

A Study of Post Operative Wound Infection After Laparotomies: our Experience

KEYWORDS	MRSA, Laparotoimes, SSI.			
Dr. K	sreedhar rao	Dr K. Ravi Shankar		
professor in surgery, Osmania medical college, Hyderabad,		senior resident in surgery, Osmania medical college,		
A	.sivender	R. shahil		
senior resident in surgery, Osmania medical college, hyderabad,		senior resident in surgery, Osmania medical college Hyderabad,		

ABSTRACT Aims And Objectives: To determine the incidence and types of surgical site infections following laparotomies, to study the risk factors in patients who developed SSI and to study the causative organisms and their sensitivity patterns.

Results: Of 450 patients who underwent laparotomies. 240 had elective procedures, 210 had emergency procedures. There was male predominance in the study. Risk factors include diabetes (most common), obesity, HB < 10 gm %, COPD, Smoking and immunocompromised status. The most commonly implicated organism in this study was MRSA accounting for 25 % of the cases of SSI, E.Coli(23%) and Klebsiella (18%).

Conclusion: The incidence of SSIs following laparotomies is 22.2%. Emergency laparotomies were statistically more likely to develop SSI. A large share of abdominal SSIs was occupied by surgeries with clean-contaminated wounds.

Introduction: Infections that occur in the wound created by an invasive surgical procedure are generally referred to as surgical site infections (SSIs). SSIs are one of the most important causes of healthcare - associated infections (HCAIs), second only to urinary tract infection (UTI) in incidence. A prevalence survey suggested that approximately 8% of patients in hospital in the UK have HCAI. SSIs accounted for 14% of these infections and nearly 5% of patients who had undergone a surgical procedure were found to have developed an SSI.¹ Abdominal surgical site infections are among the most common infectious complications in hospitalised patients and are associated with serious consequences for outcomes and costs. The present study aims to determine the frequency of surgical site infections in patients undergoing various abdominal surgical procedures, the associated risk factors, the organisms implicated and their sensitivity patterns, and the outcomes observed after treatment among inpatients in the general surgical wards of Osmania General Hospital, Hyderabad.

Materials And Methods:

Sample: Patients admitted to surgical unit at Osmania General Hospital, Hyderabad, for laparotomy, from May 2013 to August 2014; who developed surgical site infection (SSI) were studied.

Study design : A prospective observation study was performed on the 450 patients who underwent laparotomies. The type of SSI, the risk factors encountered, the causative organisms isolated and their sensitivity patterns and the outcomes following treatment were studied.

Inclusion criteria : Patients who underwent laparotomies. **Exclusion criteria :** Surgery other than laparotomies.

METHODS:

Pre-operative phase :All patients received a prophylactic antibiotic one hour before surgery for elective surgeries and at the time of incision for emergency surgeries. The antibiotic given was a third generation cephalosporin, ceftriaxone or cefotaxime, 1 g, given intravenously. The drug used in this hospital is metronidazole, two tablets of 400 mg each given the night before surgery. All patients undergoing colorectal surgery received mechanical bowel preparation.

Intra-operative phase

The surgical team decontaminated their hands with an antiseptic soap and povidone-iodine scrub². Skin preparation was done by the surgeon in all cases . Preparation was done immediately before skin incision by a povidone-iodine scrub³, then cleansed with surgical spirit and coated with a 5 % povidone-iodine solution. Sterile drapes were used in two layers.

Post-operative phase

Sterile dressings were applied over the surgical site and the wounds kept covered for 48 hours ⁴, after which the dressing was removed with aseptic precautions and the wound left exposed to the environment. Broad-spectrum antibiotics, whether oral or parenteral, were continued for a minimum of 5 days post-operatively in all the patients⁵.

Results: Of the 450 patients who underwent laparotomies. 240 had elective procedures and 210 had emergency procedures. Data has been recorded as follows.

A. Patient factors :

1. Age :

The age had greater impact in the study with older age being more commonly infected.

RESEARCH PAPER

2 . Sex : There is 24 % incidence among males and 20 % incidence among females.

3. Risk factors : The risk factors which were included in this studies were diabetes mellitus, obesity, HB < 10 gm %,COPD. The most common risk factor with increased risk of development of SSI encountered in this study was diabetes mellitus . SSI developed in 46.6 % of diabetics.

		TOTAL (N = 450)	SSI	W/O SSI	CHI SQUA	RE	P VALUE
DIABETES MELLITUS	present	150	70(46.6%)	80	77 00	0.0001	
	absent	300	30	270	//.09		
OBESITY	Present	154	52(33.7%)	102	10 E	0.0001	
	Absent	296	48	248	10.5		
HB < 10 GM %	present	132	45(34.1%)	67	24.2	0.0001	
	absent	318	55	263	24.5		
COPD	present	70	27(38.5%)	43	12 02	0.0002	
	absent	380	73	307	12.02	0.00	0.0005

Table 1 : Association of co-morbid conditions with SSI

4. Pus for culture and sensitivity - organisms isolated and their sensitivity patterns :The most commonly implicated organism in this study was MRSA (Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus), accounting for 25 % of the cases of SSI, followed by E.Coli and Klebsiella species, each of which accounted 23% and 18% respectively . Other commonly encountered organisms were Proteus species and Pseudomonas aeru

Table 2 : Organisms implicated in abdominal SSI and their sensitivity pattern

Organism	Percent- age	Sensitivity
MRSA	25 %	Vancomycin,linezolid
E.coli	23 %	Gentamicin, Amikacin
Klebsiella species	18 %	Amikacin, Cefoperazone + Sulbactum, Ceftazidime + Sulbactum
Proteus species	16 %	Amikacin, Doxycycline, Cip- rofloxacin
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	12 %	Cefotaxime, Ceftazidime + Sulbactum
Staphylococcus aureus	6 %	Piperacillin + Tazobactum, Ciprofloxacin

Volume : 5 | Issue : 12 | December 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

Discussion: The present study was undertaken on 450 patients who developed SSI following either elective or emergency laparotomies in patients, admitted to the surgical unit from may 2013 to august 2014,osmania general hospital.

Incidence: The overall incidence of SSI for all surgeries performed in the I surgical unit during the study period was 22.2 %. Different studies from various parts of India have shown rates ranging from 6.09 to 38.7 %, with the majority of studies having a rate of 14 - 17 %. The most common age group developing SSI was > 60 years,for both males and females. Most studies in literature show an increase in the incidence of SSI with increasing age, probably reflecting the deteriorating immune status and development of co-morbidities as age advances.

The incidence for SSI was 16.6 % for elective laparotomies and 28.57 % for emergency abdominal surgeries, which shows that emergency laparotomies were statistically far more likely to develop SSI than elective procedures (p value < 0.0001). This is in conformity with another study conducted at an Indian teaching hospital by Mahesh C B et al (p value < 0.002).

Risk factors: Among the patient-related risk factors observed in this study, incidence of SSI among smokers⁶⁻¹⁰ is 35% and among non smokers is 12 % with statistically significance difference. The most common co-morbidity was Diabetes Mellitus, the rate of SSI among diabetics is 46.6% and among non diabetics is 10% which is statistical significance difference. The other risk factors which were included were Obesity, Hb < 10 gm%, COPD all of which showed statistical significance SSI rates. The two immunocompromised states included in the studies was cancer and hiv/aids which both showed statistical signifiante higher rates of SSI.

Bacteriology: The most common organism implicated in this study was *MRSA*. The Gram-negative organisms implicated were found to be most sensitive to the aminoglycosides Amikacin or Gentamicin, followed by third generation cephalosporins and penicillins and to tetracycline and doxycycline. Resistance of enteric organisms to Ciprofloxacin is in conformity with reports of increasing resistance to this drug as reported by Chand Wattal *et al* in a study conducted in a tertiary hospital at New Delhi.

Table 3: Comparisor	of the present	tudy with two	similar studies on	abdominal SSIs fro	m developing countries
---------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------------	------------------------

	University Clinical Centre of Kosovo	Imam Khomeini Hospital, Iran	Present study
Number of patients who had abdominal surgery	225	802	450
Incidence of abdominal SSI	27 (12 %)	139 (17.4 %)	100 (22.2%)
SSI in emergency abdominal surgeries	11 (10.0 %)	29 (14.9 %)	60 (28.5%)
SSI in elective abdominal surgeries	16 (13.9 %)	110 (18.1 %)	40 (16.6 %)
Type of wound most often infected	Clean-contaminated (51.85 %)	Contaminated (45.8 %)	Clean-contaminated (54 %)
Surgical procedure most often infected	Elective – colorectal surgery, open cholecystectomy Emergency – Appendicectomy	Elective – surgery for colorec- tal and gastric malignancies, umbilical hernia Emergency – surgery for intes- tinal obstruction	Elective – Open cholecystec- tomy Emergency – surgery for duodenal ulcer perforation,ac apendicites
Risk factors for SSI	Increased duration of surgery and hospital stay, inadequate post-operative surveillance	Increased duration of surgery and hospital stay, co-morbid- ities	Smoking, anaemia, increased hospital stay, co morbidities

Conclusions: The incidence of SSIs following laparotomies is 22.2%. Emergency laparotomies were statistically more likely to develop SSI than elective laparotomies. A large share of abdominal SSIs was occupied by surgeries with clean-contaminated wounds, which is similar to other studies. It reflects the higher proportion of such cases in laparotomies.

Diabetes mellitus was the most common co-morbidity encountered. Increase in age, smoking , diabetes mellitus, obesity, hb < 10 gm %, COPD, cancer , hiv/aids,ASA grade, Duratio of surgery > 2hrs, wound irrigation with saline, pre op stay > 5 days were the risk factors identified. The most common organism implicated in the development of abdominal SSI was MRSA.

Signs of systemic inflammation may be masked by the prolonged use of antibiotics. Most were superficial incisional infections, which, as they were recognized early and managed appropriately, did not progress to deeper and more serious infections. Increased awareness among hospital staff with regard to infection control and strict adherence to the aseptic precautions is the need of the hour.

The incidence of SSI in our hospital is slightly higher when compared to other studies done in developing countries. The high rate of SSI with relative preponderance of MRSA strain calls for intensive infection control practices and routine surveillance of SSI in all hospital.



1. Smyth ET, McIlvenny G, Enstone JE, et al. Four Country Healthcare Associated Infection Prevalence Survey 2006: overview of the results. Journal of Hospital Infection 2008;69:230–48. 2. Parienti JJ. Hand-rubbing with an aqueous alcoholic solution vs traditional surgical hand-scrubbing and 30-day surgical site infection rates: a randomized equivalence study. JAMA: the Journal of the American Medical Association 2002;288:722–7. 3. Brown TR, Ehrlich CE, Stehman FB, et al. A clinical evaluation of chlorhexidine gluconate spray as compared with iodophor scrub for preoperative skin preparation. Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics 1984;158:363–6. 4. Wynne R, Botti M, Stedman H, et al. Effect of three wound dressings on infection, healing comfort, and cost in patients Gynecology and Obstetrics 1984; 158:363–6. 4. Wynne K, Botti M, steaman H, et al. Effect of three workd dressings on meeting, interaction, meaning control, and cost in parents with sternotomy wounds: a randomized trial. Chest 2004;125:43–9. 5. Fernandez R, Griffiths R, Ussia C. Effectiveness of solutions, techniques and pressure in wound cleansing. International Journal of Evidence- Based Healthcare 2004;2:231–70. 6. Neumayer L, Hosokawa P, Itani K, et al. Multivariable predictors of postperative surgical site infection after general and vascular surgery: results from the patient safety in surgery study. Journal of the American College of Surgeons 2007;204:1178–87 7. Scott JD, Forrest A, Feurstein S, et al. Factors associated with postoperative infection. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2001;22:347–51. 8. Cruse PJ, Foord R. A five-year prospective study of 23,649 surgical wounds. Archives of Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Kaye KS, Schmit K, Pieper C, et al. The effect of increasing 2005;101:1054–62. 10. Scotte DJ. Compelly M. et al. Flock for expressing the fore results for the patient safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Logonolity M. et al. Flock for expressing the fore results for the patient safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Logonolity M. et al. Flock for expressing the fore results for the patient safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Logonolity M. et al. Flock for expressing the fore results for the safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Logonolity M. et al. Flock for expressing the fore results for expressing the fore results for the safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Corpore M. et al. Flock fore results for expressing the fore results for the safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Corpore M. et al. The effect of increasing the fore results for the safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Corpore M. et al. The effect of increasing the fore results for the safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10. 9. Corpore M. et al. The effect of increasing the fore results for the safety in Surgery 1973;107:206–10 age on the risk of surgical site infection. Journal of Infectious Diseases 2005;191:1056–62. 10. Friedman ND, Sexton DJ, Connelly SM, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infection complicating laminectomy. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 2007;28:1060–5.