



## The social shopping phenomenon: critical aspects and economical advantages for buyers, sellers and mediators

### KEYWORDS

social shopping; e-couponing website; online shopping; glocalization.

#### Elisa Giacosa

Assistant Professor, University of Turin, Italy, Department of Management, 218 bis, Unione Sovietica Street

#### Alberto Mazzoleni

Assistant Professor, University of Brescia, Italy, Department of Business Administration, 50, C.da Santa Chiara

#### Margherita Stupino

PdD Student, University of Turin, Italy, Department of Management, 218 bis, Unione Sovietica Street

**ABSTRACT** *In the last few years, the social shopping phenomenon has spread across a global scale. This phenomenon has resulted in the formulation of a new business model, which even appeals to those selling companies who were previously not interested or involved in e-commerce.*

*The purposes of the research are several. The first is to conduct a critical analysis of the social shopping phenomenon, from both a selling and a buying point of view. The second objective is to analyse whether this business model represents an actual advantage, either for the seller and the buyer, or just for the mediator, who is represented by the manager of the social shopping website.*

*The social shopping phenomenon represents opportunities for all the subjects involved in the process – that is the buyer, the seller and the mediator. Nevertheless, the social shopping phenomenon currently presents a series of critical aspects, mostly for the buyer, especially if the target is not yet familiar with the concept of e-commerce. The challenges for the mediator mostly consist in elaborating new techniques in order to increase the level of satisfaction on the side of the buyers, even through the adoption of a self-regulation code to be followed by the website manager and the seller.*

### 1. Introduction

The social shopping phenomenon has, in the last few years, spread across a global scale. It is represented by group-buying websites (or e-coupon sites). Such websites play the role of two-sided markets; that is, they act as joiners (aggregators) between sellers (typically restaurants, wellness centres or service companies) and buyers, making the most of social networks and the potentialities of interpersonal relationships.

This phenomenon has resulted in the formulation of a new business model, which even appeals to those selling companies who were previously not interested or involved in e-commerce.

This paper has a double objective. The first is to conduct a critical analysis of the social shopping phenomenon, from both a selling and a buying point of view. The global spread of the phenomenon does not, in fact, hide the existence of a number of negative aspects concerning the subjects involved; aspects which represent a criticality and a slowing down of the phenomenon's development.

The second objective is to analyse whether this business model represents an actual advantage, either for the seller and the buyer, or just for the mediator, who is represented by the manager of the social shopping website.

The work is structured in the following way: following an analysis of the existing literature on the subject, a description of the research methodology is provided, which aims to illustrate the various research phases. Finally, the results are displayed, followed by concluding comments which serve to contextualize the research's limitations and implications.

### 2. Literature

Several studies have focused on the application of a series of e-business models relating to companies (Osterwalder, 2002). The reasons for the adoption of these models include:

a) Electronic business models, which have developed thanks

to the digital economy (Tapscott, 1996; Valdani, 2000), influence the economic activities implemented by companies, which includes the production and distribution of goods and services, as well as communication between the subjects (producers, mediators and consumers). These models promote the use of a series of digital tools which facilitate the execution of the above-mentioned economic activities (Giacosa & Guelfi, 2003) and allow the companies to more easily understand and identify their own operating domain (field), as well as intensifying the relationships between the various subjects involved (Morecroft, 1994; Ushold & King, 1995).

b) Adoption of the tools introduced by the digital economy promotes communication between the company and its stakeholders thanks to a series of digital platforms which facilitate the exchange of data and information. Enhanced communication allows stakeholders (Fensel, 2001) to evaluate the company's inclination to satisfy their interests (Mitchell, Agle & Wood, 1997; Frooman, 1999), thereby improving their opinion towards the company itself (Dahne & Clatterbuck, 1990).

The e-business model is very flexible compared to traditional models, as technology promotes a fast redesign of the same model in order to better implement it into the company (Petrovic, Kittl and Teksten, 2001). In addition, the flexibility of digital technologies promotes the realization of a series of simulations, which are mainly of a commercial nature, by creating a number of selling and customer relationship management platforms that are subsequently adjustable or removable, thereby reducing experimentation costs to a minimum (Sternman, 2000).

Furthermore, literature has focused on the consumer's perception with regards to the adoption of e-business models on the side of companies. The online consumer presents, in fact, a series of specific characteristics, which differ from those of traditional customers (Moschis, 1976; Donthu & Garcia, 1999; Watchravesringkan & Shim, 2003; Bhatnagar, Misra & Rao, 2000; Ray & Moitra, 2012). First of all, these custom-

ers act as “psycho-socializing” buyers. This means that they are strongly drawn to the trends of the moment and to the emulation of their peers; they feel it to be an absolute necessity to obtain information before making a purchase, and this necessity is enhanced by the marked social interactions promoted by the consumers’ social networks (Bertrandias & Goldsmith, 2006; Goldsmith & Clark, 2008); they are strongly drawn to technological tools and applications, whose behaviour can be quantified (Lederer, Maupin, Sena and Zhuang, 2000) by measuring their perceptions in terms of the necessity of the technology’s employment, the need to put less effort into the accomplishment of a task, and the “fun” component in the use of the technological tool itself. The existence of new technologies does, therefore, have an impact on the consumers’ purchasing choices (Davis, 1993; Bobbitt and Dabholkar, 2001).

Some researchers have analysed the drivers at the core of online shopping (Hirschman & Holbrook, 1982; Bellman, Lohse and Johnson, 1999; Monsuwè, Benedict, Dellaert & Ko de Ruyter, 2004), and identified the following factors: the consumer’s personal characteristics, aspirations and attitudes; the external variables that influence the consumer’s economic and social conditions; the characteristics of the product to be purchased; the consumer’s previous online purchasing experiences; and the level of trust in the e-commerce. It seems that some consumers use the electronic channel because it is more convenient, while others use it because it is fun and stimulating.

Researchers have also examined the reasons why individuals place trust in recommendations they find on social network platforms, and analysed the impact of this trust on the choice to purchase online (Leither & Grechening, 2008; Marsden, 2009). The combination of social networks and online shopping provides new possibilities for companies in terms of business, and for buyers in terms of choices (Hsiao, Lin, Wang, Lu & Yu, 2010).

The social shopping phenomenon has also been subject to research (Jia & Lauren, 2009; Dholakia & Kimes, 2011; Guo, Wang & Leskovec, 2011; Jia & Lauren, 2011; Secinaro, 2012). The concept of social shopping originates from *Tuangou*, which is a Chinese strategy, according to which a group of acquaintances make a collective purchase from one seller in order to gain economic benefit from it. This commercial technique then spread to America, especially following the world economic crisis, which generated the need to find new money-saving solutions. This phenomenon represents the evolution of so-called “solidarity purchase groups”, which consist of a number of people supplying goods and services, who follow principles of justice and solidarity within the group, and guarantee the quality of their products and give extreme attention to working conditions in relation to the human factor.

The social shopping phenomenon grounds its action principle on the concept of “glocalization” (Zanichelli, 2010). This term, introduced by the sociologist Zygmunt Bauman, refers to the adjustment of the globalization phenomenon’s characteristics to the necessities of local realities (Bauman, 2005). The phenomenon’s core concept is contained and explained in the slogan: “think globally, act locally”; even in the context of an international offer, the aim is to maintain a close bond with the territory, thereby enhancing individuals’ cultural differences and trying to expand small and medium enterprises (Foglio, 2004). As a consequence, the offer of goods and services intended for an international market is modified in order to meet the demands of the local market, either in cultural or juridical terms, and can even create local organizational structures. In this context, employment of technology promotes the exchange of information and increases the possibility of creating a unique market. Therefore, in order to get closer to the habits of the local consumers, an international company will tend to launch a more locally targeted line of

products. Thus, the term “glocalization”, which comes from a union of the words “globalization” and “localization”, refers to the offer of products conceived for a global market that are adapted to the necessities of a local market, while encouraging respect for the territory’s peculiarities, traditions, and customs, and the possibility of development for local enterprises. Thanks to an attentive focus on the consumer’s needs, the business model focuses on a marked customer loyalty (retention) policy. The new tendency of glocalization is therefore the so-called “geo-localization”, which aims to support a local market format.

Several studies have examined the main typologies of social shopping websites, including analysing their business models and range of products. The literature has also focused on the main reasons for the increasing popularity of the phenomenon on the side of the consumers, in terms of perception, usefulness, ease of use, fun, etc. (Kang & Park, 2009).

The literature has also focused on the main players involved in the social shopping phenomenon. In this respect, researchers have paid particular attention to the business model of Groupon, an American society launched in 2008 which is currently the world market leader (its name comes from a fusion of the words “group” and “coupon”) (Marsden, 2010; Dholakia & Kimes, 2011; Guo, Wang & Leskovec, 2011; Secinaro, 2012). Researchers have studied the diversification of this company’s business model, which has been realized through an expansion of its commercial and promotional offerings. They have also analysed the merger and acquisitions strategy used by Groupon, which has contributed to its success. Other websites subject to such examination include Glamoo, Groupalia, Let’s Bonus and other local companies. In addition, these studies have given relevance to “city planner” figures – that is, individuals who manage the inventory of offers within a specific geographical area, and are also in charge of the editorial activity and the companies’ web marketing campaigns.

The present research contributes to the extant literature by identifying the actual advantages of social shopping for both the seller and the buyer. The description of the phenomenon’s innovative contributions provided by previous studies has not allowed a clear identification of the economic advantages for all the subjects involved.

### 3. Research Methodology

The research started with a review of the existing literature, which focuses on either the adoption of e-business models on the side of the companies, the consumers’ perception of online purchasing, or the implications of the social shopping phenomenon. As a result, two research questions and hypotheses have been formulated, as follows:

- **RQ1:** Despite its success, does the social shopping phenomenon involve a series of critical aspects?
- **RQ2:** Does the social shopping phenomenon bring an economic advantage to all subjects involved; that is, the seller, the buyer and the mediator?

#### On the basis of the above-mentioned research questions, the following hypotheses have been formulated:

- **H1:** The social shopping phenomenon currently entails a series of critical aspects, which mainly concern the buyer. These critical aspects serve to slow down the phenomenon’s development, especially if the target market is not yet familiar with e-commerce.
- **H2:** Despite these critical aspects, the social shopping phenomenon could represent an advantage, either in terms of economy or commercial visibility, for all the subjects involved; that is, the seller, the buyer and the mediator.

Verification of the above hypotheses will provide a series of results through the use of a qualitative method. The theoretical contributions we aim for are intended to bring to light

the critical aspects of the social shopping phenomenon, in addition to its economic advantages for every category of subjects involved.

#### 4. Results

The research results are shown below, with specific reference to the following parts of the observation:

- The operational (working) logic of the social shopping websites;
- The critical aspects of the phenomenon;
- An analysis of the actual advantages of the business model for all three parties involved.

##### 4.1 The operational logic of social shopping websites

With reference to RQ1, it is necessary to first examine the operational logic of social shopping websites. This analysis will serve as a basis from which to identify the critical aspects of the phenomenon.

Social shopping websites represent mediators between supply and demand: on one hand, a group of individuals make a purchase, which is treated as a unique order, who avails themselves of the contractual force to obtain benefits in terms of purchasing conditions. On the other hand, selling companies who wish to sell via social shopping websites can have either local, national or international value; they may provide well-known brands to a wide range of customers, or be local shopkeepers with a restricted range of clientele.

The mediator, who runs the website, obtains its income via a brokerage commission deducted from the seller's earnings; such commission often reaches a share of 50%. On the contrary, no commission has to be paid by the buyer. Generally, the seller sells coupons, which give the buyer the right to purchase, within a certain time frame, a series of goods or services; as a consequence, the buyer is often prompted by an "impulse" in purchasing.

##### This mechanism is grounded on the following principles:

- a) From the demand point of view:
  - The number of subjects taking part in the purchasing group favours a reduction in the selling price;
  - The (physical) proximity of the seller to the buyer minimizes the transport costs, and hence the selling price;
  - The website offers additional services, such as the possibility to socialize. Consumers can share their ideas and experiences, either directly on the purchasing website or through other social network platforms;
- b) From the supply point of view:
  - The reduction in distribution passages increases the potential sale proceeds, with no negative implications on the price proposed to the consumer;
  - The seller can avail himself of an additional promotional tool, at a reduced cost compared to traditional channels;
  - The seller can broaden his reference horizon as the target potentially widens (Giacosa & Guelfi, 2003).

The functional mechanism underlying social shopping websites is very simple. First, the mediator, who runs the website, negotiates a series of commercial offers at set commercial conditions (in terms of price, delivery, payment, etc.) with possible sellers. Then, the website collects the purchasing requests made by the interested subjects. After manifesting his purchasing request, the buyer will have to wait until the website reaches the minimum number of orders to make the offer active. The seller must, in fact, confirm his offer only once a certain number of buyers, within a pre-defined time frame, has been reached; otherwise, the seller can withdraw his offer.

When a commercial offer reaches a minimum quantitative threshold, the seller's commercial proposition becomes real; as a consequence, the buyer's purchase also becomes actual and is confirmed on the website. Payment for the pur-

chase will only be requested once the purchase has been confirmed, after which the buyer will receive a coupon via email that can be shown to the seller; this coupon will detail the information regarding the purchase, along with a security code certifying its authenticity.

The website publishes a number of very convenient offers on a daily basis, which often reach up to a 70%-80% discount; such offers are generally active for no longer than 48 hours. The offers are published and updated very frequently. They are often zeroed at midnight and substituted with new offers.

After signing up to the website, users are informed on the daily deals via email. Thanks to the user's provision at sign-up of where they live, they will receive offers based on the location of main interest (such as restaurants, gyms, entertainment sites, etc.). Because the phenomenon is based on digital channels, it is mainly promoted online by word of mouth via social network platforms.

The following section will now use this description of the functional mechanism of a social shopping website to analyse the critical aspects of the phenomenon.

##### 4.2 The critical aspects of social shopping websites

After analysing the operational logic of social shopping websites, it is possible to examine the critical aspects of the phenomenon in order to answer RQ1.

The social shopping phenomenon has grown thanks to the rapid success of its business model. For example, in the US market, from which the phenomenon originates, the number of users of social shopping websites has increased from 77.4 million in 2009 to 96.8 million in 2013, which equates to a growth rate of 25% in only four years (though it must be noted that the US population represents heavy internet users: in 2009 the rate of internet usage was 44%, and in 2013 this was 49%) (eMarketer, 2011).

Despite the diffusion of the phenomenon, a number of critical aspects can be hypothesized, as well as advantages. These aspects are distinct depending on whether the subject is the buyer or the seller.

##### From the buyer's point of view:

- a) **Advantages:** there are multiple advantages of purchasing via social shopping websites. Quality products can be bought at discounted prices, any time of the year, with no limitations imposed by seasonal sales, unlike traditional channels. The main advantage is the money saved in the purchase, but other factors include the convenience of the service and the saving of time, as the commercial transaction takes place online. A final advantage is the existence of the online communities, who review the products, compare prices and provide a great deal of information to other buyers to facilitate their decision-making process in terms of purchase;
- b) **Critical aspects:** these can be attributed to the selling companies. According to a survey carried out by Adoc (2001) on a sample of Italian customers, 35% of those who purchased on a social shopping website had trouble using the coupons; in particular, 15% had trouble obtaining refunds, whilst 20% were given no assistance or help in using their coupons. The main difficulties generally included the following:
  - delays in product delivery, or missed product delivery;
  - difficulties in booking the product or service, by using the coupon, within a limited amount of time;
  - differences in terms of treatment compared to clients who did not purchase coupons;
  - service limitations regarding peak days (Saturday and Sunday), despite the absence of such information on the coupons;
  - product purchased not being available in a sufficient quantity to satisfy all the coupons delivered, creating an

- overbooking situation, as well as damaging the vendor's image;
- shipping expenses which, during the act of purchasing, were not indicated or seemed to be included in the price;
- poor level of assistance on the website and difficulties in cancelling a previous purchase;
- risk, from a psychological point of view, of making an impulsive purchase, due to the number of offers and the limited time in terms of availability of the offer, which often does not allow the potential buyer to evaluate the real convenience of the offer itself.

In order to overcome most disadvantages for the buyers, the main social shopping websites on a national and global scale are adopting a self-regulation code, to be respected by both the mediator and the seller, and aimed to guarantee a better quality in terms of the service offered.

#### From the vendor's point of view:

- a) **Advantages:** the main advantage is represented by the possibility of increasing the vendor's commercial visibility by promoting the offer to unexplored markets or those in which the vendor is still not very well known. On top of this, the promotional costs are lower than those associated with traditional promotional campaigns, thanks to the employment of digital tools and platforms which lower the cost of promotion and reduce the number of players involved. The increase in the turnover can contribute to covering the fixed costs, which, in a period of recession, are causing commercial troubles and financial repercussions on companies in terms of cash. In addition, the selling company has the possibility of receiving free reviews of its products from buyers, which allows it to evaluate the standard of its offer. Finally, the creation and management of the company's purchasing forum, coupled with the company's website, makes it possible to influence the consumers' behaviour, and hence their future purchasing choices;
- b) **Critical aspects:** these are linked to the risk of not making a profit, as a seller may be tempted to sell his products at strongly discounted prices. In addition, the typical target of such websites is made up of non-returning customers, with a low purchasing and loyalty potential; the visitors are, in fact, typically attracted by the convenience of one particular offer. According to research conducted by Rice University, 80% of coupon buyers are making their first purchase on that particular website, and just 20% of them will then become return customers.

H1 can thus be confirmed. The social shopping phenomenon presents a series of critical aspects, especially from the buyer's point of view. These critical aspects represent a slowing down of the phenomenon's diffusion, especially for those subjects who are not yet particularly familiar with the concept of e-commerce.

#### 4.3 Analysis of the business model's advantages for the subjects involved

With reference to RQ2, an analysis of the actual advantages for the different subjects involved in the business model (the seller, the buyer and the mediator) is detailed below.

##### From the buyer's point of view

The main advantage for the buyer is represented by the money saved in their purchase. The discount can be as high as 70%-80% of the original price. Another aspect which cannot be ignored is the trust relationship between the buyer and the owner of the website, which encourages a feeling of loyalty on the side of the client. This aspect is difficult to maintain, however, especially when an increase in orders corresponds to an increase in sellers; this makes it difficult to verify the seriousness of the sellers and to guarantee clients a satisfactory level of service in the offer. This can be illustrated in quantitative terms, as follows:

- Product's original price (product at its full price and sold through a traditional channel): 500 euros.
- Discount applied by the social shopping website: 70%.
- Shipping costs (to be paid by the buyer): 20 euros.
- Savings: 330 euros.

##### From the seller's point of view

The actual advantage for the seller consists in the fact that the earnings come from incremental sales via the social shopping website, compared to those made through traditional channels. Such selling activity can generate new customers, who can potentially become loyal ones. It must also be noted that the commercial promotion has quick returns, especially in local terms, as the degree of penetration into the local market is intensified thanks to offers that are directly spendable in a limited geographical area. Such economic advantage naturally entails the risk of a fast increase in orders, which, in the case of an under-dimensioned commercial and productive structure, might lead to a qualitative worsening of the service. From a financial point of view, the commission paid to the website only takes place once the coupon has been sold; on the contrary, traditional advertisements must be paid at the act of their realization, with no certainty about their economic benefits.

What follows is the need to adopt opportune customer relationship management tools, with the aim of quantifying the actual economic return from the use of the social shopping website – that is, the actual number of coupons redeemed, the selling of additional services, and the loyalty degree of the customers. The adoption of classical tools to evaluate an investment can generate complications in terms of evaluation; it is, in fact, very difficult to exactly quantify the number of customers who have acknowledged the commercial offer through a social shopping website, rather than using other promotional tools.

##### Below is an example of this in quantitative terms:

- a) Cost of a traditional promotional service (for example, a page in a local newspaper, which can be characterized by uncertainty in terms of the actual return in relation to image) coupled with the subsequent full-price product sale): 150 euros a month, which is 1,800 euros a year;
- b) Cost of promotional service via social shopping website, consisting of:
  - Selling price of the product using traditional channels (no discount): 40 euros.
  - Discount applied: 50%.
  - Selling volume hypothesized: 100 coupons.
  - Seller's loss (caused by the discount): 2,000 euros.
  - Mediator's commission: 50% of the seller's earnings (1,000 euros).
  - Seller's loss: 3,000 euros.

What follows is that, at this very selling volume, the cost of the selling activity on a social shopping website is higher than that of a traditional promotion. Nevertheless, social shopping channels present a series of other advantages, which are often difficult to quantify, such as: the possibility of suspending the selling activity at any time, benefiting from the fact that customers are attracted online through a suitable loyalty effort; the fact that the monetary expenses are sustained in a "fractioned" way – that is, only once the coupons have been sold, whilst payment of traditional advertising methods must be made instantly; the fact that the number of coupons sold online can be adjusted according to the company's necessities; the fact that customers are targeted, as those who have registered on the website are likely to be those who are most drawn to such commercial offers.

A recent study (Dholakia, 2012) evaluated the profitability of these commercial offers on the side of the sellers. It appears that the average of the profitable offers has shifted from 55.5% in 2011 to 61.5% in 2012. In addition, the percentage of profitable offers is lower than 50% when a seller

uses a social shopping website for the first time; on the other hand, the profitability increases to over 75% after the seller's seventh commercial experience. As a consequence, the tendency of the earnings is linked to an increase in the commercial experience on the side of the sellers. The age of the seller also represents an important variable; in particular, it appears that younger companies are much more profitable than older ones. Companies which have existed for fewer than four years reach 61% in terms of profitable offers, while those which have been running for 15 years or more reach 59%. Dholakia (2012) also evaluated the satisfaction degree on the side of the seller: after the first offer, 42% of sellers were found not to provide a second offer, while after the seventh offer, the satisfaction degree fell to 30%. In addition, the profitability of an offer is linked to the commodity-related category of the transaction: the profitability is highest (about 70%) for the health/fitness category, followed by tourism and medical services; the catering sector has the lowest profitability (about 50%).

#### From the mediator's point of view

The actual advantage for the mediator, who runs the website, is represented by the commission on the sales revenue made by the selling subject. This commission is generally around 50% of the price of the coupons sold. From a financial point of view, the business model generates a temporal financial displacement to the mediator's advantage, as the mediator gets the commission immediately (or within 30 days), while the seller's earnings are usually released after 60 days. In addition, the diversification policy of the activities produces another advantage in terms of revenues: the mediators amplify their range with new services, including systems to schedule bookings and to create customer profiles. In order to increase their market share and contain their competitors' growth, mediators are heading towards a commercial partnership policy, either with service societies, who are generally shipping companies with whom they negotiate the shipping expenses to be paid by the buyer, or with selling companies committed to offering a good range of products and services on their website.

The economic benefits in terms of earnings is counterbalanced by the costs sustained in the website management. In addition to costs relating to software applications linked to the web platform and to the management of the customer database, there are commercial costs, which represent a large proportion of costs and can make the business model difficult to sustain. Specifically, these costs include acquisition of the mailing list containing people to send the commercial offers to, incentives linked to refer-a-friend schemes, as well as costs related to the city planner, who maintains the relationships with local sellers, especially from the perspective of an extension of the territory served.

H2 is also thus confirmed. Despite the critical aspects outlined, the social shopping phenomenon represents several advantages for the seller, the buyer and the mediator. These

advantages, despite being hard to quantify, can be represented in economic terms, higher commercial visibility, and competitiveness of the commercial offer.

#### Conclusions

The social shopping phenomenon represents opportunities for all the subjects involved in the process – that is the buyer, the seller and the mediator. The seller's business dimension is often variable; the smaller the dimension, the smaller the exploitable potential and the ability to evaluate the economic benefits of the commercial experience, due to the unavailability of tools to accurately evaluate the advertising and customer relationship management investments.

In the current period of economic recession and consumption contraction, social shopping constitutes a relevant selling channel which, on one hand, allows the buyer to save money on the purchase price, and, on the other, allows to the seller to broaden his commercial horizons and, as a consequence, increase his turnover.

The social shopping phenomenon currently presents a series of critical aspects, mostly for the buyer, especially if the target is not yet familiar with the concept of e-commerce. The challenges for the mediator mostly consist in elaborating new techniques in order to increase the level of satisfaction on the side of the buyers, even through the adoption of a self-regulation code to be followed by the website manager and the seller.

In addition, correct evaluation of the reference target is essential to increase the profitability for the seller; this business model cannot, in fact, be considered indiscriminately profitable for every company. It may be particularly advantageous for new or young companies, as it gives them a way in which to increase their reach. Furthermore, when compared to an advertising investment, which requires immediate monetary expenses, social shopping websites only ask for monetary expenses once the coupons have been sold. Companies needing to rethink their commercial policy may also find the social shopping solution convenient.

The implications of the current research include the following: first, despite the critical aspects, the social shopping phenomenon represents an advantage for the seller, the buyer and the mediator, either in terms of economy or commercial visibility.

The main limitation of this research comes from the absence of an adaptation of an economic model capable of quantifying, within a sample of companies, the economic advantages derived from the adoption of a social shopping website for all three parties involved.

## REFERENCE

- Babin, B. J., Darden, W. R., & Griffin, M. (1994). Work and/or fun: Measuring hedonic and utilitarian shopping value. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20(4), 644-656. | Bauman, Z. (2005). *Globalizzazione e glocalizzazione*, Armando Editore. | Bellman, S., Lohse, G., & Johnson, E. (1999). Predictors of online buying behaviour. *Communications of the ACM*, 42(12), 32-38. | Bennett, M. J. (1986). A developmental approach to training for intercultural sensitivity. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 10(2), 179-196. | Bertrandias, L., & Goldsmith, R. E. (2006). Some psychological motivations for fashion opinion leadership and fashion opinion seeking. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 10(1), 25-40. | Bhatnagar, A., Misra, S., & Rao, H. R. (2000). Online risk, convenience, and Internet shopping behaviour. *Communications of the ACM*, 43(11), 98-105. | Bobbitt, L.M. & Dabholkar, P.A. (2001). Integrating attitudinal theories to understand and predict use of technology-based self-service: the internet as an illustration. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 12 (5), 423-50. | Boscaro A. & Porta R., (2012), *Tecniche di web marketing. E-commerce, digitale e social media: tutte le opportunità per le PMI*, 3<sup>a</sup> ed., Franco Angeli Editore, Milano. | Campagna S. & Boggio C. (2009), *Multimodal business and economics*, edizioni Led, Milano. | Dahnke, G.L., & Clatterbuck, G.W. (1990). *Human Communication. Theory and research*. Belmont: Wadsworth Publishing Company. | Davis, F.D. (1989), "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology", *MIS Quarterly*, 13 (3), 319-40. | De Felice L., (2011) *Marketing conversazionale: dialogare con i clienti attraverso i social media e il Real-time web di Twitter, Friendfeed, Facebook, Foursquare, Il Sole 24 ore*, Milano. | Dholakia, U.M. & Sheryl, E.K. (2011), "Daily Deal Fatigue or Unabated Enthusiasm? A Study of Consumer Perceptions of Daily Deal Promotions," Available online at: [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1925865](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1925865). | Donthu, N. & Garcia, A. (1999), *The Internet Shopper*, *Journal of Advertising Research*, 39(3), 52-58. | Eisenhardt K.M. (1989) *Building Theories from Case Study Research*, *Academy of Management. The Academy of Management Review*, 14 (4). | Fensel, D. (2001) *Ontologies: Silver Bullet for Knowledge Management and Electronic Commerce*. Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag. | Foglio A., (2004) *Il Glocal Marketing. Glocalizzazione per rispondere a globalizzazione e localizzazione*, Franco Angeli, Milano. | Foglio A., (2010) *E-commerce e web marketing: strategie di web marketing e tecniche di vendita in internet*, 2<sup>a</sup> ed., Franco Angeli Editore, Milano. | Frooman, J. (1999). *Stakeholder Influence Strategies*. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 191-205. | Giacosa, E., & Guelfi, S. (2003). *L'economia delle aziende della net economy*, Giappichelli, Torino. | Goldsmith, R. E., & Clark, R. A. (2008). An analysis of factors affecting fashion opinion leadership and fashion opinion seeking. *Journal of Fashion Marketing & Management*, 12(3), 308-322. 85 | Guo, S., Wang, M., & Leskovec, J. (2011). The role of social networks in online shopping: information passing, price of trust, and consumer choice. In *ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*, 157-166. | Hirschman, E.C. & Holbrook, M.B. (1982), "Hedonic consumption: emerging concepts, methods and propositions", *Journal of Marketing*, 46 (3), 92-101. | Holbrook, M.B. (1994), "The nature of customer value: an axiology of services in the consumption experience", in Rust, R.T. and Oliver, R.L. (Eds), *Service Quality: New Directions in Theory and Practice*, Sage, Newbury Park, CA, 21-71. | Kang, J., & Park-Poaps, H. (October 2009). *Social Shopping in Fashion: Development of a Multi-dimensional Scale*, *ITAA Proceedings #66 Annual Meeting*, Bellevue, Washington, 28-31. | Kittichai W., & Soyeon S., (2003) *Information Search and Shopping Intentions Through Internet for Apparel Products Clothing and Textiles*, *Research Journal*. | Kuo-Lun Hsiao, Judy Chuan-Chuan Lin, Xiang-Ying Wang, Hsi-Peng Lu, & Huejiu Yu, (2010) "Antecedents and consequences of trust in online product recommendations: An empirical study in social shopping", *Online Information Review*, 34 (6). | Guo, S., Wang, M., & Leskovec, J. (2011). The role of social networks in online shopping: information passing, price of trust, and consumer choice. *ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*, 157-166. | Jia, S., & Lauren B.E. (2009), *Determining Factors in the Acceptance of Social Shopping Websites*, *Amcis*. | Jia, S., & Lauren B.E. (2011), "An Examination of Factors Associated with User Acceptance of Social Shopping Websites", *IJTHI* 7(1), 19-36. | Lederer, A.L., Maupin, D.J., Sena, M.P. & Zhuang, Y. (2000), "The technology acceptance model and the World Wide Web", *Decision Support Systems*, 29 (3), 269-82. | Leither P. & Grechening T., (2008) "Collaborative shopping Networks: Sharing the wisdom of Crowds in E-Commerce Environment", 21<sup>st</sup> *Bled eConference eCollaboration*, 321-335. | Linder, J.C. & Cantrell, S. (2001) *Changing Business Models: Surveying the Landscape*, Working Paper, Institute for Strategic Change, Accenture. | Mahadevan B. (2000) *Business Models for Internet based E-Commerce An Anatomy*, *California Management Review*, Summer 2000, 42 (4). | Marsden P., (2009) *How Social Commerce Works: The Social Psychology of Social Shopping*, *Social Commerce Today*. | Marsden, P. (2010). *Social Commerce*. Munich: Green Verlag. | Mitchell, R.K., Agle, B.R., & Wood, D.J. (1997). *Towards Theory of Stakeholders Identification and Salience: Defining the Principle of Who and What Really Counts*. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853-886. | Monsuwè Tonita y Perea, Benedict G.C, Dellaert & Ko de Ruyter (2004), *What drives consumers to shop online? A literature review*, *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 15 (1). | Morecroft, J.D. (1994). *Executive Knowledge, Models, and Learning*. In Morecroft, J.D. & Sterman, J.D. (editors), *Modeling for Learning Organizations*, Portland, Productivity Press, 3-28. | Moschis, G. P. (1976). Shopping orientations and consumer uses of information. *Journal of Retailing*, 52(2), 61-93. | Osterwalder A. (October 2002), *Business Models and their Elements*, Position Paper for the International Workshop on Business Models, Lausanne, Switzerland, 4-5. | Osterwalder A. (May 2005), *Clarifying Business Models: Origins, Present, and Future of the Concept*, *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 15. | Petrovic, O., C. Kittl & R. D. Teksten (2001). *Developing Business Models for eBusiness*. *International Conference on electronic Commerce 2001*, Vienna. | Polegato, R., & Wall, M. (1980). *Information seeking by fashion opinion leaders and followers*. *Home Economics Research Journal*, 8, 327-338. | Rappa, M. (2001) *Managing the digital enterprise- Business models on the web*. | Ray, S., & Moitra, D. (2012). Preference of Online Purchasing Portals for Shopping by the Consumers of West Bengal: An Empirical Study, *SIT Journal of Management*, 2(2), 1-16. | Reynolds, K. E., & Arnold, M. J. (2000). Customer loyalty to the salesperson and the store: Examining relationship customers in an upscale retail context. *Journal of Personal Selling and Sales Management*, 20(2), 89-98. | Saroldi A., (2008) *GAS (gruppi di acquisto solidali)*, in aggiornamenti sociali, 65-68, (online). | Secinaro, S. (2012). *L'economia delle aziende di social shopping*, Giuffrè, Milano. | Shen, Jia & Eder, Lauren, (2009) "Determining Factors in the Acceptance of Social Shopping Websites" . *AMCIS 2009 Proceedings*. Paper 290. | Sterman, J. D. & Booth Sweeney, L. (2000) *Bathtub Dynamics: Initial Results of a Systems Thinking Inventory*. *System Dynamics Review*, 16, 249-294. | Tapscoff D., (1996) *Digital Economy. Promise and Peril on the Age of Networked Intelligence*, McGraw-Hill, New York. | Thompson, R. A. (1990). *Emotion and self-regulation*. In R. A. Thompson (Ed.), *Socioemotional development*. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation* 36, 367-467. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press. | Ushold, M & M. King (1995) *Towards a Methodology for Building Ontology*, Workshop on Basic Ontological Issues in Knowledge Sharing held in conjunction with IJCAI-95, Montreal. | Valdani E., (2000) *I quattro fondamenti dell'economia digitale*, in *Economia & Management*, 3. | Valera L., (2005) *GAS gruppi di acquisto solidali*, Terre di Mezzo Editore, Milano. | Yin, R. K. (1984). *Case study research: Design and methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage. | You Rie Kang, & Cheol Park (2009). *Advanced Communication Technology*, 11th International Conference , 3. | Zanichelli (2010). *Vocabolario della lingua italiana*, Zanichelli, Roma. |