



A Study of PSA in The Different Age Group of Prostate Carcinoma Patient

KEYWORDS

Prostate Specific Antigen, Age Group, ELISA Test

* Hasan kamal

Deepesh Kumar

S.V.B.P hospital, LLRM Medical College Meerut UP.
*Corresponding author

S.V.B.P hospital, LLRM Medical College Meerut UP.

ABSTRACT Introduction: Prostate cancer (PC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in men, and the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer overall (American Institute for Cancer Research & World Cancer Research Fund (AICR & WCRF), 2007). Aim: study was design to assess the diagnostic importance of prostate specific antigen (PSA) in different age group of prostate carcinoma patient. Material & Methods: A 128 cases of prostate carcinoma include in this study and ELISA was done. Conclusion: We thus conclude that carcinoma is a disease of senile of age group and its early diagnosis is possible in the initial stage with the help of some specific investigation like PSA level, help in the screening and follow up the patients to evaluate the progression of disease

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in men, and the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer overall (American Institute for Cancer Research & World Cancer Research Fund (AICR & WCRF), 2007). PC is the malignant growth of prostate gland cells¹. Prostate-cancer screening based on the serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test was introduced in the USA around 1990 and is almost routine in the USA; in 2001, 57% of men aged 50 years or older reported having a PSA test within the previous 12 months.² By contrast, for each year between 1999 and 2002, an estimated 6% of men aged 45–84 years were tested in the UK.³

There is no robust evidence that routine PSA testing decreases prostate-cancer mortality.^{4,5} The overall decline in mortality from prostate cancer in the USA since the early 1990s might be attributable to screening or improved treatment for more advanced disease,⁶⁻¹² but other research has suggested that mortality trends cannot be attributed to differences in screening intensity, either between or within countries.¹³⁻¹⁷

Measurement of serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) has become the most common event leading to the diagnosis of prostate carcinoma and may be the most commonly used cancer clinical test. The introduction of routine PSA-based screening over the past 20 years has led to a dramatic increase in the rate of disease detection and a subsequent stage shift at the time of diagnosis. For the past decade or more, a PSA value of 4.0 ng/mL has been considered to be the upper limit of normal (ULN). Challenging this long-held notion was a recent report from the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial (PCPT),¹⁸ therefore this study were design to assess the diagnostic importance of prostate specific antigen(PSA) in different age group of prostate carcinoma patient.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A 128 cases of prostate carcinoma admitted to S.V.B.P hospital attached to L.L.R.M Medical college, Meerut.Out of which 60(20 were up to the age 65 and 40 were of 65 years onwards.).Sixty normal healthy subjects were also included to serve as control.

Estimation of prostate specific antigen (PSA); Blood

samples (5 ml) were collected¹⁹ and serum was separated.

Samples were assayed by using Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test.

Calculation of Result; Use the mean absorbance values for each specimen to determine the corresponding concentration of PSA in ng/ml from the standard curve.

RESULTS AND OBSERVATION

Table.1: Age wise distribution of patients and control.

| S. no. | Age group | Control | No. Of patients | Percentage (%) |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1 | Uo to 65 | 20 | 23 | 17.96 |
| 2 | 65 onwards | 40 | 105 | 82.03 |
| 3 | Total | 60 | 128 | 99.99 |

The majority of patients were in the age group of 65 years onwards then the patients were found in the age group of upto 65 years.

Table.2: Prostate specific antigen level in normal healthy subjects.

| Antigen ng/ml | Upto the age of 65 years (n=20) mean±S.D | 65 onwards (n=40) mean±S.D | Total mean ±S.D (n=60) |
|---------------|--|----------------------------|------------------------|
| P.S.A | 1.80±0.88 | 1.92±0.76 ns | 1.84±0.82 |

NS= Non Significant

Serum enzymes and antigen levels were estimated in sixty normal healthy subjects. The levels of serum enzymes and antigen in male of age group 65 onwards were slightly higher than upto 65 years' males. But the difference was statistically insignificant.

Table.3: Prostate specific antigen level in normal healthy males and B.P.H

| Antigen Ng/ml | Normal healthy males(n=6) mean±S.D | B.P.H(n=60) mean±S.D | Significance |
|---------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| P.S.A | 1.84±0.82 | 1.92±0.64 | NS |

Serum enzymes and antigen in prostate carcinoma patients were estimated and on compared with that of control subjects, no significant variation was observed.

Table.4: Prostate specific antigen level in normal healthy males and prostate cancer patients.

| Antigen Ng/ml | Contral (n=60) mean±S.D | Prostate cancer patients(n=128) mean±S.D | Significance P-value |
|---------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| P.S.A | 1.84±0.82 | 22.31±0.44 | <0.001 |

The levels of serum prostate specific antigen in healthy control subjects and prostate cancer patients were estimated and compared with each other. A significant elevation of serum PSA level in PC patients was observed as compared to corresponding control values.

Table.5: Prostate specific antigen level (ng/ml) baseline and at different time points after treatment in total the cases of prostate carcinoma.

| Interval | No. Of cases | Prostate specific antigen (ng/ml) mean± s.d |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| Control | 60 | 1.84±0.82 |
| Before treatment or baseline value | 128 | 15.05 ± 0.51*** |
| 2 weeks after treatment | 128 | 1.76 ± 0.52 |
| 1 month after treatment | 118 | 1.64 ± 0.28 |
| 2 month after treatment | 114 | 1.58 ± 0.36 |
| 3 month after treatment | 107 | 1.16 ± 0.47 |
| 4 month after treatment | 101 | 1.14 ± 0.44 |
| 5 month after treatment | 94 | 1.12 ± 0.42 |
| 6 month after treatment | 90 | 1.92 ± 0.52 |

p- Significant,***p<0.001

Values of antigen in prostate carcinoma patient's baseline and at different time points Vs respective controls.

DISCUSSION

On the basis of age, patients were divided into 2 groups namely up to 65 yrs, 65 onwards incidence of carcinoma was found increasing in manner with the progression of disease Scardino, 1989²⁰ found in his study the prevalence of prostatic carcinoma 15% in 6th decade, 30% in 7th decade, 40% in 8th decade and 50% in 9th decade. However in our study, it was 27% in 6th and 7th decade, 73% in 8th and 9th decade. Incidence of carcinoma prostate was less only in 9th decade, because most of the patients presented in this age group were in the advanced stages of disease Lew and Garfinkel (1990) showed in their study that the frequency of carcinoma prostate climbs steeply with age to peak or plateau in the 9th decade for both incidence and mortality rate.

PSA in healthy subjects, mean 1.84±0.82 ng/ml. No significant age wise variation in serum PSA level was noted in this study similar values have been obtained by Dalkin B and Southwick P 1993²¹, Stamey T. et al 1995²² and Vassilikos et al 2000²³.

PSA was diagnostic in 85.9 % (110 out of 128) cases. its initial serum level (mean±S.D 15.05±0.51) which was significantly high (p<.001) as compared to control. the level remained high throughout the study but normal levels were seen in the cases who responded well to the therapy and had clinical remission from disease.

All the sixty cases of BPH showed normal level of PSA. In contrast to this several authors reported that serum PSA level may also be elevated in BPH Chan et al 1990²⁴, Germler et al 1993.

CONCLUSIONS

We thus conclude that carcinoma is a disease of senile of age group and its early diagnosis is possible in the initial stage with the help of some specific investigation like PSA level, help in the screening and follow up the patients to

REFERENCE

- American Institute for Cancer Research & World Cancer Research Fund (AICR & WCRF) (2007) Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity and the Prevention of Cancer: A Global Perspective: A Project of World | | Cancer Research Fund International, pp. 305–306. Washington, DC: American Institute for Cancer Research. | 2. Sirovich, BE, Schwartz, LM, and Woloshin, S. Screening men for prostate and colorectal cancer in the United States: does practice reflect the evidence?. JAMA. 2003; 289: 1414–1420 | 3. Melia, J, Moss, S, and Johns, L. Rates of prostate-specific antigen testing in general practice in England and Wales in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients: a cross-sectional study. BJU Int. 2004; 94: 51–56 | 4. 3Harris, R and Lohr, KN. Screening for prostate cancer: an update of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2002; 137: 917–929 | 5. Allic, D, O'Connor, D, Green, S, and Wilt, T. Screening for prostate cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006; (issue 3, article number CD004720.) | 6. Gilliland, F, Becker, TM, Smith, A, Key, CR, and Samet, JM. Trends in prostate cancer incidence and mortality in New Mexico are consistent with an increase in effective screening. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1994; 3: 105–111 | 7. Brawley, OW. Prostate carcinoma incidence and patient mortality: the effects of screening and early detection. Cancer. 1997; 80: 1857–1863 | 8. Hankey, BF, Feuer, EJ, Clegg, LX et al. Cancer surveillance series: interpreting trends in prostate cancer—part I: Evidence of the effects of screening in recent prostate cancer incidence, mortality, and survival rates. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999; 91: 1017–1024 | 9. Feuer, EJ, Merrill, RM, and Hankey, BF. Cancer surveillance series: interpreting trends in prostate cancer—part II: Cause of death misclassification and the recent rise and fall in prostate cancer mortality. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999; 91: 1025–1032 | 10. Etzioni, R, Legler, JM, Feuer, EJ, Merrill, RM, Cronin, KA, and Hankey, BF. Cancer surveillance series: interpreting trends in prostate cancer—part III: Quantifying the link between population prostate-specific antigen testing and recent declines in prostate cancer mortality. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999; 91: 1033–1039 | 11. Potosky, AL, Feuer, EJ, and Levin, DL. Impact of screening on incidence and mortality of prostate cancer in the United States. Epidemiol Rev. 2001; 23: 181–186 | 12. Quinn, M and Babb, P. Patterns and trends in prostate cancer incidence, survival, prevalence and mortality. Part II: Individual countries. BJU Int. 2002; 90: 174–184 | 13. Oliver, SE, May, MT, and Gunnell, D. International trends in prostate-cancer mortality in the "PSA ERA". Int J Cancer. 2001; 92: 893–898 | 14. Lu-Yao, G, Albertsen, PC, Stanford, JL, Stukel, TA, Walker-Corkery, ES, and Barry, MJ. Natural experiment examining impact of aggressive screening and treatment on prostate cancer mortality in two fixed cohorts from Seattle area and Connecticut. BMJ. 2002; 325: 740 | 15. Perron, L, Moore, L, Bairati, I, Bernard, PM, and Meyer, F. PSA screening and prostate cancer mortality. CMAJ. 2002; 166: 586–591 | 16. Coldman, AJ, Phillips, N, and Pickles, TA. Trends in prostate cancer incidence and mortality: an analysis of mortality change by screening intensity. CMAJ. 2003; 168: 31–35 | 17. Shaw, PA, Etzioni, R, Zeliadt, SB et al. An ecologic study of prostate-specific antigen screening and prostate cancer mortality in nine geographic areas of the United States. Am J Epidemiol. 2004; 160: 1059–1069 | 18. Thompson IM, Pauler DK, Tangen CM, et al. The predictive value of prostate specific antigen less than 4.0 ng/ml for the diagnosis of prostate cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004;350: 2239–2246. | 19. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). 10. Procedures for the collection of diagnostic blood specimens by venipuncture; Approved Standard -6th ed. Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA: CLSI; 2007. | 20. Supplement to Urology (1989) 38:18–26. ... Urol Clin North Am (1989) 16:693–701. Scardino PT, Transrectal ultrasound in management of prostate cancer. | 21. Dalkin B, Ahmann F, Southwick P et al. J.Urol.1993;149:413. | 22. Stamey T, Urology1995;45:173. | 23. Vassilikos et al. clinical Biochim.vol.33;115-123,2000. | 24. Moses H. W. Chan, K. I. Blum, S. Q. Murphy, G. K. S. Wong, and J. D. Reppy Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 979. | |