Research Paper   **Commerce**

Identifing The Factors Triumph Towards Customer Satisfaction In The Banking Sector

*Shweta Gupta ** Dr. S.K.Dubey

*(Ph.D. Scholar) in Commerce NIMS University Jaipur.

**Associate Professor Department of commerce, D.A.V. College Pundri, Kaithal (Haryana).

ABSTRACT

A bank is a financial institution that provides banking and other financial services to their customers. A bank is generally understood as an institution which provides fundamental banking services such as accepting deposits and providing loans. There are also nonbanking institutions that provide certain banking services without meeting the legal definition of a bank. Banks are a subset of the financial services industry. A banking system also referred as a system provided by the bank which offers cash management services for customers, reporting the transactions of their accounts and portfolios, through out the day. The banking system in India, should not only be hassle free but it should be able to meet the new challenges posed by the technology and any other external and internal factors. For the past three decades, India's banking system has several outstanding achievements to its credit. The Banks are the main participants of the financial system in India. The Banking sector offers several facilities and opportunities to their customers. All the banks safeguards the money and valuables and provide loans, credit, and payment services, such as checking accounts, money orders, and cashier's cheques. The banks also offer investment and insurance products. As a variety of models for cooperation and integration among finance industries have emerged, some of the traditional distinctions between banks, insurance companies, and securities firms have diminished. In spite of these changes, banks continue to maintain and perform their primary role—accepting deposits and lending funds from these deposits.

INTRODUCTION

Banking operations are becoming increasingly customer dictated. The demand for banking supermalls' offering one-stop integrated financial services is well on the rise. The ability of banks to offer clients access to several markets for different classes of financial instruments has become a valuable competitive edge. Convergence in the industry to cater to the changing demographic expectations is now more than evident. Bancassurance and other forms of cross selling and strategic alliances will soon alter the business dynamics of banks and fuel the process of consolidation for increased scope of business and revenue. The thrust on farm sector, health sector and services offers several investment linkages. In short, the domestic economy is an increasing pie which offers extensive economies of scale that only large banks will be in a position to tap.With the phenomenal increase in the country's population and the increased demand for banking services; speed, service quality and customer satisfaction are going to be key differentiators for each bank's future success. Thus it is imperative for banks to get useful feedback on their actual response time and customer service quality aspects of retail banking, which in turn will help them take positive steps to maintain a competitive edge.

The working of the customer's mind is a mystery which is difficult to solve and understanding the nuances of what customer satisfaction is, a challenging task. This exercise in the context of the banking industry will give us an insight into the parameters of customer satisfaction and their measurement. This vital information will help us to build satisfaction amongst the customers and customer loyalty in the long run which is an integral part of any business. The customer's requirements must be translated and quantified into measurable targets. This provides an easy way to monitor improvements, and deciding upon the attributes that need to be concentrated on in order to improve customer satisfaction. We can recognize where we need to make changes to create improvements and determine if these changes, after implemented, have led to increased customer satisfaction. "If you cannot measure it, you cannot improve it." - Lord William Thomson Kelvin (1824-1907).

Why Customer Satisfaction:

Satisfied customers are central to optimal performance and financial returns. In many places in the world, business organizations have been elevating the role of the customer to that of a key stakeholder over the past twenty years. Customers are viewed as a group whose satisfaction with the enterprise must be incorporated in strategic planning efforts. Forward-looking companies are finding value in directly measuring and tracking customer satisfaction (CS) as an important strategic success indicator. Evidence is mounting that placing a high priority on CS is critical to improved organizational performance in a global marketplace. With better understanding of customers' perceptions, companies can determine the actions required to meet the customers' needs. They can identify their own strengths and weaknesses, where they stand in comparison to their competitors, chart out path future progress and improvement. Customer satisfaction measurement helps to promote an increased focus on customer outcomes and stimulate improvements in the work practices and processes used within the company. When buyers are powerful, the health and strength of the company's relationship with its customers – its most critical economic asset – is its best predictor of the future. Assets on the balance sheet – basically assets of production – are good predictors only when buyers are weak. So it is no wonder that the relationship between those assets and future income is becoming more and more tenuous. As buyers become empowered, sellers have no choice but to adapt. Focusing on competition has its place, but with buyer power on the rise, it is more important to pay attention to the customer. Customer satisfaction is quite a complex issue and there is a lot of debate and confusion about what exactly is required and how to go about it. This article is an attempt to review the necessary requirements, and discuss the steps that need to be taken in order to measure and track customer satisfaction.

What constitutes Satisfaction?

The meaning of satisfaction: "Satisfied" has a range of meanings to individuals, but it generally seems to be a positive assessment of the service. The word "satisfied" itself had a number of different meanings for respondents, which can be split into the broad themes of contentment/happiness, relief, achieving aims, achieving aims and happy with outcome and the fact that they did not encounter any hassle: Clearly then there is some variation in understanding of the term. Some of the interpretations fit with the definitions used in much of the service quality and satisfaction literature, where satisfaction is viewed as a zero state, merely an assessment that the service is adequate, as opposed

to "delight" which reflects a service that exceeds expectations. However, most respondents have more positive interpretations of the term. These questions allow us to identify priorities for improvement by comparing satisfaction with stated (overt) importance, comparing satisfaction with modeled (covert) importance (from identifying key drivers of overall satisfaction), as well as respondents' own stated priorities.

Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction:

There is a great deal of discussion and disagreement in the literature about the distinction between service quality and satisfaction. The service quality school view satisfaction as an antecedent of service quality - satisfaction with a number of individual transactions "decay" into an overall attitude towards service quality. The satisfaction school holds the opposite view that assessments of service quality lead to an overall attitude towards the service that they call satisfaction. There is obviously a strong link between customer satisfaction and customer retention. Customer's perception of Service and Quality of product will determine the success of the product or service in the market. If experience of the service greatly exceeds the expectations clients had of the service then satisfaction will be high, and vice versa. In the service quality literature, perceptions of service delivery are measured separately from customer expectations, and the gap between the two provides a measure of service quality.

Expectations and Customer Satisfaction:

Expectations have a central role in influencing satisfaction with services, and these in turn are determined by a very wide range of factors lower expectations will result in higher satisfaction ratings for any given level of service quality. This would seem sensible; for example, poor previous experience with the service or other similar services is likely to result in it being easier to pleasantly surprise customers. However, there are clearly circumstances where negative preconceptions of a service provider will lead to lower expectations, but will also make it harder to achieve high satisfaction ratings - and where positive preconceptions and high expectations make positive ratings more likely. The expectations theory in much of the literature therefore seems to be an over-simplification. It is far more difficult to measure the level of performance and satisfaction when it comes to the intangible expectations. One of the ways to help obtain loyal customers is by having products and services that are so good that there is very little chance that the customer requirements will not be met. Of course one of the difficulties in understanding the true customer requirements is that the customer can and will change them without notice or excuse. Having a good recovery process for a dissatisfied customer is a very vital process for any service organization.

Different MODELS OF customer satisfaction

The KANO Model: The customer satisfaction model from N. Kano is a quality management and marketing technique that can be used for measuring client happiness. Kano's model of customer satisfaction distinguishes six categories of quality attributes, from which the first three actually influence customer satisfaction:

1. Basic Factors. (Dissatisfiers. Must have.) - The minimum requirements which will cause dissatisfaction if they are not fulfilled, but do not cause customer satisfaction if they are fulfilled (or are exceeded). The customer regards these as prerequisites and takes these for granted. Basic factors establish a market entry 'threshold'.
2. Excitement Factors. (Satisfiers. Attractive.) - The factors that increase customer satisfaction if delivered but do not cause dissatisfaction if they are not delivered. These factors surprise the customer and generate 'delight'. Using these factors, a company can really distinguish itself from its competitors in a positive way.
3. Performance Factors. The factors that cause satisfaction if the performance is high, and they cause dissatisfaction if the performance is low. Here, the attribute performance-overall satisfaction is linear and symmetric. Typically these factors are directly connected to customers' explicit needs and desires and a company should try to be competitive here. The additional three attributes which Kano mentions are: 4.Indifferent attributes The customer does not care about this feature.
5. Questionable attributes. It is unclear whether this attribute is expected by the customer.
6. Reverse attributes . The reverse of this product feature was expected by the customer.

Consumer Satisfaction Process

Kano developed a questionnaire to identify the basic, performance and

excitement factors as well as the other three additional factors.

1. For each product feature a pair of questions is formulated to which the customer can answer in one of five different ways.
2. The first question concerns the reaction of the customer if the product shows that feature (functional question);
3. The second question concerns the reaction of the customer if the product does NOT show this feature (dysfunctional question).
4. By combining the answers all attributes can be classified into the six factors.

Economic Model:

Research has shown that organizational subunits where employee perceptions are favourable enjoy superior business performance. The service profit chain model of business performance (Heskett, Sasser, & Schlesinger, 1997) has identified customer satisfaction as a critical intervening variable in this relationship.(profit-chain model) A number of researchers have found that revenue-based measures of business unit performance, for example, sales and profitability, are significantly correlated with employees' work-related perceptions. The evidence suggests that business units in which employees' collective perceptions are relatively favorable perform better.

Stated simply, the service profit chain asserts that satisfied and motivated employees produce satisfied customers and satisfied customers tend to purchase more, increasing the revenue and profits of the organization. Heskett et al. (1997), for example, define the service profit chain as 'involving direct and strong relationships between profit; growth; customer loyalty; customer satisfaction; the value of goods and services delivered to customers; and employee capability, satisfaction, loyalty and productivity.' (p. 11). These authors recommend the service profit chain as a framework for constructing a strategic organizational vision, and suggest that, provided service profit chain concepts are carefully interpreted and adapted to an organization's specific situation, they are capable of delivering 'remarkable results' (p. 18).

The second crucial element of the service profit chain is the link between customer satisfaction and financial performance. Management theorists and chief executives have often argued that superior business performance depends critically on satisfying the customer (e.g. Heskett et al., 1997; Peters & Waterman, 1982; Watson, 1963).

Consumer researchers have established that customers who are satisfied with a supplier report stronger intentions to purchase from that supplier than do dissatisfied customers (e.g. Anderson & Sullivan, 1993; Mittal, Kumar, & Tsiros, 1999; Zeithaml, Berry, & Parasuraman, 1996). However, as noted by Verhoef, Franses, and Hoekstra (2001), the link between customer satisfaction and actual, as opposed to intended, purchase behavior is less well established. Indeed, the results are mixed, with both positive findings (e.g. Bolton, 1998; Bolton & Lemon, 1999) and null findings (e.g. Hennig-Thurau & Klee, 1997; Verhoef et al., 2001).

Consumer Perceived Service Model:

Customer satisfaction with a service/product (p/s) can be measured through a survey of the actual perception of the users or otherwise comparing their actual perception with their expectations. More appropriately in the first case "quality" is considered, in the second "customer satisfaction" (CS) (Cronin et al.1992,1994). Therefore to measure the CS we have to compare the evaluations of the user with his expectations connected to an ideal p/s. For some kinds of p/s such expectations are typically "subjective", they have to be gathered ad hoc; for others they can be suggested by the provider the p/s referring to an optimum p/s; in this way the expectations are collected in an "objective" way.(degree course)

Variability in the Service Process Model (Wharton):

Service quality has become an essential part of organizational success due to increased customer expectations and customization of services in many markets. In fact, even the definition of service quality is changing. Good service quality used to mean that the output was made to conform to the specifications set by the process designers. Today, the concept of service quality is evolving to mean uniformity of the service output around an ideal (target) value determined by the customer. However, when the dimensions or performance of a service output exceed allowable limits, the variation needs to be identified so the problem can be corrected. Four factors represent major explanations for the existence of process variation in services: heterogeneous customers with different

service expectations; lack of rigorous policies and processes; high employee turnover; and nature of customization. The financial performance of a financial service institution is driven to a large extent by its ability to attract and retain customers. Customers increasingly have alternatives from which they can choose. We are interested in whether a customer's decision whether to stay with her current service provider might be more sensitive to variability of service than the level of service quality.

While there is a significant body of theoretical (Morroni, 1992) and anecdotal (Davenport and Short, 1990) evidence on the importance of process management, there is very little statistical evidence that process management matters with respect to the 'bottom line' of the institution.

The model shows that, while no individual process is correlated with firm performance, the aggregate measure of process performance affects firm performance. More importantly, the most significant finding is that while aggregate process performance is correlated with financial performance, it is not correlated with customer satisfaction. The process performance measure associated with both firm financial performance and customer satisfaction is the measure of variation across processes. We have found that if processes are managed in a consistent way, then both financial performance and customer satisfaction are improved. By consistent process management, we mean that the performance of individual processes within a firm are similar to one another and thus provide a consistent service offered to the consumer. Consumers' desire consistency and thus, the bank must align its various delivery processes to meet the consumer's needs. Therefore, we define process variation as the variation in performance across the eleven individual process performance scores for each bank. It is the variation that we have found to be the best predictor of overall firm performance.

The Common Measurements Tool (CMT):
CMT is the result of an extensive study by researchers at the Canadian Centre for Management Development and others, which examined a number of approaches to standardising measurement of customer satisfaction with public services. The model they have developed provides a useful example of how elements of different approaches can be combined to improve our understanding of satisfaction and highlight priorities for improvement. It incorporates five main questioning approaches, measuring:

- expectations of a number of service factors;
- perceptions of the service experience on these factors;
- level of importance attached to each of a number of service elements;
- level of satisfaction with these elements;
- respondents' own priorities for improvement.
The approach is therefore made up of three distinct strands. The measures of expectations and perceptions of the service experience tend to focus on a relatively small number of very specific factors, such as how long customers wait to be served etc. This allows the gap analysis approach through comparing expected service quality with experience. The second strand involves asking levels of satisfaction with a more extensive list of elements, followed by asking how important each of these aspects are to respondents. This allows the comparison of satisfaction and importance that asking people to think about what should be provided by an ideal or excellent service. As noted above, this approach has also been taken by Berry in later studies.

The Customer Satisfaction Index (CSI)
The Customer Satisfaction Index represents the overall satisfaction level of that customer as one number, usually as a percentage. Plotting this Satisfaction Index of the customer against a time scale shows exactly how well the supplier is accomplishing the task of customer satisfaction over a period of time.

Since the survey feedback comes from many respondents in one organization, the bias due to individual perception needs to be accounted for. This can be achieved by calculating the Satisfaction Index using an importance weighting based on an average of 1. Calculate the average of all the weightings given by the customer. Divide the individual weightings by this average to arrive at the weighting on the basis of average of 1. Customer's higher priorities are weighted more than 1 and lower priorities less than 1. The averages of the Customers Importance Scores are calculated and each individual score is expressed as a factor of that average. Thus Customer Satisfaction can be expressed as a single number that tells the supplier where he stands today and an Improvement plan can be chalked out to further improve his performance so as to get a loyal customer.

Conclusion:
Review of literature shows that different researchers and experts in the fields of banking have discussed various aspects of the bank computerisation like technology and Indian banking sector, channels of e-banking, technological revolution in banking sector, IT channels and customer service etc. It has been observed that none of the reviewed study addresses the issues such as technological developments (extent of computerisation) of co-operative banks, different aspects affecting the development of co-operative banks, problems and prospects of bank computerisation, responses of the employees and customers on bank computerisation, and different software solutions available for bank computerisation. Therefore, this study is an attempt to address these issues.

REFERENCES

1) Dos Santos, B.L., Peffers, K.G. and Mauer, D.C. (1993) "The Impact of Information Technology Investment Announcements on the Market Value of the Firm", Information Systems Research, Vol.4, p.1-23. | 2) Brynjolfsson Erik (1993) "The Productivity Paradox of Information Technology", Communication of ACM, Vol. 36(12),p.67-77. | 3) Brynjolfsson, Erik, Hitt, Lorin (1996) "Paradox lost? Firm-level Evidence on the Returns to Information Systems Spending", Management Science, April, Vol.42 No.4, p.541-558. | 4) Parsons, D., Gotlieb, C.C. and Denny, M. (1993) "Productivity and Computers in Canadian Banking", Z. Griliches and J.Mairesse (Eds.), Productivity Issues in Services at the Micro Level, Kluwer, Boston. | 5) Reserve Bank of India. (1984). Report of the Committee on Mechanisation in Banking Industry. | 6) RBI (1989) Report of the committee on computerisation in banks (The Rangarajan committee) Mumbai: Reserve Bank of India | 7) www.rbi.com | 8) www.Banknetindia.com | 9) RBI (1998) Report of the committee on Banking sector reforms (The Narasimhan committee) Mumbai : reserve bank of India | 10) Balasubramanya S. "IT wave breaks over banking", THE CITY, Aug – Sept 2002. | 12) Rajashekhara K. S., "Application of IT in Banking", Yojana, July 04 | 13) Vij Madhu, "The New World of Banking: A Paradigm shift", Journal of Management Research, Vol.3, December 2003. | 14) Gulati V. P.," Information Technology Application in Indian Banking: Better Late than Never!", "NewsLetter", Volume 6, No. 3, July 1996. | 15) G Ananthakrishnan," Customer Service in Banks", Vinimaya, Vol. XXV, No.3, (2004-05) | 16) Rao Akula Rajagopal, "Sprightliness of Urban Cooperative Banks : A Study" | 17) Uppal R. K., "Customer Perception of E-Banking Services of Indian Banks: Some Survey Evidence", The ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, Volume-VII Issue-1 (Feb. 2008) Pages 63-78 | 18) Kamakodi N., M Basheer Ahmed Khan, "Customer Expectations and Service Level in E-Banking Era: An Empirical Study", The ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, Volume VII Issue 4 (Nov. 2008), Pages: 50-70 | 19) S. Venkata Seshaiah & Vunyale Narender, "Factors Affecting Customers' Choice of Retail Banking", ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, Vol. VI, issue 1 (March 2007), pages 34-46 | 20) Morrison, C.J. and Berndt, E.R. (1990) "Assessing the Productivity of Information Technology Equipment in the U.S Manufacturing Industries", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper #3582, January. | 21) Loveman G.W. (1994) "An Assessment of the Productivity Impact of Information Technologies", T.J. Allen and M.S. Scott Morton (Eds.), Information Technology and the Corporation of the 1990s: Research Studies, MIT Press, Cambridge MA. | 22) Lichtenberg, F. (1995) "The Output Contributions of Computer Equipment and Personnel: AFirm-Level Analysis," Economics of Innovation and New Technology, Vol.3, p.201-217. | 23) Gulati V. P., Sivakumaran M. V., C. Manogna, "IT framework for Indian banking sector", ASCI Journal of Management 31 (1&2). 67-77 (2002). | 24) Jadhav A. S., Mrs. R. A. Jadhav, "Status of e-banking in India", National annual convention of CSI 2004. | 25) Mishra A. K., "Internet Banking in India" (http://www.banknetindia.com/banking/ibkg.htm) | 26) Geetika, Nandan Tanuj, Upadhyay Ashwani , "Internet Banking in India: Issues and Prospects", The ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, Volume-VII Issue 2 (May 2008) Pages: 47-61 | 27) Radhakrishna Gita and Pointon Leo, "Fraud in Internet Banking: A Malaysian Legal Perspective", ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, Vol. VI, issue 1 (Feb. 2007), pages 47-62 | 28) Jain Abhay and Hundal B S, "BARRIERS IN MOBILE BANKING ADOPTION IN INDIA", ICFAI University Journal of Bank Management, Vol. V, issue 3 (Aug.2006), pages 64-73 | 29) Hebbar Raveendranath, "Building trust in E-Banking", Vinimaya, Vol. XXIV. No 4, 2003-04. | 30) V. Radha, "Preventing Technology Based Bank Frauds", "The Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce", Dec. 2008, Vol. 13, No. 3. | 31) D. Joe Edwinraj, "Information Technology: The emerging driving force in cooperative banks", Cooperative Perspective, Vol.40 No1. April – June 05 | 32) Kamath K V, Kohli S S, Shenoy P S, Ranjana Kumar, Nayak R M, and Kuppuswamy PT, N Ravichandran, "Indian Banking Sector: Challenges and Opportunities", Vikalpa, Vol. 28, No.3, Jul-Sept. 2003. | 33) Balasubramanya S., "IT wave breaks over banking", THE CITY, Aug – Sept 2002 34) Kunkalienkar Manoj,"IT in Banking 2003 (Special) Perspectives of CEO's: Banking Software Companies" (http://www.banknetindia.com/special/itb8b.htm). | 35) Mittal R. K. and Dhingra Sanjay, "Technology in Banking Sector: Issues and Challenges", Vinimay, Vol.XXVII, No.4, 2006-07. |