

A Study on Performance Appraisal with Reference to Faculty Assessment

KEYWORDS

Performance Appraisal, Faculty Assessment, Evaluation

Dr. N. Sravanthi Mrs. B. Menaka

Assco. Prof, Dept of Business Mgt, MVSR Engineering College, Nadergul, Hyd, Andhra Pradesh. Assisi Prof, Dept of Business Mgt, MVSR Engineering College, Nadergul, Hyd, Andhra Pradesh.

ABSTRACT A performance appraisal is a review and discussion of an employee's performance of assigned duties and responsibilities. The appraisal is based on results obtained by the employee in his/her job. It measures skills and accomplishments with reasonable accuracy and uniformity. It provides a way to identify areas for performance enhancement and to help promote professional growth.

Each employee is entitled to a thoughtful and careful appraisal. The success of the process depends on the supervisor's willingness to complete a constructive and objective appraisal and on the employee's willingness to respond to constructive suggestions and to work with the supervisor to reach future goals.

Faculty is the academic staff of an educational institution, includes Assistant professors, Associate professors, and Professors who plays in important role in molding the students.

This article makes an attempt to bring out the Model of faculty assessment based on various factors influencing the performance of faculty.

INTRODUCTION

Performance Appraisals is the assessment of individual's performance in a systematic way. It is a developmental tool used for all round development of the employee and the organization. The performance is measured against such factors as job knowledge, quality and quantity of output, initiatives etc.

Performance Appraisal is one of the major tools of human resource management which can be detailed by following points:

- Appraisal is a technique to evaluate performance which facilitates an individual to know about the areas of improvement.
- To judge the gap between the actual and the desired performance.
- It enhances job satisfaction as well morale of employees.
- Employees are clear about expectations regarding job i.e. what needs to be done, how it is to be done & moreover when it is to be done.
- Performance Appraisal provides basis for promotion, hike in salary, reward and various such related matters.
- To diagnose the training and development needs of the future.
- Helps to strengthen the relationship and communication between superior – subordinates and management – employees.

FACULTY PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL

Faculty members are assessed in relation to the Institution's expectations of its faculty. Assessment of program operations should focus upon orientation of the student, planning of student degree activities, course planning and delivery, and proper conduct of the program, reporting of student progress, maintenance of quality standards etc.

Faculty performance appraisal is a written evaluation of the faculty member's job performance. It also includes the supervisor's comments and recommendations, an action plan for both employee and supervisor, and performance goals for the next evaluation.

Faculty Performance appraisals can take place at various occasions:

- After the initial probationary period
- On or about each anniversary date of employment
- At the end of the academic year
- When the faculty member is transferred or promoted to a new position
- When the faculty member is assigned to a new supervisor
- At the time of termination of the faculty member
- Whenever deemed appropriate by the University administration.

Model of Faculty Assessment

Periodic reviews help supervisors gain a better understanding of each employee's abilities. The goal of the review process is to recognize achievement, to evaluate job progress, and then to design training for the further development of skills and strengths. A careful review will stimulate employee's interest and improve job performance.

Numerous methods have been devised to measure the quantity and quality of performance appraisals. Faculty Assessment Model is designed to gather vital information and it act as tool to measure faculty activities. It is designed to suit the Faculty Assessment of Business Management Department. It is developed by taking in to consideration various aspects of faculty performance expectations, such as-

- Classroom Instruction
- Qualification Up gradation in current academic year
- Participation in Department, Student activities
- Self development activities
- Projects and Consultancy
- Interpersonal Relationships, Coordination
- Orientation towards Results
- Superior Review of Faculty Input

The Table.1shows the model of the faculty assessment-

RESEARCH PAPER

Volume : 3 | Issue : 9 | Sept 2013 | ISSN - 2249-555X

Table.1: FACULTY ASSESSMENT PERFORMA

| | : FACULTY ASSESSMENT PERFORMA | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|---|-------|-------|
| Incumb | ent Profile | | | | | |
| Employ | vee Name | | | Date of Joining: | | |
| | | .d: | Department: | | | |
| Designation Reporting Head | | | T. 15 | | | |
| Previous Experience Industry: | | | Teaching: | Total Experience: Date of Assessment: | | |
| Period of Assessment Parameters / Evaluation Criteria | | | Points | Remarks | SELF | HOD |
| | room Instruction | | TI OIIIES | Incinanto | JOLLI | 11102 |
| 1. Classi | | | 10 | T | | |
| 0 | Lectures, Tutorials, Practical's, Seminars Lecture Preparation and effectiveness of tea | | | | | |
| 2 | Subjects. ' | | | | | |
| 3 | Internal Exam Related Functions (Invigilation, Paper setting, Evaluation) | | 9, 10 | | | |
| 4 | Innovative teaching practices (Case Studies, Subject content updating, Practical Application Examples, Any other effective methods) | | 10 | | | |
| 5 | Maintenance of Course files | | 10 | | | |
| , | Assignments Given & Evaluation, | | 10 | Assignment Evalua- tion-5 | | |
| 6 | Project Guidance | | 10 | Project Guidance-5 | | |
| 7 | Revision & Clarification of doubts for Exams | | 10 | Troject Gardance o | | |
| 8 | Student Attendance in class- average of subjects | | 10 | | | |
| II. Up g | radation of Qualification in current academic | year | | DI D/D (; ; | | |
| 1 | 1 (a) Any Professional Degree Awarded 1 (b) Registration in any professional Degree/ Course | | 20 | Ph.D/Professional Courses Awarded- 10 Ph.D Registered- 5 Professional Courses Registered-5 | | |
| 2 | Any Professionally Skilled-Certifications | | 10 | | | |
| III. Parti 1 | icipation in Department, Student Activities Coordinator- Time Table/ Alumni/ Placemen'student projects/ other major activities | cipation in Department, Student Activities Coordinator- Time Table/ Alumni/ Placement/ Library / | | | Τ | |
| 2 | college/ Dept. Level Committee member, Incl. NBA/ ISO etc. | | 10 | | | |
| 3 | Compilation- attendance/ Internals/ student activities record. | | 10 | | | |
| 4 | Conduction of Student activities | | 10 | | | |
| 5 | Conduction of Festivals, Workshops | | 10 | Convener-5 Coord- 3 Member- 2 | | |
| 6 | Student Mentoring & Counseling | | 10 | 1110111001 2 | | |
| IV. Self | Development Activities | | | T | | |
| 1 | Attended Refresher Courses | | 10 | 2 or more weeks- 6 less than 2 weeks- 4 | | |
| 2 | Attended Workshops | | 10 | International- 6 Na- | | |
| 3 | Attended Conferences | Attended Conferences | | tional- 4 More than 2 per year | | |
| 4 | Attended FDP | | 10 | - 10, Less than 2 rate - 5 | | |
| 5 | Publications- Journal Published, Conference papers – publications in proceed | dings | 10 | International- 5, National- 3: More than 2 per year- 3 Less than 2 rate - 2 Presentation- 2 | | |
| 6 | Books published | | 10 | | | |
| 7 | Chapters contributed in Books | | 10 | Manathan 1 10 | | |
| 8 | Membership of professional societies | | 15 | More than 1, rate- 10 Equal to 1, rate- 5 | | |
| V. Dep | artment Development Activities Department Monographs | | 10 | T | | |
| 2 | Arranging Guest lectures | | 10 | | + | |
| 3 | Arranging Guest rectures Arranging Plant Visits | | 10 | | | |
| 4 | Dept seminar on current topic to faculty/ stu | dents. | 10 | | | |
| 5 | Developed any Instructional Indicators | | 10 | Establishes Objectives- Communicates instructional objectives to students. Monitors- Checks to determine if students are progressing towards stated objectives. Establishes Closure-Summarizes and fits into Context what | 5 | |
| | 1 | | | been taught. | 1 | 1 |

| RESE | EARCH PAPER | | Volume : 3 Issue : 9 Sept 2013 ISSN - 2249-555X |
|----------|---|----|---|
| 1 | Industrial, Consultancy Projects | 10 | |
| 2 | Application for AICTE/ DST Project | 10 | |
| 3 | Implementation of above projects up to specific time (else 2 years) | 10 | |
| 4 | Conduct of Seminars, Conferences | 10 | |
| 5 | Ph.D Guidance | 10 | |
| 6 | Classes for outside Agencies | 10 | |
| | fessionalism & Personal Attributes | | |
| 1 | Responds professionally to administrative requests and directions & performs duties on time | 10 | |
| 2 | Coordination with colleagues | 10 | |
| 3 | Self-motivation in Pursuit of Excellence | 10 | |
| 4 | Clear Grasp of Priorities | 10 | |
| 5 | Communication | 10 | |
| VIII Con | nments of Reporting Authority: | | |

The above seven criteria will be used for quantification of faculty assessment and graded under SMART analysis.

Proposed weightage for each criterion for Assistant Professors , Associate Professors & Professors are:

| Criterion Prof/Assoc Prof | | % weightage proposed for criterion (A) | | Max. Score proposed for criterion (B) | Typical score of a teacher (C) | Reduced % for score (C/B x A) | |
|------------------------------|--|--|-----|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| | | Asst Prof | | criterion (B) | Prof/ Assoc Prof | Asst Prof | |
| 1 | Classroom Instruction | 25 | 30 | 80 | 75 | | 28.12 |
| 2 | Qualification Up gradation | 20 | 15 | 30 | | | 0 |
| 3 | Participation in Department, Student Activities | 10 | 15 | 60 | 50 | | 12.49 |
| 4 | Self Development Activities | 10 | 10 | 85 | 75 | | 8.82 |
| 5 | Department Development Activities | 10 | 5 | 50 | 40 | | 4 |
| 6 | Projects and Consultancy | 15 | 10 | 60 | | | 0 |
| 7 | Professionalism & Personal Attributes | 10 | 15 | 50 | 40 | | 12 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | 415 | 280 | | 65.43% |

SMART Goal (Star, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, Timely)

Above 80%- Star 70-80 %- Measurable 60-70 %- Attainable 50-60%- Realistic Below 50 %- Timely

ANALYSIS

The specified Performa gives scope for self assessment also. The Self-Assessment process allows faculty members to reflect upon their effectiveness in accomplishing their current job requirements and the responsibilities of their position.

The employee should be given the opportunity to examine the written evaluation and make written comments about any aspect of it. The employee and supervisor will then sign and date the evaluation and forward it to the Administrative Office of the Institution for inclusion in the faculty member's personal record.

If the written evaluation contains an unfavorable commentary or rating, which the faculty member believes unfair or unjusti-

fied, and the matter has not been resolved to the employee's satisfaction during the discussion with the supervisor, the employee may take further action by using the regular grievance procedure.

CONCULSION

The goal of the institution in assessing its faculty is to determine their effectiveness for employment, and to identify and correct inefficiencies within the operating systems of the institution. Where possible, the administration will seek to provide the necessary direction and guidance in support of each individual faculty member.

This appraisal system, therefore, is meant to highlight areas where faculty members have encountered difficulties in the conduct of their duties or assignments, and to ascertain the appropriateness of their performance for their role and identify remedies and in-service opportunities.

Thus, faculty appraisal is conducted as a means to enhance the job performance of each individual faculty member and as a route to improve the institutional effectiveness.

• Anderson CD, Warner JL, Spencer CC.(1984). "Inflation bias in self-assessment examinations: Implications for valid employee selection" Journal of Applied Psychology, pp 574-580. | • TV Rao. "Performance Management and Appraisal System- HR tools for global competitiveness", PHI- Learning, Delhi. 0-7619-9847-0. | • Dinesh K Srivastava, (2005), "Strategies for Performance management", First edition, Excel Books publishers, Mumbai. | • TV Rao. Gopal Mahapatra, Raju Rao, Nandini Chawla, "360 Degree Feedback and Performance Management System", PHI- Learning, Delhi. | • Seema Sanghi, "Human Resource Management", 4th Edition, Macmillan Publishers, Delhi. | • www.performance-appraisal.com | • www.managementhelp.org/performancemanagement/ index.hmt | • www.HRprofessor.com |