupture of membrane) was commonly in primigravida (64%). Term PROM was higher (72%) than PPROM (28%). Aetiological analysis revealed cause is unknown in most of the cases. Infection in 23% cases, previous history of PROM 16% and history of recent coitus 12% cases. Patient delivered by vaginal route 70% and LSCS 30 %. The PROM had maternal morbidity like post partum fever 11%, wound infection 5% and chorioamnionitis 3 %. Also perinatal mortality and morbidity like respiratory distress syndrome 11%, birth asphyxia 6%, septicemia 8%. **Conclusion:** Antenatal diagnosis to prevent PROM by identifying the risk factors is an important tool in management. Steroid for fetal lung maturity, antibiotics to prevent fetal and maternal infection, induction and/or augmentation of labour in due time and skilled NICU support will speed delivery, reduce hospital stay and infection as well as decrease maternal morbidity and perinatal morbidity and mortality.

KEYWORDS : PROM; Maternal outcome; Risk factor; Perinatal outcome.

INTRODUCTION

Premature rupture of membranes is defined as rupture of membranes before the onset of labour and beyond the viable age. It is called preterm PROM when it occurs before 37 completed weeks of gestation, and PROM that occur after 37 weeks of gestation defined as term PROM¹. PROM is the leading cause of preterm births and perinatal morbidities. Prematurity and its recognised sequel like, respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular haemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis are the major complications. Other fetal complications due to long standing oligohydramnios in PPROM, before 26 weeks are skeletal and craniofacial abnormalities and pulmonary hypoplasia^{2,3,4}. Maternal morbidities are found in terms of chorioamnionitis leading to endometritis, puerperal pyrexia, wound infection. Further morbidities can be increased obstetric interventions in term of instrumental deliveries and caesarean section due to fetal distress or in coordinated uterine action^{5,6,7}. Numerous risk factors are associated with PROM such as black race, lower socio-economic status, smokers, past history of STI, previous preterm delivery or abortion, polyhydramnios and multiple pregnancy. Others are procedures such as circlage, amniocentesis. Fetal & maternal outcome is depend on many factors, such as gestational age, interventions (antibiotics, steroids) done, duration of labour, development of intrapartum chorioamnionitis⁸. In the absence of clinically obvious intra-amniotic infection, fetal distress or placental abruption, prolongation of pregnancy to reduce the risk of prematurity has been the main goal of conservative management in PPROM above 28 weeks^{9,10}. Thus the decision to abandon expectant management of womb with PPROM in favor of delivery requires a close assessment of potential risk in those pregnancies expectantly managed vs the gestational age related risk for neonatal morbidity and mortality related intentional delivery. Even though most cases are idiopathic and unpreventable, close monitoring with timely intervention and good neonatal setup, can contribute significantly to reduce fetomaternal morbidity and mortalities. So the aim of the present study is to know the etiology, neonatal and maternal outcome of premature rupture of membranes in both term and preterm pregnancies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective study was carried out in Maharajah's institute of

medical sciences vizianagaram over a period of 17 months from October 2022 to February 2024 100 cases were included in this study. All cases of PROM/PPROM above 28 weeks of pregnancy were admitted in labour ward. Detailed antenatal history was taken including parity, period of gestation, menstrual history, risk factor if any, antenatal care and socioeconomic status. History of recent coitus, genitor-urinary infection, history of PROM/PPROM in previous pregnancy was taken. History of presenting complaints of leaking per vaginam, duration of leaking, colour of liquor was also recorded. Complete general examination to identify nutritional status (BMI), anaemia, genital hygiene, temperature, pulse rate, blood pressure, and respiratory rate were noted. Obstetric examination was done at admission to determine gestational age, presentation, liquor volume, estimated fetal size/weight and fetal heart rate. Per speculum examination was done to confirm active leaking of amniotic fluid with pooling of amniotic fluid in the vagina, leaking with Valsalva. A cervical swab or high vaginal swab was taken. Other investigations done like CBC, Blood sugar, Urine R/E, CRP were done. Non Stress test was done for fetal surveillance. Ultrasound to confirm the presentation, the amniotic fluid index and gestational age. All patients admitted were started on I/V antibiotics (I/V inj. Ceftriaxone 1 gm I/V12 hourly). Steroid (Betamethasone) 12 mg 12 hours apart in two doses I/M were given if gestational age was less than or equal to 34 weeks. Patients with gestational age less than 34 weeks were put on conservative management till 24 hours after the last dose of betamethasone if no signs of chorioamnionitis were present. Pregnancy was terminated if maternal-fetal surveillance was not good. Patients were monitored with NST (32 weeks of gestation) once a day and blood counts twice in a week. Patients more than 34 weeks of gestation were induced at admission with PGE2gel/ Misoprostol (PGE1) if Bishop's score <5 and oxytocin if Bishop's score >5. Labour monitoring done with partogram and continuous fetal monitoring. Any deviation of progress of labour, LSCS done. Maternal and neonatal outcome were studied. Fetal morbidity cases were admitted in NICU and subjected to investigations and followed till discharge. Mothers are also followed till discharge.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Singleton pregnancy between 28-42 weeks of gestation.

2. Primi and multigravida.
3. Leaking from cervix confirmed by speculum examination

Exclusion Criteria

1. Multiple pregnancies
2. Maternal complications interfering with active management of PROM like HTN, Heart disease, previous LSCS, malpresentation, DM, IUGR, HIV infection.
3. Congenital anomalies

All records were collected in data sheet and the data were analysed by descriptive statistics using the statistical package of social science (SPSS) version 20. The results expressed in descriptive statistics by simple percentage.

RESULTS

During study period, total number of deliveries in this hospital were 1750. Among them 100 Patients were included in this study based on inclusion criteria.

Table-1: Incidence of PROM According to Parity.

Variable	Number of cases	Percentage(n=100)
Primigravida	64	64%
multigravida	36	36%

Table-2: Incidence of PROM According to Gestational Age.

Variable	Number of Cases	Percentage (n=100)
28-36 week+6 days	28	28%
>37-42 weeks	72	72%

Table-3: PROM with Risk Factors

Risk factors	Number of cases	Percentage(n=100)
Idiopathic	47	47%
Infection	23	23%
Previous history of PROM	16	16%
History of coitus	12	12%
Malpresentation	2	2%
Cervical surgeries & maternal disease	Nil	Nil

Table-4 Outcome with Induction/Augmentation In PROM

Type of Induction/Augmentation	Number of cases	Normal vaginal delivery		LSCS	
		Number	%	Number	%
Misoprostol induction	43	36	36%	20	20%
Oxytocin augmentation	57	34	34%	12	12%

Table-5: Maternal Morbidity in Relation with PROM

Maternal morbidity	Number of cases	Percentage (n=100)
Puerperal pyrexia	11	11%
Chorioamnionitis	3	3%
Post partum haemorrhage (PPH)	2	2%
Wound infection abdominal/Episiotomy	5	5%

Table-6: Perinatal Morbidity and Mortality in Relation with PROM

Risk factors	Number of cases	Percentage (n=100)
Birth asphyxia	6	6%
Respiratory distress syndrome	11	11%
Septicemia	8	8%
Seizure	3	3%
Jaundice	4	4%
Transient Tachypnoea in newborn	5	5%
Fetal death	3	3%

DISCUSSION

There are many studies which cover different aspects of feto-

maternal outcome in PROM cases⁴. This study supports proper antenatal care, early detection and prevention of obstetric complications can improve the foeto -maternal outcome. In this study 64% cases with PROM were primigravida. According to Akhter et al¹¹ chance of increase sexual activity and increased genital infection are the most common among primigravida. In this study primigravida were 53%. Gestational age in majority of the study subject were >36 weeks in the current study. Adeniji AO, Atanda OA and Biswas T et al also revealed similar type of findings in relation of gestational age^{12,13}. Incidence of preterm PROM in this study was 28%. Dan forth¹⁴ shows similar findings 30% incidence of preterm PROM. Although it is widely agreed that PROM is multifactorial, finding from this study shows that mostly the causes are idiopathic (47%) but can be associated with genital tract infection (23%), previous history of PROM (16%), coitus (12%), malpresentation (2%). No causes of cervical surgeries, connective tissue disorder was seen. In Shehla Noor¹⁵ study previous history of PROM was in 30.6% cases and Devi Anjena¹⁶ showed that 40% in PROM group had history of coitus 2 weeks before delivery. Genital tract culture positive was found in 22% of cases. Out of which E.Coli was the most common organism. Habeebullah and Baswaraj¹⁷ also in their study found E.Coli as the most common organism isolated from genital tract. Vaginal delivery was the commonest mode of delivery. There was a fourfold increase in caesarean section rate, the rate of LSCS being 32% in present study comparable to 27% in Sita Ram Shrestha et al¹⁸. In misoprostol induced group 83% had vaginal delivery and 46.5% undergone caesarean section for failed induction. In oxytocin augmentation group 59.6% delivered vaginally, and 21% undergone caesarean section. Maternal mortality was not seen in this study. Maternal morbidity rate 21 cases (21%) are higher compared to study by vermillion et al¹⁹. Use of prophylactic antibiotic in PROM reduced maternal morbidity. However despite the fact that the prophylactic antibiotic was used liberally in this study. Maternal morbidity (21%) and perinatal mortality (3%) reported. 11% patients had puerperal fever and 3% chorioamnionitis. In Artal K study²⁰ puerperal pyrexia 13% and chorioamnionitis 3-13%. Harding et al demonstrated that use of corticosteroid in preterm PROM before 34 weeks gestational age reduces perinatal morbidity and mortality by reducing the risk of respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular haemorrhage and necrotizing enterocolitis²¹. In this study steroid was used in all cases of PPROM below 34 weeks and this may be responsible for low incidence of RDS, IVH and necrotizing enterocolitis observed. Among 100 cases 11% babies suffered from respiratory distress syndrome, 8% from septicemia, 4% from neonatal jaundice, 6% from birth asphyxia, 3% seizure, 5% transient tachypnoea. S.Akhter et al²² study shows similar findings RDS 11.1% and septicemia 6.7%. Perinatal mortality 3% which correlate with the study of Boskadi et al²³ was 4.6%.

CONCLUSION

PROM is a highrisk obstetric condition which is a common problem among pregnant women and a big challenge to the Obstetricians and also for Neonatologists. Evaluation of risk of PROM and timely diagnosis is essential to reduce maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Antibiotic and steroid (in cases of PPROM <34weeks) administration to women with PROM significantly reduces maternal and neonatal morbidity. Active management is needed to enable delivery within 24 hours of PROM and it offers better maternal and neonatal outcome. The main objective of the Obstetrician should be early searching, adequate antenatal visits and improvement of general condition of the mother, identifying risk factors, treating associated complication, correct diagnosis of PROM and induction of delivery (>34 weeks) that gives a high rate of successful vaginal deliveries without a rise in neonatal and maternal morbidities. Neonatal complications may be related more closely to the effects of premature birth and sophistication of Newborn special care

unit rather than PROM

REFERENCES

1. Text book of OBG: Williams 25nd edition.
2. Duff P. Premature rupture of membranes in term patients; induction of Labour VS expectant management clinical Obstet Gynecol 1998; 41 (4): 883-91.
3. Parry E, Premature rupture of membranes. New England Journal 1998; 33 (8): 663-70.
4. Maxwell GL, Prelabour rupture of membranes. Obstet Gynecol survey 1993; 48: 576-83.
5. William J. Etiology of PROM. Clinical Obstet Gynecol Dec 1998; 41:810- 6.
6. Merstein, PROM-neonatal consequences. Journal of perinatology 1998; 20:375-80.
7. Kodkaney BS. Premature rupture of membranes. Journal obst. Gynecol India 1991; 41(4): 492-6.
8. Shucker JL, Mercer BM, Midtrimester PROM semin Perinatol 1996; 20: 389-400.
9. Hadley, Risk factors for PROM Am J Perinatol 1990; 7: 374-9.
10. Harga F, Risk factors for PROM . A multi-centre case control study AJOG 1990; 16(3): 130-7.
11. Akhter Ms, Degan JS, Akter UA, D Sharman PROM study of 300 cases and review of literature. J Obstet & Gynecol of India 1980; 30:81.
12. Adeniji AO, Atanda OA. Intervention and neonatal outcomes in patients with premature rupture of fetal membranes at and beyond 34 weeks gestational age at a tertiary health facility in Nigeria SDI Paper Template version; 2012.
13. Biswas T, Das SK, Kanda S, Preterm prelabour Rupture of Membranes at 34-37 weeks Gestation: International Delivery verses Expectant Management. JMSCR 2014; 2(6): 1348-57.
14. Danforth DN et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1953; 65:480.
15. Shehla N, Prevalence of PPROM and its outcome. J Ayub Med. College abbotabad J of obstet Gynecol 1899; 29(4) 223-8.
16. Anjana D. PROM: Aclincal study of fetal outcome of PPROM. NJ Obstet Gynecol 1996; 46: 63-76.
17. Habeebullah and Baswaraj. Maternal genital bacteria and surface colonization in neonatal EOS, Indian J pead. 2006; 73(1) 29-32.
18. Sita Ram Shrestha, Paban Sharma, et al. fetal outcome of prelabour rupture of membranes. NJ Obstet Gynecol 2006; 1(2):19-24.
19. Vermillion ST, Soper DF, Chasedunn- Roar KJ, Neonatal sepsis after Betamethasone adminstration to patients with preterm premature rupture of membranes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1999; 181: 320-327.
20. Artal K, Sokal RJ, Neuman M, Burstein AH Stojkor J. The mechanical properties of prematurity and non-prematurity rupture membranes. Am J Obstet gynecol 1976; 125: 655-659.
21. Harding JE, Pang Knight DB, Liggins GC, Do antenatal corticosteroid help in the setting preterm rupture of membranes? Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001; 184: 131-139.
22. S. Akter, M Rashid, R Akter et al. Journal of Bangladesh College of Physician & Surgeon 2010; 28:1.
23. Hassan Baskabadi Gholamoni, Maamonei shahin Ma Finejed, Macedonian Journal of Medical sciences 2011 15; 4(1): 93-98.