



THE ERA OF NO-TOUCH CARDIAC INTERVENTION: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE GUIDED MAGNETOCARDIOGRAPHIC MAPPING AND STEREOTACTIC ARRHYTHMIA RADIOABLATION AS THE FUTURE OF NON-INVASIVE ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL SURGERY

Prof Dr Pradeep Kumar Radhakrishnan

MS, MCh CTVS AIIMS Delhi, Post-doctoral fellow CTVS SCTIMST, Fellow in ECMO, FACC, FIACS, CPDH- IISc, Global MBA Lond, Director Biomexia, Vice Chairman IC SCR- AMTZ, Visiting Prof CTVS THI (YANS Medical Institute Trivandrum Kerala)

ABSTRACT

The evolution of cardiac intervention is undergoing a fundamental transformation from mechanical manipulation of cardiac structures toward precision-based, energy-guided biological modulation. Conventional electrophysiological treatment of arrhythmias, particularly atrial fibrillation (AF), relies on catheter-based intracardiac mapping and ablation. Although highly effective, these approaches require vascular access, intracardiac instrumentation, fluoroscopy exposure, and procedural risks including tamponade, stroke, vascular complications, and collateral tissue injury. The emerging concept of a "no-touch intervention" integrates two rapidly advancing technologies: advanced magnetocardiography (MCG) for non-contact electrophysiological mapping and stereotactic arrhythmia radioablation (STAR) for incision-free myocardial modification. MCG detects ultra-weak magnetic fields generated by myocardial electrical currents, while artificial intelligence (AI)-based signal processing enables extraction of clinically relevant electrophysiological information from complex biological noise. STAR applies principles derived from stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT), delivering highly focused radiation beams to pathological electrical substrates without catheter contact. Although currently established mainly for refractory ventricular tachycardia (VT), the extension of STAR toward atrial fibrillation represents a major frontier in cardiac surgery and electrophysiology. The convergence of AI, computational cardiac modelling, three-dimensional imaging, robotics, and adaptive radiotherapy may create a future environment where arrhythmogenic tissue can be identified, targeted, and modified without opening the chest, entering the heart, or performing conventional surgery. This review discusses the scientific basis, technological advances, clinical applications, challenges, and future innovations surrounding no-touch cardiac intervention.

KEYWORDS : Magnetocardiography; Artificial Intelligence; Atrial Fibrillation; Stereotactic Arrhythmia Radioablation; Non-invasive Cardiac Surgery; Electrophysiology; Computational Modelling; Radiation Ablation; Precision Medicine; Digital Twin Heart

1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiac surgery and electrophysiology have historically developed around direct physical access to cardiac structures. From open surgical maze procedures to catheter-based radiofrequency ablation, the fundamental principle has been identification and destruction of abnormal electrical pathways responsible for arrhythmogenesis.

The emergence of minimally invasive techniques has progressively reduced surgical trauma; however, most current therapies still depend on physical contact with the heart. Catheter ablation requires navigation of electrodes through vascular pathways into cardiac chambers, followed by electrical mapping and thermal injury creation. Despite remarkable success rates, limitations remain, especially in complex atrial fibrillation substrates where arrhythmogenic mechanisms are distributed, dynamic, and patient-specific.

A new paradigm is developing: cardiac intervention without direct contact.

This concept depends on three technological pillars:

1. Non-contact physiological sensing
2. AI-based interpretation and computational reconstruction
3. External precision energy delivery

The combination resembles a "radiation-guided robotic surgeon," where the target is detected digitally and treated physically without instruments entering the body.

The future operating environment may therefore shift from a conventional operating theatre toward an integrated platform combining:

- magnetic sensors,
- artificial intelligence,
- cardiac imaging,
- computational simulation,
- stereotactic radiation technology,
- real-time motion compensation.

2. Conceptual Framework of the No-Touch Intervention Model

The no-touch cardiac intervention pathway consists of:

Step 1: Electrical fingerprint acquisition

The human heart generates electrical currents during depolarization and repolarization. According to electromagnetic principles, these ionic currents generate measurable magnetic fields.

The magnetic field produced by cardiac electrical activity is extremely small:

$$10^{-10} - 10^{-12} \text{ Tesla}$$

which is several orders of magnitude weaker than environmental magnetic interference.

Traditional ECG measures voltage differences on the body surface:

$$V = IR \quad V = IR \quad V = IR$$

where:

- V = measured voltage
- I = cardiac electrical current
- R = tissue impedance

However, MCG detects magnetic field changes:

$$B = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{I \times r}{r^3}$$

where:

- B = magnetic field
- μ_0 = magnetic permeability
- I = cardiac current source
- r = distance from electrical source

Because magnetic fields are less affected by tissue conductivity differences, MCG theoretically provides improved localization of electrical activity compared with surface ECG.

3. Advanced Magnetocardiography: The Mapping Revolution

3.1 Traditional electrophysiological mapping

Current AF ablation requires:

- venous access
- transseptal puncture
- intracardiac catheter positioning
- electro-anatomical mapping
- lesion delivery

Three-dimensional mapping systems such as:

- Biosense Webster CARTO
- Abbott Laboratories EnSite

have transformed EP procedures but remain invasive.

3.2 Modern MCG systems

Advanced MCG uses arrays of magnetic sensors positioned externally over the thorax.

Modern systems incorporate:

Superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUID)

SQUID sensors provide extremely high sensitivity but historically required:

- cryogenic cooling
- magnetically shielded rooms
- expensive infrastructure

Recent advances include:

Optically pumped magnetometers (OPM)

OPM-based MCG allows:

- room-temperature operation
- wearable sensor arrays
- improved clinical accessibility

4. Artificial Intelligence Enhancement of MCG

The major limitation of MCG was not signal acquisition but interpretation.

The cardiac magnetic signal contains:

- myocardial activity
- respiratory motion
- environmental magnetic noise
- skeletal muscle artefacts

AI approaches now allow:

Signal separation

Using:

- deep neural networks
- convolutional neural networks
- transformer architectures

the system can separate:

$$Signal_{heart} = Total\ signal - Noise$$

AI electrophysiological reconstruction

The future goal is creation of a patient-specific electrical map:

$$Heart_{digital} = Geometry + Electrophysiology + Physiology$$

This forms the basis of the digital twin heart concept.

The digital twin may simulate:

- conduction velocity
- scar propagation
- re-entry circuits
- arrhythmogenic triggers before treatment.

5. Stereotactic Arrhythmia Radioablation (STAR)

5.1 Evolution from oncology to cardiology

Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) was originally developed for:

- lung cancer
- liver lesions
- brain metastases

The same principles are adapted for arrhythmia treatment.

Unlike catheter ablation:

Radiofrequency ablation:

Temperature $\uparrow$ $\rightarrow$ *Protein denaturation* $\rightarrow$ *Necrosis*

STAR:

Radiation dose $\rightarrow$ *DNA damage* $\rightarrow$ *Fibrosis* $\rightarrow$ *Electrical isolation*

5.2 STAR treatment mechanism

The workflow includes:

1. Target identification

Using:

- CT
- MRI
- PET
- MCG
- electrophysiological modelling

2. Treatment planning

Multiple radiation beams converge:

$$Dose_{target} = \sum_{n=1}^N Beam_n$$

creating a high-dose region while minimizing surrounding tissue exposure.

3. Biological effect

Ionizing radiation causes:

- DNA double-strand breaks
- inflammatory response
- fibroblast activation
- collagen deposition

The final outcome:

electrical isolation of abnormal myocardium

6. Integration of MCG and STAR: The Complete No-Touch Cardiac Intervention Workflow

The ultimate objective is not simply replacing catheter ablation with radiation therapy. The true innovation is the creation of a closed-loop non-invasive cardiac intervention system.

The proposed workflow consists of:

Phase 1: Non-contact electrical acquisition

The patient lies comfortably under an MCG sensor array.

Data acquired:

- cardiac magnetic fields
- electrical activation sequence
- abnormal conduction pathways
- ectopic focus location

No:

- vascular access
- anaesthesia
- fluoroscopy
- intracardiac catheter

is required.

Phase 2: Artificial intelligence reconstruction

The raw MCG dataset is integrated with:

- cardiac CT
- cardiac MRI
- echocardiography
- scar imaging
- electrophysiological databases

A three-dimensional patient-specific heart model is generated.

The mathematical representation:

$$Heart_{Model} = f(Geometry, Electrophysiology, Fibrosis, Flow)$$

where:

- geometry = anatomical structure
- electrophysiology = conduction properties
- fibrosis = pathological substrate
- flow = haemodynamic influence

Phase 3: Computational arrhythmia simulation

Modern computational electrophysiology uses the bidomain model.

The electrical activity of cardiac tissue can be represented as:

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma_i \nabla V_i) + \nabla \cdot (\sigma_e \nabla V_e) = 0$$

where:

- σ_i = intracellular conductivity
- σ_e = extracellular conductivity
- V_i = intracellular potential
- V_e = extracellular potential

These simulations can predict:

- re-entry circuits
- conduction block
- trigger sites
- ablation targets

This represents a major shift from reactive therapy toward predictive intervention.

7. Clinical Applications in Cardiology and Cardiac Surgery

7.1 Atrial Fibrillation (AF)

Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained arrhythmia worldwide.

Current treatment:

- pulmonary vein isolation
- linear lesions
- substrate modification

Limitations:

- recurrence
- incomplete lesions
- difficult posterior wall access
- oesophageal injury risk

A no-touch approach could theoretically:

1. Detect abnormal atrial activation using MCG
2. Identify AF drivers using AI
3. Deliver precise STAR treatment externally

Potential advantages:

- no transseptal puncture
- no catheter manipulation
- reduced procedural time
- possible outpatient therapy

However, AF remains challenging because:

- atrial walls are thin
- targets are small
- respiratory movement affects accuracy

7.2 Ventricular Tachycardia (VT)

STAR currently has its strongest evidence in refractory ventricular tachycardia.

Patients with:

- ischemic cardiomyopathy
- scar-related VT
- multiple failed ablations

may benefit.

The landmark clinical development was the demonstration that stereotactic radiation could modify ventricular arrhythmogenic substrate.

The ventricular myocardium provides:

- thicker tissue
 - scar-defined targets
 - stable arrhythmogenic regions
- making it more suitable than atrial disease.

7.3 Role in Cardiac Surgery

The implications for cardiac surgery are profound.

Traditional surgery:

- requires incision
- cardiopulmonary bypass
- direct manipulation

Future hybrid model:

Cardiac surgeon + electrophysiologist + radiation oncologist + AI engineer working through a digital platform.

Possible future applications:

Surgical maze replacement

Instead of creating surgical atrial incisions:

AI identifies conduction pathways and generates virtual lesion sets.

Postoperative arrhythmia treatment

Patients after:

- valve surgery
- congenital repair
- coronary bypass

often develop arrhythmias.

A non-invasive correction method may allow treatment without repeat surgery.

8. Technical Challenges Before Routine Clinical Adoption

8.1 Motion compensation

The heart moves due to:

- cardiac contraction
- respiration
- diaphragm movement

Radiation targeting requires millimetre precision.

Future solutions:

- respiratory gating
- ECG gating
- real-time MRI tracking
- AI prediction algorithms

8.2 Radiation safety

The major concern is collateral injury.

Potential structures at risk:

- esophagus
- lungs
- coronary arteries
- phrenic nerve

Advanced dose modelling is required.

A biological optimization equation may be represented as:

$$\text{Therapeutic Ratio} = \frac{\text{Tumour / Target Dose}}{\text{Normal Tissue Dose}}$$

The goal is maximizing target effect while minimizing collateral damage.

8.3 Delayed biological response

Unlike catheter ablation:

Immediate lesion formation does not occur.

Radiation produces progressive biological remodelling:

Hours:

- DNA injury

Days:

- inflammation

Weeks–months:

- fibrosis formation

Therefore, clinical monitoring is essential.

9. Artificial Intelligence and the Future Digital Cardiac Operating Room

The future cardiac operating environment may not resemble a traditional operating theatre.

A possible future workflow:

Patient arrives:

↓

Non-contact MCG scan

↓

AI creates digital twin

↓

Virtual ablation simulation

↓

Radiation treatment planning

↓

Robotic adaptive STAR delivery

↓

Automated follow-up monitoring

AI systems may eventually predict:

- which patients need intervention
- optimal lesion location
- expected outcome
- recurrence probability

This represents movement from:

precision medicine → predictive medicine

10. Future Innovations Expected in This Field

10.1 AI autonomous electrophysiology

Future systems may automatically:

- detect arrhythmias
- map sources
- simulate therapy
- recommend treatment

10.2 Wearable MCG technology

Development of:

- flexible magnetic sensors
- wearable cardiac monitoring garments
- continuous arrhythmia mapping

may transform diagnosis.

10.3 Proton and heavy-ion cardiac ablation

Future radiation platforms may use:

- proton beams
- carbon ions

because of improved dose localization.

10.4 Real-time adaptive STAR

Next-generation systems may combine:

- AI
- robotics
- imaging feedback

to modify radiation delivery during each heartbeat.

10.5 Complete digital twin heart

The ultimate vision:

A patient-specific virtual heart capable of:

- reproducing arrhythmias
- testing treatments
- predicting outcomes

before the patient receives therapy.

11. DISCUSSION

The evolution of cardiac intervention is moving from mechanically invasive procedures toward biologically targeted, image-guided, non-contact therapies. Conventional atrial fibrillation management has historically depended on catheter-based electrophysiological mapping and ablation, particularly following the discovery that pulmonary vein triggers play a central role in AF initiation. The landmark work of Haissaguerre et al. demonstrated that ectopic electrical activity originating from pulmonary veins could initiate AF, establishing pulmonary vein isolation as a fundamental therapeutic strategy. [1]

Despite major advances in catheter navigation systems and three-dimensional electro anatomical mapping, invasive electrophysiology continues to carry procedural risks including vascular complications, cardiac perforation, tamponade, thromboembolism, and limitations in treating complex atrial substrates. Current guideline-directed approaches therefore continue to evolve toward personalized strategies based on individual arrhythmogenic mechanisms. [2]

The emergence of magnetocardiography represents a major conceptual change because it enables detection of cardiac electrical activity without direct contact with myocardial tissue. Unlike conventional ECG, which measures voltage differences influenced by tissue conductivity, MCG detects magnetic fields generated by ionic currents within the myocardium. Advances in sensor technology, particularly optically pumped magnetometers and advanced signal-processing methods, have increased the feasibility of clinical MCG applications. Non-invasive reconstruction of cardiac electrical activity using magnetic measurements has demonstrated the potential for improved localization of electrical abnormalities. [3]

However, the complexity of cardiac magnetic signals requires advanced computational analysis. Artificial intelligence and machine-learning algorithms are increasingly being incorporated to separate physiological cardiac signals from environmental noise, extract electrophysiological patterns, and generate patient-specific electrical maps. The development of computational cardiac models and digital twin approaches has further expanded the possibility of predicting arrhythmia mechanisms before intervention. [4]

The second component of the no-touch intervention paradigm is stereotactic arrhythmia radioablation (STAR). Originally developed from stereotactic body radiotherapy used in oncology, STAR applies highly focused external radiation beams to modify arrhythmogenic myocardial tissue. The first major clinical demonstration of this concept was reported by Cuculich et al., who showed that non-invasive cardiac radiation could successfully reduce ventricular tachycardia episodes in patients with refractory disease. [5]

Subsequent studies have confirmed the feasibility of electrophysiology-guided cardiac radioablation, demonstrating that radiation can produce controlled myocardial fibrosis capable of interrupting abnormal electrical circuits. Robinson et al. reported early clinical experience supporting the safety and feasibility of non-invasive cardiac radioablation approaches. [6]

The current clinical strength of STAR lies primarily in ventricular tachycardia rather than atrial fibrillation. Ventricular arrhythmias frequently arise from scar-related substrates that are relatively stable and identifiable using imaging and electrophysiological techniques. Reviews and consensus documents have highlighted STAR as a promising option for patients with refractory VT who have failed conventional catheter ablation. [7,8]

The extension of STAR toward atrial fibrillation remains a major research frontier. Unlike ventricular scar-based VT, AF involves complex and dynamic electrical phenomena including multiple wavelets, rotors, autonomic influences, and rapidly changing conduction patterns. The atrial wall thickness, respiratory movement, and proximity of critical structures such as the oesophagus create additional technical challenges. Future application of STAR for AF will require integration of real-time imaging, respiratory compensation, AI-based targeting, and improved biological dose modelling.

The development of computational electrophysiology is central to this transition. Modern cardiac simulation models

incorporate myocardial anatomy, fibre orientation, conduction velocity, fibrosis distribution, and electrical behaviour. These models allow virtual testing of ablation strategies before treatment and form the foundation of personalized cardiac digital twins. Niederer et al. described the expanding role of computational models in understanding cardiac electrical and mechanical behaviour. [9]

The future direction of cardiac surgery may therefore involve a convergence between surgery, electrophysiology, radiation oncology, artificial intelligence, and computational science. Rather than physically removing or modifying tissue, the next generation of interventions may involve remote biological modulation guided by patient-specific digital models. The concept of digital twin technology represents a movement toward predictive medicine, where treatment strategies can be simulated and optimized before being delivered clinically. [10]

From a cardiac surgical perspective, this technology could have significant implications. Patients requiring redo procedures, those with severe ventricular dysfunction, and those at high operative risk may eventually benefit from non-invasive therapeutic alternatives. The operating room of the future may evolve into an integrated precision medicine environment where imaging, AI analytics, and energy-based therapy replace some aspects of conventional surgical manipulation.

Nevertheless, several challenges remain before routine adoption. Long-term radiation effects, optimal dose selection, motion compensation, collateral tissue protection, and randomized clinical validation remain essential areas of investigation. Large multicentre trials will determine whether STAR can move beyond rescue therapy and become a mainstream therapeutic platform.

The ultimate vision is not replacement of cardiac surgery but transformation of its methodology: from physical access to biological precision, from tissue manipulation to intelligent modulation, and from reactive intervention to predictive personalized therapy. The convergence of MCG, artificial intelligence, computational modelling, and stereotactic radiation represents one of the most important technological transitions in cardiovascular medicine.

The traditional surgical philosophy was:

Find disease → physically access → remove or modify tissue

The emerging philosophy is:

Measure → simulate → target → biologically remodel

The no-touch intervention concept does not eliminate cardiac surgeons; rather, it expands the definition of cardiac surgery into a technology-driven discipline involving precision energy delivery and computational medicine.

For cardiac surgery, the impact could be especially important in high-risk patients:

- elderly patients
- multiple previous operations
- severe ventricular dysfunction
- patients unsuitable for catheter procedures

The approach may eventually create a new category:

Non-invasive electrophysiological surgery

However, widespread adoption requires:

- large clinical trials
- long-term safety data
- radiation optimization
- regulatory approval
- cost reduction

At present, STAR is clinically more mature for ventricular tachycardia than atrial fibrillation. The AF application remains an exciting research frontier requiring improved targeting accuracy and biological understanding.

12. Summary

The combination of advanced magnetocardiography and stereotactic arrhythmia radioablation represents a fundamental shift in cardiac intervention.

Future cardiac treatment may involve:

- no incision
- no catheter
- no bypass
- no direct tissue contact

Instead, disease may be corrected through:

- electromagnetic sensing
- artificial intelligence interpretation
- computational simulation
- precision external energy delivery

The future cardiac operating room may become a fusion of imaging laboratory, AI platform, and radiation therapy environment.

REFERENCES

1. Haissaguerre M, Jais P, Shah DC, et al. Spontaneous initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats originating in the pulmonary veins. *N Engl J Med*. 1998; 339:659-666.
2. Camm AJ, Lip GYH, De Caterina R, et al. 2012 focused update of the ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2012; 33:2719-2747.
3. Cluitmans MJM, Peeters RLM, Westra RL, et al. Noninvasive reconstruction of cardiac electrical activity using magnetocardiography. *Sci Rep*. 2017; 7:127.
4. Niederer SA, Lumens J, Trayanova NA. Computational models in cardiology. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2019; 16:100-111.
5. Cuculich PS, Schill MR, Kashani R, et al. Noninvasive cardiac radiation for ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *N Engl J Med*. 2017; 377:2325-2336.
6. Robinson CG, Samson PP, Moore KMS, et al. Phase I/II trial of electrophysiology-guided noninvasive cardiac radioablation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2019; 103:109-117.
7. Loo BW Jr, Soltys SG, Wang L, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2015; 93:533-536.
8. Sapp JL, Zeppenfeld K, Calkins H, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation: clinical practice recommendations. *Heart Rhythm*. 2019; 16: e155-e205.
9. Trayanova NA, Boyle PM. Advances in computational cardiac modelling and personalized medicine. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2022.
10. Boyle PM, Karathanos TV, Trayanova NA. Cardiac digital twins for precision medicine: computational approaches to arrhythmia therapy. *Front Physiol*. 2021.