



Learning And Memory Alterations in Rats Following Postnatal Hypoxia and Effects of Haloperidol; Implications For Schizophrenia

KEYWORDS

learning, memory, hypoxia, Haloperidol, schizophrenia

Mihnea Costin Manea

"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

Maria Gabriela Puiu

"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

Mirela Manea

"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

Anne-Marie Constantin

"Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Simona Tache

"Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

ABSTRACT *Background. Postnatal hypoxia may create conditions for complex changes in neurodevelopmental origin of schizophrenia. Exposure to hypobaric hypoxia affect the brain structural and functional integrity.*

Aims. We study the effects and the gender differences of intermittent hypobaric hypoxia in male and female rats and the effects of Haloperidol administration.

Methods. Rats were exposed during postnatal days 7-21 to intermittent hypobaric hypoxia and tested using Morris water maze (MWM) test in day 22 and day 49.

Results. In male and female rats with schizophrenia MWM test evidenced decreases of motor learning and memory, compared to controls and increases of motor learning and memory after Haloperidol treatment, compared to the initial values. The Haloperidol treatment determined significant memory increases in male rats, compared to female rats.

Conclusions. Haloperidol would be effective in treating cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia.

Introduction

Neurodevelopment in schizophrenia

Neurodevelopmental hypothesis proposes that the schizophrenia's etiopathogenesis is linked to genetic and environmental factors that lead to abnormal brain development during pre- or postnatal period (Schmitt et al., 2014; Rapoport et al., 2012; Dean & Murray, 2005).

Disturbances of brain development during fetal or perinatal period, affecting neurogenesis, myelination, synaptogenesis and Wnt gene family, cause changes manifest at puberty or adolescence (Weinberger, 1987; Verdoux, 2004; Nadri et al., 2003). In biochemical terms, hypo-frontalization involves important changes of mediators in subcortical floors, with increased dopamine and glutamate, responsible for the appearance of psychotic symptoms (Fatemi & Folsom, 2009).

Gender differences in schizophrenia are clear differences in male / female, the incidence of complications at birth, age of onset and presence or expansion degree of brain abnormalities (Leung & Chue, 2000). There is clear evidence that men are more vulnerable to negative and cognitive symptoms of schizophrenia, while women are more often affected by positive symptoms (Feinstein & Kritzer, 2013; Cotton et al., 2009; Ochoa et al., 2012).

Hypobaric hypoxia

The influence of advanced hypobaric hypoxia on the development of normal brain has repercussions in adolescence, the occurrence of schizophrenia.

Exposure to hypobaric hypoxia has effects on the structure (Gribanov et al., 2004; Schaeffer et al., 2013) and functions of brain tissue (Jandova et al., 2012; Maiti et al., 2007; Baitharu et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2013; Langmeier & Maresová, 2005) and affect the neurotransmitters (Ray et

al., 2011) cholinergic type (Muthuraju et al., 2011a, 2011b), the cerebral biogenic amines (Ray et al., 2011; Laplante et al., 2012), glutamate (Ikonomidou et al., 1989a, 1989b); impaire the nerve activity, the cerebral metabolism in frontal cortical areas (Bogdanova et al., 2014), enzymes and oxidant / antioxidant balance in the neocortex, subcortical structures, cerebellum, medulla (Maiti et al., 2010; Kislin et al., 2011; Barhwal et al., 2009a, 2009b; Sharma et al., 2011; Maiti et al., 2006). Experimental can be produced an increasing tolerance of brain neurons to severe hypoxia by preconditioning by repeated moderate hypobaric hypoxia (Samoilov et al., 2014).

Aims

In the present work, in animals with schizophrenia induced experimentally by exposure to intermittent hypobaric hypoxia, we aimed to study:

- ability to motor learning and memory in rats;
- behavioral effects caused by administration of Haloperidol, a typical antipsychotic;
- gender differences in male and female rats.

Material and methods

The research was conducted in the experimental laboratory of the Department of Physiology at the University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu Ha ieganu" Cluj-Napoca, with the approval of the Ethics Commission.

Groups

The groups (I-VI) were composed of n = 10 Wistar rats / group, male and female, 150-200 g weight:

- witnesses, saline injected intramuscular: group I - males, group II - females;
- animals with schizophrenia induced by intermittent exposure to hypobaric hypoxia: group III - males, group IV - females;

- animals with schizophrenia induced by intermittent exposure to hypobaric hypoxia and treated with Haloperidol, 28 days: group V - males, group VI - females.

Methods

Rats were exposed during postnatal days 7-21 to intermittent hypobaric hypoxia, 2 hours per day, inside the hypobaric chamber, simulating altitude of 6,000 m. Rats' behavior was tested using Morris water maze (MWM) test.

Intermittent hypobaric hypoxia exposure (on-off method of exposure)

The simulated exposure in hypobaric chamber was made with vacuum pump 0016 KB D.

The exposure was made during postnatal period, by a blinking daily pattern, for 14 days, from day 7 to day 21:

- hypobaric hypoxia - 2 hours/day, at a pressure equivalent to an altitude of 6000 m, with a O₂ concentration in inspired air of 10% and pO₂ = 75 mmHg;
- normobaric normoxia - 22 hours/day, at a corresponding pressure of a low altitude 363 m, with an O₂ concentration of the air inspired by 20%, and pO₂ = 156 mmHg.

The Haloperidol administration (SC Rompharma Company SRL®) was done by oropharyngeal gavage; the dose was 0,075 mg / kg (0.015 mg / animal);

Methods of behavior's study

The determination of motor learning and memory capacity was based on the Morris water maze (MWM) test. The post-natal examination moments were: day 22 (T₀ moment) and day 49 (T₂₈ moment).

The MWM test (Morris et al., 1982) considers as indicators: learning / acquisition information during training days and learning ability and memory or learning control, in the test day.

Statistical analysis

Statistical processing was performed with Excel (Microsoft Office 2007), with SPSS v.17 or online applications OpenEpi V.2.3.1 and SISA.

Results

The results showed in female control animals (group II) a motor learning capacity and memory significantly decreased, compared to male controls (group I).

The male animals with schizophrenia (group III) showed significant decreases in spatial motility and in learning ability at 28 days, compared with control animals (group I). The learning control (memorizing) shows significant decreases in spatial motility and increasing memorizing capacity, compared to control animals.

In female animals with schizophrenia (group IV) were found changes similar to those in males with induced schizophrenia (group III), both in the learning ability and memory capacity, after 28 days.

Learning ability (Table I)

At 28 days, as compared to the corresponding control groups with schizophrenia, we found (Table I):

- significant decreases in spatial motility of male animals group with schizophrenia induced by exposure to hypobaric hypoxia (group V) and treated with Haloperidol, versus the control group (group III);
- significant decreases in spatial motility of animals with schizophrenia induced by exposure to hypobaric hypoxia, male treated with Haloperidol (group V) and females treated with Haloperidol (group VI);
- significant increases in learning ability in males animal groups with schizophrenia treated with Haloperidol (group V) compared to the control group (group III);
- significant increases in learning ability in all groups (V, VI) from initial values at the time T₀.

Memorizing capacity (Table II)

At 28 days, compared to the corresponding control groups with schizophrenia, there were increases in memorizing capacity in all groups, the most significant increases were in group V.

The increases in memory capacity at 28 days were significant, in male animals with schizophrenia and treated (group V), compared with females animals with schizophrenia and treated (group VI).

Table I. Comparative analysis for Morris test values (learning) in the studied groups and statistical significance.

Test	Moment	Average	SE	Median	SD	Min.	Max.	Statistical significance (p) – unpaired samples			
								Group	T0	T28	
Group I	Diagonals	T0	1,6	0,058	1,54	0,1834	1,4167	2	Diagonals		
		T28							0,3006		
	Rotations	T0	2,93	0,0562	2,92	0,1776	2,67	3,33	I-III	0,012	0,8488
		T28							II-IV	0,0142	0,0495
	Time in D quadrant	T0	3,58	0,1369	3,63	0,4329	2,83	4,17	III-IV	0,2116	0,6948
		T28							I-V	< 0,0001	0,0055
	Latency time	T0	32,28	0,6745	32,67	2,133	26,92	34,75	III-V	7,67 x 10 ⁻⁵	0,0045
		T28							II-VI	3,59 x 10 ⁻⁷	0,0002
Group II	Diagonals	T0	1,51	0,0636	1,50	0,2013	1,2067	1,9	IV-VI	1,75 x 10 ⁻⁵	2,15 x 10 ⁻⁶
		T28							V-VI	0,0049	0,0049
	Rotations	T0	2,34	0,0345	2,33	0,1091	2,18	2,55	Rotations		
		T28							I-II	2,2 x 10 ⁻⁷	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	2,02	0,0693	2,03	0,219	1,67	2,33	I-III	0,0018	0,0009
		T28							II-IV	6,76 x 10 ⁻⁵	0,7798
	Latency time	T0	23,49	0,5916	23,04	1,8708	21,5	26,82	III-IV	0,0001	0,076
		T28							I-V	6,15 x 10 ⁻¹⁵	< 0,0001

Group III	Diagonals	T0	1,38	0,0485	1,38	0,1534	1,17	1,58	III-V	1,1 x 10 ⁻⁸	< 0,0001	
		T28	1,66	0,0809	1,67	0,2559	1,33	2	II-VI	1,73 x 10 ⁻¹⁵	4,02 x 10 ⁻⁹	
	Rotations	T0	2,49	0,0974	2,54	0,3079	1,92	2,83	IV-VI	2,98 x 10 ⁻⁸	< 0,0001	
		T28	2,53	0,0778	2,5	0,246	2,17	2,83	V-VI	0,7555	0,3201	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	10,37	0,2247	10,5	0,7106	9,17	11,5	Time in D quadrant			
		T28	11,21	0,0768	11,17	0,243	10,92	11,58	I-II	1,53 x 10 ⁻⁷		
Latency time	T0	55,98	0,7686	55,92	2,4305	51	60,33	I-III	7,62 x 10 ⁻¹⁴	5,14 x 10 ⁻¹⁷		
	T28	48,11	0,5514	47,71	1,7436	45,83	50,75	II-IV	2,6 x 10 ⁻¹¹	2,51 x 10 ⁻¹⁵		
Group IV	Diagonals	T0	1,28	0,0515	1,25	0,1629	1,08	1,5	III-IV	6,92 x 10 ⁻⁹	1,42 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	
		T28	1,7	0,066	1,67	0,2086	1,42	2	I-V	1,14 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	6,33 x 10 ⁻⁹	
	Rotations	T0	1,9	0,0678	1,92	0,2144	1,5	2,25	III-V	2,63 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	1,43 x 10 ⁻²⁰	
		T28	2,34	0,0519	2,29	0,1641	2,17	2,58	II-VI	3,57 x 10 ⁻¹⁹	2,38 x 10 ⁻¹⁷	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	7,28	0,1864	7,54	0,5895	6,17	8	IV-VI	0,0005	0,0067	
		T28	8,59	0,1386	8,67	0,4382	7,83	9,33	V-VI	0,0597	1,9 x 10 ⁻¹²	
Latency	T0	47,19	0,542	46,83	1,716	44,92	50,92	Latency time				

Group V	time			6										
		T28	36,11	0,738	35,88	2,3337	33,67	41	I-II	< 0,0001				
	Diagonals	T0	1,06	0,0374	1,04	0,1182	0,92	1,25	I-III	< 0,0001	< 0,0001			
		T28	1,34	0,0472	1,29	0,1493	1,17	1,58	II-IV	< 0,0001	< 0,0001			
	Rotations	T0	1,07	0,0369	1,04	0,1165	0,92	1,25	III-IV	6,99 x 10 ⁻⁸	2,84 x 10 ⁻¹⁰			
		T28	1,46	0,0435	1,42	0,1375	1,33	1,67	I-V	< 0,0001	0,0021			
	Time in D quadrant	T0	6,04	0,1147	6,08	0,3627	5,25	6,50	III-V	3,58 x 10 ⁻¹¹	9,77 x 10 ⁻¹³			
		T28	5,40	0,0911	5,38	0,2881	4,83	5,92	II-VI	< 0,0001	< 0,0001			
	Latency time	T0	41,68	0,5795	41,79	1,8327	38,83	44,83	IV-VI	6,72 x 10 ⁻⁵	8,51 x 10 ⁻⁵			
		T28	34,66	0,3866	34,75	1,2225	32,67	36,67	V-VI	6,42 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	3,41 x 10 ⁻⁹			
	Group VI	Diagonals	T0	0,83	0,0602	0,83	0,1902	0,58	1,17	Statistical significance (p) – paired samples (T0-T28)				
			T28	1,1	0,058	1,13	0,1834	0,75	1,42	Group	Diagonals	Rotations	Time in D quadrant	Latency time
		Rotations	T0	1,05	0,0377	1,04	0,1192	0,92	1,25	I	-	-	-	-
			T28	1,38	0,066	1,33	0,2086	1,00	1,67	II	-	-	-	-
Time in D quadrant		T0	6,33	0,08	6,33	0,2529	5,92	6,75	III	0,007	0,7336	0,0044	5,82 x 10 ⁻⁷	
		T28	8,03	0,116	8,00	0,3668	7,50	8,67	IV	0,0012	0,002	0,0001	7,44 x 10 ⁻⁹	
Latency time	T0	50,88	0,4685	51,04	1,4815	48,83	53,42	V	0,0002	0,002	0,0019	1,1 x 10 ⁻⁷		
	T28	40,74	0,4212	40,5	1,3319	38,83	43,5	VI	0,0098	0,0065	4,41 x 10 ⁻⁷	1,23 x 10 ⁻⁷		

Table II. Comparative analysis for Morris test values (control) in the studied groups and statistical significance.

Test Moment			Average	SE	Median	SD	Min.	Max.	Statistical significance (p) – unpaired samples		
									Group	Group	Group
Group I	Diagonals	T0	4,9	0,3145	5	0,9944	4	7	Diagonals		
		T28							I-II	0,5525	
	Rotations	T0	10,5	0,654	10	2,0683	8	14	I-III	< 0,0001	< 0,0001
		T28							II-IV	< 0,0001	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	32,2	2,5465	33,5	8,0526	18	42	III-IV	0,5631	0,0287
		T28							I-V	0,0004	
	Latency time	T0	60	-	60	-	60	60	III-V	4 x 10 ⁻⁵	0,0002
		T28							II-VI	< 0,0001	
Group II	Diagonals	T0	5,2	0,3266	5	1,0328	4	7	IV-VI	0,2659	0,2281
		T28							V-VI	0,0339	
	Rotations	T0	10,9	0,6403	10	2,0248	8	14	Rotations		
		T28							I-II	0,6678	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	32,7	2,2806	34	7,2119	21	42	I-III	< 0,0001	< 0,0001
		T28							II-IV	1,46 x 10 ⁻⁷	
	Latency time	T0	60	-	60	-	60	60	III-IV	0,3913	0,2422
		T28							I-V	< 0,0001	
Group III	Diagonals	T0	1,6	0,2211	1,5	0,6992	1	3	III-V	0,0013	0,0053
		T28							II-VI	4,99 x 10 ⁻⁸	
	Rotations	T0	3,3	0,2134	3	0,6749	2	4	IV-VI	0,0134	0,3623
		T28							V-VI	0,0685	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	20	0,7746	19,5	2,4495	17	24	Time in D quadrant		
		T28							I-II	0,8853	
	Latency time	T0	60	-	60	-	60	60	I-III	0,0008	0,0017
		T28							II-IV	0,0004	
Group IV	Diagonals	T0	1,9	0,2769	2	0,8756	1	3	III-IV	0,6206	0,5542
		T28							I-V	0,0052	
	Rotations	T0	3,7	0,3	4	0,9487	2	5	III-V	0,0089	2,66 x 10 ⁻⁷
		T28							II-VI	0,0003	
	Time in D quadrant	T0	20,5	0,6191	21	1,9579	17	23	IV-VI	0,6673	0,0049
		T28							V-VI	0,0063	
	Latency time	T0	60	-	60	-	60	60	Latency time		
		T28							I-II	-	

Group V	Diagonals	T0	3,2	0,2	3	0,632	5	2	4	I-III	-	-				
		T28	3,9	0,233	3	0,737	9	3	5	II-IV	-	-				
	Rotations	T0	1,8	0,249	4	0,788	8	1	3	III-IV	-	-				
		T28	3,3	0,366	7	1,159	5	1	5	I-V	-	-				
	Time in D quadrant	T0	22,9	0,604	6	23	1,912	20	26	III-V	-	-				
		T28	30,1	0,875	30	2,766	9	26	35	II-VI	-	-				
	Latency time	T0	60	-	60	-	60	60	60	IV-VI	-	-				
		T28	60	-	60	-	60	60	60	V-VI	-	-				
	Group VI	Diagonals	T0	2,4	0,221	1	2,5	0,699	2	1	3	Statistical significance (p) – paired samples (T0-T28)				
			T28	3,6	0,339	9	4	1,075	2	5	Group	Diagonals	Rotations	Time in D quadrant	Latency time	
Rotations		T0	2,6	0,266	7	3	0,843	3	1	4	I	-	-	-	-	
		T28	3,9	0,276	9	4	0,875	6	2	5	II	-	-	-	-	
Time in D quadrant		T0	20,1	0,674	1	19,5	2,131	8	18	24	III	0,4316	0,0078	0,2919	-	
		T28	24,8	0,512	1	25	1,619	3	22	27	IV	0,0156	0,2188	0,2055	-	
Latency time		T0	60	-	60	-	60	-	60	60	V	0,0625	0,0156	0,0002	-	
		T28	60	-	60	-	60	-	60	60	VI	0,0234	0,0195	0,0002	-	

Discussions

Haloperidol is indicated for schizophrenia and relapse prevention.

Numerous studies have evaluated the effects of Haloperidol administration in animals with induced schizophrenia, for example: Andiné et al., 1999; Sawada et al., 2005; Pillai et al., 2007; Enomoto et al., 2008; Paine et al., 2009; Teixeira et al., 2011; McNamara et al., 2011; Feinstein & Kritzer, 2013; Genius et al., 2013; English et al., 2013.

Our results show favorable effects of Haloperidol in animals, with improved cognitive activity, regarding the acquisition of information and its control, in animals with experimentally induced schizophrenia. The effects of decreasing in sensibility and increasing in learning ability and memory capacity are significantly higher in male animals with schizophrenia treated with Haloperidol.

Relatively recent studies showed the ineffectiveness of Haloperidol in the treatment of schizophrenic cognitive

deficits in rodents (Meltzer et al., 2013).

Conclusions

1. The learning and memory capacity significantly lower in animals with induced schizophrenia, compared with controls.
2. The learning ability is significantly decreased in male animals with induced schizophrenia, versus females and control animals.
3. The memory capacity is significantly decreased in male animals with induced schizophrenia, versus control animals.
4. The learning ability increases significantly in all groups of animals with schizophrenia treated with Haloperidol, versus initial values. The increases are significant in the treated male animals groups, compared with controls.
5. The memory capacity increases significantly in all groups of animals with schizophrenia treated with Haloperidol versus initial values. The increases are significant in male animals, compared with females.

REFERENCE

- Andiné, P., Widermark, N., Axelsson, R., Nyberg, G., Olofsson, U., Mårtensson, E. & Sandberg, M. (1999). Characterization of MK-801-induced behavior as a putative rat model of psychosis. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, 290(3), 1393-1408. | Baitharu, I., Deep, S.N., Jain, V., Prasad, D. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2013). Inhibition of glucocorticoid receptors ameliorates hypobaric hypoxia induced memory impairment in rat. *Behav Brain Res*, 240, 76-86. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2012.11.005. | Barhwal, K., Hota, S.K., Jain, V., Prasad, D., Singh, S.B. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2009a). Acetyl-L-carnitine (ALCAR) prevents hypobaric hypoxia-induced spatial memory impairment through extracellular related kinase-mediated nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 phosphorylation. *Neuroscience*, 161(2), 501-514. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.02.086. | Barhwal, K., Hota, S.K., Baitharu, I., Prasad, D., Singh, S.B. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2009b). Isradipine antagonizes hypobaric hypoxia induced CA1 damage and memory impairment: Complementary roles of L-type calcium channel and NMDA receptors. *Neurobiol Dis*, 34(2), 230-244. | Bogdanova, O.V., Abdullah, O., Kanekar, S., Bogdanov, V.B., Prescott, A.P. & Renshaw, P.F. (2014). Neurochemical alterations in frontal cortex of the rat after one week of hypobaric hypoxia. *Behav Brain Res*, 263, 203-209. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2014.01.027. | Cotton, S.M., Lambert, M., Schimmelmann, B.G., Foley, D.L., Morley, K.I., McGorry, P.D., & Conus, P. (2009). Gender differences in premorbid, entry, treatment, and outcome characteristics in a treated epidemiological sample of 661 patients with first episode psychosis. *Schizophr Res*, 114(1-3), 17-24. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2009.07.002. | Dean, K. & Murray, R.M. (2005). Environmental risk factors for psychosis. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*, 7(1), 69-80. | English, J.A., Harauma, A., Föcking, M., Wynne, K., Scaife, C., Cagney, G., Moriguchi, T. & Cotter, D.R. (2013). Omega-3 fatty acid deficiency disrupts endocytosis, neurogenesis, and mitochondrial protein pathways in the mouse hippocampus. *Front Genet*, 4, 208. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2013.00208. | Enomoto, T., Ishibashi, T., Tokuda, K., Ishiyama, T., Toma, S. & Ito, A. (2008). Lurasidone reverses MK-801-induced impairment of learning and memory in the Morris water maze and radial-arm maze tests in rats. *Behav Brain Res*, 186(2), 197-207. | Fatemi, S.H. & Folsom, T.D. (2009). The neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia, revisited. *Schizophr Bull*, 35(3), 528-548. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbn187. | Feinstein, I. & Kritzer, M.F. (2013). Acute N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor hypofunction induced by MK801 evokes sex-specific changes in behaviors observed in open-field testing in adult male and proestrus female rats. *Neuroscience*, 228, 200-214. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012. | Genius, J., Geiger, J., Dölzer, A.L., Benninghoff, J., Giegling, I., Hartmann, A.M., Möller, H.J. & Rujescu, D. (2013). Glutamatergic dysbalance and oxidative stress in vivo and in vitro models of psychosis based on chronic NMDA receptor antagonism. *PLoS One*, 8(7):e59395. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059395. | Gribanov, G.A., Leshchenko, D.V. & Golovko, M.I. (2004). Changes in phospholipids of the brain grey and white matter during in vitro autolysis in rats subjected to acute hypobaric hypoxic hypoxia. *Biomed Khim*, 50(2), 192-197. | Ikonomidou, C., Mosinger, J.L., Salles, K.S., Labruyere, J. & Olney, J.W. (1989a). Sensitivity of the developing rat brain to hypobaric/ischemic damage parallels sensitivity to N-methyl-aspartate neurotoxicity. *J Neurosci*, 9(8), 2809-2818. | Ikonomidou, C., Price, M.T., Mosinger, J.L., Friedrich, G., Labruyere, J., Salles, K.S. & Olney, J.W. (1989b). Hypobaric-ischemic conditions produce glutamate-like cytopathology in infant rat brain. *J Neurosci*, 9(5), 1693-1700. | Jandova, K., Riljak, V., Maresova, D. & Pokorny, J. (2012). Ascorbic acid and alpha-tocopherol protect age-dependently from hypoxia-induced changes of cortical excitability in developing rats. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*, 33(5), 530-535. | Kislin, M.S., Tiul'kova, E.I. & Samo'lov, M.O. (2011). Dynamics of lipid peroxidation of membranes in cells and mitochondrial fraction of neocortex in non- and preconditioned rats after severe hypobaric hypoxia. *Zh Evol Biokhim Fiziol*, 47(2), 157-164. | Langmeier, M. & Maresová, D. (2005). Intermittent hypobaric hypoxia during development - morphological and functional changes in the neocortex. *Prague Med Rep*, 106(3), 275-282. | Laplante, F., Brake, W.G., Chehab, S.L. & Sullivan, R.M. (2012). Sex differences in the effects of perinatal anoxia on dopamine function in rats. *Neurosci Lett*, 506(1), 89-93. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2011.10.055. | Leung, A. & Chue, P. (2000). Sex differences in schizophrenia, a review of the literature. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl*, 401, 3-38. | Maiti, P., Singh, S.B., Muthuraju, S., Veleri, S. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2007). Hypobaric hypoxia damages the hippocampal pyramidal neurons in the rat brain. *Brain Res*, 1175, 1-9. | Maiti, P., Singh, S.B. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2010). Nitric oxide system is involved in hypobaric hypoxia-induced oxidative stress in rat brain. *Acta Histochem*, 112(3), 222-232. doi: 10.1016/j.acthis.2008.10.005. | Maiti, P., Singh, S.B., Sharma, A.K., Muthuraju, S., Banerjee, P.K. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2006). Hypobaric hypoxia induces oxidative stress in rat brain. *Neurochem Int*, 49(8), 709-716. | McNamara, R.K., Jandacek, R., Rider, T., Tso, P., Cole-Strauss, A. & Lipton, J.W. (2011). Differential effects of antipsychotic medications on polyunsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis in rats: Relationship with liver delta6-desaturase expression. *Schizophr Res*, 129(1), 57-65. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2011.03.006. | Meltzer, H.Y., Rajagopal, L., Huang, M., Oyamada, Y., Kwon, S. & Horiguchi, M. (2013). Translating the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist model of schizophrenia to treatments for cognitive impairment in schizophrenia. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*, 16(10), 2181-2194. doi: 10.1017/S1461145713000928. | Morris, R.G., Garrud, P., Rawlins, J.N. & O'Keefe, J. (1982). Place navigation impaired in rats with hippocampal lesions. *Nature*, 297(5868), 681-683. | Muthuraju, S., Maiti, P., Pati, S., Solanki, P., Sharma, A.K., Singh, S.B., Prasad, D. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2011a). Role of cholinergic markers on memory function of rats exposed to hypobaric hypoxia. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 672(1-3), 96-105. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2011.08.023. | Muthuraju, S., Maiti, P., Solanki, P., Sharma, A.K., Pati, S., Singh, S.B., Prasad, D. & Ilavazhagan, G. (2011b). Possible role of cholinesterase inhibitors on memory consolidation following hypobaric hypoxia of rats. *Int J Neurosci*, 121(5), 279-288. doi: 10.3109/00207454.2011.556279. | Nadi, C., Kozlovsky, N. & Agam, G. (2003). Schizophrenia, neurodevelopment and glycogen synthase kinase-3. *Harefuah*, 142(8-9), 636-642, 644. | Ochoa, S., Usall, J., Cobo, J., Labad, X. & Kulkarni, J. (2012). Gender differences in schizophrenia and first-episode psychosis: A comprehensive literature review. *Schizophrenia Res & Treat*, 2012:916198. doi: 10.1155/2012/916198. | Paine, T.A. & Carlezon, W.A.Jr. (2009). Effects of antipsychotic drugs on MK-801-induced attentional and motivational deficits in rats. *Neuropharmacology*, 56(4), 788-797. | Park, J.K., Lee, S.J. & Kim, T.W. (2014). Treadmill exercise enhances NMDA receptor expression in schizophrenia mice. *J Exerc Rehabil*, 10(1), 15-21. doi: 10.12965/jer.140088. | Pillai, A., Parikh, V., Terry, A.V.Jr. & Mahadick, S.P. (2007). Long-term antipsychotic treatments and crossover studies in rats: differential effects of typical and atypical agents on the expression of antioxidant enzymes and membrane lipid peroxidation in rat brain. *J Psychiatr Res*, 41(5), 372-386. | Rapoport, J.L., Giedd, J.N. & Gotgoy, N. (2012). Neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia: update 2012. *Mol Psychiatry*, 17(12), 1228-1238. doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.23. | Ray, K., Dutta, A., Panjwani, U., Thakur, L., Anand, J.P. & Kumar, S. (2011). Hypobaric hypoxia modulates brain biogenic amines and disturbs sleep architecture. *Neurochem Int*, 58(1), 112-118. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2010.11.003. | Samoilov, M., Churilova, A., Gluschenko, T. & Rybnikova, E. (2014). Neocortical pCREB and BDNF expression under different modes of hypobaric hypoxia: Role in brain hypoxic tolerance in rats. *Acta Histochem*, 116(5), 949-957. doi: 10.1016/j.acthis.2014.03.009. | Sawada, K., Barr, A.M., Nakamura, M., Arima, K., Young, C.E., Dworak, A.J., Falkai, P., Phillips, A.G. & Honer, W.G. (2005). Hippocampal complex proteins and cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 62(3), 263-272. | Schaeffer, E.L., Kühn, F., Schmitt, A., Gattaz, W.F., Gruber, O., Schneider-Axmann, T., Falkai, P. & Schmitt, A. (2013). Increased cell proliferation in the rat anterior cingulate cortex following neonatal hypoxia: relevance to schizophrenia. *J Neural Transm*, 120(1), 187-195. doi: 10.1007/s00702-012-0859-y. | Schmitt, A., Malchow, B., Hasan, A. & Falkai, P. (2014). The impact of environmental factors in severe psychiatric disorders. *Front Neurosci*, 8, 19. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00019. | Sharma, N.K., Sethy, N.K. & Bhargava, K. (2013). Comparative proteome analysis reveals differential regulation of glycolytic and antioxidant enzymes in cortex and hippocampus exposed to short-term hypobaric hypoxia. *J Proteomics*, 79, 277-298. doi: 10.1016/j.jpro.2012.12.020. | Sharma, N.K., Sethy, N.K., Meena, R.N., Ilavazhagan, G., Das, M. & Bhargava, K. (2011). Activity-dependent neuroprotective protein (ADNP)-derived peptide (NAP) ameliorates hypobaric hypoxia induced oxidative stress in rat brain. *Peptides*, 32(6), 1217-1224. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2011.03.016. | Sivrioglu, E.Y., Kirli, S., Sipahioglu, D., Gursoy, B. & Sarandöl, E. (2007). The impact of omega-3 fatty acids, vitamins E and C supplementation on treatment outcome and side effects in schizophrenia patients treated with haloperidol: an open-label pilot study. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*, 31(7), 1493-1499. | Teixeira, A., Müller, L.G., Reckziegel, P., Boufleuer, N., Pase, C.S., Villarinho, J.G., Fachinetto, R., Ferreira, J., Rocha, J.B. & Bürger, M.E. (2011). Beneficial effects of an innovative exercise model on motor and oxidative disorders induced by haloperidol in rats. *Neuropharmacology*, 60(2-3), 432-438. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2010.10.017. | Verdoux, H. (2004). Perinatal risk factors for schizophrenia: how specific are they? *Curr Psychiatry Rep*, 6(3), 162-167. | Weinberger, D.R. (1987). Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 44(7), 660-669. |