medicine, m.g.m.medical college, indore (m.p.)



The Validity of Conventional Cerebellar Signs in Persons With Known Cerebellar Disorder

| KEYWORDS | cerebellar sign,dysdiadochokinesia,dysarthia | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Mai | nish sharma | apoorva pauranik | |
| m.d.(medicine) co | nsultant mascot hospital and | m.d., d.m.(neurology) associate professor , dept. of | |

ABSTRACT BACKGROUND: There is need for more of scientific studies on the validity of traditional neurological teaching of clinical sign. Many textbook mention several cerebellar signs, But what the evidence base for these mention statements? A thorough search in the literature did not found the incidence of these cerebellar signs in cerebellar disorder and there validity.

OBJECTIVES: to assess sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of each cerebellar sign in cerebellar disorder.

METHODS: Residents in the department of medicine asked to examine healthy control or patients in a random order without giving them any information about the subject.

RESULT; inability to do Tandem walking, Ataxic-gait , intention tremor , dysdiadochokinesi, dysarthria are having higher sensitivity while hypotonia and pendular knee jerk have lower sensitivity.

CONCLUSION: Out of these 12 cerebellar signs, except Hypotonia and pendular knee jerk all were having statistically significant association.

INTRODUCTON

In Latin, the word cerebellum means little brain

The cerebellum, which lies just dorsal to the pons and medulla, consists of two highly convoluted lateral cerebellar hemispheres and a narrow medial portion, the vermis. It is connected to the brain by three pairs of dense fiber bundles called the peduncles. Although the structure and function of the cerebellum have long been studied, the precise role of the cerebellum in motor control remains to be fully elucidated.

research centre Gwalior(m.p.)

AIMS & OBJECTIVES

To assess sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of each cerebellar sign in cerebellar disorder.

MATERIALS & METHOD

PATIENT SELECTION: -patients who are visited OPD or admitted in M.Y. Hospital , we selected 30 patient of cerebellar disorder & 30 healthy control subject of similar age and sex .

INCLUSION CRITERIA :-

Patient of cerebellar disorder as diagnosed by a senior physician (guide) with fulfilling following criteria;

1) Age > 15 yrs.

2) Patient must be conscious & oriented to time, place & person.

3) Patient should follow the command .

4) Patient able to walk without support.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA :-

1) Age <15 yrs.

- 2) Unconscious patient.
- 3) Patient not follow the command.
- 4) Patient no able to walk .

MATERIAL REQUIRED:-paper ,pencile,knee hammer etc.

Each subject / parent gave informed consent for his participation in the study. The protocol is approved by the hospital ethics committee. The cerebellum involvement is diagnosed clinically and supported by imaging evidence (CT or MRI imaging), imaging study may be normal or not done. Cerebellum Involvement may be in the following form;

ACUTE ONSET¹

- 1. Stroke (thrombosis or hemorrhage).
- 2. Trauma.
- 3. Infection (encephalitis, abscess, tuberculoma etc.)
- 4. Demyelinating disorder.
- 5. Drugs toxicity e.g. phenytoin, barbiturate, alcohol.

GRADUAL ONSET¹

1. Degenerative, familial & hereditary ataxia.

2. Neoplastic lesion (cerebellopontine angle tumor, cerebellar tumor).

CEREBELLAR SIGN^{2,3,4} the following is the list of cerebellar sign which may appear in patient of cerebellar disorder;

1) NYSTAGMUS

2) HYPOTONIA

3) DYSDIADOCHOKINESIA

RESEARCH PAPER

4) DYSMETRIA / PAST POINTING

5) FINGER NOSE TEST

6) HEEL-KNEE TEST

7) INTENTIONAL TREMOR

8) 8.REBOUND PHENOMENON

9) DYSARTHRIA

10) PENDUALAR KNEE JERK

11) ATAXIA -GAIT

12) IMPAIRED TANDEM WALKING

METHODS OF ELICITING CEREBELLAR SIGN

Three1st year residents in the department of medicine were given a short training course in neurological clinical examination including conventional signs suggestive of involvement of cerebellum(reference- Bickerstaff's Neurological examination in clinical practice).

At the end of the training, the resident's skill were assessed by Dr. A. Pauranik (my guide) and found to be good. The 1st year residents were then asked to examine healthy control or patients (diagnosed as having any disease of cerebellum and also having clinical signs of cerebellum involvement) in a random order without giving them any information about the subject. The residents were permitted to talk to subjects only to extent of formal greeting, courtsey and instructions for examination but not anything about symptom, diseases, consultations or investigations.

Finally ask the residents to mention their findings of present or absent of cerebellr signs.

OBSERVATIONS & RESULTS

In this study I have taken total 30 cases of known cerebellar disorder of different age groups and sexes, amongs them the youngest study subject was 15 year old and oldest was 72 year old with the mean age of cases was 47.2 \pm 16.7(mean \pm S.D.).

In this study I have taken total 30 Healthy subjects of different age groups and sexes, amongs them the youngest study subject was 16 year old and oldest was 75 year old with the mean age of control was 47.96 \pm 15.7 (mean \pm S.D.).

FIGURE 1 DISTRIBUTION OF CEREBELLAR DISORDER IN CAES



SCA-SPINOCEREBELLAR ATAXIA

TABLE 1

CALCULATION OF SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY, POSITIVE PREDICTIVE VALUE AND NEGATIVE PREDICTIVE VALUE OF EACH CEREBELLAR SIGN

| SIGN | CASES | CONTROL | TOTAL |
|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| PRESENT | TRUE POSI- TIVE (TP) | FALSE POSITIVE (FP) | TP+FP |
| ABSENT | FALSE NEGETIVE (FN) | TRUE NEGATIVE (TN) | FN+TN |
| TOTAL | TP+FN | FP+TN | |

SENSITIVITY = TP /TP+FN

SPECIFICITY = TN /TN+FP

POSITIVE PREDICTIVE VALUE (PPV) = TP/TP+FP

NEGATIVE PREDICTIVE VALUE = TN /FN+TN

BY PUTTING THE VALUE IN ABOVE TABLE SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY, PPV AND NPV OF EACH CEREBELLAR SIGN CAN BE CALCULATED

TABLE 2

SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY, POSITIVE PREDICTIVE VALUE AND NEGATIVE PREDICTIVE VALUE OF EACH CERE-BELLAR SIGN IN CASES(n=60)

| S.NO. | CEREBERRAR SIGN | SENSITIVITY (%) | SPECIFICITY (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| 1 | NYSTAGMUS | 36.66 | 100 | 100 | 61.22 |
| 2 | DYSARTHRIA | 80 | 100 | 100 | 83.33 |
| 3 | DYSMETRIA | 56.66 | 100 | 100 | 69.76 |
| 4 | DYSDIADOCHOKI- NESIA | 83.33 | 100 | 100 | 85.71 |
| 5 | INTENTION TREMOR | 93.33 | 100 | 100 | 93.75 |
| 6 | ATAXIC-GAIT | 93.33 | 100 | 100 | 93.75 |
| 7 | TANDEM WALKING | 96.66 | 90 | 90.62 | 96.42 |
| 8 | HYPOTONIA | 6.66 | 100 | 100 | 51.72 |
| 9 | PENDULAR KNEE JERK | 3.33 | 100 | 100 | 50.84 |
| 10 | REBOUND PHENOM- ENON | 46.66 | 100 | 100 | 65.21 |
| 11 | FINGER-NOSE TEST | 66.66 | 100 | 100 | 75 |
| 12 | KNEE-HEEL TEST | 63.33 | 100 | 100 | 73.17 |

RESEARCH PAPER

Volume : 4 | Issue : 11 | November 2014 | ISSN - 2249-555X

FIGURE 2

SENSITIVITY OF CEREBELLAR SIGN IN CONTROL(n=30)



TABLE 3 SENSTIVITY,'p' VALUE AND CONFIDENCE INTERVAL OF 95%OF EACH CEREBELLAR SIGNIN CASES (n=30)

| S.NO. | CEREBELLAR SIGN | SENSTIV- ITY % | 'P'VALUE % | C.I. OF 95% |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1 | NYSTAGMUS | 36.66 | 0.01< | 0.180-0.545 |
| 2 | DYSARTHRIA | 80 | 0.01< | 0.593-0.905 |
| 3 | DYSMETRIA | 56.66 | 0.01< | 0.358-0.726 |
| 4 | DYSDIADOCHOKI- NESIA | 83.33 | 0.01< | 0.630-0.927 |
| 5 | INTENTION TREMOR | 93.33 | 0.01< | 0.748-0.982 |
| 6 | ATAXIC-GAIT | 93.33 | 0.01< | 0.748-0.982 |
| 7 | TANDEM WALKING | 96.66 | 0.01< | 0.661-0.938 |
| 8 | HYPOTONIA | 6.66 | 0.472 | -0.057-0.213 |
| 9 | PENDULAR KNEE JERK | 3.33 | 1.00 | -0.083-0.167 |
| 10 | REBOUND PHE- NOMENON | 46.66 | 0.01< | 0.267-0.639 |
| 11 | FINGER-NOSE TEST | 66.66 | 0.01< | 0.455-0.808 |
| 12 | KNEE-HEEL TEST | 63.33 | 0.01< | 0.422-0.781 |

c.i.-confidence interval

DISCUSSION

Although the specific neurologic signs associated with cerebellar disease and injury are numerous,⁶ the basic functional deficits producing these signs are relatively few. Moreover, these basic functional deficits are a logical consequence of the disruption of the motor functions known to be carried out by the cerebellum.

The cerebellar modulation and coordination of muscular activity are important in skilled voluntary movement, as well as in the movements of posture and equilibrium.

Destruction of small portions of the cerebellar cortex rarely causes detectable abnormalities in motor function. To cause serious and continuing dysfunction, the cerebellar lesion must be extensive and usually involves one or more of the deep cerebellar nuclei in addition to the cerebellar cortex. It is interesting that the neurologic signs produced even by extensive damage tend to gradually diminish with time, assuming that the underlying disease process does not itself progress. Such improvement is particularly evident following childhood damage. In experimental animals, even after as much as 50% of the cerebellar cortex has been removed, if the deep nuclei are left intact, motor function appears normal as long as the movements are performed slowly.

There is need for more of scientific studies on the validity of traditional neurological teaching of clinical sign

But what the evidence base for above mention statements?

A thorough search in the literature did not found the incidence of these cerebellar signs in cerebellar disorder and there validity, that is why I had performed that study for validity of these conventional cerebellar signs.

I study the patients of cerebellar disorder in MY Hospital, Indore from sept- 2011 to sept.2012 and following results are demonstrated;

Figure n.o1 showing various disorder of cerebellum which are under consideration in which maximum patients are of spinocerebellar ataxia(SCA) and stroke and remaining are of eptoin toxicity,tuberculoma and stroke.

Table no.1 how we calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative productive value.

Table no.2 this table showing the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative productive value of each cerebellar sign in cases.The sensitivity of these signs in decreasing order as ;

Tandem walking(96.66%) > Ataxic-gait (93.33%)= intention tremor (93.33%) > dysdiadochokinesia (83.33%) > dysarthria(80%) > finger-nose test(66.66%) > knee-heel test (63.33%) > dysmetria(56.66%) > rebound phenomenon (46.66%) > nystagmus(36.66%) > hypotonia (6.66%)> pendular knee jerk(3.33%).

Inability to do Tandem Walking test have the maximum sensitivity (96.66%) means 96.66% of cases of cerebellar disorder can't able to perform Tandem Walking, and pendular knee jerk have the minimum sensitivity (3.33%).

All the cerebellar signs except Tandem walking(90%) have 100% specificity, means these signs are not demonstrated in normal healthy individual. But inability to do Tandem walking can be demonstrated in elderly normal individual who have no evidence of cerebellar involvement.

All the cerebellar signs except Tandem walking(90.62%) have 100% positive predictive value, it means if these signs are present in individual than chances of patients having cerebellar disorder are very high. out of these 12 cerebellar sign inability to do Tandem walking have highest NPV (96.42%) means if this test is absent than there will be high probability that the subject not suffering from cerebellar disorder.

Figure no.2 showing the prevalence of cerebellar signs in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{control}}$

All cerebellar signs are absent in control subject except Tandem walking. Out of these 30 control subject 3 individual are unable to perform tandem walking .so the preva-

RESEARCH PAPER

lence of all cerebellar signs except Tandem walking in control subject is zero, the prevalence of Tandem walking in control subject is 10%.

These 3 control subject which having impaired Tandem walking were elder, one was 65 year, and two was 75 year old, none of them having any medical illness. The probable reason for impaired Tandem walking In older person can be explained by ;

Gait disorders may occur for a number of reasons, and most are non-neurological in origin. Joint pain, muscle weakness, deformities, blindness, vestibular dysfunction, psychological factors, poorly fitting shoes (especially in those with edema and bunions) and deconditioning all may playa role.

Table no. 3 showing the sensitivity, p value and c.i. (confidence interval) of each cerebellar sign in cases, All of these signs having significant 'p' value (p<0.01) except hypotonia(p=0.472) and pendular knee jerk(p=1.00).

Out of 30 cases only 2 study subjects having hypotonia , and these two subjects were cases of acute eptoin or phenytoin toxicity. although this test is statistically not significant but this is for chronic cerebellar disorder because with time hypotonia is recovered and in cases of acute cerebellar injury hypotonia is persist and significantly found , in this study there were only 3 cases of acute cerebellar injury , so that might be the probable explanation why hypotonia is statistically not significant.

STATISTIC METHODE USED IN THE STUDY

The 'P' value is calculated by applying Pearson's chi-square Test with Yates's correction.

The software used is WINPEPI

Conclusion:

Our study valididate the association of different cerebellar signs with the cerebellar disorder. Out of these 12 cerebellar signs except Hypotonia and pendular knee jerk all were having statistically significant association. For screening or rapid detection of cerebellar disorder, by testing 5 or 6 of these signs having highest sensitivity can be used instead of all 12 signs in busy clinical practice to save time.

REFERENCE

1.Dow, RS, Kramer, RE, and Robertson, LT: Disorders of the Cerebellum. | Chapter 37. In joynt, RJ (ed): Clinical Neurology, vol 3. JB Lippincott, Diseases of the Nervous tem: | Clinical Neurobiology, ed 2. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1992. | 3. Gilman, S: Cerebellum and Motor Dysfunction. Chapter 23. In Asbury, AK, | McKhann, GM, and McDonald, WI (eds): Diseases of the Nervous tem: | Clinical Neurobiology, ed 2. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1992. | 3. Gilman, S: Cerebellum and Motor Dysfunction. Chapter 23. In Asbury, AK, | McKhann, GM, and McDonald, WI (eds): Diseases of the Nervous tem: | Clinical Neurobiology, ed 2. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1992. | 3. Gilman, S: Cerebellum and Motor Dysfunction. Chapter 23. In Asbury, AK, | McKhann, GM, and McDonald, WI (eds): Diseases of the Nervous tem: | Clinical Neurobiology, ed 2. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1992. | 4. Lechtenberg, R: Signs and Symptoms of Cerebellar Disease. Chapter 4. In | Lechtenberg, R (ed): Handbook of Cerebellar Diseases, Marcel Dekker, New | York, 1993. |