

Chronic Schizophrenia - Cognitive Dysfunction

KEYWORDS	Cognitive dysfunction, schizophrenia			
Dr. Nagabhushanam		Dr. Y.S.R Shanti Navyatha		
Sr. Resident Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences Eluru, A.P.India,		Postgraduate, Department of Psychiatry Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences Eluru, A.P.India, Pin – 534005		

ABSTRACT Background: Deficits in neurocognitive function are a hallmark of schizophrenia. They are associated withclinical manifestations and the course of the illness. A study of cognitive dysfunction in Indian patients withschizophrenia is of significance in view of a more benign course and outcome of the illness in this region.

Aim: To study cognitive deficits in patients with chronic schizophrenia and comparethem with those in the normal population.

Methods: We compared 100 patients with chronic schizophrenia with 100 matched normal controls onmultiple measures of attention, executive function and memory.

Results: Compared to normal individuals, patients with schizophrenia performed poorly in all cognitivetests.

Conclusion: The neurocognitive profile of Indian patients with chronic schizophrenia resembles those ofpatients in developed countries.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is accompanied by impairments in several domains of cognitive function.¹ Patients with schizophrenia have been found to perform more poorly than normal controls on tasks of attention, memory, executive function, language, learning and motor control.²⁻⁴ In recent times, cognitive impairment has gained importance in terms of emerging theories on the aetiology and treatment of schizophrenia.⁵ Cognitive impairment in schizophrenia has been found to be related to measures of psychopathology^{6,7} and outcome.^{8,9} Much research on cognition in schizophrenia has been done in developed countries where the outcome was found to be poorer than that in developing countries such as India. It is of interest to know the degree and nature of cognitive dysfunction in Indian patients with schizophrenia. Studies in India have described cognitive deficits in schizophrenia.^{10,11} We compared cognitive deficits in patients with chronic schizophrenia with those of a matched normal population.

Methods

The case group was a consecutive sample selected from outpatients attending ASRAM Hospital , Eluruand comprised 100 subjects (men: 60; women: 40) fulfillingthe DSM-IV criteria for chronic schizophrenia. A clinicalinterview and chart review established the diagnosis. All ofthem were on antipsychotic drug treatment at the time of evaluation. Subjects between the ages of 18 and 45 years, with at least 10 years of school education, were selected. Thecontrol group comprised 100 healthy subjects (men: 60;women: 40) with no current, past or family history of anypsychiatric disorder. They were selected from amongvolunteers by the stratified sampling method and matchedwith subjects from the study group for age, sex and education.All participants gave a written informed consent after beingexplained the nature of the study. The cases and controls didnot differ significantly in their mean age (33.6 years, SD±8.2vs 33.9 years, SD±8.1; t=0.251) and years of formal education

14.3 years, SD \pm 3.1 vs 13.9 years, SD \pm 2.8; t=0.893). Thepatients were ill for a mean duration of 10.4 years (SD \pm 6.8). The neuropsychological tests done are listed in Table 1.¹²⁻¹⁶

Cognitive dysfunction in chronic schizophrenia

Test done (subtests)	Function measured
Digit Span Test ¹² (forward and backward) Visual Memory Span ¹² (forward and backward) Digit Symbol Substitution Test ¹³ Visual Number Scanning Ability Test* (time taken, number/minute) Ideational Fluency Test* Ruff Figural Fluency Test ¹⁴ (unique and perseverative responses) Wisconsin Card Sorting Test ¹⁵ Letter–Number Span test ¹⁶ (correct and longest)	Span of attention (verbal task) Span of attention (non- verbal task) Sustained attention and speed Visual scanning and attention Executive function—verbal fluency Executive function—non- verbal fluency Executive functions and cognitive flexibility Working memory
Delayed Response Learning Test* Verbal Learning and Mem- ory* (immediate, delayed recall) Visual Learning and Memory* (immediate, delayed recall) Verbal Paired Associate Learning Test ¹² (immediate, delayed recall) Visual Paired Associate Learning Test ¹² (immediate, delayed recall) Visual Reproduction Test ¹² (immediate, delayed recall)	Working memory Logical memory and learning Immediate visual memory Associate learning (verbal) Associate learning (visual) Immediate and delayed visual memory

RESEARCH PAPER

Data analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS)¹⁷ was used for data analysis. The chi-square and t tests were applied for univariate analysis. Simple correlation and partial correlation analyses were done to measure the relationship between continuous variables. The variables significant at univariate analysis were entered into classification analysis using the Mahalanobi distant statistic method to identify neuro- psychological tests that differentiated normals from patients.

RESULTS

The mean scores on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale $(PANSS)^{18}$ were 10.2 (SD±3.9) for the positive subscale (PS), 9.6 (SD±3.2) for the negative subscale (NS) and 23.6 (SD±5.7) for the general psychopathology subscale (GS).

Cognitive deficits

The patients performed significantly poorer than normal subjects on all tests of cognitive functions evaluated— attention, executive function, memory—except the number of perseverative responses on the Ruff Figural Fluency test for executive function, and immediate recall on the Visual Reproduction task of memory (Table 2).

The step-wise, discriminant function analysis identified 10 tests measuring tasks of attention, executive function and memory which differentiated most between patients and normal controls. The minimum D squared statistic and standardized canonical discriminant function coefficients (SCDFC) of the tests are listed in Table 3. A classification analysis based on the SCDFC of these 10 variables classified 92% of the study population appropriately into their original groups as patients and normal subjects.

DISCUSSION

Cognitive deficits in chronic schizophrenia We did not have any difficulty in using the neuropsychological tests developed in other cultures. The significant level of schooling of patients during which English was one of main languages taught seemed to facilitate their ability to understand and perform on tests that had numerate or verba tasks. We feel cultural factors had little impact on performance in the neuropsychological tests.

Patients with schizophrenia performed poorly on all tests of cognitive function compared with the normal population matched with respect to gender, age and education. The classification analysis showed that patients with schizophrenia

Table 2. Comparison of the cognitive functions in patients with schizophrenia and normal controlsNormal subjects Patients

	New all subjects	Paixets	
Nacaprochalagical wa	3ax12	30,012	4.168
America			
Next Samplegedie a star	10.7417.4	24.7477.8	724*
Social Sciencing - rest bering in an	25543.4	2.044	11.3 7*
Tight Span- In reard	107+1.3	\$6x1.8	6.53*
Digit. Spot - Socker and	10-1.6	1241.8	9.29*
Nexal Seniory Iden-Forward	10.0+0.5	92+2.0	7.08*
Nexal Newsony Speculated and	154131	33+2.4	7.26*
Bat Synthel Schwinzsion Sec	37.0+14.3	410411.0	10.1 81
Executive function			
Wheneys Cod Series Ser			
Tale abundend	81418.2	106.0417.0	7.14*
201 0000	30748.83	00.0410.2	2.42*
Seal and a	27.0+15.4	101+21.6	7.87*
Cargosian completed	18+2.6	31+2.2	171*
bals to emplote that edges	3243.1	257648.7	2,49*
Receiverations, response to tal	0.0403	6.3422.3	6.33*
Instantia, water total	16.2+10.4	201-24.3	6.87*
Net-parameters eren- total	2.5+8.4	361+324	4.31*
Georgical level segments was	61.9+6.4	\$14+21.8	134*
Mare a minute of	024140	11441.2	+25*
Oker and			
Sectoral Famer	11.642.4	12742.4	972*
Ref Passal Survey-passeneration	6240.4	5447.8	LB 01

Stati Net Accident-Inteller	2041.9	1,042.0	1714
Noted Next Association-Science	1941.1	1341.4	4317
Net of Net description - in module	2.641.7	30+3.4	6.(3*
Xmay			
haf figured flamon-society mercure	5154113	315+14.4	8.18*
late-Fusite Ips loger ins	1340.7	12411	5.33*
later-Sunder fper-errert appeares	31342.5	148+1.5	632*
Diavel Represe Learning	15541.3	3.1+3.8	7,987
Tenal General ad Senie - Digit	18.640.2	23+4.9	1044
vetal carries an meson-bright	223413	20143.0	6.50*
Visad Aprolection-Arby 6.	N.1+4.1	20 (M 1 J	222*
Year' Reputation is a date	28.843.7	1011-01.7	6/2 85
You'l Paral Ameriation - delayed	53+0.4	4,0=1.2	610*

*p£0.01, which is significant

NS: not significant

can often be clearly differentiated from the normal population based on their performance on some of the tests of attention, executive function and memory.

Lable...3. Function analysis of patients with schizophrenia and normal controls. (standardized canonical discriminant, function coefficient)

ients with	
izophrenia	Normal subjects
6.593	0.319
2.585	0.509
5.214	0.220
6.333	0.341
4.545	-0.359
3.766	0.391
5.538	0.278
5.754	0.248
6.876	0.228
6.007	-0.324
	6.593 2.585 5.214 6.333 4.545 3.766 5.538 5.754 6.876

We observed that negative symptoms had a strong association with cognitive dysfunction in all the domains. This finding is in agreement with the results of studies which showed that both positive and negative symptoms were associated with distinct neuropsychological deficits.²⁵ Heydebrand *et al.*²⁶ observed that negative symptoms were related more frequently to cognitive dysfunction than positive symptoms.

CONCLUSION

In a group of patients with chronic schizophrenia in India,the nature and degree of cognitive deficits are comparablewith observations made in developed countries. It would beof interest to explore the relationship between cognitivedeficits in, and outcome of, schizophrenia among Indianpatients, as they have a better outcome than patients withschizophrenia in developed countries.

REFERENCE Elvevag B, Egan MF, Goldberg TE. Paired-associate learningand memory interference in schizophrenia. Neuropsychologia | 2000;38:1565– 75. | 2. Braff DL. Psychophysiological and information processing approaches to schizophrenia. In: Charney DS, Nestler E, | Bunney BS (eds). Neurobiological foundation of mental illness. | New York: Oxford University Press; 1999;258–327. | 3. Rund BR, Borg NE. Cognitive deficits and cognitive training schizophrenic patients: A review. Acta Psychiatr Scand | 1999;100:85–95. | 4. Green MF, Kern RS, Braff DL, et al. Neurocognitive deficits and functional outcome in schizophrenia: Are we measuring | the 'right stuff'? Schizophr Bull 2000;26:119–36. | 5. Harvey PD, Bowie CR, Friedman JI. Cognition in schizophrenia. J Psychiatry Rep 2001;3:423–8. | 6. Breier A, Schreiber JL, Dyer J, et al. National Institute ofMental Health longitudinal study of chronic schizophrenia. | Mr Dsychiatry 1996;153:321–30.
Neurosci 2000;25:117–24. | 8. Green MF. What are the functional consequences ofneurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia. | Mu J Psychiatry 1996;153:321–30.
Nedgurk SR, Mueser KT, Harvey PD, et al. Cognitive andsymptom predictors of work outcomes for clients with | schizophrenia in supported employment. Psychiatr Serv | 2003;54:1129–35. | 10. Ananthanarayanan CV, Janakiramaiah N, Gangadhar BN, et al. Netationship betweenpsychopathological dimensions and performance onfrontal | lobe tests in schizophrenia. Indian J Psychol Med 2001;24: | 19–26. | 12. Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale Manual (revised). SanAntonio, Texas: The Psychological Corporation; 1987. | 13. Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—revised. NewYork: The Psychological Corporation; 1981. | 14. Boser CA, Ruff RM. Construct validity of the San DiegonNeuropsychological Test Battery. Arch Clin Neuropsychol | 1987;1:32-32. | 15. Heaton RK. Wisconsion Card Sorting Test manual. Odessa, | Florida: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1981. | 14. Gold JM, Carpenter C, Rand