

Introduction

The cause of placement is generally to relieve ureteric obstruction, to promote ureteral healing or as a prophylaxis against possible complications. The most common indication of double J-stent treatment is renal or ureteric calculi to relive ureteric obstruction and hydronephrosis, reducing pain, potentially limiting infection and facilitating the spontaneous passage of calculi (1,2). Other indications include relief of obstruction caused by malignancies or other extrinsic causes such as retroperitoneal fibrosis. Most of the double J-stents are placed endoscopically from a retrograde approach

The most common complication of ureteric double J-stents is "stent syndrome" including irritative voiding symptoms, flank pain, suprapubic discomfort and occasional hematuria. The lower urinary tract symptoms such as the irritative symptoms are probably due to irritation of the double Jstent in the bladder, and the upper urinary symptoms such as flank pain is probably caused by vesico-ureteric reflux(1). Migration of double J-stents, stent encrustation, urinary tract infections, hematuria, forgotten stents, bladder erosions and misplaced stents are other compli- cations that have been reported (2). The encrustation of double Jstents can lead to blockage , pain and sepsis



History:

Gustav Simon described the first case of ureteral sondage during open cistostomy in the 1900s, and Yoaquin Albarann created the first ureteral stent in 1900. In the course of time, ureteral stents were improved to provide good urine drainage from the kidney with as few complications as possible . In 1974 the first commercial internal ureteral stent was made and described by Gibbons⁴. The important problem of stent migration was solved in 1978 when double-J (DJ) stents were made. The tips of these stents are J-shaped and urologists place them endoscopically over the guide wire.

Case history :

A 43 years old male patient was referred to our hospital from a urosurgeon with history of an attempt of removal of a DJ stent which did not prove successful .

On detailed history the patient narrated that he went to a general practioner with a complain of pain in abdomen since 1 mnth 6 weeks back ,he was adviced a USG abdomen and pelvis which revealed a moderate hydronephrosis with partial hydroureter on right side after which he was planned for nephrostomy with DJ stenting on right side.

He underwent the procedure following which he told that he might have tuberculosis of the urogenital system as there was stricture in the ureter .



He was told to undergo a set of investigations which were negative. So he was told to take the stent out after 2 months oftime

After 3 weeks of time the patients symptoms reduced after

RESEARCH PAPER

another 3 weeks he went for the DJ stent removal , the attempt failed . He again went through a set of radiological examination to have a suspicion of DJ stent outside the ureter.

He was advised CT KUB which revealed the perforation and migration of the DJ stent partially outside the ureter without the formation of uroma and dense fibrosis around the part of DJ stent outside the ureter

After this he was referred to a urosurgeon who tried removal by opening retroperitoneally but failed and then referred to us

Discussion:

Double-J stenting of the kidney for different reasons is a very important part of daily urological practice Ideal stent characteristics are easy insertion, completely internal placement, resistance to migration, easy removing, radioopacity, biological inertion, chemical stability, resistance to encrustations, non-refluxing, excellent flow characteristics and reasonable price . Unfortunately, a stent with these characteristics does not exist in spite ef-many improvements in stent composition and design Up to 80% of patients with ureteral stents have a wide range of urinary tract symptoms immediately after placement, measured by validated questionnaires However, indwelling ureteral stents can cause more serious complications in time, such as fragmentation, mi-gration and incrustation 17,12. Indwelling time increases prevalence and consequences of all complications. Serious complications, even death, may happen as a result of cases of forgotten stents that stay longer than initially planned or more than six months . The most uncommon complication of ureteral stenting is erosion of the ureteral wall and fistulisation into adjacent structures.-arterial vessels or bowels '. The perforation of DJ stent into the peritoneum is an extremely rare, but possible complication.



Treatment :

A proper review of reports and scan was done and a retroperitoneal approach was decided which was more posterior and exposed the whole part of DJ stent outside the ureter.

Before taking an incision a repeat trail was given with cystoscopy but the attempt was futile and procedure was



abandoned so as to prevent the breaking of the stent.

The retroperitoneal approach was taken and on separating the structures it was found that the lower 1/3 part of the DJ stent had migrated outside the ureter with dense strictures around the migrated part of the stent.



Conclusion :

Here we would like to highlight that the placement of the DJ stent is a procedure which should not be taken lightly as the complications of its can be life threatening. The unique feature of this case is that even on migration of the stent outside the ureter there was no formation of uroma at the site or peritonitis.

REFERENCE

1. Thomas R. Indwelling ureteral stents: impact of material and shape on patient comfort. J Endourol. 1993 Apr; 7(2): 137-40. | 2. Lawrentschuk REFERENCE 1. Ihomas R. Indwelling ureteral stents: impact of material and shape on patient comfort. J Endourol. 1993 Apr, 7(2): 137-40. [2. Lawrentschuk N, Russell JM. Ureteric stenting 25 years on: routine or risky? ANZ J Surg. 2004 Apr, 74(4): 243-7.]. 3. Mack T. The double J: then and now. In: Yachia D, editor. Stenting the urinary system. Oxford: Isis Medical Media; 1998. Pg 101-6 | 4. Gibbons RP, Mason JT, Correa RJ. Experience with indwelling silicone rubber ureteral catheters. J Urol 1974; 111(5): 594-9.] 5. Lam JS, Gupta M. Update on ureteral stents. Urology 2004; 64(1): 9–15. | 6. Saltzman B. Ureteral stents. Indications, and complic-cations. Urol Clin North Am 1988; 15(3): 481-91.] 7. Densted: J.D. Wollin TA, Reid G. Biomaterials used in urology: current issues of biocompatibility, infection, and encrustation. J Endourol 1998; 12(6): 493–500. | 8. Cormio L, Talja M, Koivusalo A, Mäkisalo H, Wolff H, Ruutu M. Biocompatibility of various indwelling double-J stents. J Urol 1995; 153(2): 494-6. | 9. Joshi HB, Okeke A, Newns N, Keeley FX Jr, Timoney AG. Char- acterization of urinary symptom in patients with uretral stents. Urology 2002; 59(4): 511-6. | 10. Joshi HB, Newns N, Stainthorpe A, MacDonagh RP, Keeley FX Jr, Timoney AG. Ureteral stent symptom questionnaire: develop- ment and validation of a multidimensional quality of life meas- ure. J Urol 2003; 169(3): 1060-4. | 11. Ringel A, Richter S, Shalev M, Nissenkorn I. Late complications of ureteral stents. Eur Urol 2000; 38(1): 41-4. | 12. Adams J. Renal stents. Emerg Med Clin North Am 1984; 12(3): 749-58. 13. el-Faqih SR, Shamsuddin AB, Chakrabarti A, Atassi R, Kardar AH, Osman MK, et al. Polyurethane internal ureteral stents in treatment of stone patients: morbidity related to indwelling times. J Urol 1991; 146(6): 1487–91. | 14. Monga M, Klein E, Castañeda-Zúñiga WR, Thomas R. The forgot- ten indwelling ureteral stent: a urological dilemma. J Urol 1995; 153(6): 1817–9. | 15. Bergqvist D, Pärsson H, Sherif A. Arterio-ureteral fistula-a sys- tematic review. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2001; 22(3): 191-6.