Functional Mri After Internal Limiting Membrane Peeling for Symptomatic Lamellar Macular Hole and Macular Pseudoholesurgery
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Abstract

The aim of the study was to determine whether the epimacular membrane (EMM) and internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling for symptomatic lamellar macular hole (LMH) and macular pseudohole (MPH) will lead to reduction of functional MRI (fMRI) response in the visual cortex of the brain. The pilot study involved 20 eyes of 10 patients (7 female and 3 male) with a mean age of 75 (66–83 years) who underwent pars planavitrectomy. The other eye served as a control. Patients did not suffer from any other ocular or neurological disease. All the patients underwent fMRI examinations with stimulation of both eyes separately using an alternating black and white checkerboard. The number of activated voxels in the visual cortex gained for each eye was compared by means of standard data evaluation using the general linear model (T-map threshold of P=0.05 with family-wise error correction), and paired T-test was used for statistical evaluation of this difference.

All patients showed a decrease of fMRI brain activation after stimulation of the eye where surgical intervention was performed. The analysis showed a statistically significant difference between the operated and non-operated eye (P=0.0049). Patients with symptomatic partial macular defects after EMM and ILM peeling showed a decrease of the fMRI brain activity after stimulation of the operated eye.

Introduction

Müller (radial glial) cells span the entire thickness of the retina, and contact and sheath every type of neuronal cell body and process. Their internal processes merge into the internal limiting membrane. This morphological relationship is reflected by a multitude of functional interactions between retinal neurons and Müller cells, including extracellular ion homeostasis and glutamate recycling by Müller cells. Müller cells are key mediators of nerve cell protection, especially via release of vascular endothelial growth factor, via uptake and degradation of the excitotoxin glutamate, and via secretion of the antioxidant glutathione. Neovascularisation during hypoxic conditions is mediated by Müller cells via release of vascular endothelial growth factor and transforming growth factor beta or via direct contact to endothelial cells [1].

Peeling of the internal limiting membrane (ILM) of the retina has been proved beneficial for increasing the anatomic success rate of symptomatic partial macular defects (PMD) surgery [2-6]. Despite this favourable outcome, the question whether peeling can cause damage to the visual functions still remains subject of discussion. There is no doubt that the removal of a portion of Müller cells can not only cause damage to the Müller cells themselves, but also disrupt metabolic processes in the retina, especially in the nerve fibre layer of retinal ganglion cells. While most studies deal with visual acuity or retinal function after ILM and EMM peeling, the aim of our study was to determine whether peeling may damage the brain visual function and so affect the fMRI activation in this region.

Materials and Methods

The pilot study involved 20 eyes of 10 patients (7 female and 3 male) with a mean age of 75.1 years (66–83 years) who underwent pars planavitrectomy between January 2009 and October 2009 for symptomatic lamellar macular hole (LMH)—four patients, macular pseudohole (MPH)—three patients, and epimacular membrane (EMM)—three patients [6]. Assessment of LMH and MPH was based on the definit-
tion of Witkin et al. [7] and Haouchine et al. [8]. The other eye of our patients served as a control (see Table 1). Patients did not suffer from any other ocular or neurological disease.

### Table 1. Summary table for all patients. EMM – epimacular membrane, LMH – lamellar macular hole, MPH – macular pseudohole, IMD – idiopathic macular defect, ILM – internal limiting membrane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Sex/ Age</th>
<th>VA before RE/LE</th>
<th>VA after RE/LE</th>
<th>FMR (number of voxels)</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>Clinical diagnosis</th>
<th>Peeling</th>
<th>Time after surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F/66</td>
<td>1.0/0.1</td>
<td>1.0/0.6</td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>4 900</td>
<td>1 600</td>
<td>EMM</td>
<td>EMM RE LE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F/68</td>
<td>0.3/0.6</td>
<td>0.4/0.6</td>
<td>6 760</td>
<td>4 600</td>
<td>5 650</td>
<td>LMH</td>
<td>LMH RE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F/73</td>
<td>0.7/1.0</td>
<td>0.5/1.0</td>
<td>2 350</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1 450</td>
<td>EMM</td>
<td>EMM RE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F/80</td>
<td>0.4/0.8</td>
<td>0.2/0.8</td>
<td>6 700</td>
<td>4 700</td>
<td>5 800</td>
<td>LMH</td>
<td>IMD RE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F/80</td>
<td>0.2/0.6</td>
<td>0.4/0.6</td>
<td>1 500</td>
<td>1 500</td>
<td>1 700</td>
<td>LMH</td>
<td>IMD RE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F/83</td>
<td>0.8/0.5</td>
<td>0.8/0.5</td>
<td>2 164</td>
<td>3 000</td>
<td>1 545</td>
<td>EMM</td>
<td>EMM LE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F/83</td>
<td>1.0/0.8</td>
<td>1.0/0.2</td>
<td>3 100</td>
<td>7 700</td>
<td>5 400</td>
<td>MPH</td>
<td>EMM LE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M/60</td>
<td>1.0/0.2</td>
<td>1.0/0.5</td>
<td>3 150</td>
<td>2 200</td>
<td>2 600</td>
<td>LMH</td>
<td>EMM LE 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M/75</td>
<td>0.3/0.7</td>
<td>0.3/0.7</td>
<td>5 600</td>
<td>7 170</td>
<td>1 250</td>
<td>MPH</td>
<td>EMM RE 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M/83</td>
<td>1.0/0.8</td>
<td>1.0/0.8</td>
<td>6 300</td>
<td>3 950</td>
<td>2 900</td>
<td>MPH</td>
<td>EMM RE 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2. The table shows the average number of statistically significant voxels of the fMRI response and its standard deviation in operated (Surgery: yes) and control eyes (Surgery: no).

### Surgical techniques

Under local anaesthesia and/or sedation analgesia, patients underwent 3-port triamcinolone-assisted 23-gauge PPV with separation, elevation and removal of the posterior vitreous cortex. In all treated eyes, suspension of triamcinolone acetonide 40 mg (TA) was applied to the vitreous body to visualise the vitreous gel. Surgical separation of the posterior plane of the vitreous body was initiated by suction with an aspirating vitrectomy probe (Acurus, Alcon, USA), while the separation of the vitreous body from the optical nerve papilla was confirmed by elevation of the Weiss glial ring [9,10]. To visualise the residual posterior vitreous cortex we used the approach described by Sonoda et al. [11] and Doi et al. [12].

Histopathological examination of EMM and ILM in all ten eyes showed fibroblasts, collagen ligaments, extracellular matrix, and macrophages in one or more layers of the vitreous surface of ILM. ILM morphometry confirmed its thickening to 3.98 ± 0.86 µm (range 3.28 – 5.92 µm). Visual acuity was improved in four eyes, deteriorated in three eyes and remained unchanged in three eyes during the follow-up interval of three to four years after surgery.

### FMRI results

Optical stimulation was provided by a black/white checkerboard alternated with its negative image with a frequency of 2 Hz. The visual size of the black and white checkerboard was 25.8 x 16.2 degrees. The measurements consisted of a sequence of five 30-second active phase periods and five resting periods of the same length (each of 10 dynamic scans). During the resting phase, a static cross-hair situated in the centre of the visible field was projected for the view fixation. In total, every measurement included 100 dynamic scans and took five minutes. Each eye was examined by means of separate fMRI measurement (LE, RE) and also one control measurement was performed by stimulating both eyes together (LE+RE).

The obtained data were processed using SPM8 software and general linear model (GLM). During the pre-process, the data were motion corrected (realignment), corrected for time-shift of individual slices (slice timing), then smoothed using a Gaussian filter with FWHM of 6 x 6 x 6 mm and finally normalized into the MNI_152 space. For individual statistics, the GLM with canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF) applied to the block scheme of the stimulation was used. Statistical maps were threshold-ed at the level of P = 0.05 with FWE correction.

The number of activated voxels in the visual cortex gained for each eye in the thresholded t-map was compared and paired t-test was used for statistical evaluation of this difference.

### Results

The ultrastructural morphometry of the eyes with partial macular defects and EMM yielded the following results:

Histopathological examination of EMM and ILM in all ten eyes showed fibroblasts, collagen ligaments, extracellular matrix, and macrophages in one or more layers of the vitreous surface of ILM. ILM morphometry confirmed its thickening to 3.98 ± 0.86 µm (range 3.28 – 5.92 µm). Visual acuity was improved in four eyes, deteriorated in three eyes and remained unchanged in three eyes during the follow-up interval of three to four years after surgery.
All eyes where surgical intervention was performed showed lower activity during the fMRI examination compared to the control non-operated eye (see Tables 1 and 2). This difference expressed in the amount of statistically significant voxels corresponding to the stimulation was in the range of 1–93% (untreated eye used as reference). However, the asymmetry in activation higher than 15% was found in seven out of 10 subjects and the average difference was 27%.

The fMRI results of the patient with the highest activation difference between both eyes from our group are shown in Figure 1 (subject No. 3).

Changes in the nerve fibre layer after peeling for MH was studied by Clark et al. [24]. During the first month after surgery, they noted oedema of the nerve fibre layer, which resolved after two months. This finding had no effect on visual acuity.

In this context, attention should be paid to the study of Lesnik, Oberstein et al. [25], who employed immunohistochemistry to examine the epiretinal membranes obtained by peeling and anti-neurofilamentlabelledneurites, presumed to originate from ganglion cells, which were found in all 32 idiopathic epiretinal membranes examined.

Functional changes after PPV with ILM peeling were reported in a study by Tsuika et al. [26]. Perimetry findings in 140 eyes, which were subject to vitrectomy with ILM peeling (assisted ICG) for macular hole, were retrospectively studied and the findings included nasal defects in 11 eyes, temporal visual field defects in seven eyes and a concentric narrowing in one eye.

Tadaoni et al. [27] used microperimetry to examine 16 eyes that were subject to idiopathic macular hole surgery. Eight- eyes where peeling was carried out showed a greater reduction in retinal sensitivity compared to eight eyes where the surgery was performed without ILM peeling.

Terasaki et al. [28] performed focal macular ERGs six weeks and then again six months after the successful closure of the hole and discovered that the percentage increase in the b-wave amplitude was significantly higher in the ILM-on group (44.0%) than in the ILM-off group (15.0%, P = 0.037) six months after the surgery.

Although the above studies illustrate possible damage to the retina during ILM peeling, most authors agree that peeling is the most beneficial procedure in macular surgery. The financial aspects of surgery with peeling should also be emphasised. Although Spiteri Cornish et al. [29] found no evidence of a benefit of ILM peeling in terms of the primary outcome (visual acuity at six months), ILM peeling appears to be superior to its no-peeling counterpart as it offers more favourable cost effectiveness by increasing the likelihood of primary anatomical closure and subsequently decreasing the likelihood of further surgery with no differences in unwanted side-effects compared with no peeling.

The possible cytotoxic effect on retinal ganglion cells should be taken into account during PPV and ILM peeling with intravitreal administration of TA. Jaisle [30] reported a case of optic atrophy with central visual field defect and severe reduction of the visual acuity to hand movements in a patient after PPV with ILM peeling for macular pucker and re-vitrectomy with intravitreal injection 25 mg TA due to a secondary macular pucker with cystoid macular oedema.

In our patients, TA was always thoroughly removed during vitrectomy and its adverse effect on retinal structures is considered highly unlikely.

There are no studies of anterograde transneuronal degeneration in the sense of the time required for the retinal stimulus to reach cortical ganglion cells, and it can only be estimated to be longer than 12 months. In our patients, functional MRI was performed 3–4 years after PPV with peeling, and all patients had lower fMRI activity after light stimulation of the operated eye.
During our previous study with a healthy control group we found the average interocular difference in activation to be 2.2%, and this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.85). However, the average difference in case of our patients after peeling was 27.3% and additionally, in all patients we found a decrease in fMRI brain activity specifically after the stimulation of the operated eye (P = 0.0049).

These results support our initial assumption that ILM peeling can also lead to damage to the ganglion cells of the brain visual cortex, but without affecting the visual acuity. However, we can only speculate to what extent the decrease of the brain activation is caused either due to lower input of action potentials from the altered retinal ganglia cells or due to possibly damaged cells in the brain cortex.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

In our present study we cannot exclude the possible influence of pre-surgery retinal abnormalities on fMRI activity. We could only use a fellow eye as a control of activation. However, in our recent paper investigating the inter-ocular variability of fMRI response we found an average difference between normal left and right eye to be 2.2%. The inter-ocular average difference in the case of patients from the present study was much higher (27.3%).

To exclude the mentioned influence of the pre-surgery retinal state, we currently perform pre-operative fMRI examination followed by long-term post-operative follow-up by means of fMRI.

The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee and the study was performed in accordance with Good Clinical practice and the Declaration of Helsinki.
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