



On The Classification of Root Systems Up to Their Cartan Matrices

KEYWORDS

D. H. Banaga

College of Science and Humanity Studies, Shaqra'a University

M. A. Bashir

College of mathematical Science and Statistic, Elneilain University, Sudan

ABSTRACT The root systems are known to provide a relatively uncomplicated way of completely characterizing simple and semi-simple Lie algebras. The goal of this paper is to show that root systems may be themselves completely characterized 'up to isomorphism' by their Cartan matrices.

1. Preliminaries:-

i. A Lie algebra may be understood as a vector space with an additional bilinear operation known as the **Commutator** $[,]$ defined for all elements and satisfying certain properties.

ii. A Lie algebra is called **simple** if it's only ideals are itself and 0, and specifically the derived algebra : $\{ [x, y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \neq 0$.

iii. Let the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} be **semi-simple** decomposable as the direct product of simple Lie algebra.

vi. a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called **nilpotent** if there exists a decreasing finite sequence $(\mathfrak{g}_i)_{i \in [0, k]}$ of ideals such that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_k = 0$ and $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$ for all $i \in [0, k-1]$.

v. Given a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_R the **Killing form** on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$B(X, Y) = -\text{Tr}(ad X \circ ad Y) \in R$$

2. Cartan sub-algebras:

Definition (2.1):

A Cartan sub-algebra \mathfrak{h} of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent Lie sub-algebra that is equal to its centralizer, such that $\{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}\} = \mathfrak{h}$.

For semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ being Cartan is equivalent to \mathfrak{h} being a maximal abelian sub-algebra.

3. Root decomposition and root systems:-

Definition (3.1):

A root system is finite set of non-zero vectors $\Delta \subset \mathbb{E}$ satisfies the following :

(R1) If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\lambda\alpha \in \Delta$ if and only if $\lambda = \pm 1$

(R2) If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, then $\sigma_\alpha \cdot \beta \in \Delta$ where $\sigma_\alpha : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is reflection

Each element of Δ is called a root.

Theorem (3-2):-

1- We have the following decomposition for \mathfrak{g} , called the root decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \mid [h, x] = \langle \alpha, h \rangle x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

$$R = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* - \{0\} \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0 \}$$

The set is called the root system of \mathfrak{g} , and sub spaces \mathfrak{g}_α are called the root sub spaces.

2- $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ (here and below, we let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$)

3- If $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ are orthogonal with respect to the Killing form K .

4- For any α , the Killing form gives a non-degenerate pairing $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. in particular, restriction of K to \mathfrak{h} is non-degenerate.

Example (3-3):-

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{h} =$ diagonal matrices with trace 0. Denote by $e_i : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the functional which computes i^{th} diagonal entry of h :

$$e_i : \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & h_2 & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & h_n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto h_i$$

Then one easily sees that $\sum e_i = 0$, so

$$\mathfrak{h}^* = \bigoplus \mathbb{C} e_i / \mathbb{C}(e_1 + \dots + e_n).$$

It is easy to see that matrix units E_{ij} are eigen vectors for adh , $h \in \mathfrak{h} : [h, E_{ij}] = (h_i - h_j)E_{ij} = (e_i - e_j)(h)E_{ij}$.

Thus, the root decomposition is given by

$$R = \{e_i - e_j \mid i \neq j\} \subset \bigoplus \mathbb{C} e_i / \mathbb{C}(e_1 + \dots + e_n).$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{e_i - e_j} = \mathbb{C} E_{ij}.$$

The Killing form on \mathfrak{h} is given by

$$(h, h') = \sum_{i \neq j} (h_i - h_j)(h'_i - h'_j) = 2n \sum_i h_i h'_i = 2nr(hh')$$

From this, it is easy to show that if $\lambda = \sum \lambda_i e_i$, $\mu = \sum \mu_i e_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and λ_i, μ_i are chosen so that $\sum \lambda_i = \sum \mu_i = 0$ (which is always possible), then the corresponding form on \mathfrak{h}^* is given by $(\alpha, \mu) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum \lambda_i \mu_i$

Lemma (3-4):-

1. Let $\alpha \in R$, then $(\alpha, \alpha) = (H_\alpha, H_\alpha) \neq 0$.
2. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ be such that $(e, f) = \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$, and let $h_\alpha = \frac{2H_\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$

Then $\langle h_\alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$ and the elements e, f, h_α satisfy the relations of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. We will denote such a sub algebra by $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof:-

Assume that $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$; then $\langle H_\alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0$. Choose $e \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ such that $(e, f) \neq 0$ (from definition (3-1)). Let $h = [e, f] = (e, f) H_\alpha$ and consider the algebra \mathfrak{a} generated by e, f, h .

then we see that $[e, h] = \langle h, \alpha \rangle e = 0, [h, f] = -\langle h, \alpha \rangle f = 0$, so \mathfrak{a} is solvable Lie algebra. From Lie theorem, we can choose a basis in \mathfrak{g} such that operators $\text{ad } e, \text{ad } f, \text{ad } h$ are upper triangular. Since $h = [e, f]$, $\text{ad } h$ will be strictly upper-triangular and thus nilpotent. But since $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, it is also semisimple. Thus $h = 0$. On the other hand, $h = (e, f) H_\alpha \neq 0$. This contradiction proves the first part of the theorem.

The second part is immediate from definitions and lemma (3.4).

Theorem (3-5):-

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semi simple Lie algebra with Cartan sub algebra \mathfrak{h} and root decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

- 1\ R spans \mathfrak{h}^* as a vector space, and elements $h_\alpha, \alpha \in R$, span \mathfrak{h} as a vector space
 - 2\ For each $\alpha \in R$, the root sub space \mathfrak{g}_α is one-dimensional.
 - 3\ For any two roots α, β the number $\langle h_\alpha, \alpha \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ is integer.
 - 4\ For $\alpha \in R$, define the reflection operator $s_\alpha: \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle h_\alpha, \lambda \rangle \alpha = \lambda - \frac{2(\alpha, \lambda)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha$
- Then for any roots α, β , $s_\alpha(\beta)$ is also a root. In particular, if $\alpha \in R$, then $-\alpha = s_\alpha(\alpha) \in R$.
- 5\ For any root α , the only multiples of α which are also roots $\pm \alpha$.
 - 6\ For roots $\alpha, \beta \neq \pm \alpha$, the subspace

$V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha}$, is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_\alpha$.

7\ If α, β are roots such that $\alpha + \beta$ is also a root, then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha}$.

Proof:-

1\ Assume that R does not generate \mathfrak{h}^* ; then there exists a non-zero $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\langle h, \alpha \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$. But then root decomposition (1) implies that $\text{ad } h = 0$. However, by definition in a semi simple Lie algebra, the center is trivial: $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.

The fact that h_α span \mathfrak{h} now immediately follows: using identification of \mathfrak{h} with \mathfrak{h}^* given by the Killing form, elements h_α are identified with non-zero multiples of α .

2\ Immediate from Lemma (3-4) and the fact that in any irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, weight sub spaces are one-dimensional.

3\ Consider \mathfrak{g} as a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_\alpha$. Then elements of \mathfrak{g}_β have weight equal to $\langle h_\alpha, \alpha \rangle$. But from the fact that V admits a weight decomposition with integer weights

$V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V[n]$ weights of any finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ are integer.

4\ Assume that $\langle h_\alpha, \alpha \rangle = n \geq 0$. Then elements of \mathfrak{g}_β have weight n with respect to action of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_\alpha$. By the same fact above, operator f_α^n is an isomorphism of the space of vectors of weight n with the space of vectors of weight $-n$. In particular, it means that if $v \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ is non-zero vector, then $f_\alpha^n v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-n\alpha}$ is also non-zero. Thus $\beta - n\alpha = s_\alpha(\beta) \in R$.

5\ Assume that α and $\beta = c\alpha, c \in \mathbb{C}$ are both roots. By part (3), $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = 2c$ is integer, so c is a half-integer. same argument shows that $1/c$ is also a half-integer. It is easy to see that this implies that $c = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 1/2$. Interchanging the roots if necessary and possibly replacing α by $-\alpha$, we have $c = 1$ or $c = 2$.

Now let us consider the sub space

$$V = \mathbb{C} h_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

From Lemma (3-4) V is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_\alpha$, and by part (2),

$V[2] = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathbb{C} e_\alpha$. Thus, the map $\text{ad } e_\alpha: \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ is zero. But the results of representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ show that in an irreducible representation, kernel of e is exactly the highest weight sub space. Thus, we see that V has highest weight 2: $V[4] = V[6] = \dots = 0$.

This means that $V = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{C} h_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, so the only integer multiples of α which are roots are $\pm\alpha$. In particular, 2α is not a root.

Combining these two results, we see that if $\alpha, c\alpha$ are both roots, then $c = \pm 1$.

6) Proof is immediate from $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha} = 1$.

7) We already know that $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha}$. since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha} = 1$, we need to show that for non-zero

$e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, e_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, we have $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] \neq 0$. This follows from the previous part and the fact that in an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, if $v \in V[k]$ is non-zero and $V[k+2] \neq 0$, then $e.v \neq 0$.

Definition (3.6):

A root system is irreducible if it cannot be decomposed into the union of two root systems of smaller rank.

Example(3.7):

Let us Classify all systems of rank 2, observe that

$$\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} = 4\cos^2\theta$$

Where θ is the angle between α and, this must be an integer, thus there are not many choices for θ

| | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $\cos \theta$ | 0 | $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ | $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ |
| θ | $\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}$ | $\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}$ | $\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{6}$ | $\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}$ |

Choose two vectors with minimal angle between them. If the minimum angle is $\frac{\pi}{2}$, the system is reducible. (notice that α and β can be scaled independently). If the minimal angle is smaller than $\frac{\pi}{2}$, then $r_\beta(\alpha) \neq \alpha$, so the difference $\alpha - r_\beta(\alpha)$ is non-zero integer multiple of β . (in fact, a positive multiple of β since $\theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$).

4. The Weyl group :

Definition (4.1) :

Choose a base Δ for Φ . Then the simple reflections are defined to be σ_{α_i} where α_i are the simple roots (elements of Δ).

Lemma (4.2):

If $\alpha \in \Delta$, the simple reflection σ_α sends α to $-\alpha, -\alpha$ to α and permutes all of the other positive roots.

Proof:

Suppose that β is a positive root not equal to α . Then β is not equal to a scalar

multiple of α . So, in the expansion of β as a positive linear combination of simple roots:

$\beta = \sum k_i \alpha_i$ where, say, $\alpha = \alpha_1$, one of the other coefficients, say $k_2 > 0$. Then

$\sigma_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha = (k_1 - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) \alpha_1 + k_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + k_n \alpha_n$ is a positive root since $k_2 > 0$.

Definition (4.3):

If we given a root system $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$, we call the group generated by the r_{α_i} 's the Weyl group, denoted \mathcal{W} . which consists all reflections r_α generated by elements α of root system.

For a given root α , the reflection r_α fixes the hyperplane normal to α and maps $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$. and we can write it as $r_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha$.

The hyperplanes fixed by the elements of \mathcal{W} partition E into Weyl chambers. for a given base Δ of E , the unique Weyl chamber containing all vectors γ such that :

$(\gamma, \alpha) \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, is called the fundamental Weyl chamber.

Proposition (4.4):

Let \mathcal{W} be a crystallographic reflection group in a finite dimensional real vector space. Then, there is a root system Φ in V with Weyl group \mathcal{W} .

Proof:

Note that if \mathcal{W} is irreducible, then the root system Φ is unique up to

isomorphism if and only if \mathcal{W} is not of type $B_n, n \leq 3$.

Let C be a chamber of \mathcal{W} with walls L_1, \dots, L_n . Then, there is a unique root $\alpha_i \in \Phi$ orthogonal to L_i and lying in the same half-space delimited by L_i as C .

The set $\Delta = \{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is called a basis of Φ .

Let $\Phi^+ = \{\alpha \in \Phi | \alpha = \sum \alpha_i n_i, n_i \geq 0\}$, (the positive roots) and

$\Phi^- = \{\alpha \in \Phi | \alpha = \sum \alpha_i n_i, n_i \leq 0\}$, (the negative roots).

Lemma (4.5) :

Let C be the set of all $x \in E$ with the property that $(x, \beta) > 0$ for all positive roots β . Then C is a Weyl chamber. We call C the fundamental chamber.

Proof:

Clearly C is convex and therefore connected. Also C is disjoint from all hyperplanes β^\perp . Therefore, C is contained in some Weyl chamber C_0 . Suppose that $y \in C_0$ then, since C_0 is connected, there is a path $\gamma(t)$ in C_0 connecting $x \in C$ to y . This path does not cross any of the hyper planes. Therefore, by the intermediate value theorem, the sign of $(\gamma(t), \beta)$ remains unchanged. Since it starts as positive, it remains positive. So, $x \in C_0$, proving that $C = C_0$ is a Weyl chamber.

5. Dynkin Diagram:

Definition (5.1):

The Dynkin diagram of root system of rank n is defined to be a graph with n vertices labeled with the simple roots α_i and with edges satisfying:

1.No edge connected roots α_i, α_j if they are orthogonal (equivalently, if $c_{ij} = 0$)



2. A single edge connecting α_i, α_j if α_i, α_j are roots of the same length which are not orthogonal (equivalently, $c_{ij} = c_{ji} = -1$)



3. A double edge pointing from α_i to α_j if α_i, α_j are not perpendicular and $\|\alpha_i\|^2 = 2\|\alpha_j\|^2$



4. A triple edge pointing from α_i to α_j if α_i, α_j are not perpendicular and $\|\alpha_i\|^2 = 3\|\alpha_j\|^2$



6. Cartan Matrix:

Definition (6.1):

A Cartan matrix is an $n \times n$ matrix (A_{ij}) with integer coefficients which satisfies the conditions:

- i. $A_{ii} = 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$
- ii. $A_{ij} \leq 0$ if $i \neq j,$
- iii. $A_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $A_{ji} = 0$

We say that (A_{ij}) has a null root if there exists a non-zero column vector $[d_i] = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ such that $(A_{ij})[d_i] = 0$, where each d_i is non-negative integer. We call (A_{ij}) symmetrizable if there exists a non-singular diagonal matrix D such that the product $(A_{ij})D$ is a symmetric matrix.

We represent Cartan matrices by diagrams which are a slight modification of the diagrams introduced by Coxeter to classify the discrete groups generated by reflections.

Diagrams with weighted arrows (6.2):

We represent the $n \times n$ matrix (A_{ij}) by a diagram in the following way:

- (i) The diagram has n vertices.
- (ii) For $i \neq j$ we draw $|A_{ij}|$ arrows from the vertex j to vertex i . Each such arrow will be called a (j, i) -arrow.
- (iii) To simplify the diagram, when $|A_{ij}| = |A_{ji}| = 1$ we simply draw a line from i to j .

Cartan matrix is called indecomposable if the corresponding diagram is connected.

Indecomposable Cartan matrices with null-roots(6.3) :

A null root is by definition a non-negative solution of the homogeneous system of linear equations :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} x_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Because $A_{ii} = 2$ and $A_{ij} \leq 0$ if $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]$ is a null root we have

$$\sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}| d_j = 2d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Finite Cartan matrices (6.4):

Let V_0 be a vector space over the rational field Q with basis $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and V the subspace spanned by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Given an $n \times n$ Cartan matrix we define linear transformations $S_i, S_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq n$, acting on V by $\alpha_j S_i = \alpha_j - A_{ij} \alpha_i$ and

$\alpha_j S_i^* = \alpha_j - A_{ji} \alpha_i$ introduce a pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot): V \times V \rightarrow Q$$

Defined on our basis by $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = A_{ji}$. It is immediate that $\alpha S_i = \alpha - (\alpha, \alpha_i) \alpha_i$ and $\alpha S_i^* = \alpha - (\alpha_i, \alpha) \alpha_i$, and its follow from this that S_i, S_i^* are reflections on V . That is $S_i^2 = id = S_i^{*2}$ and S_i, S_i^* fix a hyperplane of V pointwise.

Let W (respectively W^*) denote the group generated by the elements S_i (respectively S_i^*) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. W is called Weyl group of (A_{ij}) so that W^* is Weyl group of the Cartan matrix $(A_{ij})^t$ where t denotes transpose. Notice that $(\alpha S_k, \beta S_k^*) = (\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in V$ and hence by iteration

$(\alpha S_{i_1}, \dots, S_{i_r}, \beta S_{i_1}^*, \dots, \beta S_{i_r}^*) = (\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in V, r \geq 1$ and arbitrary indices $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition (6.5):

Let (A_{ij}) be an $n \times n$ Cartan matrix and W its Weyl group. The elements of the set

$$\Delta = \{\alpha_i \omega \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \omega \in W\}$$

are called the roots of (A_{ij}) , and Δ is called root system of (A_{ij}) . If the Cartan matrix for which Δ is finite then we call finite Cartan matrix.

Conclusion:

We have shown that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between root systems and Cartan matrices, Given a root system $(\alpha_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$, we assign a Cartan matrix by $(\alpha_{ij}) = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$.

Conversely, for a Cartan matrix A_{ij} , the root system corresponding to it is assign by Δ described as in definition (6.5).

Moreover we determined the diagram corresponding to a Cartan matrix.

REFERENCE

1. Reflection Groups and Rings of Invariants - M'elanie ULDRY- Lausanne, spring semester 2012. || 2. Math 223A Notes 2011 Lie algebras - Brandeis University. || 3. Classification of root systems - Patricia M. Brent - 2008. || 4. Introduction to Lie algebras - Alexander Kirillov, Jr - Department of mathematics, Sunny at Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA. || 5. Cartan matrices with null roots and finite Cartan Matrices - Stephen Berman, Robert Moody & Maria Wonen Burger - University of Saskatchewan - Indiana University - November 22, 1971. || 6. Relaunch.hcm.unibonn.de/fileadmin/perrin/chap5.pdf |