RESEARCH PAPER | Medical Science | Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

A RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL OF COMPARISON OF PRESSOR RESPONSES DURING AND AFTER TRACHEAL EXTUBATION AND LMA (LARYNGEAL MASK AIRWAY) REMOVAL IN CONTROLLED HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS

KEYWORDS: Trachea, Laryngeal Mask Airway, Extubation , Hypertension, pulse rate, Blood Pressure ,Rate pressure Product, and Mean Arterial Pressure.

Dr.Murali Prabhakar
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesiology, Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool

Dr. Raghu Praveen Kumar
Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesiology, Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool

ABSTRACT *Context: A Randomized clinical trial Of pressor responses during and after tracheal extubation and LMA (Laryngeal Mask Airway) removal in controlled hypertensive patients.*

AIM: aim of our study was to compare the pressor responses during and after tracheal extubation and LMA (Laryngeal Mask Airway) removal in controlled hypertensive patients.

Settings and Design: The present prospective study was carried out in a territory care

Teaching hospital. A total of 80 ASA-II controlled hypertensive patients undergoing elective

Surgical procedure under general anaesthesia. The patients were randomly divided to two

Groups, Group "T" (endotracheal) and group "L" (Laryngeal Mask Airway) with 40patients

in each group.

Materials and Methods: All the patients received 5mg alprazolam orally night before surgery. Inj Ondansetron 4mg IV inj glycopyrrolate 0.005mgkg-1 and inj ranitidine 50mg I.V were administered 60minutes before surgery. Once the patient was shifted to the operation theater pulse rate and blood pressure noted pre-oxygenation done with 100% oxygen for 5 minutes and thiopentone was given until eye lash reflex disappeared and intubation was facilitated with succinylecholine anaesthesia was maintained with oxygen (50%) nitrous oxide (50%) + sevoflurane (0.5%) and vecronieum bromide 0.1mg / kg. at the end of procedure patients were reversed with Glycopyrrolate 0.01mg /kg and neostigmine 0.05mg /kg – iv. Endotracheal and Laryngeal Mask Airway removal was carried out when patient was completely conscious and response to verbal commands. Pulse rate blood pressure were recorded during pre induction, just before extubation and Larnygeal Mask Airway removal 1,2,3,5 and 10min after extubation, rate pressure product and mean arterial pressure at those interval were calculated

Statistical Analysis Used: Comparibility of groups are analyzed by students "t" test P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results: From this study we have observed that Both endotracheal extubation and laryngeal mask airway removal were associated with a statistically significant rise in pulse rate, rate pressure product and mean arterial pressure when compared with baseline values. The rise was significantly higher in the extubation group as compared to laryngeal mask airway removal group. The values did not returned to baseline values even after 10minutes of extubation, whereas in laryngeal mask group the haemodynamic values returned to baseline levels, 5 minutes after LMA removal.

Conclusion: The conclusions drawn from this study are that in controlled hypertensive patients, the haemodynamic responses produced by laryngeal mask airway removal are lesser and short lived as compared to endotracheal extubation. Use of Laryngeal mask airway is quite advantageous in hypertensive patients where in there is a concern about the pressor responses due to airway instrumentations.

INTRODUCTION

The primary goal of an anaesthesiologist is to maintain a patent airway during general anaesthesia. Endotracheal intubation is considered as gold standard for securing an unobstructed airway. But, as good things come with a price tag, endotracheal intubation is accomplished at the cost of pressor responses.

Endotracheal intubation and extubation cause reflex cardiovascular responses due to mechanical stimulation of the upper respiratory tract. The predominant response is tachycardia and systemic hypertension. These reflex responses are mediated by increased sympathetic nervous system activity1.Sympatho-adrenergic responses that occurs at intubation as well as during extubation may lead to complications like myocardial infarction, left ventricular failure, cerebrovascular accidents, intracranial hypertension and a rise in intraocular pressure.

Several methods have been used for attenuating these cardiovascular responses viz, use of sodium nitroprusside, fentanyl, esmolol, calcium channel blockers and by using topical airway anaesthesia2,3,4,5,6. Hypertensive patients have been shown to exhibit exaggerated cardiovascular responses during intubation and extubation than normotensive patients.

Yoshitaka Fuji, Hiderori Toyooka[7] carried out a study to evaluate the haemodynamic changes of tracheal extubation in normotensive and hypertensive patients. From their study, they concluded that hypertensive patients exhibit exaggerated pressor responses than normotensive

Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

patients. Prys Robert et al[1] evaluated the pressor responses to laryngoscopy and intubation in controlled and uncontrolled hypertensive patients. They were surprised to find that patients on antihypertensive therapy with well controlled blood pressure, were equally prone to develop hypertensive responses to intubation.

Thus, even though the blood pressure of a patient is very well controlled preoperatively,pressor responses are just Same as that of uncontrolled hypertensive patients and are exaggerated than normotensive patients. Each method or drug that is used for attenuating these pressor responses has its own associated sequelae or disadvantages.

Most certain method of avoiding adrenergic responses would be to avoid both laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. The laryngeal mask airway which was first described by Brain A.I.J in 1983, can be used for maintenance of a clear and secure airway and for its placement no laryngoscopy is required. Use of laryngeal mask airway has also shown lesser haemodynamic response as no laryngoscopy is required for its placement [9,10]. N. Brande et al (1989) conducted a study to compare the pressor responses of tracheal intubation with that of laryngeal mask insertion in healthy patients. From their study they concluded that insertion of the laryngeal mask airway is accompanied by lesser

Cardiovascular responses.
In a similar study I.G Wilson et al (1992), compared the cardiovascular responses induced by laryngoscopy and intubation with those produced by insertion of a laryngeal mask. From their study, they concluded that laryngeal mask airway insertion is associated with lesser cardiovascular response.

Although the endotracheal intubation and the problems associated with it have received much attention, endotracheal extubation has been relatively less emphasized. The score and significance of these problems are real. The endotracheal extubation also causes a transient increase in blood pressure and heart rate[11].Not much literature is available on haemodynamic responses to laryngeal mask airway removal in hypertensive patients and there is a knowledge gap in this aspect.

Hence, here an attempt was made to compare the pressor responses at endotracheal extubation and laryngeal mask airway removal in controlled hypertensive patients undergoing elective surgical procedures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was designed to evaluate the cardiovascular changes related to laryngeal mask airway removal and to compare those with endotracheal extubation in controlled hypertensive patients. After obtaining approval of the ethical committee, this study was carried out on patients at Govt.General hospital, Kurnool. Patient selection and pre anaesthetic evaluation:

The study was conducted in eighty-controlled hypertensive patients in the age group of 35-65 years belonging to ASA Grade II scheduled for elective surgical procedures. A controlled hypertensive patient is a known hypertensive patient on antihypertensives with systolic blood pressure less than 140mmHg diastolic blood pressure less than 90mmHg or a recently diagnosed case, diagnosed atleast 2 weeks before surgery on antihypertensives with systolic blood pressure <140 and diastolic blood pressure less than 90mmHg[25] .

Patients were allocated in a randomized manner by the envelop method, into two groups one undergoing endotracheal tube intubation (Group T) and other group undergoing laryngeal mask airway insertion (Group L). Each group had 40 patients.

Informed consent was obtained from all the patients during pre anaesthetic check-up which was carried out one day prior to surgery. A meticulous history and a thorough clinical examination, pulse rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, weight, airway assessment and systemic examination including cardiovascular system, respiratory system and per abdomen was carried out.

The exclusion criteria of this study consisted of :

1. History of chronic obstructive Pulmonary diseases.
2. Emergency surgical procedures
3. Pregnant women
4. Morbid obese patient
5. Patients with pharyngeal mass

All the patients were investigatedpre operatively and following investigations were done.

1. Hemoglobin estimation.
2. Urineexamination; albumin, sugar, Microscopic examination.
3. Random blood sugar.
4. Blood urea and serum creatinine.
5. Chest X-ray.
6. ECG and Echo if necessary.

Premedications :
All the patients received 5mg alprazolam orally night before surgery. Inj Ondansetron 4mg IV inj glycopyrrolate 0.005mgkg-1 and inj ranitidine 50mg I.V were administered 60minutes before surgery.

Procedure:
Once the patient was shifted to the operation theatre, pulse rate and blood pressure were noted. pre-oxygenation done with 100% oxygen.

For 5 minutes, inj.Fentanyl 1µg/kg i.v. given. Intravenous induction was carried out with injection thiopentone sodium 5mgkg-1, Inj. Lignocaine 1.5mg/kg i.v. given.After the loss of eyelash reflex, injection succinylcholine 1.5mgkg-1 administered and positive pressure ventilation was carried through a face mask using 100% oxygen. After one minute either laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation or blind laryngeal mask insertion size 3 or size 4 was carried out as per the groups allocated to them. Air was injected into the cuff of endotracheal tube or laryngeal mask cuff until a tactile seal was achieved.

Anaesthesia was maintained with oxygen (50%) + nitrous oxide (50%) + Sevoflurane (0.5%) and vecuronium bromide 0.1mgkg-1.

At the end of procedures patients were reversed with Inj glycopyrrolate 0.01mgkg-1 and neostigmine 0.05mgkg-1i.v. Endotracheal extubation and laryngeal mask airway removal was carried out when patient was completely conscious and responded to verbal commands.

Pulse rate and blood pressure were recorded for the study at the following intervals:

Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

1. Pre induction.
2. Just before extubation or laryngeal Mask removal (Baseline value)
3. 1, 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after extubation.
4. Rate pressure product and mean Arterial pressure at those intervals Were calculated.

RESULT

This study was carried out in 80 controlled hypertensive patients undergoing elective surgical procedures under general anaesthesia. The patients in group T (Endotracheal extubation) were compared with group L (Laryngeal mask airway removal). The results of this study were as follows:

TABLE 1 : n = 80

	Group T				Group L			
	Base line	After 1 minute	't' value	'p' value	Base line	After 1 minute	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate	88.00 ±11.5	110.63 ±12.87	29.01	0.000005 2 (HS)	88.5 ±9.7	94.00 ±10.02	12.23	0.0000063 (HS)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	100.2 ±6.76	119.56 ±8.72	13.74	0.000000 15 (HS)	99.00 ±5.77	105.13 ±10.77	4.26	0.0000015 (HS)
Mean rate pressure product	12261.7 ± 1825.51	17571.8 ±2101.82	51.78	0.000001 4 (HS)	12042.6 ± 1146.32	13421.1 ±1629.74	12.77	0.0000016 (HS)

Group T	Group L
n=40	n=40

Table 1 shows the distribution of patients in two groups.

TABLE 2 :

Age group	Group T	%	Group L	%
35-50	28	70%	30	75%
51-65	12	30%	10	25%

Table 2 shows the distribution of age in years in two groups. Mean age in group T and group L were 47.08 and 46.48 years respectively.

TABLE 3 :

Range of weight (Kgs)	Group T		Group L	
	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%
36-50	12	30%	18	45%
51-65	20	50%	18	45%
66-80	8	20%	4	10%

Table 3 shows the distribution of weight in kgs, in two groups. Mean weight in patients of group T and L were 56.30 and 52.08 kgs respectively.

Sex	Group T	%	Group L	%
Males	11	27	12	30
Females	29	73	28	70

Table 4 shows distribution of sex in both groups.

All haemodynamic values are expressed as mean ± SD (HS-Highly significant, NS-non significant).

TABLE 5 :

Mean	Group T	Group L	't' value	'p' value
Pulse rate beats/min	88±11.5	88.5±9.7	0.01	0.99 (NS)
Mean of MAP	100.2±6.764	99±5.773	0.88	0.38 (NS)
Mean rate pressure product	12261.7±1825.51	12042.6± 1146.32	0.60	0.55(NS)

Table 5 shows that baseline haemodynamic values of mean pulse rate, mean of mean arterial pressure and mean of rate pressure product were comparable in both the groups (i.e. There was no significant variation between endotracheal tube extubation group and laryngeal mask removal group).

TABLE 6.1: Intra group comparison after one minute

	Group T				Group L			
	Base line	After 2 minutes	't' value	'p' value	Base line	After 2 minutes	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate	88 ± 11.5	107 ± 12.14	24.97	0.0000013 (HS)	88.5 ± 9.7	92.72 ± 10.14	8.17	0.0000056 (H.S)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	100.2 ± 6.76	115.33 ± 5.64	18.97	0.0000027 (H.S)	99 ± 5.77	102.28 ± 6.27	4.81	0.0000023 (H.S)
Mean rate pressure product	12261.7 ± 1825.51	16689.65 ± 2215.9	24.88	0.0000015 (H.S)	12042.6 ± 1146.32	13043.45 ± 1704.69	6.83	0.0000036 (H.S)

Table 6.1 shows that mean haemodynamic values one minute after airway instrumentation in both the groups were significantly high when compared to baseline.

TABLE 6.2 : Inter group comparison after one minute

	Group T	Group L	't' values	'p' values
Mean pulse rate	110.63± 12.874	94±10.021	6.25	0.00003 (HS)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	119.567± 8.72701	105.133± 10.7764	6.66	0.000004 (HS)
Mean rate pressure product	17571.8± 2101.82	13421.1± 1629.74	9.99	0.000002 (HS)

Table 6.2 shows that the rise in haemodynamic values in group T was significantly more than that of group L.

Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

TABLE 7.1 : Intra group comparison after two minutes

	Group T				Group L			
	Base line	After 5 minutes	't' value	'p' value	Base line	After 5 minutes	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate	88± 11.5	100.9± 10.75	4.32	0.00000 65 (HS)	88.5± 9.7	88.1± 10.77	0.65	0.54 (NS)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	100.2± 6.76	110.15 ±5.43	8.33	0.00000 27 (HS)	99± 5.77	98.35± 5.62	-2.36	0.54 (NS)
Mean rate pressure product	12261.7 ± 1825.51	15076.5 ± 1599.91	4.75	0.00000 36 (HS)	12042.6 ± 1146.32	11964.4 ± 1574.21	0.30	0.40 (NS)

Table 7.1 shows that mean haemodynamic values two minutes after airway instrumentation in both the groups were significantly high when compared to baseline.

TABLE 7.2: Inter group comparison after two minutes

	Group T	Group L	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate (beats/min)	107± 12.147	92.72± 10.145	5.7774	0.000002 (H S)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	115.33± 5.6427	102.28± 6.726	9.5	0.000002 (H.S)
Mean rate pressure product	16689.65± 2215.9	13043.45± 1704.696	8.353	0.0000031 (H S)

	Group T				Group L			
	Base line	After 3 minutes	't' value	'p' value	Base line	After 3 minutes	't' Value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate	88± 11.5	105.98± 14.78	11.06	0.000001 6 (H.S)	88.5± 9.7	90± 10.82	1.94	0.0009 (H.S)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	100.2± 6.76	112± 5.57	18.95	0.000003 1 (H.S)	99± 5.77	99.55± 10.82	1.20	0.23 (N S)
Mean rate pressure product	12261.7± 1825.51	16076.6 ± 2233.22	15.99	0.000001 (H.S)	12042.6 ± 1146.32	12427.1± 167.46	1.92	0.001 (S)

Table 8.1 shows mean haemodynamic values three minutes after airway instrumentation. Values in group T were significantly higher compared to baseline. In group L heart rate was significantly high compared to baseline, MAP reached baseline and RPP was near base line.

TABLE 8.2 : Inter group comparison after three minutes

	Group T	Group L	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate (beats/min)	105.98± 14.786	90± 10.82	5.3923	0.000009 (HS)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	112± 5.57	99.55± 10.82	9.70214	0.0000081 (HS)
Mean rate pressure product	16074.6± 2233.22	12427.1± 167.46	8.36817	0.0000029 (HS)

	Group T				Group L			
	Base line	After 5 minutes	't' value	'p' value	Base line	After 5 minutes	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate	88± 11.5	100.9± 10.75	4.32	0.00000 65 (HS)	88.5± 9.7	88.1± 10.77	0.65	0.54 (NS)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	100.2± 6.76	110.15 ±5.43	8.33	0.00000 27 (HS)	99± 5.77	98.35± 5.62	-2.36	0.54 (NS)
Mean rate pressure product	12261.7 ± 1825.51	15076.5 ± 1599.91	4.75	0.00000 36 (HS)	12042.6 ± 1146.32	11964.4 ± 1574.21	0.30	0.40 (NS)

Table 9.1 shows mean haemodynamic values five minutes after airway instrumentation. Values in group T were significantly higher compared to baseline. In group L, haemodynamic values had reached to baseline.

TABLE 9.2 : Inter group comparison after five minutes

	Group T	Group L	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate (beats/min)	105.98± 14.786	90± 10.82	5.3923	0.000009 (HS)
Mean of mean arterial pressure	112± 5.57	99.55± 10.82	9.70214	0.0000081 (HS)
Mean rate pressure product	16074.6± 2233.22	12427.1± 167.46	8.36817	0.0000029 (HS)

Table 9.2 shows that these haemodynamic values were significantly more in group T compared to group L.

TABLE 10.1 : Intra group comparison after ten minutes



Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

Table 10.1 shows haemodynamic response at 10 minutes after airway instrumentation. In group T, haemodynamic values were significantly higher when compared to baseline values, where as these values had come to baseline in patients of group L.

TABLE 10.2 : Inter group comparison after ten minutes

	GroupT	GroupL	't' value	'p' value
Mean pulse rate (beats/min)	100.9± 10.758	88.1±10.777	5.38	0.000001 (HS)
Mean of mean arterial pessure	110.15±5.43	98.35±5.62	9.66	0.0000097 (HS)
Mean rate pressure product	15076.5± 1599.91	11964.4±1574.21	8.88	0.000003 (HS)

Table 10.2 shows that these haemodynamic values were significantly higher in group T compare to group L.

DISCUSSION

Laryngoscopy and endotracheal extubation provoke a transient sympathetic response which manifests as tachycardia and hypertension. These are probably of no consequence in healthy individuals but these responses are a matter of concern in patients with cardiovascular disease like hypertension or coronary artery disease.

Hypertensive patients have been Shown to exhibit exaggerated cardiovascular response at extubation than normotensive patients. Sympatho- adrenergic responses that occur at intubation as well as during extubation may leads to complications like myocardial infarction, left ventricular failure, cerebrovascular accidents, intracranial hypertension and rise in intraocular pressure. Several methods have been used for attenuating these cardiovascular responses such as deepening the level of anaesthesia, use of drugs like opioids, lignocaine, beta blockers, calcium channel blockers or using topical airway anaesthesia. Each method or drug has its own associated sequelae or disadvantages.

Laryngeal mask airway which was first described by Brain A.I.J in 1983 can be used for maintaining a clear and secure airway8.We have studied 80 ASA Grade II controlled hypertensive adult patients, who were randomly allocated into 2 groups of 40 each.Endotracheal extubation was performed in patients of group T, where as size 3 or size 4 laryngeal mask airway removal was carried in group L.

The premedication was administrated in all the patients preoperatively, which consisted of I.V. glycopyrrolate 0.005 mgkg-1 , i.v. inj.rantidine 50mg i.v., inj.Ondansetron 4mg i.v.and inj.Fentanyl 1µg/kg Intravenous induction of anaesthesia was carried out with inj thiopentone sodium 5mgkg-1 ,inj.lignocaine 1.5mg/kg and succinylcholine 1.5mgkg-1 i.v. given.

Patients were maintained on O2(50%)+N2O(50%) + Sevoflurane (0.5%) and vecuronium bromide 0.1mgkg-1 and IPPV.Preinduction heart rate and blood pressure recorded. Subsequent measurements for the study were recorded immediately before extubation or removal of LMA (served as baseline value) and at 1, 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after extubation or LMA removal. The results were analyzed statistically using unpaired students 't' test for intergroup comparison and paired 't' test for intragroup comparison.

There was no difference in the demographic data of age, sex and weight in the patients in both the groups.

Pulse Rate:

In patients belonging to Group T, the mean pulse rate at the baseline (immediately before extubation) was 88 ± 11.5 beats/minutes whereas it was raised to 110.60 ± 12.87 beats/minutes at one minute after instrumentation. The values of pulse rate at 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after instrumentation were 107 ±12.14, 105.93 ± 14.78, 100.9 ± 10.75 and 98.87 ± 14.61 respectively. These results indicates that laryngoscopy and extubation caused instantaneous and significant increase in mean pulse rate which did not came back to baseline level even after 10 minutes.

The mean pulse rate, in patients of group L, at baseline (just before LMA removal) and 1, 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after LMA removal are as follows 88.5 ±9.7, 94 ± 10.02, 92.72 ± 10.14, 90 ± 10.8, 88.1 ± 10.77 and 87.32 ± 10.83 respectively. This shows that laryngeal mask removal was also associated with significant increase in mean pulse rate compared to baseline and which returned back to baseline at 5minutes after the removal but the rise in mean pulse rate after extubation in group T was significantly higher than in group L. This shows that the sympathetic responses were lesser in patients of group L as compared to patients of group T.

Rate Pressure Products :

In this study, mean rate pressure product in patients of group T at base line (just before extubation) and 1, 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after extubation were 12261.7 ± 1825.51, 17571.8 ± 2101.82, 16689.65 ± 2215.6, 16076.6 ± 2233.22, 15076.5 ± 1599.91 and 14614 ± 2303.8 respectively. This shows that extubation caused a significant rise in mean rate pressure product from baseline value, which failed to reach baseline value even after 10 minutes of extubation.

The mean rate pressure product in patients of group L at base line (just before LMA removal), 1 minute, 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after (LMA removal) were 12042.6 ± 1146.32, 13421.1 ± 1629.74, 13043.45 ± 1704.69, 12427.1 ± 167.46, 11964.4 ± 1574.21 and 11868 ± 1580 respectively. This shows that LMA removal caused a significant rise in the rate pressure product when compared to baseline and the values came down to baseline value at 5 minutes post instrumentation.This rise in rate pressure product was significantly higher in group T than in group L.

Mean Arterial Pressure:

In this study mean arterial pressure in patients of group T, at baseline (just before extubation) and 1, 2, 3, 5 and 10 minutes after extubation were 100.2 ± 6.76, 119.56 ± 8.72, 115.33 ± 5.64, 112 ± 5.57, 110.15 ± 5.43 and 108.77 ± 5.48 respectively.

This shows a significant rise in mean arterial pressure after extubation which did not reached baseline values even after 10 minutes post Extubation The mean arterial pressure in patients of group L at baseline (just before LMA removal) and 1, 2 3, 5 and 10minutes after LMA

Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

removal were 99 ± 5.77, 105.13 ± 10.77, 102.28 ± 6.27, 99.55 ± 10.82, 98.35 ± 5.62 and 99.28 ± 5.48 respectively. This shows a significant rise in mean arterial pressure after LMA removal which reached baseline values at 5 minutes after LMA removal.

This rise in mean arterial pressure was significantly higher in group T than in group L. From this study we have observed that

a.Both endotracheal extubation and laryngeal mask airway removal were associated with a statistically significant rise in pulse rate, rate pressure product and mean arterial pressure when compared with baseline values.

b.The rise was significantly higher in the extubation group as compared to laryngeal mask airway removal group. The values did not returned to baseline values even after 10minutes of extubation, whereas in laryngeal mask group the haemodynamic values returned to baseline levels, 5 minutes after LMA removal.

Endotracheal extubation causes rise in haemodynamic values because of mechanical stimulation of respiratory tract including vocal cords causing increased sympathetic nervous system activity in the cervical sympathetic fibres, whereas the lesser cardiovascular response associated with laryngeal mask removal may be due to the fact that the laryngoscopy was avoided and vocal cords were not stimulated.

Roofa Mushtaq et al12 conducted a study to observe the circulatory responses to laryngeal mask airway removal and compared it with endotracheal extubation in controlled hypertensive patients. They found that mean pulse rate in extubation group at baseline (just before extubation) was 100 ±12 beats/minutes, which was increased to 134 ± 11 beats/minutes post extubation. This rise was significant even after 5minutes of extubation (114.0 ± 11 beats/minutes). The mean rate pressure product at baseline was 15847 ± 1836, which increased to 22454 ± 2284 post extubation and was 17544.15 ± 2088, 5minutes after extubation. The mean systolic and diastolic pressures in extubation group at baseline was 157 ± 7/101 ± 6mmHg, was increased to 167 ± 6/108 ± 4 mmHg post-extubation and was 158 ± 8 /102 ± 4 mmHg at 3 minutes after extubation.

The pulse rate in laryngeal mask airway removal group before LMA removal was 85 ± 16 beats/minute, immediately after LMA removal and three minutes after LMA removal were 99 ± 15 and 94 ± 15 beats/minute respectively. The rate pressure product before LMA removal, 1 and 3 minutes after LMA removal was 13535 ± 2892, 14893.7 ± 2949 and 13805.65 ± 2650.50 respectively.

Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure before LMA removal, 1 and 3 minutes after LMA removal was 140 ± 10/90 ± 10, 148 ± 10/94 ± 8 and143 ± 10/88.0 ± 7 mmHg respectively.

They concluded that haemodynamic data in laryngeal mask group at baseline increased to statistically significant levels post removal, but came back to baseline values 3 minutes post removal, where as these values were significantly higher even after 5minutes of extubation. Thus the results of our study are consistent with those of above study.

In a study conducted by Yoshitaka Fuji MD et al7, pressor response to tracheal extubation was compared with the response to laryngeal mask airway removal in normotensive and hypertensive patients. The mean pulse rate changed from 73 ± 9 beats/minute to 95 ± 14 beats/minute after extubation in normotensive patients, at 2minute it was 86 ± 10 beats/minutes, and after 3minute it was 81 ± 9. The pulse rate increased immediately after endotracheal extubation and remained elevated for two minutes. The MAP before extubation in normotensive patients immediately, 1, 3 and 5 minutes after extubation was 96 ± 8, 124 ± 18, 123 ± 15,112 ± 12 and 106 ± 11 respectively. MAP increased immediately following extubation and remained elevated for three minutes.

In LMA group in normotensive patients, pulse rate was 76 ± 11 before LMA removal and immediately 1,2 and 3 after LMA removal were 81±11,82 ± 14, 79 ± 13 and 77± 13. Pulse rate increased immediately after LMA removal and remained elevated for one minute after LMA removal.MAP before LMA removal in normotensive patients, immediately, 1, 2, 3 and 5minutes after LMA removal were 93 ± 10, 106 ± 10, 101 ± 12, 96 ±16, 94 ± 10 respectively. MAP increased immediately following LMA removal and remained elevated for two minutes.The increases in these variables were less in LMA group than in endotracheal In hypertensive patients, the heart rate before extubation, immediately after extubation 1, 2, 3 and 5minutes after extubation were 77 ± 8, 105 ± 10, 100 ± 10,95 ± 10, 96 ± 9 and 84 ± 9 respectively. The heart rate increased immediately after tracheal extubation and remained elevated for three minutes.

MAP before extubation, immediately afterextubation,1,2,3, and 10minutes after extubation were 96 ± 8, 124 ± 18, 123 ± 15, 117 ± 12, 112 ± 12,106 ± 11 and 96 ± 9. MAP increased immediately after extubation and remained elevated for five minutes.In LMA group in hypertensive patients HR, before LMA removal, immediately 1, 2, 3 and 5minutes were 98 ± 9, 119 ± 12, 118 ± 10, 108 ± 6 and 104 ± 8 respectively. Heart rate increased immediately after LMA removal and remained elevated for one minute. MAP also increased immediately after LMA removal and remained elevated for three minutes.

Thus the conclusion drawn from their study was that cardiovascular responses to extubation were greater than those related to removal of LMA in both normotensive and hypertensive patients. The changes in haemodynamic variables immediately following extubation or LMA removal from baseline levels were greater in hypertensive patients than in normotensive patients. This is in agreement with our study

In a similar study conducted by Bukhari S A et al26, the two devices for airway control were compared for pressor responses and intraocular pressure changes following insertion of laryngeal mask airway and endotracheal intubation. They observed a significant increase in heart rate in both the groups after insertion.

However the increase in heart rate was more in endotracheal group. Similarly both systolic and diastolic blood pressures and intraocular pressure increased significantly in endotracheal group. Although the increase in these parameters were observed in LMA group also, but this increase was significantly less than after endotracheal intubation. This is in agreement with our study.

Volume : 5 | Issue : 2 | Feb 2015 | ISSN - 2249-555X

In another study conducted by Brande N et al9, it was observed that there was a significant increase in systolic blood pressure immediately after tracheal intubation and for the subsequent 2 minutes. Mask insertion in the laryngeal mask group was associated with a systolic increase that achieved significance at one minute after insertion. The increase in mean blood pressure is both lesser and of shorter duration. This is in agreement with our study.

Thus from our study we confirm that laryngeal mask airway removal is associated with lesser cardiovascular responses as compared to endotracheal extubation in controlled hypertensive patients.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions drawn from this study are that in controlled hypertensive patients, the haemodynamic responses produced by laryngeal mask airway removal are lesser and short lived as compared to endotracheal extubation. Use of Laryngeal mask airway is quite advantageous in hypertensive patients where in there is a concern about the pressor responses due to airway instrumentations.

REFERENCE

1. Prys-Roberts, Greene LT, Meloche R, Foex P. Studies of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension. Br J Anaesth 1971;43:531. | 2. Robert K Stoelting . Attenuation of blood pressure response to laryngoscopy andtracheal intubation with sodium nitroprusside. Anesth Analg | 979;49(3): 130-131. | 3. Dahlgren N Messeter K. Treatment of stress response to laryngoscopy and intubation with fentanyl. Anaesthesia 1981; 36:1022-1026. | 4. Dyson A, Philip A, John H, Adolph H, Giesecke, Lipton JM. Esmolol attenuates cardiovascular response to extubation. Anesth Analg 1990; 71:670-674. | 5. Mikawa K, Nishina k, Takao Y, Shiga M. Maekawa, Obara H. Attenuation of cardivoscular responses to tracheal extubation : Comparison of verapamil, lidocaine and verapamil–lidocaine combination. Anesth Analg 1997;85:1005-10. | 6. Arun V B, Theorade H, Sand Vanamala A B. Blood pressure and pulse rate responses to extubation with and without prior topical anaesthesia. Canad Anaesth Soc J. 1978;25(5):416-448. | 7. Yoshitaka Fuji, Hidenovi Toyooka, Hirogoshi Tanaka. Cardiovascular responses to tracheal extubation or LMA removal in normotensive and hypertensive patients. Can J Anaesth 1997;44(10):1082-1086. | 8. Brain A I J. Laryngeal Mask A new concept in airway management. Br J Anaesth 1983;55:801-805. | 9. Braude N, Clements EAF, Hodges UM, Andrews BP. The pressor response and laryngeal mask insertion. Anaesthesia 1989;44:551-554. | 10. Wilson I G et al. Cardiovascular responses to insertion of the laryngeal mask. Anaesthesia 1992;47:300-302. | 11. Hartley M and Vaughan R S. Problems associated with tracheal extubation. | Br J Anaesth 1993;71:561-68. | 12. Mushtaq R, Zahoor SA, Naqash I, Mehraja-ud-din, Sarfi S. Pressor responses after tracheal extubation or LMA removal in controlled hypertensive patients. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol 2002;18(3):276-279. | 13. Lowrie A, Johnston PL, Fell D, Robinson SL. Cardiovascular and plasma catecholamine responses at tracheal extubation. Br J Anaesth 1992;68:261- | 263. | 14. Kodaka M, Okamoto Y, Handa F, Kawasaki J, Miyao H. Relation between fentanyl dose and predicted EC 50 of propofol for laryngeal mask insertion. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(2):238-241. | 15. Ghai B, Sharma A, Akhtar S. Comparative evaluation of intraocular pressure changes subsequent to insertion of laryngeal mask airway and endotracheal tube. Journal of postgraduate Medicine 2001;47(3):181-184. | 16. Theroux, Maryc, Kettvic K, Robert C, Khine H. Laryngeal Mask Airway and fiberopticendoscopy in an infant with Schwartz-jampel syndrome. Anesthesiology 1995;82(2):605. | 17. Adwani S S, Cranstan A, De giovann JV. Use of laryngeal mask airway anaesthesia for trans-oesophageal echo cardiography. Heart 1997;78(2,S):33. | 18. Bennett S R, Grace D, Griffin S C. Cardiovascular changes with the laryngeal mask airway in cardiac anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(6)885-887. | 19. Brimacombe J. Laryngeal Mask Airway for access to the upper gastrointestinal tract. Anesthesiology 1996;84(4);1009-1010. | 20. Hand, Helen RGN. Cardio Pulmonary resuscitation: the laryngeal mask airway. Nursing standard 1999;13(14): 43-54. | 21. Parmet JL, Romano P, Horrow JC, Miller F, Gonzales J, Rosenberg H. The laryngeal mask airway reliably provides rescue ventilation in cases of unanticipated difficult tracheal intubation along with difficult mask ventilation. Anesth Analg(1998);187:661-665. | 22. Kellerc, Brimacombe J, Bittersohi J, Lirk P, Vongoedecke A. Aspiration and the laryngeal mask airway: three cases and a review of the literature. Br J Anaesth 2004;93(4):579-582. | 23. Rosenberg, Michaelk, Lebenbom M, Miriam H. Aryteroid dislocation and the laryngeal mask airway. Anesth Analg 1997;85(2):463. | 24. Kalif I, Jekin M, Silay E, Urfettin A, Huseymogla, Yildiz H. Does Benzydamme hydrochloride applied preemptively reduce sore throat due to laryngeal mask airway?. Anesth Analg 2004 ; 99:710-712. | 25. Robert K Stoelting, Stephen F Dierdorf. Systemic Hypertension. In : Anesthesia and coexisting disease, Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; | 2002.93. | 26. Bukhari S A, Naqash I, Zargar J, Nengoor S, Mir W A. Indian J Anaesth 2003 ; 47(6) : 473-475. | 27. Shribman AJ. Smith G, Achola KJ. Cardiovascular and catecholamine responses to laryngoscopy with and without tracheal intubation. Br J Anaesthesia 1987; 59:295-9. | 28. Forbes AM, Dally FG. Acute hypertension during induction of anaesthesia and endotracheal intubation in normotensive man. Br J Anaesthesia 1970; 42:618-24. | 29. Shapiro HM. Acute intra operative intracranial hypertension in neurosurgical patients, mechanical and pharmacological factors. Anaesthesiology 1974; 40: 247. | 30. Fox EJ. Complications related to the pressor response to endotracheal intubation. Anaesthesiology 1977; 47:524 | 31. King B.D, Harris LC, Grefiesnstein FE, Elder JP. Reflex circulatory responses to direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation performed during general anaesthesia, Anaesthesiology 1951;12:556-66. | 32. Brodrick PM, Webster NR, Nunn JF. The laryngeal mask airway. A study of 100 patients during spontaneous breathing.Anaesthesia 1989; 44: 23841. | 33. Jamil SN et al. Comparison of LMA and endotracheal intubation in children Indian Journal of Anaesthesia 2009; 53 (2):174-178 | 34. Mason DG, Bingham RM. Forum: the LMA in children. Anaesthesia 1990; 45: 7603 | 35. Grebenik CR, Ferguson C, White A. The LMA in paediatric radiotherapy. Anesthesiology 1990; 72: 474-477 | 36. Imai M, Matsumura C, Hanaoka Y, Kemmouts UO. Comparison of cardiovascular response to airway management: using a new adaptor, laryngeal mask insertion, or conventional laryngoscopic intubation. J Clin Anesth 1995; 7:14-8. | 37. Choyce A, Avidan MS, Harvey A, Patel C, Timberlake C, Sarang K. The cardiovascular response to insertion of the intubating laryngeal mask airway. Anaesthesia 2002; 57:330-3. | 38. Joo HS, Rose DK. The intubating laryngeal mask airway with and without fiber optic guidance. Anesth Analg 1999;88:662-6. | 39. Knightr G, Castro T , Rastrella IJ , Maschk SE, Scavone JA. Arterial blood pressure and heart rate response to lighted stylet or direct laryngoscopy for endotracheal intubation.Anesthesiology 1988; 69 269-72. | 40. Furhman TM, Ewel CL, Pippin WD, Weaver JM. Comparison of the efficacy of esmolol and alfentanil to attenuate haemodynamic responses to emergence and extubation. Journal of Clinical Anaesthesia 1992; 4: 44 | 41. Daley MD, Norman PH, Coveler LA. Tracheal extubation of adult surgical patients while deeply anesthetized: a survey of United States anesthesiologists. Journal of Clinical Anaesthesia 1999; 11: 445-52. | 42. Patel RI, Hanallah RS, Norden J, Casey WF,VergheseST. Emergence Complications in Children: A comparison of tracheal extubation in awake or deeply anaesthetised patients. Anesthesia and Analgesia 1991; 73: 266-73. | 43. Brouillette G, Drolet P, Donati F. Deep extubation and insertion of laryngeal mask airway reduces coughing at emergence. Canadian Journal of Anesthesia 2008; 55 (supp. 1) 472391-2. | 44. Dob D P, Shannon CN, Bailey PM. Efficacy and safety of the laryngeal mask vs Guedel airway following tracheal extubation. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia 1999; 46: 179-81. | 45. Holden R , Morsman C.D.G. , Butler J. Intraocular pressure changes using the laryngeal mask airway and tracheal tube . Anesthesia1991 ;46 : 922 – 924 | 46. Akhtar T , Mc murray P. A comparison of laryngeal mask airway with tracheal tube for intraocular ophthalmic surgery . Anesthesia1992 ; 47: 668 – 671. 47.Stone JG, Foëx P, Sear JW, Johnson LL, Khambatta HJ, Triner L. Risk of myocardial ischaemia during anaesthesia in treated and untreated hypertensive patients. Br J Anaesth.1988;61:675–9. 10.1093/bja/61.6.675 | 48. . Low JM, Harvey JT, Prys-Roberts C, Dagnino J. Studies of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension. VII. Adrenergic responses to laryngoscopy. Br J Anaesth. 1986;58:471–7. 10.1093/bja/58.5.47 | 49. Kihara S, Brimacombe J, Yaguchi Y, Watanabe S, Taguchi N, Komatsuzaki T. Hemodynamic responses among three tracheal intubation devices in normotensive and hypertensive patients. Anesth Analg. 2003;96((3)):890–95. 10.1213/01.ANE.0000048706.15720.C9 | 50. Kihara S, Watanabe S, Taguchi N, Suga A, Brimacombe JR. Tracheal intubation with the Macintosh laryngoscope versus intubating laryngeal mask airway in adults with normal airways. Anaesth Intensive Care. 2000;28((3)):281–6 | 51. Choyce A, Avidan MS, Harvey A, Patel C, Timberlake C, Sarang K, Tilbrook L. The cardiovascular response to insertion of the intubating laryngeal mask airway. Anaesthesia. 2002;57((4)):330–3. 10.1046/j.1365-2044.2002.02463. |