

Diversity and Economic Importance of Mayflies (Ephemeroptera) From Kolhapur Region, India.

KEYWORDS

Mayflies, diversity, economic importance, Kolhapur, India.

Kamble Rohini P.	*Professor(Dr). T.V.Sathe
Dept. of Zoology, Shivaji University,Kolhapur, India.	Dept. of Zoology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, India. *corresponding author

ABSTRACT May flies and their nymphs (naids)(Ephemeroptera) constitute an important food source for many fishes, amphibians, reptiles, birds, large insects and many aquatic invertebrates and are good indicators of water quality. Therefore, biodiversity and economic importance of may flies from Kolhapur region, India have been studies. A total of 33 species of mayflies belonging to 25 genera and 11 families have been reported predating by amphibians, reptiles and many fishes from Kolhapur region.

INTRODUCTION

May flies (Ephemeroptera) are the most primitive and encient of the extant insect groups (Edmounds and Mc Cafferty, 1988) dating back to the late carboniferous or early Permian periods, some 290 mya. Globally, there are about 3000 species of mayflies belonging to 400 genera and 42 families. Out of which 390 species belonging to 84 genera and 20 families occur in the oriental region. From India, 124 species of mayflies have been recorded under 46 genera and 12 families (Sivaramkrishnan et al.,2010). Adult mayflies are terrestrial and immature forms are aquatic and called naids(nymphs).

May fly nymphs colonise a varity of locations including lakes, wetlands, streams and rivers. Generally, may fly adults live from a few hours to a few weeks depending on species since they don't have mouth parts. May flies are very important source of food for fishes and act as an indicator of good water quality since they are relatively intolerant to pollution. Review of literature indicates that Linnaeus(1758), Leach (1815), Pictet (1843-1845), Eton (1871, 1883-1888), Edmunds (1962), Mc Cafferty(1991),Mc Cafferty and Wang (2000), Kluge (2004), Barber- James et al., (2008), Sivaramkrishnan et al., (2010), Subramanian and Sivaramkrishanan (2010),etc contributed on Mayflies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adult may flies were collected with the help of insect hand net at morning hours from 7.00 am to 8.00 am and preserved in 70% alcohol time being before preparations of slides. After dehydration with different alcoholic grades, the specimens were cleaned with xylene and mounted on slides. Many times spot observations have been taken and May flies were released in the environment from which they were collected. The naids (nymphs) of mayflies were collected with the help of a simple triangular hand net with a side length of approximately 20 cm attached to a long handle for use in deeper water. A short, shallow net bag of 20 cm length and made of sfitt plastic or wire wan found useful for collectionof naids. With the help of taxonomical and key characters the species have been identified consulting appropriate literature cited in references. Observations were also taken on the May fly (imago + naids) predation by fish fauna from various aquatic ecosystem of Kolhapur region including Ghats, India. Kolhapur is situated between 15°to 17°Northlatitude and 73°-74° East longitude with anaverage rain fall 1100mm covered mainly

by monsoon.

RESULTS

Results are recorded in table and figs 1 to 4. The results indicates that a total of 33 species of Ephemeroptera (Mayflies) were prevalent in Kolhapur region of India. The important genera refers to Prosopistoma, Choroterpes, Edmundsula, Isca, Nathanella, Notophlebia, Petersula, Thraulus, Eatonigenia, Ephemera, Epheron, Euthyplosia, Potamanthus, Rhoenanthus, Potamanthellus, Caenis, Clypeocaenis, Ephemerella, Baetis, Cloeon, Teloganodes, Ecdyonurus, Epeorus and Procloeon. The May fliesnymphs and imagoes were predated by amphibians, reptiles and many fishes (table-1). It has been noted that mayfly naids were very good source of food for many freshwater fishes (table-1).

Table-1 Diversity and economic importance of May flies from Kolhapur region, India

Sr.No	Mayfly species	Locality/habi- tat	Economic importance:naids predated by fishes
1.	Prosopistoma indicum Peters (Prosopistoma- tidae)	Running fresh water	Cyprini
2.	Choroterpes (Euthraulus) ala- garensis B. & A. (Leptophlebii- dae)	Running fresh water	Cyprini
3.	Edmundsula lotica Sivaram- krishnan (Lep- tophlebiidae)	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation and leaf litter	Labeo rohitaHam.
4.	Indialis badia Peters & Ed- munds (Lep- tophlebiidae)	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation and leaf litter	Labeo rohita

KES	EARCH PAPER		
Sr.No	Mayfly species	Locality/habi- tat	Economic importance:naids predated by fishes
5.	Isca (Isca) pur- purea Gillies	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in	Catla catlaHam.
	(Leptophlebii- dae)	submerged vegetation and leaf litter	
6.	Nathnella indica Demoulin (Leptophlebii- dae)	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation and leaf litter	Cyprinus sp.
7	Nathanella saras- wathiae S.V.&B. (Leptophlebii- dae)	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged	Cyprinus sp,Catla sp.
	Notophelebia jobi Sivram-	vegetation and leaf litter Running/ Stagnant fresh water	
8.	krishnan & Peters (Leptophlebii- dae)	inhibiting in submerged vegetation and leaf litter	Cyprinus sp.
9	Petersula courtallensis Sivramkrishnan (Leptophlebii- dae)	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation and leaf litter	Cyprinus sp.
10	Petersula nathani Sivram- krishnan & Hub- bard (Leptophlebii- dae)	Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation &	Rita rita (Ham).
11	Thraulus go- palani Grant & Sivaramkrishnan (Leptophlebii- dae)	leaf litter Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation	Cyprinus sp.
12	Thraulus mudu- malaiencis AS (Leptophlebii- dae)	and leaf litter Running/ Stagnant fresh water inhibiting in submerged vegetation	Cyprinus sp.
13	Eatonigenia indica (Chora) (Ephimeridae)	and leaf litter Running fresh water	R. rita
14	Ephemera sp. (Ephimeridae)	Stagnant, freshwater, bottum inhibiting and burrowing	Labeo rohita, Rita sp.
15	Euthyplosia pun- ensis Dubey (Polymitarcyidae)	Running fresh- water	Cyprinussp.
16	Ephoron annan- dalei (Chopra) (Polymitarcyidae)	Running fresh- water	Catla sp, Toads
17	Ephoron indicus (Pictet) (Polymi- tarcyidae)	Running fresh- water	Catla sp, Rita sp

Mayfly species	Locality/habi- tat	Economic importance:naids predated by fishes
Potamanthus subcostalis Navas	Running fresh- water	Cyprinus, Catla, Rita
distafurcatus B.&M.	Running fresh- water	Rita sp, Toads
Polymitarcyidae)		
Potamanthellus ganges B.&M. (Neoephemeri-	Running fresh- water	Labeo, Rita
dae)		
Walker	Running fresh- water inhibits in silty bottom	Cyprinus sp.Catla sp.Rita sp.
multisetosa Soldan	Running fresh- water	Cyprinus
Ephemerella indica Kapur & Kripalani	Running fresh- water	Ciprini
(Ephemerellidae)		
Telogemodes dentatus Navas	Running fresh- water	Ciprini
cus Hubbard	Running fresh- water	Ciprini
Epheorus la- haulensis Kapur &Kripalani	Running fresh- water	Amphibians
(Heptageniidae)	_	
Baetis chan- draKapur & Kripalini	freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation	Amphibians, reptiles
(Buotiauo)	-	
Baetis septem- menses Dubey (Baetidae)	Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter	Amphibians, reptiles
Baetis seragruis Dubey	Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in	Amphibians,
(Baetidae)	vegetation and leaf litter	
Gillies	Stagnant, freshwater	Amphibians, reptiles
1		
Kimmins	Running fresh water	Ciprini
Cloeon taenia- tum Navas	Running fresh water	Ciprini
(Baetidae)	I	
	Potamanthus subcostalis Navas (Potamanthidae) Rhoenanthus distafurcatus B.&M. Polymitarcyidae) Potamanthellus ganges B.&M. (Neoephemeridae) Caenis perpusilla Walker (Caenidae) Clypeocaenis multisetosa Soldan (Caenidae) Ephemerella indica Kapur & Kripalani (Ephemerellidae) Telogemodes dentatus Navas (Taloganodidiae) Ecdyonurus indicus Hubbard (Heptageniidae) Epheorus lahaulensis Kapur & Kripalani (Heptageniidae) Epheorus lahaulensis Kapur & Kripalini (Baetidae) Baetis septemmenses Dubey (Baetidae) Baetis seragruis Dubey (Baetidae) Baetis solitarius Gillies (Baetidae) Cloeon bicolor Kimmins (Baetidae) Cloeon taenia-	Potamanthus subcostalis Navas (Potamanthidae) Rhoenanthus distafurcatus B.&M. Polymitarcyidae) Potamanthellus ganges B.&M. (Neoephemeridae) Caenis perpusilla Walker (Caenidae) Clypeocaenis multisetosa Soldan (Caenidae) Ephemerella indica Kapur & Kripalani (Ephemerellidae) Telogemodes dentatus Navas (Taloganodidiae) Ecdyonurus indicus Hubbard (Heptageniidae) Epheorus lahaulensis Kapur & Kripalani (Heptageniidae) Baetis chandra Kripalani (Heptageniidae) Baetis septemmenses Dubey (Baetidae) Baetis seragruis Dubey (Baetidae) Baetis seragruis Dubey (Baetidae) Baetis solitarius Gillies Gillies Glaetidae) Cloeon bicolor Kimmins (Baetidae) Running freshwater Running freshwater Running freshwater (Inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter Stagnant, freshwater, inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litter

DISCUSSION

According to Balachandran et al May flies are most primitive insects possessing soft body and two pairs of wings and nearly cosmopolitan in distribution. They have three stages of life cycle namely egg,nymph (naid) and adult (imago). Nymphs mostly feed on algae from under water

rocks and higher plants or by extracting food particles from mud. Streams, rivers, brooks, lakes, marshes and ponds were the aquatic habitats for breeding nymphs. Baetids and Leptophlebiids were with large gills and their nymphs inhibited in submerged vegetation and leaf litters while nymphs of Caenis species were found in silty bottoms and their gills were protected by an operculum. The nymphs of Tricorythids inhibited on sand bottoms, with long claws and brushes on the head. The nymphs of Ephemera sp. were bottominhibiting and burrowing with tusk. The nymphs of Heptagenids were characterized by having clasping legs and flat body. The naids and imago of Odonates and Mayflies and larvae of mosquitoes were widely predated by several fishes from Kolhapur region of India. (Jayram, 2010;Sathe, 2014; Londhe & Sathe, 2015).

Mayflies were responsible for breakdown and recycling of organic matter that entered the stream/ water body from outside sourcesand played an important role in the food chains of aquatic environments. According to Balchandran et al., the mayflies and their nymphs constituted very important food resources for many fishes, amphibians, reptiles, birds, large insects and various aquatic invertebrates. The present work is the first report of May flies and their predation by various predator like fishes, amphibians and reptiles. The index of Mayflies and predatory fishes will help in future for investigating ideal food for fishes and further fulfillment of the nutritional need of humans. In the present study a total of 33 species belonging to 25 genera and 11 families have been reported as preys for several fishes, amphibians and reptiles. Although many workers reported may flies from various aquatic ecosystems such as lakes, wetlands, streams and river, however, they were more diversified in running water or lotic habitats than in lakes or ponds.

ACKNOULEGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Shivaji University, Kolhapur for providing facilities to this work.



Fig. 1- Ephemera sp.



Fig. 2- Ephimerid



Fig. 3- Epeorus sp.



Fig. 4- Caenis sp.

REFERENCE

1)Barber- James, Helen M., Gattoliat, Jean-luc., Sartori, Michel and Hubbard, Michael D(2008). Global diversity of mayflies (Ephemeroptera, Insecta). Hydrobiologia, 595:339-350. 2) Eaton, A. E., (1883-1888). A revisional monograph of recent Ephemeridae or mayflies. Transactions of the Linnean society of London, 2nd series, Zoology 3:1-352.3) Edmunds G.F., (1962). The principles applied in determining the hieraechic level of the higher categories of Ephemeroptera. Systemic Zoology 11:22-32. 4. Hubbard MD; peters WL(1978). A catalogue of Ephemeroptera of the Indian subregion. Oriental Inst (supplement)9:1-43. 5. Jayram, K.C. 2010. The freshwater fishes of Indian region. 2nd edition. Narendra publication house New Delhi 11006, India. 1-616 6.Kluge, N.J./(2014). The phylogenetic system of Ephemeroptera. Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht 1-442. 7. Leach W.E.(1815). Entomology. Brewster's Edinburgh Encyclopaedia, 9:57-172. 8. Linnaeus (1758). Systema Naturae. Ed.XZ.Vol. 1 Holmiae. 9. Londhe Sheetal D and T.V.Sathe 2015. Fish faunal diversity and occurance from lakes of Kolhapur district. Biolife, 3(2),437-441. 10. Mc Cafferty, W.P., (1991). Toward a phylogenetic classification of the Ephemeroptera (Insecta): a commentary on systematics. Ann ent. Soc. Ami. 84:343-360. 11. Mc Cafferty, W.P. abd T. C.Wang. 2000. Phylogenetic systematic of the major lineages of pannote mayflies (Ephemeroptera: panota). Trans. Am. Ent. Soc; 126,9-101. 12.Pictet F.J. (1843-1845). Histoire naturelle generatlet perticuliere des Insects Nevropteres. Famille des Ephemerines- Bailliere edit., paris (Kessmann et Cherbuliez edit.). 300 pp. 13. Sathe T.V. 2014. Dragonflies production technology. Astral Int. Nat. pvt Itd New Delhi – pp 1-100. 14.Sivaramkrishnan, K.G., Venkataraman, K., Moorthy, R.K., Subramanian and Sivaramkrishnan (2010). A new speciesa of Symbiocloeon (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae associated with a fresh water mussel from India. Oriental Ins.71-76.