



## Generalized Hyers - Ulam Stability of Quadratic Functional Equation in Paranormed Spaces

### KEYWORDS

Quadratic Functional Equation, Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability, Paranormed Spaces.

**Prof. Dr. K. Ravi**

UG Head and Associate Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur – 635 601. Vellore District. Tamilnadu.

**A. Edwin Raj**

Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's College of Arts & Science, Manjakuppam, Cuddalore – 607 001, Tamilnadu.

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we obtain the general solution of a new generalized quadratic functional equation

$$f(nx+y) + f(mx-y) = f(x+y) + f(x-y) + 2(n^2-1)f(x)$$

in paranormed spaces for  $n \neq \pm 1$ ,  $n$  is an integer. Also we investigate the Hyers - Ulam stability of this functional equation.

### INTRODUCTION

The stability problem of functional equation originated from a question of S.M. Ulam [17]. In 1940, S. M. Ulam gave the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms: *Under what Condition does there exist a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism?* In 1941, D.H. Hyers [9] answered the problem of Ulam under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1950 T. Aoki [1] generalized the Hyers theorem for additive mappings. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [12] provided a generalized version of the theorem of Hyers which permitted the Cauchy difference to become unbounded, where  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality  $\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  for some  $\theta \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq p < 1$ . In 1982-1989, J.M. Rassias [13] gave a further generalization of the result of D.H. Hyers by proving the following theorem (1.1) using

weaker conditions controlled by a product of different powers of norms.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f: E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping from a normed vector space  $E$  in to a Banach Space  $E'$  subject to the inequality*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|^p \|y\|^p \text{ for all } x \in E$$

times where  $\varepsilon$  and  $p$  are constants

with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the

$$\text{limit } L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \text{ exists for all}$$

$x \in E$  and  $L: E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 - 2^{2p}} \|x\|^{2p} \text{ for all } x \in E.$$

Moreover, if  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , then the function  $L$  is linear.

In 1990, Th.M. Rassias asked whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . In 1991, Gajda [7] gave an affirmative solution to this question when  $p > 1$ , but it was proved by Gajda and Rassias and Semrl that one cannot prove an analogous theorem when  $p = 1$ . In 1994, a generalization was

obtained by Gavruta [8] who replaced the bound  $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\varphi(x, y)$ . In 1996, Isac and Th.M. Rassias were the first to provide applications of stability theorem of functional equations.

A generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [15] for mappings  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach Space. Cholewa [2] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain  $X$  is replaced by an abelian group. In 1992, Czerwik [5] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. In 2008, J.M. Rassias [13] introduced mixed type product-sum of powers of norms. Recently, Ch. Park [3] and D.Y. Shin [3] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Cauchy additive, quadratic, cubic and the quartic functional equation in paranormed spaces. C. Park proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of an

additive-quadratic-cubic-quartic functional equation

$$f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) - 6f(x) + f(2y) + f(-2y) - 4f(y) - 4f(-y)$$

(1.1) in paranormed spaces using fixed point method and direct method. K. Ravi, J.M. Rassias and B.V. Senthil Kumar [11] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the reciprocal difference functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - f(x+y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x)+f(y)} \quad (1.2)$$

and adjoint functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f(x+y) = \frac{3f(x)f(y)}{f(x)+f(y)} \quad (1.3)$$

Now we recall some basic facts concerning Frechet spaces. The concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast[6] and Steinhaus[16] independently and since then several generalization and applications of this notion have been investigated by various authors. This notion was defined in normed spaces by E.Kolk.

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $X$  be a vector space. A

paranorm  $P : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function on  $X$  such that

- (i)  $P(0)=0$ ;
- (ii)  $P(-x)=P(x)$ ;
- (iii)  $P(x+y) \leq P(x)+P(y)$  (triangle inequality);
- (iv) if  $\{t_n\}$  is a sequence of scalars with  $t_n \rightarrow t$  and  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $P(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $P(t_n x_n - tx) \rightarrow 0$  (continuity of multiplication).

The pair  $(X, P)$  is called a paranormed space, if  $P$  is a paranorm on  $X$ .

The paranorm is total if, in addition, we have

- (v)  $P(x)=0$  implies  $x=0$ .

A Frechet space is a total and complete paranormed space.

Throughout this paper, assume that  $(X, P)$  is

a Frechet space and that  $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach

space.

In this paper, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation

$$f(nx + y) + f(nx - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2(n^2 - 1) f(x) \tag{1.4}$$

in paranormed spaces.

## 2. GENERAL SOLUTION

The following theorem provides the general solution of the functional equation (1.4) by establishing a connection with the classical quadratic functional equation.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation

$$f(nx + y) + f(nx - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2(n^2 - 1) f(x) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  if and only if it satisfies the quadratic functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Suppose a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$

satisfies (2.1). Setting  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$  in (2.1),

we obtain  $f(0)=0$ . Setting  $(x, y) = (x, 0)$  in

(2.1), we obtain  $2f(nx) = 2f(x) + 2n^2f(x) -$

$2f(x)$  which gives  $f(nx) = n^2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$

where  $n$  is a positive integer. Setting  $(x, y) =$

$(x, 2x)$  in (2.1), we get  $f(x) = f(-x)$  for all  $x, y$

$\in X$ . Setting  $(x, y) = (x, x + y)$  in (2.1), we obtain

$$f((n+1)x + y) + f((n-1)x - y) = f(2x + y) + f(y) + 2f(nx) - 2f(x). \quad (2.3)$$

. Again, setting  $(x,y)=(x,-y)$  in (2.3), we obtain

$$f((n+1)x - y) + f((n-1)x + y) = f(2x - y) + f(y) + 2f(nx) - 2f(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Adding (2.3) and (2.4). we obtain

$$f((n+1)x + y) + f((n-1)x + y) + f((n-1)x + y) + f((n-1)x - y) = f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) + 2f(y) + 4f(nx) - 4f(x). \quad (2.5)$$

. Setting  $n = n-1$  in (2.1), we obtain

$$f((n+1)x + y) + f((n-1)x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2f((n-1)x) - 2f(x). \quad (2.6)$$

Putting  $n=2$  in (2.1), we get

$$f((2x + y) + f(2x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2f(2x) - 2f(x). \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting(2.6) and (2.7) in (2.5), we obtain

$$f((n+1)x + y) + f((n+1)x - y) = 2f(y) + 4f(nx) - 4f(x) - 2f((n-1)x) + 2f(2x). \quad (2.8)$$

Putting  $y = 0$  in (2.8), we get

$$2f((n+1)x) = 4f(nx) - 4f(x) - 2f((n-1)x) + 2f(2x). \quad (2.9)$$

Substituting(2.9) in (2.8), we obtain

$$f((n+1)x + y) + f((n+1)x - y) = 2f(y) + 2f((n+1)x). \quad (2.10)$$

Setting  $((n + 1)x, y) = (x, y)$  in equation (2.10), we get

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

Conversely, suppose that a function

$f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (2.2). Putting  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$  in equation (2.2), we obtain  $f(0) = 0$ .

Setting  $x = 0$  in (2.2) we obtain  $f(-y) = f(y)$ .

Setting  $(x, y) = (x, x)$  in equation (2.2), we

obtain  $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ . Again setting  $(x, y) = (x,$

$2x)$  in equation (2.2), we get  $f(3x) = 9f(x)$ .

Setting  $(x, y) = (x, (n-1)x)$  in equation (2.2),

we obtain  $f(nx) = n^2f(x)$  for all positive

integer  $n$ . Setting  $(x, y) = (nx + y, nx - y)$  in

equation (2.2), we get

$$f(nx + y + nx - y) + f(nx + y - nx + y) = 2f(nx + y) + 2f(nx - y) \quad (2.11)$$

which gives

$$f(nx + y) + f(nx - y) = \frac{1}{2}[f(2nx) + f(2y)] = 2n^2 f(x) + 2f(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2n^2 f(x) - 2f(x) + 2f(x) + 2f(y) \\
 &= 2(n^2 - 1)f(x) + (2f(x) + 2f(y)) \quad (2.12)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.2) in (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(nx + y) + f(nx - y) \\
 &= f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 2(n^2 - 1) f(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3. GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM STABILITY

The following theorem gives a general condition for which a true quadratic function exists near an approximately quadratic function. Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 Df(x, y) &= f(nx + y) + f(nx - y) - f(x + y) \\
 &\quad - f(x - y) - 2(n^2 - 1) f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $r$  be a positive real number with  $r < 2$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0)=0$  and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| f(nx + y) + f(nx - y) - f(x + y) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - f(x - y) - 2(n^2 - 1)f(x) \right\| \\
 &\leq P(x)^r + P(y)^r \quad (3.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(n^2 - n^r)} P(x)^r \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Setting  $y = 0$  in (3.1), we obtain

$$\|f(nx) - n^2 f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} P(x)^r.$$

Dividing the above inequality by  $n^2$ , we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(nx)}{n^2} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2n^2} P(x)^r \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Replacing  $x$  by  $nx$  and dividing by  $n^2$ , we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(n^2 x)}{n^4} - \frac{f(x)}{n^2} \right\| \leq \frac{n^r}{2n^4} P(x)^r. \quad (3.4)$$

Adding (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(n^2 x)}{n^4} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2n^2} \left( 1 + \frac{n^r}{n^2} \right) P(x)^r. \quad (3.5)$$

Generalizing, we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(n^m x)}{n^{2m}} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{n^{rk}}{n^{2k}} P(x)^r \quad (3.6)$$

for every positive integer  $n, m$  and for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $n^{sx}$  and dividing by  $n^{2s}$  in equation (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{n^{2s}} \left\| \frac{f(n^{m+s} x)}{n^{2m}} - f(n^s x) \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2n^{s(2-r)+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{n^{rk}}{n^{2k}} P(x)^r. \quad (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

By condition  $r < 2$ , the right hand side of (3.7) approaches 0 as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Thus the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(n^m x)}{n^{2m}} \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, we can define a mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{f(n^m x)}{n^{2m}} \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Now we claim that the mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  is a quadratic which satisfies the equation (2.1). Setting  $(x, x) = (n^m x, n^m y)$  in equation (3.1) respectively and dividing by  $n^{2m}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{D(f(n^m x, n^m y))}{n^{2m}} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^{2m}} (P(n^m x)^r + P(n^m y)^r) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

It follows that from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| Q(nx + y) + Q(nx - y) - Q(x + y) - Q(x - y) - 2(n^2 - 1)Q(x) \right\| \\ & = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \left\| \begin{aligned} & f(n^m(nx + y)) + f(n^m(nx - y)) \\ & - f(n^m(x + y)) - f(n^m(x - y)) \\ & - 2(n^2 - 1)f(n^m(x)) \end{aligned} \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{m(2-r)}} (P(x)^r + P(y)^r) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q(nx + y) + Q(nx - y) &= Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) \\ &+ 2(n^2 - 1)Q(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and so the mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  is quadratic. By taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in equation (3.6), we obtain

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(n^2 - n^r)} P(x)^r$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Now, let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be another quadratic mapping satisfying the equation (3.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - T(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \left( \left\| \frac{Q(n^m x) - f(n^m x)}{n^{2m}} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f(n^m x) - T(n^m x)}{n^{2m}} \right\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n^2 - n^r)n^{m(2-r)}} P(x)^r \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By condition (3.1), the right hand side of equation (3.9) approaches 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We conclude that  $Q(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $Q$ .

Thus the mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  is unique quadratic mapping satisfying (3.2).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $r, \theta$  be positive real numbers with  $r > 2$  and let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$P\left(\begin{matrix} f(nx+y)+f(nx-y)-f(x+y) \\ -f(x-y)-2(n^2-1)f(x) \end{matrix}\right) \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r) \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x, y \in Y$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : Y \rightarrow X$  such that

$$P(f(x) - Q(x)) \leq \frac{\theta}{2(n^r - n^2)} \|x\|^r \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x \in Y$ .

**Proof:** Letting  $y = 0$  in (3.1), we get

$$P(f(nx) - n^2 f(x)) \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \|x\|^r \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x \in Y$ .

Dividing by  $n^2$  on both sides,

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n^2} f(nx) - f(x)\right) \leq \frac{\theta}{2n^2} \|x\|^r \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $x \in Y$ .

Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{n}$  and multiply both sides

by  $n^2$  in (3.14), we obtain

$$P\left(f(x) - n^2 f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\theta}{2n^r} \|x\|^r \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $x \in Y$ .

Again Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{n}$  and multiply both

sides by  $n^2$  in equation (3.15), we obtain

$$P\left(n^2 f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - n^4 f\left(\frac{x}{n^2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\theta n^2}{2n^{2r}} \|x\|^r \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16) we obtain,

$$P\left(f(x) - n^4 f\left(\frac{x}{n^2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\theta}{2n^r} \|x\|^r \left(1 + \frac{n^2}{n^r}\right) \quad (3.17)$$

which can be extended by mathematical induction on  $m$ , we obtain

$$P\left(f(x) - n^{2m} f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\theta}{2n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{n^{2k}}{n^{kr}} \|x\|^r \quad (3.18)$$

for every positive integer  $m \geq 1$  and for all  $x \in Y$ . We have to show that the sequence

$\left\{ \frac{f(n^s x)}{n^{2s}} \right\}$  converges for all  $x \in Y$ . For every

positive integer  $m$  and  $s$ , replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{n^s}$  and multiplying by  $n^{2s}$  on both sides in

(3.18), we obtain

$$P\left(f\left(\frac{x}{n^s}\right)n^{2s} - n^{2m+2s} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{m+s}}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\theta}{2n^{s(r-2)}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{n^{2k}}{n^{r(k+1)}} \|x\|^r. \quad (3.19)$$

By condition (3.12), the right-hand side approaches 0 as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus,

the sequence  $\left\{ n^{2m} f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right\}$  is a Cauchy

sequence for all  $x \in Y$ , Since  $X$  is complete,

the sequence  $\left\{ n^{2m} f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right\}$  converges. So

we can define the mapping  $Q: Y \rightarrow X$  by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ n^{2m} f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right\} \quad (3.20)$$

for all  $x \in Y$ . Now we claim that the mapping  $Q: Y \rightarrow X$  is a quadratic which satisfies the equation (3.11). Setting  $(x, y) = (n^m x, n^m y)$  in equation (3.11) respectively and dividing by  $n^{2m}$ , we obtain

$$P\left(\frac{Df(n^m x, n^m y)}{n^{2m}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \theta (\|n^m x\|^r + \|n^m y\|^r) \quad (3.21)$$

it follows from (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left( \begin{array}{c} Q(nx+y) + Q(nx-y) - Q(x+y) \\ - Q(x-y) - 2(n^2-1)Q(x) \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{2m} P\left( \begin{array}{c} f\left(\frac{nx+y}{n^m}\right) + f\left(\frac{nx-y}{n^m}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{n^m}\right) \\ - f\left(\frac{x-y}{n^m}\right) - 2(n^2-1)f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n^{2m} \theta \left( \left\| \frac{x}{n^m} \right\|^r + \left\| \frac{y}{n^m} \right\|^r \right) \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta}{n^{m(r-2)}} (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in Y$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q(nx+y) + Q(nx-y) &= Q(x+y) + Q(x-y) \\ &\quad + 2(n^2-1)Q(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in Y$  and so the mapping

$Q: Y \rightarrow X$  is quadratic. By taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.19) and using (3.20), we obtain

$$P(f(x) - Q(x)) \leq \frac{\theta}{2(n^r - n^2)} \|x\|^r.$$

Now let  $T: Y \rightarrow X$  be another quadratic mapping satisfying (3.12), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(Q(x) - T(x)) &= P\left( n^{2m} \left( Q\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq n^{2m} P\left( Q\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) - T\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right) \\ &\leq n^{2m} \left( \begin{array}{c} P\left( Q\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right) + \\ P\left( T\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{n^m}\right) \right) \end{array} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{n^{2m} \theta}{(n^r - n^2) n^{mr}} \|x\|^r \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{(n^r - n^2) n^{m(r-2)}} \|x\|^r \end{aligned}$$

which tends to 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in Y$ . So we can conclude  $Q(x) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in Y$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $Q$ . Thus the mapping  $Q: Y \rightarrow X$  is a unique quadratic mapping satisfies (3.12).



## REFERENCE

- [1] Aoki, T, on the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. J. Math. Soc. Japan 2, 6466 (1950). [2] P.W. Cholewa, Remarks on the stability of functional equations, *Aequationes Math.*, 27 (1984), 76-86. [3] Ch. Park and D.Y. Shin, Functional equations in paranormed spaces, *Adv. Differences equations*, 2012, 2012:123 (23 pages). [4] Ch. Park, Stability of an AQCC- functional equation in paranormed space, *Adv. Differences equations*, 2012, 2012:148 (19 pages). [5] Czenwik. P, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*. World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London (2002). [6] Fast, H, Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq. Math.* 2, 241244 (1951). [7] Z. Gajda, On the stability of additive mapping, *Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 14 (1991), 431-434. [8] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of approximately additive mapping, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431 – 436. [9] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 27 (1941), 222 - 224. [10] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998. [11] K. Ravi, J.M. Rassias and B.V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam stability of Reciprocal Difference and Adjoint Functional Equations, *The Australian J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 8(1), Art.13 (2011), 1-18. [12] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1978), 297 - 300. [13] J.M. Rassias, On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal. USA*, 46 (1982) 126-130. [14] Th.M. Rassias and Semrl, P, On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers- Ulam stability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114, 989993 (1992). [15] F. Skof, Proprieta locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano*, 53 (1983), 113 – 129. [16] Steinhaus, H, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique. *Colloq. Math.* 2, 7334 (1951). [17] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*. Interscience Publ. New York (1960). |