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## Introduction:

From generations, the Efficient Market Hypothesis is a widely accepted theory by the academic community. Eugene Fama (1970) in his influential article, "Efficient Capital Markets" stated that securities market were extremely efficient in reflecting information be it any kind of information i.e. past, public or insider information. The view was that when information arises, the news spreads very quickly and is incorporated into the prices of securities without delay. Thus, neither technical analysis, which is the study of past stock prices in an attempt to predict future prices, nor fundamental analysis, which is the analysis of financial information such as company earnings and asset values to help investors select stocks, would enable an investor to achieve returns greater than those that could be obtained by holding a randomly selected portfolio of individual stocks, at least not with comparable risk.

## Criticisms against EMH:

Most fund managers find it difficult to generate 'market beating returns' over a long term with consistency. Institutional investors, including pension funds, in developed markets are found to subscribe to the concept and invest in index funds with a view to earning market returns in the long run. However, the active fund managers do not subscribe to EMH. Warren Buffett, the most successful investor, emerges as a key challenge to the believers of EMH as he has constantly outperformed the markets over a longer tenure. There is one more point the active fund managers have here. The EMH has no explanation for drastic falls in the markets. Extreme pessimism and extreme optimism seen within a short period of time question the efficiency of the market, if the market is indeed efficient.

The debate may continue. An investor, however, has to take a stance. In India, the lesser depth of markets in comparison with those in the developed world and information asymmetry make outperformance a possibility. The number of outperformers and the extent of outperformance may, however, keep coming down as the markets develop.

Testing of market efficiency by studying buyback of shares:
In this research paper, "Buyback Announcement of Shares" is considered as relative information or Corporate Announcement for understanding the possible impact of public/new

## information.

The Buyback refers to repurchase of outstanding shares by a company in order to reduce the number of shares in the market. Companies will buy back shares either to increase the value of shares still available (reducing supply), or to eliminate any threats by shareholders who may be looking for a controlling stake. A buyback allows companies to invest in themselves. Indian companies have been permitted to buy back shares after the provisions of the Companies Act 1956 were suitably amended in 1999. Several studies have provided conclusive proof of signaling effect of stock repurchase announcements.

## Period of Study:

The study entails a period between FY 2007-08 to FY 201011, a justifiable period as market has witnessed ups and downs in the form of financial crisis, recovery, currency depreciation etc.

## Sample Selection:

The sample consists of stock repurchase announcements made between FY 2007-08 to FY 2010-11. A total of six repurchase announcements have been identified which are presented
below:

| S. No. | Year | Corporate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2007 | Hindustan Unilever Ltd |
| 2 | 2008 | DLF Ltd |
| 3 | 2008 | Godrej Consumer Products Ltd |
| 4 | 2008 | Bosch Ltd |
| 5 | 2009 | Reliance Infrastructures Ltd |
| 6 | 2010 | Hindustan Unilever Ltd |

## Methodology of Study:

To test market efficiency, standard event-study procedures are used to calculate CAR with 5-day pre-CAR and 5-day post - CAR.

## Data Analysis:

In order to calculate expected returns of stocks during the announcement period, single index model is used. Beta is obtained by regressing the daily stock return with the index return.

Table 1.1 Hindustan Unilever Ltd

| Days | Date | Closing price | Daily return | Index Closing | Index Return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day 2 | $8 / 10 / 2007$ | 220.75 | -0.518251465 | 17491.39 | -1.586475489 |  |
| Day 3 | $9 / 10 / 2007$ | 224.3 | 1.60815402 | 18280.24 | 4.509933173 | Beta .817 |
| Day 4 | $10 / 10 / 2007$ | 224.05 | -0.111457869 | 18658.25 | 2.067861253 | Alpha -.520 |
| Day 5 | $11 / 10 / 2007$ | 225.85 | 0.8033921 | 18814.07 | 0.835126553 | Rm $-12 \%$ |
| Event Day | $12 / 10 / 2007$ | 221.25 | -2.036750055 | 18419.04 | -2.099652016 | Ri -.618 |
| Day 7 | $15 / 10 / 2007$ | 219.3 | -0.881355932 | 19058.67 | 3.472656555 |  |
| Day 8 | $16 / 10 / 2007$ | 212.95 | -2.895576835 | 19051.86 | -0.035731769 |  |
| Day 9 | $17 / 10 / 2007$ | 209.4 | -1.667057995 | 18715.82 | -1.763817286 |  |
| Day 10 | $18 / 10 / 2007$ | 210.4 | 0.477554919 | 17998.39 | -3.833281149 |  |
| Day 11 | $19 / 10 / 2007$ | 205.75 | -2.210076046 | 17559.98 | -2.435828982 |  |

Table 1.2 DLF Ltd

| Days | Date | Closing price | Daily return | Index Closing | Index Return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day 1 | $26 / 9 / 2008$ | 369.65 |  | 13102.18 |  |  |
| Day 2 | $29 / 9 / 2008$ | 350.35 | -5.221155147 | 12595.75 | -3.86523464 | Beta - .230 |
| Day 3 | $30 / 9 / 2008$ | 352.65 | 0.656486371 | 12860.43 | 2.101343707 | Alpha (-.724) |
| Day 4 | $1 / 10 / 2008$ | 345.3 | -2.084219481 | 13055.67 | 1.518145194 | Rm $-9 \%$ |
| Day 5 | $3 / 10 / 2008$ | 336.35 | -2.59194903 | 12526.32 | -4.054560203 | Ri $-(-.703)$ |
| Event Day | $6 / 10 / 2008$ | 301.45 | -10.37609633 | 11801.70 | -5.784779568 |  |
| Day 7 | $7 / 10 / 2008$ | 303.15 | 0.563940952 | 11695.24 | -0.90207343 |  |
| Day 8 | $8 / 10 / 2008$ | 308.85 | 1.880257298 | 11328.36 | -3.137002746 |  |
| Day 9 | $10 / 10 / 2008$ | 281.9 | -8.725918731 | 10527.85 | -7.066424443 |  |
| Day 10 | $13 / 10 / 2008$ | 302.3 | 7.236608726 | 11309.09 | 7.420698433 |  |
| Day 11 | $14 / 10 / 2008$ | 311.15 | 2.927555409 | 11483.4 | 1.54132649 |  |

Table 1.3 Godrej Consumer Products Ltd

| Days | Date | Closing price | Daily return | Index Closing | Index Return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day 1 | $24 / 11 / 2008$ | 116.95 |  | 8903.12 |  |  |
| Day 2 | $25 / 11 / 2008$ | 119.95 | 2.565198803 | 8695.53 | -2.331654521 | Beta .064 |
| Day 3 | $26 / 11 / 2008$ | 121.65 | 1.41725719 | 9026.72 | 3.808738513 | Alpha - .195 |
| Day 4 | $28 / 11 / 2008$ | 117.75 | -3.205918619 | 9092.72 | 0.731162593 | Rm $-6 \%$ |
| Day 5 | $1 / 12 / 2008$ | 121.25 | 2.972399151 | 8839.87 | -2.780796065 | Ri -.199 |
| Event Day | $2 / 12 / 2008$ | 117.7 | -2.927835052 | 8739.24 | -1.138365157 |  |
| Day 7 | $3 / 12 / 2008$ | 121 | 2.803738318 | 8747.43 | 0.093715243 |  |
| Day 8 | $4 / 12 / 2008$ | 127.2 | 5.123966942 | 9229.75 | 5.513848067 |  |
| Day 9 | $5 / 12 / 2008$ | 125.6 | -1.257861635 | 8965.2 | -2.866274818 |  |
| Day 10 | $8 / 12 / 2008$ | 121.35 | -3.383757962 | 9162.62 | 2.202070227 |  |
| Day 11 | $10 / 12 / 2008$ | 119.4 | -1.606922126 | 9654.9 | 5.372699075 |  |

Table 1.4 Bosch Ltd

| Days | Date | Closing price | Daily return | Index Closing | Index Return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day 1 | $2 / 12 / 2008$ | 2886.75 |  | 8739.24 |  |  |
| Day 2 | $3 / 12 / 2008$ | 2936.4 | 1.719927254 | 8747.43 | 0.093715243 | Beta .520 |
| Day 3 | $4 / 12 / 2008$ | 2998.75 | 2.123348318 | 9229.75 | 5.513848067 | Alpha(-.04) |
| Day 4 | $5 / 12 / 2008$ | 2937.55 | -2.040850354 | 8965.2 | -2.866274818 | Rm $-6 \%$ |
| Day 5 | $8 / 12 / 2008$ | 2977.2 | 1.349764259 | 9162.62 | 2.202070227 | Ri (-.0102) |
| Event Day | $10 / 12 / 2008$ | 3107.75 | 4.384992611 | 9654.9 | 5.372699075 |  |
| Day 7 | $11 / 12 / 2008$ | 3149.65 | 1.348242297 | 9645.46 | -0.097774187 |  |
| Day 8 | $12 / 12 / 2008$ | 3069.35 | -2.549489626 | 9690.07 | 0.462497382 |  |
| Day 9 | $15 / 12 / 2008$ | 3053.3 | -0.522912017 | 9832.39 | 1.46872004 |  |
| Day 10 | $16 / 12 / 2008$ | 3050.2 | -0.101529493 | 9976.98 | 1.470547853 |  |
| Day 11 | $17 / 12 / 2008$ | 3037.75 | -0.408169956 | 9715.29 | -2.622938003 |  |

Table 1.5 Reliance Infrastructures Ltd

| Days | Date | Closing price | Daily return | Index Closing | Index Return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day 1 | $12 / 2 / 2009$ | 550.15 |  | 9465.83 |  |  |
| Day 2 | $13 / 2 / 2009$ | 569.85 | 3.580841589 | 9634.74 | 1.784418271 | Beta 1.7 |
| Day 3 | $16 / 2 / 2009$ | 533.25 | -6.422742827 | 9305.45 | -3.417736234 | Alpha ( -.036 ) |
| Day 4 | $17 / 2 / 2009$ | 518.9 | -2.691045476 | 9035 | -2.906361326 | Rm -7 \% |
| Day 5 | $18 / 2 / 2009$ | 501.25 | -3.401426094 | 9015.18 | -0.21936912 | Ri |
| Event Day | $19 / 2 / 2009$ | 508.4 | 1.426433915 | 9042.63 | 0.304486433 |  |
| Day 7 | $20 / 2 / 2009$ | 489.95 | -3.629032258 | 8843.21 | -2.205331856 |  |
| Day 8 | $24 / 2 / 2009$ | 489.25 | -0.142871722 | 8822.06 | -0.239166547 |  |
| Day 9 | $25 / 2 / 2009$ | 506.85 | 3.597342872 | 8902.56 | 0.912485293 |  |
| Day 10 | $26 / 2 / 2009$ | 509.4 | 0.503107428 | 8954.86 | 0.587471469 |  |
| Day 11 | $27 / 2 / 2009$ | 491.05 | -3.602277189 | 8891.61 | -0.706320367 |  |

Table 1.6 Hindustan Unilever Ltd

| Days | Date | Closing price | Daily return | Index Closing | Index Return |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day 1 | $9 / 8 / 2010$ | 256.05 |  | 18287.5 |  |  |
| Day 2 | $10 / 8 / 2010$ | 260.2 | 1.620777192 | 18219.99 | -0.369159262 |  |
| Day 3 | $11 / 8 / 2010$ | 261.25 | 0.403535742 | 18070.19 | -0.822173887 | Beta .445 |
| Day 4 | $12 / 8 / 2010$ | 266 | 1.818181818 | 18073.9 | 0.020531051 | Alpha .47 |
| Day 5 | $13 / 8 / 2010$ | 265.8 | -0.07518797 | 18167.03 | 0.515273405 | Ri -.520 |
| Event Day | $16 / 8 / 2010$ | 269.9 | 1.542513168 | 18050.78 | -0.639895459 |  |
| Day 7 | $17 / 8 / 2010$ | 265.65 | -1.57465728 | 18048.85 | -0.010692059 |  |
| Day 8 | $18 / 8 / 2010$ | 269.25 | 1.355166573 | 18257.12 | 1.153923934 |  |
| Day 9 | $19 / 8 / 2010$ | 274.05 | 1.782729805 | 18454.94 | 1.083522483 |  |
| Day 10 | $20 / 8 / 2010$ | 268.9 | -1.879219121 | 18401.82 | -0.287836211 |  |
| Day 11 | $23 / 8 / 2010$ | 268.85 | -0.018594273 | 18409.35 | 0.040919866 |  |

## Interpretation:

Analysis of abnormal return of Hindustan Unilever Ltd reveals that the prices start falling gradually before event day and continues to remain negative post event too. This shows that the news of buyback already gets reflected in prices.

In case of DLF Ltd pre event reactions show that market was able to capture the buy back announcement information. However, post announcement reactions show that market is not able to capture the information fully.

There is a sharp negative reaction on the day of the announcement which post the announcement day gets adjusted. Therefore, is not reflective of buy back announcement information pre as well as post events.

There is some abnormal return (4.3\%) on the day of the event which settles down post the event day.

The data shows that there is minimal abnormal return (1.34\%) on the day of the event.

## Conclusion:

The above analysis reveals the fact that market has not absorbed the buy back announcement information around the information days. The returns generated post the announcement day are proof of the fact that there is drift of returns from the event day. But these reaction existed only for a day or two after which the extent of positive or negative reactions started settling. A possible explanation is that market reaction to announcements such as buy back is complete within a day or two in Indian markets. The short term effects of these announcements are consistent with the earlier works with abnormal returns. Investors therefore must view repurchase announcements as a means of short term gain and not a viable strategy for significant long-term abnormal gain or loss.
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