

LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY – A GOLD STANDARD TREATMENT PROSPECTIVE STUDY OF 200 CASES UNDERWENT THIS PROCEDURE

KEYWORDS Cholelithiasis, Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy, Male : Female ratio, Duplex Gallbladder.

Dr.MD Jeelani	Dr.S.Vijaya Shankar	Dr.V.Surekha		
Associate Professor, Dept. of General Surgery, Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool,	Assistant Professor, Dept. of General Surgery, Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool,	Postgraduate, Dept. of General Surgery, Kurnool Medical		

ABSTRACT Gallbladder disease are one of the most common problems affecting the digestive tract. Gallstones are the common the problem about 22-30% cholecystitis secondary to gallstones is common in about 80-90% of the cases. Some are silent stones.

A female, forty, fertile, fatty with right hypochondrium pain should have a suspicion of cholecystitis.

A gallstoneobstructing CBD leads to obstructive jaundice. If it obstructs cystic duct causes gallbladder distension inflammation and oedema.

Over study shows increased incidence of male : female ratio and age.

Objective : To study the male female ratio of GB disease and complication and presentation of the disease and advantages of the laparoscopic procedure.

Conclusion : Gallbladder diseases are common with variable presentation and change in the male to female ratio of incidence.

INTRODUCTION

Cholelithiasis is commonest disease of gallbladder ¹ which continuous to be one of the most common digestive disorders encountered was traditionally being dealt by conventional open cholecystectomy.

As Carl Langenbueh so aptly started the Gallbladder should be removed not because it contains stones but because it form them³. Gallbladder stones are formed of Cholesterol and Calcium salts etc.,⁴. Due to life style changes and changes in diet containing more Cholesterol, today the scenario has changed in age and sex distribution⁵.

LaparoscopicCholecystectomy became the treatment of choicefor Gallbladder diseases . It is now become the Gold standard treatment.

This study in Department of General Surgery, Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool includes to know the pros and cons of the Laparoscopic technique and experience of the management of the complications.

Patients and Methodology

This study is conducted in Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool, Department of General Surgery. Patients admitted in Department of General Surgery underwent Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy and its efficacy, during the period of 2 years of 200 cases were studied. Case selection was done with following criteria of history, clinical presentation according to proforma. The diagnosis is made mainly on the basis of ultrasound and other radiological investigations. Patients also studied about the postoperative complications with follow-up interval for 20-30 days.

Results

About 200 patients were admitted with Cholelithiasis and Cholecystitis 60% of female 40% are males .

Table -1: Sex distribution

Sex	No. of cases
Male	80
Female	120

Mean age was $50\pm5yrs$. There were 40 patients in the age group of 20-29 (20%). 36 patients between 30-39 (18%). 50 were in 40-49 (26%) age group and 72 patients in 50-60 (36%).

Table -2 : Age Distribution

Age	No. of cases
Age 20-29	40
30-39	36
40-49	52
50-60	72

Most of the patients having Cholelithiasis, 37% having multiple calculi and 35% having sludge, 8% of patients having single calculus, 5% patients having polyps in the Gallbladder.

Table – 3: Intraoperative findings

Operative findings		Percentage
Adhesions	Flimsy	35%
	Dense	20%
Difficult callots triangle		12%
Bleeding		5%
Anamolies of cystic duct / artery		0.5%
Anamolies of the Gallbladder, Duplex Gallbladder		0.5%
Mucocoele		5%
Empyema		5%
CBD injury		1%
Bowel injury		1%
Convertion to open		15%

RESEARCH PAPER

Mean optimum time was 60.4min / the operating time was \leq 64 min in 174 patients. In 6 patients operating time was between 60-75 (3%) and in 20 patients it was 70-90 min.

According to Bismuth Strassburg classification⁷ out of 3 CBD injury patients 2 has type E bile duct injury which were presented with bilioma postoperatively and was treated with pig tail catheter by ultrasound guided method and later on undergo Roux en Y Hepatico Jejunostomy. Other patients had Type D bile duct injury, treated endoscopically.

CBD injury was found in 1 case during lagation of the cystic duct with extra corporeal endoloop knot due to traction and the CBD was pulled and looped by knot.

Table-4 : Postoperative complications

Postoperative complications	Percentage	
Wound infection	20	
Nausea / vomiting	5	
Jaundice	2	
Biliary fistula	1	
Post Cholecystectomy syndrome	3	
Incisional hernia	0	

DISCUSSION :

Gallbladder diseases is one of the common digestive tract disorders.

About 10-27% of the adult population of USA has Gallbladder disease with maximum of them have Gallstones⁸. India has similar to it. Gallstone are the most common digestive disease⁹.

In many studies female preponderance was found. In our study 60% of female against 40% of the male incidence Ghnnam et al¹¹ found 92% female and 8% male wihile Bhasin et al¹² found 83.8% female and 16.2% male patients. Mulchim et al¹⁴ found 85% of females v/s 15% of male while Kouls et al¹⁵ found 78% female and 22% male.

In the present series the mean age was 50 years i.e., in the $5^{\rm th}$ decade of life incidence increases in the decade.

Others studies like Ghnnam et al ¹¹ had mean age of 41.4yrs, Chan et al¹³ 45.09 years and other study also show similar results for the Gallbladder diseases.

Cholesterol content increases as the age increases leading to the formation of Gallstones¹⁶. The Contractile nature of the Gallbladder decreases with the increased age due to which stagnation of the while occurs leading to complications¹⁷.

Duca et al¹⁸ 82.4% and Mulhim et al¹⁴ 61.5% adhesions where has our study shows 35% Flimsy and Dense adhesions. About 1 case we found Duplex Gallbladder and one case Biladuct injury due to the traction on the CBD while putting extra carporeal loop knot.

Table -5 : Comparison of operative findings

Table -5 : Con		operad	ve mie			
Operative findings		Our study (%) n=200	Bhasin et al ¹² (n=200)	Mulhim et al ¹⁴ (n=968)	Yetkin et al ²⁰ (n=33)	Duca et al ¹⁹ (n=9542)
Adhesions	Flimsy	35	29	61.5	51	82.4
	Dense	20	-	-	-	-
Difficult callots triangle		12	4	4.03	5.3	9.8
Bleeding		5	1	0	1.3	2.3
Anomalous cystic duct / artery		0	0	0	0	0
Duplex Gallbladder		1	0	0	0	0
Empyema		5	2	0	0	0
Mucocoele		5	2	3.2	0	0
CBD injury		1	1	0.3	0.37	0.1
Bowel injury		0	0	0	0	0
Conversion to open		15	4	1.9	8	1.9

Mucocoele and Empyema was found in 5% of cases in our study but Bhasin et al¹⁹ found 0% of the patients.

CONCLUSION:

Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy has became the Gold standard treatment for the Gallbladder disease which were becoming common now a days. Though there are different modalities of the treatment the advantages of this procedure are good patients acceptance and minimal access surgery with small incision and less complication. With expertise of the surgeon dissection is easy.

The incidence in females was more but now a days the male incidence is coming near to equalise the female. Our study found a very rare incidence of Duplex Gallbladder having H shaped cystic duct (Fig:1, 2) to ducts joining the CBD.

Fig-1



Fig-2



REFERENCE 1. Leslie Blumgerl, Surgery of liver biliary tract and pancreas Klscvicr Saunders 4th EDT pg no 477-654. | 2. Townsend: Sabislon Textbook REFERENCE

Lesile billingen, Surgery of liver billary tract and pancreas Niscoir's sunders 4th EDT pg no 477-354. [2: rownsend: Sabision textbook of surgery, biological basis of modern .surgical practices Klsevier publications 18th ed. 2007 pg no 1597 - 1642. [3: Utpal Dc Kvolution of cholecystectomy: A tribute to Carl August Langenbuch Indian J Surg 2004;66:97-100 [4: Oxford text book of .surgery, oxford publications 2nd edit pg no 1171-1243.]
Juuo Mayol, Javior M Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the ageing patient Age and Ageing 1997; 26: 77-81 [6: Kalpesh jani, PS Rahan C Palanivelu Twenty years after Krich Muhe persisting controversies with the gold standard of laparoscopic cholecystectomy journal of Minimal access Surgery June 2006 vol 2 issue 2 gg 49-59. [7. Nisar Chowdri. Karooq Dar latrogenic Bile Duel Injury in Kashmir Valley Indian journal of surgery 2010; 72(4) 298-304. [8. David Ratliff, David Denning, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, community experience. Southern medical journal 1992 vol 85; 942-945. [9. Awais Shuja, Abid Rashid Laparoscopic cholecystectomy optimal timing for surgery in acute gall.stone disease professional medical journal 1992 vol 85; 942-945. [9. Awais Shuja, Abid Rashid Laparoscopic cholecystectomy optimal timing for surgery in acute gall.stone disease professional medical journal June 2011 18(2) 237-242 [10. Giger UF, Michel JM, Optiz I, Th Inderbitzin D, Kocher T, Krahenbohl L. Risk factors for perioperative complications in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Analysis of 22,953 consecutive cases from the Swiss Association of Laparoscopic and Thoracoscopic Surgery database. J Am Coll Surg2006;203:723-8 [11. Wagih Ghnnam, Jawid Malek, Hmad Shehl, Turky Ville observatione and Abred Inhorities and experimentaria consecutive cases from Klbeshry, and Ahmad Ibrahim Rate of conversion and complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a tertiary care centre in Saudi Arabia annals of Saudi medicine 2010 Mar-Apr; 30(2): 145-148 | 12. Sanjay Bhasin, J.G. Langer Laparoscopic (Cholecystectomy: Experience of 200 Cases JK science Vol. 6 No. April-June 2004 pg 73-76 | 13. Dr.Jahangir Khan Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Common Bile Duct Injury. After Learning Curve Professional Medical Journal Sept 2010 17(3) 373 - 378. | 14. Ahdurahman S Al-Mulhim, Tarek T Amin Outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy at a secondary level of care in Saudi Arabia, the Saudi journal of gastroenterology Ahdulrahman S Al-Mulhim, Iarek I Amin Outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy at a secondary level of care in Saudi Arabia, the Saudi Journal of gastroenterology 2011 Volume : 17, Issue : 1, Page : 47-52 | 15. S.G.Koulas J.T-simoyiannis Laparoscopic cholecysteclomy performed by Surgical Trainees 2006 JSLS 10(4)484-487. [16. J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathorc, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathorc, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. A. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Pillow, and M. G. Brown J. M. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Khan, K. Sherigar, G. W. Irwin, M. K. Rathore, A. Kan