

Risk and Performance of Mutual Fund

KEYWORDS

Debt fund, equity fund, fund allocation, Scheduled Commercial Bank

Dr. Shakti Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics and Rural Development, Dr. R.M.L. Avadh University, Faizabad

ABSTRACT Investors have two options to invest either in equity or in debt fund in mutual fund. The Indian financial institutions chose debt fund. More than two-third of total collected funds by Scheduled Commercial Banks has been allocated to debt fund. The State Bank of India has allocated most of its fund in debt having low risk and has performed well.

Introduction

Investors have two options-Demat account or mutual fundsto choose. In the former case investors directly enter the financial market by opening Demat account in which securities are bought or sold with the help of brokers. In the later case capitals of the investors are pooled through selling retail investment products and funds are professionally managed by fund manager. This capital in mutual fund is either invested in equity fund or debt fund. It infers that an investor has three options – opening Demat account, Choosing equity fund or opting debt fund.

Literature Review

Mutual fund is the combination of equity, debt and hybrid fund. Equity mutual fund is risk adjusted return in which individual fund does not earn higher returns from following the momentum strategy in stock (Carhart, 1997) because of investment constraints (Almazan, 2004). Restriction on competing products is the reason of the development of money market and short term bond funds (Klapper, 2000). Therefore, an investor should invest in small equity fund whose trading activity is high (Dahlquist, 2000) or whose expense ratio is low (Malkiel, 1995). Funds that heavily underperform have very high expense ratio, while funds that are successful do not increase revenues by raising their fees but benefit from increased size of their funds (Elton 1996, Carhat 1997). Actively managed equity funds charge higher fees than index tracking funds or bond and money market funds, reflecting the higher costs of employing investment management staff to achieve diversification and strategy (James et al. 1999). Funds charge lower fees when they have smaller boards and a large proportion of independent directors (Tufano and Sevick, 1997). Larger and more mature funds as well as no load funds have lower expense ratio (Malhotra and Mcleod, 1997). Aggressive growth funds charge higher entry and exit fees to discourage redemption because they hold more of the smaller, less liquid stocks (Chordia, 1996). However, despite the basic academic advice offered to investors to prefer low expense index funds, actively managed funds continue to

be popular (Gruber, 1996). To decrease the risk it is advised to use derivative. Bond mutual fund uses derivatives more than equity mutual funds. Use of derivative is negatively correlated with fund age and positively correlated with fund size (Johnson and Yu 2004) and it is positively correlated with asset turnover (Koski and Pontiff, 1999). Derivatives are used for trading rather than hedging (Minton et al. 2009).

Objective

To know the risk and performance associated with mututal fund.

Methodology

Risk (β), expense ratio and NAV (Net Asset Value) has been used in the present study to the risk and performance of the fund. A case study of State Bank of India (SBI) has been undertaken for the study to know the pragmatic knowledge about risk.

Analysis

Table-1 gives better ideas about allocation of funds. It shows that risk taker rich investors should invest in equity fund and risk averter poor investors should invest in debt fund (G-Sec, Certificate of Deposit and so on). Table-2 and able-3 infer that equity fund is highly risk than debt fund. Equity fund gives higher return than debt fund.

Table-1: A comparative study of gain between Equity Fund and Debt Fund

| laguag | Mutual Fund | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Issues | Equity Fund | Debt Fund | | | |
| Volatility | Highly volatile | Less volatile | | | |
| Gain depends on | Market growth | Government declaration | | | |
| Possibility of no gain | yes | No | | | |
| Possibility of Loss | Yes | No | | | |
| Possibility of very high gain | yes | Lower than equity fund | | | |
| Suitable for | Rich investors | Middle | | | |
| Who should invest | flexible income investor | Fixed income investor | | | |

Table-2: Risk and Performance associated with SBI Equity Mutual Fund

| Funds Risk (β) | | | Minimum Investment (Da) | NAV | NAV | | Sectoral Fund Allocation | |
|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------|----------|--------------------------|--|
| | Expense ratio | Minimum Investment (Rs.) | Growth | Dividend | Production | Services | | |
| Equity | 0.91 | 2.25 | 1000 | 41 | 27.56 | 26.69 | 73.31 | |
| Global | 0.94 | 2.08 | 2000 | 53.64 | 27.23 | 45.96 | 54.54 | |
| Emerging | 1.07 | 2.25 | 2000 | 42.29 | 16.01 | 32.26 | 67.74 | |
| Multiplier | 0.80 | 2.02 | 1000 | 72.95 | 46.08 | 32.33 | 67.67 | |
| FMCG | 0.64 | 2.49 | 2000 | - | 33.26 | 0.33 | 99.67 | |
| Pharma | 1.02 | 2.49 | 2000 | 45.92 | 37.96 | 98.21 | 1.79 | |
| I.T. | 0.99 | 2.49 | 2000 | - | 21.79 | 0.00 | 100 | |
| Contra | 0.94 | 1,86 | 2000 | 48.86 | 16.05 | 39.60 | 60.40 | |
| PSU | - | 2.28 | 5000 | 8.35 | 8.35 | 20.79 | 79.21 | |
| Arbitrage | 0.49 | 1.84 | 25000 | 14.42 | 12.42 | 7.80 | 92.20 | |

Source: SBI Mutual Fund Brochure, February 2012

Table-3: Risk and Performance associated with SBI Debt Mutual Fund

| | | | Min Invas tmont (Pa) | Asset Allocation (%) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Funds | Risk (β) | Expense ratio | Min Inves tment (Rs.) | NAV CD | | CP | NCD | G-sec | Other | |
| Ultra | - | 0.33 | 10000 | 1000 | | 65.05 | 27.30 | - | - | 7.65 |
| S.T. | - | 0.63 | 10000 | 10.35 | | 35.86 | 0.77 | 54.47 | - | 8.90 |
| MIP | - | 1.99 | 10000 | 10.33 | | 13.79 | 5.73 | 40.14 | 15.58 | 20.76 |
| Liquid Premier | - | 0.07 | 50 L | 1003 | 1003 | | 28.74 | - | - | 6.84 |
| Children Benefit | - | 1.54 | 1500 | 23.82 | | 12.24 | - | 43.64 | - | 44.12 |
| Income Plus | - | 1.25 | 25000 | 11.9 | 10.78 | - | - | 49.06 | - | 50.94 |
| Income Magnum | - | 1.30 | 2000 | 11.31 | | 21.31 | - | 28.06 | 29.61 | 21.02 |
| Gilt Short | - | 0.84 | 25000 | 20.9 | 11.27 | - | - | - | 6.76 | 93.24 |
| Gilt Long | - | 1.19 | 25000 | 10.5 | | - | - | - | 57.69 | 42.31 |
| Floater | - | 1.65 | 10000 | 10.47 | | 67.64 | - | 15.08 | - | 17.28 |

Source: SBI Mutual Fund Brochure, February 2012

Note:

- (1) Other source of asst allocation includes -Reserve repo, equity Shares, T-bills, Zero Coupon bond, Short term deposit
- (2) Production Sector includes automobile, construction, metals, pharmacy, cement, industrial manufacturing, textiles, fertilizers & pesticides and chemicals

Conclusion

Mutual fund gives two options two be invested- equity and debt funds. Equity fund is volatile and highly risky but it gives comparatively more return to investors. Debt fund is less risky, less volatile but gives comparatively low return.

REFERENCE Carhart, M.M., (1997), "On Persistence in Mutual Fund Performance", J. of Finance, Vol. 52, No. 1, PP-57-82 | Almazan A., Keith C.B., (2004), "Why Constrain Your Mutual Fund Manager?" J. of Financial Economics | Berk J.B. and Green R.C., (2004), "Mutual Fund Flows and Performance in Rational Markets", J. of Political Economy | Malkiel B.G., (1995), "Returns from Investing in Equity Mutual Funds", J. of Finance, 50(2), pp-542-572 | Elton E.G., (1996), "The Persistence of Risk Adjusted Mutual Fund Performance", J. of Business, 69(2), pp-133-157 | Dalquist M., Engstroem S. and Soederlind P., (2000), "Performance and Characteristics of Swedish Mutual Funds", J. of Financial Quantitative Analysis, | Rao K.L., (2011), "Analysis of Individual Investors' Behaviour towards Mutual Fund Schemes", J. of Banking Financial Services and Insurance Research, VOL. 1, Issue-7, 65 | Michael J.T., (2009), "Use of Interest Rate Derivatives by S.S. Based Domestic and Global Bond Mutual Funds", J. of Management Research, Vol. 1(2), 6 | James E., Ferrier G. and James S., (2009), "Mutual Funds and Institutional Investments: What is most efficient ways to to Set up Individual Accounts in a Social Security System", World Bank Policy Research Paper | Tufano P. and Sevick M., (1997), "Board Structure and Fee Setting in the Us Mutual Fund Industry", Financial Economics, 46, pp-321-55 | Sirri E.R. and Tufano P., (1993), "Competition and Change in the Mutual Fund Industry", Financial Series Samuel B., Harvard Business School | Chordia T., (1996), "The Structure of Mutual Fund Charges", J. of Financial Economics, Vol. 41, pp-3-39.