VOLUME - 15, ISSUE - 11, NOVEMBER - 2026 • PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8160 • DOI : 10.36106/gjra

Original Research Paper

Public Health

# HEALING THROUGH COMPASSION: FULTON J. SHEEN'S THEOLOGY OF THE CROSS AS A FRAMEWORK FOR GLOBAL HEALTHCARE JUSTICE

**Dr. Aditi Munmun Sengupta***

MBBS; MS (Clinical and Bioanalytical Chemistry); PhD (Physiology) Department of Medical Administration, Mandakini Memorial Hospital Aishtala, Ranaghat, Nadia 741201, West Bengal, India*Corresponding Author

**ABSTRACT** Scientific medicine has achieved unprecedented therapeutic capabilities, yet access to essential care remains unequal. This conceptual paper reads Fulton J. Sheen's theology of the Cross alongside contemporary bioethics and global health justice. It argues that religious interpretations of suffering must never justify preventable harm. Instead, the Cross can inspire accompaniment of vulnerable persons and reform of structures that perpetuate avoidable suffering. The proposed Cross-Informed Compassionate Healthcare Justice (CICHJ) framework connects human dignity, accompaniment, equitable access, institutional accountability, and solidarity. Potential applications include rural referral systems, affordable essential services, patient-centred communication, palliative care, and equity-sensitive quality measurement. The framework is a normative proposal requiring empirical evaluation, not a validated intervention. Its central claim is that the moral credibility of medical progress depends partly on whether its benefits reach those most likely to be excluded.

**KEYWORDS :** Fulton J. Sheen; healthcare justice; compassion; bioethics.

## Introduction: The Paradox of Medical Progress

A health system may possess sophisticated technologies while leaving its poorest patients without timely treatment. The World Health Organization and World Bank (2023) document persistent gaps in essential health-service coverage and financial protection. This disconnect is more than a logistical problem: it is an ethical question about whose lives receive the benefits of scientific progress. Universal health coverage seeks access to needed quality services without financial hardship (World Health Organization [WHO], 2023).

Fulton J. Sheen's Christological reflections present the Cross as the expression of self-giving love amid suffering (Sheen, 1958). Read critically rather than literally as a policy manual, this theological vision offers a moral vocabulary for recognizing the patient as a person rather than a transaction. The argument advanced here is that a healthcare system becomes ethically credible not only through its power to cure, but through its determination to care for those it might otherwise neglect.

## The Cross and the Ethics of Preventable Suffering

In Christian theology, redemptive suffering is inseparable from love and solidarity. Yet a decisive distinction is necessary: meaning found in unavoidable suffering does not excuse avoidable suffering. Neither untreated pain nor preventable maternal mortality should be spiritualized as a reason for inaction. Such a reading would invert the ethical significance of compassion. The Cross, understood as radical identification with vulnerability, instead calls for relief, protection, and presence (Sheen, 1958).

This interpretation resonates with biomedical ethics: beneficence requires action for patients' welfare; nonmaleficence opposes preventable harm; respect for autonomy protects patient choice; and justice concerns fair access and allocation (Beauchamp & Childress, 2019). Spiritual accompaniment must therefore supplement—not displace—competent clinical care, informed consent, and evidence-based treatment.

## From Individual Charity to Structural Justice

Charity can address immediate need, but sustainable justice requires systems that do not make essential treatment dependent on benevolence. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes an adequate standard of living, including medical care, as a human-rights concern (United Nations, 1948, Art. 25). The Declaration of Alma-Ata similarly emphasizes primary healthcare, community participation, and the reduction of health inequalities (WHO & UNICEF, 1978). These secular and public-health commitments can enter into constructive dialogue with Sheen's call to solidarity.

The resulting ethical position has three requirements. Compassion must be structural, interrogating whether financing, geography, and referral design exclude disadvantaged groups. It must be clinically rigorous: empathy without safe and effective treatment is insufficient. And it must be pluralistic: faith may motivate a professional's service but cannot determine a patient's eligibility for care or justify unwanted religious interventions.

## A Cross-Informed Compassionate Healthcare Justice Framework

This paper introduces the Cross-Informed Compassionate Healthcare Justice (CICHJ) framework as an original normative synthesis, rather than a validated instrument. Its five commitments are translated into operational priorities below.

**Table 1. The five commitments of the proposed CICHJ framework.**

| Commitment | Operational expression |
|---|---|
| Human dignity | Informed consent, privacy, respectful communication, nondiscrimination. |
| Accompaniment | Pain relief, psychosocial support, palliative care, continuity. |
| Equitable access | Affordable essential care, rural outreach, reliable referral pathways. |
| Accountability | Safety audits, transparent governance, equity-disaggregated indicators. |
| Solidarity | Community partnerships, workforce support, priority for underserved groups. |

The framework proposes a sequence: recognition of suffering → compassionate response → equitable institutional action → measurable health improvement → sustained social justice. Indicators might include treatment delays, preventable adverse events, out-of-pocket expenditure, patient-reported dignity, and differences in access by geography or socioeconomic position. These measures should be adapted to local contexts and tested before claims of effectiveness are made.

### Applications in Global Healthcare

In maternal health, compassionate justice means more than reassuring a frightened patient: it requires antenatal access, safe referral, and timely emergency obstetric intervention. In chronic illness—including dialysis, cancer, and cardiovascular disease—it requires treatment continuity and protection from impoverishing expenditure. In remote communities, it calls for stronger primary care, transport networks, appropriately supported telehealth, and trained personnel. The primary-healthcare tradition reinforces the value of bringing essential care closer to communities (WHO & UNICEF, 1978).

Innovation should consequently be evaluated not solely by technical sophistication but by equitable uptake. A diagnostic test is of limited public-health value when patients cannot reach it, afford it, or act on its results. The governing question becomes not only "What can medicine accomplish?" but "For whom does it accomplish it?"

### Critical Appraisal and Research Agenda

The CICHJ framework has clear limits. Sheen's specifically Christian account of suffering cannot be imposed as a universal premise in religiously diverse healthcare settings. Compassionate intentions do not guarantee effective services, and rhetoric about sacrifice must never normalize understaffing, clinician burnout, or unsafe working conditions. Nor can theological interpretation replace rigorous epidemiological evidence, economic evaluation, or accountable governance.

Its contribution is therefore motivational and normative: it links the recognition of vulnerability to concrete obligations that can be debated across religious and secular traditions. Future research could pilot the framework in rural and resource-constrained institutions, examine feasibility and acceptability among patients and clinicians, and assess changes in access, dignity, safety, and financial protection. Comparative studies should test whether these commitments add value beyond existing patient-centred quality-improvement models.

### Conclusion: From Compassion to Justice

Humanity's medical achievements cannot be judged only by diseases conquered or technologies invented. They must also be assessed by the suffering prevented and the lives not abandoned. Sheen's theology of the Cross, read in conversation with modern bioethics, challenges healthcare institutions to understand vulnerability as a call to action rather than an occasion for passive sympathy.

The CICHJ framework translates that call into dignity, accompaniment, equitable access, accountability, and solidarity. Scientific excellence and compassionate justice are not rivals; the legitimacy of the former is strengthened by the realization of the latter. The highest achievement of medicine is not merely to extend life, but to affirm the worth of every life—and to change the conditions that make suffering unjust.

### REFERENCES


1. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2019). Principles of biomedical ethics (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.
2. Sheen, F. J. (1958). Life of Christ. McGraw-Hill.
3. United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights. https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights
4. World Health Organization. (2023). Universal health coverage (UHC). https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/universal-health-coverage-(uhc)
5. World Health Organization, & United Nations Children's Fund. (1978). Declaration of Alma-Ata. https://www.who.int/teams/social-determinants-of-health/declaration-of-alma-ata
6. World Health Organization, & World Bank. (2023). Tracking universal health coverage: 2023 global monitoring report. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240080379
7. Disclosures
8. Author contributions: AMS—concept development, literature review, and manuscript writing.
9. Funding disclosure: Sarosij Ray and Nandarani Ray Research Support Fund (as stated by the author; funding status to be confirmed).
10. Conflicts of interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.
11. Originality declaration: The author states that this is original work not previously published elsewhere. Confirm before submission.