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ABSTRACT The transmission of a message through a 'noisy' channel is done by choosing efficient encoding and decoding function. This note studies the role of linear transformations $L: \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{q}{ }^{n}$ where $k<n$ and $\mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{k} \& \mathrm{~F}_{q}{ }^{k}$ are vector spaces of dimension $k$ and $n$ respectively over a finite field $\mathrm{F}_{q}\left(q=p^{m}, p\right.$ is a prime, $\left.m \geq 1\right)$ the mathematical background of extended and punctured linear codes is highlighted in the following theorems:
Let $L: \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}(k<n)$ be an encoding function giving a linear code $\operatorname{Im} L=C$. If $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n+1}$ is the $[n+1, n]$ parity check code, the composite $E \circ L$ gives a linear code. Further, if the minimum distance for cis $2 l+1$, then $E \circ L$ gives a linear code with minimum distance $2 l+2$.
If $L: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{h}, E^{1}: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{r}$ give linear codes and if the generator matrices associated with them are $G \& G^{\prime}$ repetitively, then the generator matrix associated with $E^{\prime} \circ L$ is $G G^{\prime}$.
The extended Hamming codes and Reed-Muller codes are shown as illustrations.
INTRODUCTION Let $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ denotes a field of q elements where $q=p^{m}(p$ a prime $m \geq 1), \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{n}$ stands for vector space of n - tuples $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots \ldots \ldots . a_{n-1}$, where $a_{i} \in \mathrm{~F}_{q}(i=0,1,2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . n-1)$ over $\mathrm{F}_{q}$. Let $v(k, q)$ be a vector space of dimension $k$ over $\mathrm{F}_{q}$. A function $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{n}$ is called an encoding function. We take $k<n$ Image of $V(k, q)$ under E written $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{C}$ is a sub space $\mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{n} . \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{I} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{E}$ is called a linear code. An $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}]$ - linear code consists of the encoding function $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{n}$ and a decoding function $D: \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{n} \rightarrow V(n, q), k<n$ indicates that the function E will be adding "Check digits" to the original message. Given a long message, we treat it into blocks of length $n$. We assume that $E$ is $1-1$ so that no two message blocks have the same code word. A channel T transmits each digit with probability of error p and D decodes received blocks into blocks of length k . We seek to choose
$E \& D$ in such a way that the probability that a decoded block will equal the original message block will be high. There are two additional requirements.

First, we seek an efficient code that does not transmit $\Gamma_{00}$ many extra digits.(which are elements of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ possibly repeated). $R=k / n$ is called the rate of the code. If R is close to 1 the code will be efficient.

Secondly,the code is useless the functions E\&D can be implemented in practice say by digital electronic is unity.

Next usually p is small so that a code word will be transmitted without error and most received words Containing an error will contain only one error. They are called single error - correcting codes which decode all received words containing at most one error multiple error - correcting codes are to be considered when p is not small is called a binary linear code when $q=2 . \mathrm{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$. If we define $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n+1}$ by $E\left(a_{0}, a_{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots . a_{n-1}\right)=a_{0} a_{1} \ldots . a_{n-1} a_{n} \longrightarrow(0.1)$
where $a_{n}=a_{0}+a_{1}+\ldots \ldots . . a_{n-1}\left(a_{i}=0\right.$ or $\left., i=0,1, \ldots \ldots . n\right)$ $\qquad$
We notice that $a_{n}=0$ or 1 according as the number of 1's in $a_{0}, a_{1} \ldots \ldots . a_{n-1}$ is error or odd.
Definition 0.1 The weight of a code word $\vec{c}=c_{0} c_{1} \ldots \ldots . c_{n-1}$ is the number of non zero digits occurring among $c_{0}, c_{1} \ldots \ldots . . . . . c_{n-1}$. It is denoted by $\omega t(\vec{c})$.

Definition 0.2 Let C be an $[n, k]$ binary linear code. For $\vec{a}=a_{0} a_{1} \ldots . . . a_{n-1}, \vec{b}=b_{0} b_{1} \ldots \ldots . . b_{n-1}$ (elements of C) the distance $d(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ is $w t(\vec{a}+\vec{b})$, the number of locations i with $a_{i} \neq b_{i}(i=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots . n-1)$

For $\vec{a} \in c$, if $\vec{r}$ is the received word $\vec{r}=r_{0} r_{1} \ldots \ldots . . r_{n-1}$ the error-pattern $\vec{e}=e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots \ldots . . . . e_{n-1}$ is such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } a_{i}=r_{i} \\
1 & \text { if } a_{i}=r_{i}\end{cases} \\
& \text { We note that } \vec{a}=\vec{r}+\vec{e} \tag{0.4}
\end{align*} \quad(i=0, \ldots . \ldots . . . . n-1) \longrightarrow(0.3)
$$

Next, we state two theorems without proof, They have been drawn from Dornhoff and Hohn [2].
Theorem I A code C can detect all error pattern of weight $\leq 1$, if and only if, the minimum distance between code words is at coast $\mathrm{t}+1$.

Theorem II If the minimum distance between code words is at least $2 t+1$, we can choose a decoding function D that will correct all error -patterns of weight $\leq t$.

Definition 0.3 Let $k<n$, A $k \times n$ matrix with entries from $F_{2}=\{0,1\} \quad$ is called a generator matrix $G$ if its first k columns form $I_{k}$ (the $k \times k$ unit matrix) given such a matrix G we can define an encoding function $E: F_{2}^{k} \rightarrow F_{2}{ }^{n}$ by $E(\vec{X})=\vec{X} G$

Where $\vec{X}$ a vector is expressed as a row vector $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \operatorname{Im}(0.5) \vec{X} G$ means $\left[X_{0} X_{1} \ldots \ldots . . X_{k}\right]\left[I_{k} / A\right]$ where A is a $k \times n-k$ matrix. So that G is a $k \times n$ matrix. Clearly $\vec{X} G$ is a $1 \times n$ matrix representing a row vector $\in \mathrm{F}_{q}{ }^{n}$.

Definition0.4 Let $k<n$, $\operatorname{An}(n-k) \times n$ matrix H whose last $(n-k)$ columns are $I_{n-k}[$ the $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ unit matrix $]$ is called a Parity check matrix .

The parity check matrix provided an encoding function $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{w}$. For any message word $\vec{w} \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{k}$ . The codeword in the unique word $E(\vec{w}) \in \mathrm{F}_{2}^{W}$ whose $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{k}$ digits are the digits of $\vec{w}$ and whose remaining digits are determined by the equation.

Proof: Suppose that some column (say $i^{\text {th }}$ ) of H is $\overrightarrow{0}$. Then if $\vec{e}=000 \ldots 10 \ldots 0$ ( $i^{\text {th }}$ digits) and $\vec{C}$ is any code word. Then $H(\vec{C}+\vec{e})^{T}=\overrightarrow{0}$ so $\vec{C}+\vec{e}$ appears to be a code word and any error in the $i^{\text {th }}$ digits will not be detected at all. Let $\vec{C}$ be a code word, then $H \vec{C}^{T}=\overrightarrow{0}$

Since the received word $\vec{r}=\vec{c}+\vec{e}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \vec{r}^{T} & =H(\vec{c}+\vec{e})^{T}=H \vec{c}^{T}+H \vec{e}^{T} \\
& =\overrightarrow{0}+H \vec{e}^{T} \\
& =H \vec{e} \\
& =\text { the } i^{\text {th }} \text { column of } \mathrm{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, any error pattern of weight 1 will be decoded correctly. We write $\vec{H}=\vec{A}$ and call $\vec{A}$, the syndrome.
If $\vec{A}=\overrightarrow{0}$ transmission was probably correct.
If $\vec{A}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of H , these was probably a single error in the $i^{\text {th }}$ digits
If $\vec{A}$ is neither $\overrightarrow{0}$ nor the $i^{\text {th }}$ column at least two errors must have occurred with transmission.
Also, if $i^{\text {th }}$ column $=j^{\text {th }}$ column $=\vec{A}$ we cannot tell if the error is in the $i^{\text {th }}$ or $j^{\text {th }}$ digit. So H will decode all single errors correctly if the columns of H are non zero and distinct. conversely, if the columns of H are non zero and distinct, then H will decode all single errors correctly , by the property of the syndrome $\vec{A}$.

Next, we denote the minimum distance between code words of a lode c by d . we specify C as an [ $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]$ Code. We emphasize the role of the parity check matrix in the following manner:

An encoding function $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}$ defined by a parity check matrix H can correct all single errors if and only if the columns of H are non-zero and distinct.

The $(n-k) \times n$ matrix H produces $(n-k)$ parity check equations via $H(E(\vec{w}))^{T}=\overrightarrow{0}$. These equations determined an $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]$ code. The number of information digits is k . For a fixed number $(n-k)$ of parity check equations we want to send as much information as possible. So we make the number of columns $n$ of H as large as possible. So we take $n=2^{k}-1$ the number of non- zero $(n-k)$ digits columns which are the binary representation of the numbers $1,2,3, \ldots \ldots .2^{n-k}-1$. Then we obtain an $\left[2^{n-k}-1, k\right]$ code . As no two columns of H are multiples of are another, the code, so obtained will have minimum weight at least 3 . It can be shown that the minimum weight of such a code is 3 .

Proof: Suppose that some column (say $i^{\text {th }}$ ) of H is $\overrightarrow{0}$. Then if $\vec{e}=000 \ldots 10 \ldots 0$ ( $i^{\text {th }}$ digits) and $\vec{C}$ is any code word. Then $H(\vec{C}+\vec{e})^{T}=\overrightarrow{0}$ so $\vec{C}+\vec{e}$ appears to be a code word and any error in the $i^{\text {th }}$ digits will not be detected at all. Let $\vec{C}$ be a code word, then $H \vec{C}^{T}=\overrightarrow{0}$

Since the received word $\vec{r}=\vec{c}+\vec{e}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{\vec{r}^{T}} & =H(\vec{c}+\vec{e})^{T}=H \vec{c}^{T}+H \vec{e}^{T} \\
& =\overrightarrow{0}+H \vec{e}^{T} \\
& =H \vec{e}^{T} \\
& =\text { the } i^{\text {th }} \text { column of } \mathrm{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, any error pattern of weight 1 will be decoded correctly. We write $\vec{H}=\vec{A}$ and call $\vec{A}$, the syndrome.
If $\vec{A}=\overrightarrow{0}$ transmission was probably correct.
If $\vec{A}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ column of H , these was probably a single error in the $i^{\text {th }}$ digits
If $\vec{A}$ is neither $\overrightarrow{0}$ nor the $i^{\text {th }}$ column at least two errors must have occurred with transmission.
Also, if $i^{\text {th }}$ column $=j^{\text {th }}$ column $=\vec{A}$ we cannot tell if the error is in the $i^{\text {th }}$ or $j^{\text {th }}$ digit. So H will decode all single errors correctly if the columns of H are non zero and distinct. conversely, if the columns of H are non zero and distinct, then H will decode all single errors correctly, by the property of the syndrome $\vec{A}$.

Next, we denote the minimum distance between code words of a lode c by d . we specify C as an [ $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]$ Code. We emphasize the role of the parity check matrix in the following manner:

An encoding function $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}$ defined by a parity check matrix H can correct all single errors if and only if the columns of H are non-zero and distinct.

The $(n-k) \times n$ matrix $H$ produces $(n-k)$ parity check equations via $H(E(\vec{w}))^{T}=\overrightarrow{0}$. These equations determined an $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]$ code. The number of information digits is k . For a fixed number $(n-k)$ of parity check equations we want to send as much information as possible. So we make the number of columns n of H as large as possible. So we take $n=2^{k}-1$ the number of non- zero $(n-k)$ digits columns which are the binary representation of the numbers $1,2,3, \ldots \ldots .2^{n-k}-1$. Then we obtain an $\left[2^{n-k}-1, k\right]$ code . As no two columns of H are multiples of are another, the code, so obtained will have minimum weight at least 3 . It can be shown that the minimum weight of such a code is 3 .

If $n=2^{r}-1, r=n-k(o) k=n-r=2^{r}-1-r$.So, we get $a\left[2^{r}-1,2^{r}-1-r, 3\right]$ code called the Hamming codes.

## 1. EXTENDED CODES

Before we go to extended codes, we need the basic ideas about elementary row transformations of $(m \times n)$ matrices with entries from the set $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers.

The matrix units are the $(n \times n)$ square matrices $T_{i j}$ defined by


$$
\text { where } t_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { in the }(i, j)^{\text {th }} \text { place } \\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array} \quad \longrightarrow\right. \text { (1.2) }
$$

for a given matrix $A=[a i j]$, for replacing the $j^{\text {th }}$ column $C_{j}$ by $C_{j}+C_{k}$ we have only to multiply A by $\left[I+T_{i j}\right]$ on the right, For instance to obtain from

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix $\quad A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}a_{11} & a_{11}+a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{21}+a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{31}+a_{32} & a_{33}\end{array}\right)$
We multiply A by $\left(I+T_{12}\right)$ the right as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{11}+a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{21}+a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{31}+a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Further, $A\left[I+T_{13}+T_{23}\right]$ gives $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{11}+a_{12}+a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{21}+a_{22}+a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{31}+a_{32}+a_{33}\end{array}\right)$
Next, we consider binary linear codes defined by $L: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}$ where $k<n$. The $[n+1, n]$ parity check code $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n+1}$ considered in $(0,1)$ can be obtained via its generator matrix, say $\mathbf{G}_{1}$ for $\vec{X} \in F_{2}{ }^{n}, E(\vec{X})=\vec{X} G_{1}$.

(1.2) $A\left(I^{\prime}+T_{1 n+1}+T_{2 n+1} \cdots \ldots \ldots . T_{n n+1}\right)=G_{1}$ where $I^{1}=$ The $n \times(n+1)$ matrix in which $\left[I_{n} / 0\right]^{(n+1)^{1 h}}$ column has Zero) $T_{i, n+1}$ is the $n \times n+1$ matrix in which the elements of $(i, n+1)^{\text {th }}$ place is 1 and zero at other entries. For $\mathrm{n}=3$, we see that,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{11}+a_{12}+a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{21}+a_{22}+a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{31}+a_{32}+a_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

So $G_{1}$ is determinable.
Theorem 1 Let $L: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}(k<n)$ be an encoding function giving a linear code $\operatorname{Im} L=C$. If $E: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n+1}$ is the ( $\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{n}$ ) parity check code, the composite $E \circ L$ is a linear code. Further, if the minimum distance for C in $2 l+1$, then $E \circ L$ gives a linear code with minimum distance $2 l+2$.

Proof: Let $G_{1}$ be the generator matrix for $G_{1} . G_{1}$ is an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}+1$ matrix as shown in (1.2). We know that for $\vec{X} \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{k}, L(\vec{X})=\vec{X} G$ where G is the generator matrix for L . For $\vec{y} \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}, \mathrm{~F}(\vec{y})=\vec{y} G_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { As } \vec{y}=L(\vec{X}), \vec{X} \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{k} \\
& E(\vec{y})=E(\vec{X} G)=(\vec{X} G) G_{1}=(\vec{X}) G G_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$E \circ L$ is a linear code having the generator matrix $G G_{1}$

Next, Let $2 l+1$ be the minimum distance for L . For $\vec{A}=\left(A_{0} A_{1} \ldots . . . . A_{k-1}\right) \in \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k}$
$E \circ L(\vec{A})=E \circ L\left(A_{0} A_{1} \ldots \ldots . . A_{k-1}\right)=A_{0} A_{1} \ldots . . A_{k-1} A_{n}$ where $A_{n}=A_{0}+A_{1}+\ldots \ldots A_{n-1}$ by vertex of the property of $G_{1} . A_{n}=0$ or 1 , So if minimum distance of C is $2 l+1, E \circ L$ gives a code whose minimum distance is $2 l+2$.

Corollary For the Hamming code $H_{2, r}\left[2^{r}-1,2^{r}-1-r, 3\right]$ the extended Hamming code is $\left[2^{r}, 2^{r}-1-r, 4\right]$ which is the Reed- Muller code of length $2^{r}$.

## 2. PUNCTURING OF CODES

We consider binary linear codes defined by $L: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}$ and $E_{1}: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{r}$ where $r<n$, As in section 1, the composite $E_{1} \circ L$ in also a linear code. If $C^{1}=\operatorname{Im} L$ has the generator matrix $G(a \quad k \times n$ matrix $)$ and $C^{1}=\operatorname{Im} E_{1}$ has the generator matrix $G^{1}(n \times r$ matrix $)$, the generator matrix for $E_{1} \circ L$ is $G^{1}$ which is a $k \times r$ matrix.

The effect of $E_{1} \circ L$ is to transform a code word $\vec{c}$ of length n to a code word, $\vec{c}$ of length r , The number of columns of $\mathrm{G} G^{1}$ will be less than the number of columns of G . When $r=n-1$, it amounts to puncturing the code $\vec{C}$ represented by $G$, by deleting the same coordinate i from each code word. The resulting code $c^{1}$ is still linear and has length $(n-1)$ (we denote the punctured code by $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ )

Theorem 2 If $L: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{n}, E^{1}: \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{r}$ give linear codes and if the generator matrices associated with them as $\mathrm{G} \& G^{\prime}$ repetitively, the generator matrix associated with $E^{\prime} \circ L$ is given by $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$.

Proof is similar to that of theorem 1.
Corollary The Reed -Muller code $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{m})$ is a $\left[2^{m}, k, 2^{m-r}\right]$ code where k is its dimension $1+\binom{m}{1}+\binom{m}{2} \cdots \cdots \cdots . . .\binom{m}{r}$ and $\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{m}$. The puncturing of $\mathrm{R} \quad(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{m})$ yields a binary code $\left[2^{m}-1, k, 2^{m-r}-1\right]$, when $m=3$, puncturing of $[8,4,4]$ code gives the binary Hamming code $[7,4,3]$.

Remark $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]$ denotes a binary linear code. To puncture C is to delete the same coordinate $i$ from each code word. The punctured code is denoted by $C *\left[n+1, k, d^{*}\right]$. If G denotes the generator matrix of C the
generator $\mathrm{G}^{*}$ of $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ is obtained from $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} . G^{\prime}$ is the $n \times(n-1)$ matrix which is got from the $(n \times n)$ unit matrix by deleting the $i^{\text {th }}$ column. In the case where C is a $[24,12,8]$ (Golay) code, by puncturing in any of the coordinates, we obtain $\mathrm{C}^{*}=[23,12,7]$ binary code .

