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## ABSTRACT

In 2011, Petković, Rančić and Milošević [5] presented a new fourth-order iterative method for finding all zeros of a polynomial simultaneously. In this paper we establish a new local convergence theorem with error estimates for this method. In particular, an estimate of the radius of the convergence ball of the method is obtained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper $(K,|\cdot|)$ denotes an arbitrary normed field and $K[z]$ denotes the ring of polynomials over $K$. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$. A vector $\xi \in K^{n}$ is called a root vector of $f$ if $f(z)=a_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(z-\xi_{i}\right)$ for all $z \in K$, where $a_{0} \in K$.

In the literature there are a lot of iterative methods for finding all zeros of polynomial simultaneously (see, e.g., Sendov, Andreev and Kjurkchiev [18], Kyurkchiev [2], McNamee [3], Petkovic [4] and references therein). In 2011, Petković, Rančić and Milošević [5] presented a new fourth-order iterative method for simultaneous finding polynomial zeros. Their method is defined by the following iteration

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k+1}=T x^{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $T: D \subset K^{n} \rightarrow K^{n}$ is defined by $T x=\left(T_{1}(x), \ldots, T_{n}(x)\right)$ with
(2) $T_{i}(x)=x_{i}-u_{i}-\frac{u_{i}^{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}-u_{i}\left(S_{i}^{2}-G_{i}\right)\right)}{2\left(1-u_{i} S_{i}\right)^{2}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, where

$$
u_{i}=\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}, S_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{j}}, G_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}} .
$$

The domain $D$ of $T$ is the set

$$
D=\left\{x \in K^{n}: x_{i} \neq x_{j} \text { for } i \neq j, f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0,1-u_{i} S_{i} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Petković, Rančić and Milošević [5] proved the following asymptotic convergence theorem for the method (1).

Theorem 1.1 ( $[5]$ ). Let $f \in C[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 3$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $C$. If an initial guess $x^{0} \in C^{n}$ is sufficiently close to a root vector $\xi$ of $f$, then the iteration (1) converges to $\xi$ with order of convergence four.

In this paper, we establish a new local convergence theorem with error estimates for the method (1) which improves Theorem 1.1. In particular, we obtain an estimate of the radius of convergence ball of this method.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we follow the terminology from [9, 10, 17]. Let the vector space $K^{n}$ be endowed with the $\|x\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$ for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $\left(R^{n},\|\cdot\|_{p}\right)$ be equipped with coordinate-wise ordering $\preceq$ defined by $x \preceq y$ if and only if $x_{i} \leq y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then ( $R^{n},\|\cdot\|_{p}, \underline{\text { ) }}$ ) is a solid vector space. Furthermore, let $K^{n}$ be endowed with the cone norm $\|\cdot\|: K^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ defined by

$$
\|x\|=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right) .
$$

Then $\left(K^{n},\|\cdot\|\right)$ is a cone norm space over $R^{n}$.

In the sequel, for two vectors $x \in K^{n}$ and $y \in R^{n}$ we denote by $\frac{x}{y}$ a vector in $R^{n}$ defined by

$$
\frac{x}{y}=\left(\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|}{y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\left|x_{n}\right|}{y_{n}}\right)
$$

provided that $y$ has only nonzero components. Finally, the function $d: K^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ is defined by $d(x)=\left(d_{1}(x), \ldots, d_{n}(x)\right)$, where

$$
d_{i}(x)=\min _{j \neq i}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right| \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([12]). Let $u, v \in K^{n}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $v$ is a vector with distinct components, then for all $i, j=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u_{i}-v_{j}\right| \geq\left(1-\left\|\frac{u-v}{d(v)}\right\|_{p}\right)\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|, \\
& \left|u_{i}-u_{j}\right| \geq\left(1-2^{1 / q}\left\|\frac{u-v}{d(v)}\right\|_{p}\right)\left|v_{i}-v_{j}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ is defined by $1 / p+1 / q=1$.

Recently, Proinov [8, 9, 11] has developed a general convergence theory for iterative methods of
the type (1), where $T: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ is an iteration function of a cone metric space $X$. A central role in this theory is played by the concept a function of initial conditions of $T$. In this theory, the convergence of an iterative process is always studied with respect to a function of initial conditions. Recall that a function $E: D \rightarrow R_{+}$is called a function of initial conditions of $T$ if there exist an interval $J \subset R_{+}$containing 0 and a gauge function $\varphi: J \rightarrow J$ such that $E(T x) \leq \varphi(E(x))$ for all $x \in D$ such that $T x \in D$ and $E(x) \in J$. Examples of functions of initial conditions can be found in $[1,6-9,11-16]$.

The following theorem of Proinov plays an important role in the proof of our main result. This theorem in a metric space was proved in [8]. In cone metric space setting the proof can be found in [11].

Theorem 2.1 ([11]). Suppose $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a cone normed space over a solid vector space $(Y, \preceq)$. Let $T: D \subset X \rightarrow X$ be an operator of $X$ and $E: D \rightarrow R_{+}$be a function of initial conditions of $T$ with a strict gauge function $\varphi$ of order $r>1$ on an interval $J$. Suppose $T$ is an iterated contraction at $\xi \in D$ with respect to $E$ with control function $\phi$, i.e. $E(\xi) \in J$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-\xi\| \preceq \phi(E(x))\|x-\xi\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in D$ with $E(x) \in J$, where $\phi: J \rightarrow R_{+}$ is a nondecreasing function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=t \phi(t) \text { for all } t \in J \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\xi$ is a unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $U=\{x \in D: E(x) \in J\}$. Moreover, for each initial point $x_{0}$ of $T$ the iteration (1) remains in the set $U$ and converges to $\xi$ with error estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{k+1}-\xi\right\| \preceq \lambda^{k^{k}}\left\|x_{k}-\xi\right\|  \tag{5}\\
& \left\|x_{k}-\xi\right\| \preceq \lambda^{S_{k}(r)}\left\|x_{0}-\xi\right\|
\end{align*} \text { for all } k \geq 0,
$$

where $\lambda=\phi\left(E\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $S_{k}(r)=\left(r^{k}-1\right) /(r-1)$.

## 3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$ and let $\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$. In this section we study the convergence of the iteration (1) with respect to the function of initial conditions $E: K^{n} \rightarrow R_{+}$defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x)=\left\|\frac{x-\xi}{d(\xi)}\right\|_{p} \quad(1 \leq p \leq \infty) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of brevity, we use the following notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=(n-1)^{1 / q}, \quad b=2^{1 / q} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ is defined by $1 / p+1 / q=1$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$ and let $\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$. Suppose $x \in D$ is a vector such that $f\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then
(8)
$T_{i}(x)-\xi_{i}=\frac{A_{i}^{2}+2 \sigma_{i} A_{i}^{2}-2 \sigma_{i} A_{i}-B_{i}}{2\left(1+\sigma_{i}\right)\left(1-A_{i}\right)^{2}}\left(x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)$,
where $T_{i}(x)$ is defined by (2), $\sigma_{i}, A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ are defined with
(9) $\sigma_{i}=\left(x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{i}-\xi_{j}}, A_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\xi_{i}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=\left(x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right)^{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left(x_{j}-\xi_{i}\right)\left(2 x_{i}-x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right)^{2}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the real function $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\frac{a(1-t)((a+(3-2 n) b+1) t+2 n)+2 a^{2}(n-1) t^{2}}{2(1-n t)\left(1-(b+1) t-(a-b) t^{2}\right)^{2}} t^{3}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are defined by (7).

Lemma 3.2. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$,
$\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose a vector $x \in K^{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x)<\alpha=\min \left\{\frac{2}{b+1+\sqrt{(b-1)^{2}+4 a}}, \frac{1}{n}\right\}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E: K^{n} \rightarrow R_{+}$is defined by (6) and $a, b$ are defined by (7). Then the following statements hold true:
(i) $x \in D$;
(ii) $\|T x-\xi\| \preceq \phi(E(x))\|x-\xi\|$, where the function $\phi$ is defined by (11);
(iii) $E(T x) \leq \varphi(E(x))$, where $\varphi$ is defined by $\varphi(t)=t \phi(t)$.

Proof. (i) Let $i \neq j$. By Lemma 2.1 with $u=x$ and $v=\xi$ and from (12), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right| & \geq\left(1-b \left\lvert\, \frac{x-\xi}{d(\xi)}\right. \|_{p}\right)\left|\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|  \tag{13}\\
& \geq(1-b E(x)) d_{j}(\xi)>0
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$. Now we have to prove that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. If $x_{i}=\xi_{i}$, then $f\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$, since $f$ has only simple zeros. Let $x_{i} \neq \xi_{i}$. From Lemma 2.1 with $u=x$ and $v=\xi$, and (12), we get for $i \neq j$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right| \geq\left(1-\left\|\frac{x-\xi}{d(\xi)}\right\|_{p}\right)\left|\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|  \tag{14}\\
\geq(1-E(x)) d_{i}(\xi)>0
\end{gather*}
$$

which means that $x_{i} \neq \xi_{j}$. In the case $x_{i}$ is not zero of $f$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{x_{i}-\xi_{i}}+\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{i}-\xi_{j}}=\frac{1+\sigma_{i}}{x_{i}-\xi_{i}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15) that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma_{i} \neq-1$. From (9), the triangle inequality and (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sigma_{i}\right| & \leq\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right| \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|}  \tag{16}\\
& \leq \frac{\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|}{(1-E(x)) d_{i}(\xi)} \sum_{j \neq i} 1 \leq \frac{(n-1) E(x)}{1-E(x)} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (16) and (12), we get the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\sigma_{i}\right| \geq 1-\left|\sigma_{i}\right| \geq \frac{1-n E(x)}{1-E(x)}>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\sigma_{i} \neq-1$. Taking into account the definition of $D$, it remains to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{j}} \neq 0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (15) and (9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{i}-x_{j}}=\frac{1-A_{i}}{1+\sigma_{i}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that (18) holds true if and only if $A_{i} \neq 1$. Using the definition of $A_{i}$ in (9), the triangle inequality, (14), (13) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain
(20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|A_{i}\right| & \leq\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right| \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|} \\
& \leq \frac{\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|}{(1-E(x))(1-b E(x)) d_{i}(\xi)} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right|}{d_{j}(\xi)} \\
& \leq \frac{a E(x)^{2}}{(1-E(x))(1-b E(x))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and (12), we get the following estimate:

$$
\left|1-A_{i}\right| \geq 1-\left|A_{i}\right|
$$

(21)

$$
\geq \frac{1-(b+1) E(x)-(a-b) E(x)^{2}}{(1-E(x))(1-b E(x))}>0
$$

which implies $A_{i} \neq 1$. Hence, $x \in D$.
(ii) We have to prove that
(22) $\quad\left|T_{i}(x)-\xi_{i}\right| \leq \phi(E(x))\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|$,
for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$. The case $x_{i}=\xi_{i}$ is trivial since $T_{i}(x)=\xi_{i}$. Let $x_{i} \neq \xi_{i}$. From Lemma 3.1 and the triangle inequality, we get
(23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{i}(x)-\xi_{i}\right| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\left|A_{i}\right|^{2}+2\left|\sigma_{i}\right|\left|A_{i}\right|^{2}+2\left|\sigma_{i}\right|\left|A_{i}\right|+\left|B_{i}\right|}{2\left(1-\left|\sigma_{i}\right|\right)\left(1-\left|A_{i}\right|\right)^{2}}\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (10), the triangle inequality and (14) that
$\left|B_{i}\right| \leq\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|^{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right|\left|2 x_{i}-x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right|}{\left|x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|^{2}\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}}$
$\leq \frac{\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|^{2}}{(1-E(x))^{2} d_{i}(\xi)^{2}} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right|\left(\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|+\left|x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|\right)}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}}$.
Using the triangle inequality and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right| & \leq\left|\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right|+\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i}\right|+\left|x_{j}-\xi_{j}\right| \\
& \leq(1+b E(x))\left|\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right| . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly of (25), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{i}-\xi_{j}\right| \leq(1+E(x))\left|\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right| . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (24), (25), (26), (13) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{i}\right| \leq \frac{a(2+(b+1) E(x)) E(x)^{3}}{(1-E(x))^{2}(1-b E(x))^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (23), (20), (16) and (27), we get (22).
(iii) Dividing both sides of the inequality by $d_{i}(\xi)$ and taking the $p$-norm, we obtain (iii).

## 4. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$, $\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose $x^{0} \in K^{n}$ is an initial guess satisfying the following conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(x^{0}\right)<\alpha \text { and } \phi\left(E\left(x^{0}\right)\right)<1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $E: K^{n} \rightarrow R_{+}$is defined by (6), $\alpha$ is defined in (12) and the real function $\phi$ is defined by (11). Then the iteration (1) is well defined and converges with fourth-order to $\xi$ with error estimates
(29) $\left\|x^{k+1}-\xi\right\| \preceq \lambda^{4^{k}}\left\|x^{k}-\xi\right\| \quad$ for all $k \geq 0$, $\left\|x^{k}-\xi\right\| \preceq \lambda^{\left(4^{k}-1\right) / 3}\left\|x^{0}-\xi\right\|$
where $\lambda=\phi\left(E\left(x^{0}\right)\right)$.
Proof. We shall prove the theorem by applying Theorem 2.1 to the operator $T: D \subset K^{n} \rightarrow K^{n}$. Let $J=[0, R)$, where $R$ be the unique solution of the equation $\phi(t)=1$ in interval $[0, \alpha)$. Then (28) is equivalent to $E\left(x^{0}\right) \in J$. It is easy to show that the function $\varphi$ defined by $\varphi(t)=t \phi(t)$ is quasihomogeneous of degree four on $[0, \alpha)$ and $R$ is a fixed point of $\varphi$ in $(0, \alpha)$. Hence, $\varphi$ is a strict gauge function of order $r=4$ on $J$. Then by Lemma 3.2 we conclude that the function $E: D \rightarrow R_{+}$defined by (6) is a function of initial condition of $T$ with strict gauge function $\varphi$. The same lemma shows that $T$ satisfies the contractive condition (3).

Now we shall show that $x^{0}$ is an initial point of $T$. According to the assumptions of the theorem, we have $x^{0} \in K^{n}$ and $E\left(x^{0}\right) \in J$. Note that $R<\alpha$. Then by Lemma 3.2 we conclude that $x^{0} \in D$. According to Proposition 4.1 of [9] to show that $x^{0}$ is an initial point of $T$ we have to prove that
(30) $x \in D$ with $E(x) \in J \quad$ implies $T x \in D$. Since $x \in D$, then $T x \in K^{n}$. On the other hand, $E(x) \in J$ implies $E(T x) \in J$ since $\varphi: J \rightarrow J$ and $E(T x) \leq \varphi(E(x))$. Thus, we have $T x \in K^{n}$ and $E(T x) \in J$. Applying Lemma 3.2 to $T x$, we deduce $T x \in D$ which proves (30).

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that iteration (1) is well defined and converges to $\xi$ with error estimates (29) which completes the proof.

Setting $p=\infty$ in theorem Theorem 4.1 we get the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$ and let $\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$. Suppose $x^{0} \in K^{n}$ is an initial guess satisfying the following conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
E\left(x^{0}\right)=\left\|\frac{x^{0}-\xi}{d(\xi)}\right\|_{\infty}<\min \left\{\frac{2}{3+\sqrt{4 n-3}}, \frac{1}{n}\right\},  \tag{31}\\
\phi\left(E\left(x^{0}\right)\right)<1,
\end{gather*}
$$

where the real function $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\frac{(n-1)\left(2 n-(5 n-6) t+\left(2 n^{2}-n-4\right) t^{2}\right)}{2(1-n t)\left(1-3 t-(n-3) t^{2}\right)^{2}} t^{3} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the iteration (1) is well defined and converges with fourth-order to $\xi$ with error estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x^{k+1}-\xi\right\| \preceq \lambda^{4^{k}}\left\|x^{k}-\xi\right\| \quad \text { for all } k \geq 0  \tag{33}\\
& \left\|x^{k}-\xi\right\| \preceq \lambda^{\left(4^{k}-1\right) / 3}\left\|x^{0}-\xi\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda=\phi\left(E\left(x^{0}\right)\right)$.

Corollary 4.1. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$ and let $\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$. If $x^{0} \in K^{n}$ is an initial guess satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(x^{0}\right)=\left\|\frac{x^{0}-\xi}{d(\xi)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{20}{37 n}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the iteration (1) is well defined and converges with fourth-order to $\xi$ with error estimates (33).

Proof. We shall prove that $x^{0}$ satisfies the condition (31) of Theorem 4.2. It is easy to show that $x^{0}$ satisfies the first inequality in (31). We have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}=\phi(20 /(37 n))<1 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ is decreasing for $n \geq 2$. Then, the inequality (35) follows from $\phi_{2}<1$.

We end the paper with a corollary which improves Theorem 1.1. We denote by $\operatorname{sep}(f)$ the separation number of $f$ which is defined to be the minimum distance between two distinct zeros of $f$.

We denote by $\bar{U}(x, \rho)$ the closed ball in $\left(R^{n},\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ with center $x$ and radius $\rho$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $f \in K[z]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ which has $n$ simple zeros in $K$ and let $\xi \in K^{n}$ be a root vector of $f$. Then for each initial guess $x^{0}$ in the closed ball $\bar{U}(\xi, \rho)$ with radius

$$
\rho=\frac{20}{37 n} \operatorname{sep}(f),
$$

the iteration (1) is well defined and converges to $\xi$ with fourth-order and with error estimates (33).
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