



Effect of Gaseous Composition in Package and Storage Temperature on Physico-Chemical Changes of *Jamun* Fruits during Storage

KEYWORDS

Gaseous Composition, Storage temperature, Physico-chemical change, *Jamun* fruits

M L Meena

Assistant Professor (Horticulture), College of Agriculture, SKRAU-Bikaner, District.-Nagaur (Rajasthan).

R A Kaushik

Professor & DRI (Horticulture), Deptt. of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, 313001 (Raj.) – India

D K Sarolia

Scientist (Fruit Sc.), Deptt. Of Horticulture RCA, MPUAT, Uaipur

R K Meena

Scientist (Fruit Sc.), ICAR-CIAH, Beechwal, Bikaner (Raj)

M K Meena

PhD scolar, Deptt. Of Horticulture RCA, MPUAT, Uaipur

ABSTRACT *Jamun* (*Syzygium cumini* L.) fruit is high perishability, a substantial quantity goes waste, resulting in heavy post harvest losses. Packaging with modified gaseous compositions and storage temperature are the approaches for enhancing storability of *jamun* fruits. With this objective the present investigation was conducted in two consecutive fruiting seasons i.e., June-July of 2011 and 2012.. For the experimentations fruits were packed in low density polythene bag (25 μ thicknesses) with two concentrations of oxygen levels (2% and 5%) in combination with three concentrations of carbon dioxide (5%, 10% and 15%) and one control (environmental gaseous composition with 21 per cent O₂ and 0.03 per cent CO₂) after giving treatment stored these samples in three conditions i.e., at ambient, 12°C and 6°C temperatures. The stored fruits were examined physico-chemically at 3 day interval up to 15 days of storage. Modified atmospheric packaging conditions were more effective in reducing respiration and ethylene evolution rate, weight loss and retention of higher ascorbic acid as well as other quality attributes.

Introduction

Jamun (*Syzygium cumini* L.) popularly known as “Indian blackberry” is one of the important underutilized fruit crop of India. This fruit crops is the part of culture and way of life of tribals as well as rurals of Rajasthan. It is ideally suited for growing in the tropical and sub-tropical parts of India particularly in semi-arid sub tropical region with an annual rainfall varying from 300-350 mm (Vashishtha, 1993). The information regarding the area and production of *jamun* is not available because it is seldom planted in the form of an orchard and generally scattered. It is found extensively in semi arid area of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Haryana, Punjab, Andhra Pradesh and Bihar state of India. The *jamun* fruits are eaten raw and are use to prepare delicious beverages, jam, jelly, sauce, squash, wine, and vinegar. The *jamun* is well recognized in folk medicine and pharmaceutical trade. The fruit is astringent, atomachic, carminative, antiscorbutic and diuretic. The leaves are antibacterial and used for strengthening the teeth and gums, the fruit to cure diabetics, diarrhea, and ringworm (Pareek *et al.*, 2009). Shukla (1979) observed that the storage life of *jamun* fruit is 6 days at room temperature and three weeks at low temperature, when pre-cooled fruit are kept in perforated polythene bags. Due to the *jamun* fruit is high perishability, a substantial quantity goes waste, resulting in heavy post harvest losses. Packaging with modified gaseous compositions is an approach for enhancing storability of *jamun* fruits. Keeping this in view the present study was conducted.

Materials and Methods

An experiment was conducted on *jamun* fruits at Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture during June-July in fruiting season of 2011 and 2012. The physiologically matured fruits at colour turning stage were harvested and packed in low density polythene bag (25 μ thicknesses) with two concentrations of oxygen (2% and 5%) in combination with three concentrations of carbon dioxide (5%, 10% and 15%) and one control (environmental gaseous composition with 21 per cent O₂ and 0.03 per cent CO₂). The packed fruits after giving

treatment stored in at ambient (32 \pm 3°C), 12°C, and 6°C temperatures. Experiment consists of 21 treatment combinations were evaluated under factorial completely randomized design with three replications. The stored fruit examined for physical (CPLW, firmness, TSS), biochemical (respiration, ethylene evolution, ascorbic acid) and sensory (flavour, colour) changes and chilling injury index at 3 day interval up to 15 days. The CPLW or per cent loss in weight for each treatment during storage was calculated by using following formula:

$$\text{CPLW (\%)} = \frac{\text{Initial weight (g)} - \text{final weight (g)}}{\text{Initial weight (g)}} \times 100$$

Firmness measured by TA.XT Plus/TA.HD Plus Textural Analyzer used for measuring textural properties. Headspace gases of *jamun* packages were determined using a gas analyzer (6600 Head Space Gas Analyzer, Systech Instruments, Oxford, UK). Respiration rate ($\mu\text{l CO}_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) was measured using Head Space Gas Analyzer (model 6600, Systech Instruments, Oxfordshire, UK). Ethylene evolution rate by using pump module Gas Alert Micro 5 PLD PV (Voilen Canada) it was expressed in $\mu\text{l ethylene kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$. TSS by hand refract meter and ascorbic acid by DCIP dye method (Rangana, 1986). Flavour and Colour score giving by the panel of 6 judges, who examined acceptance of *jamun* fruits during storage. CI index = Sum (Hedonic scale \times number of fruit with corresponding scale number divided by total number of fruits).

Results and Discussion

The result showed that the temperature and storage composition were the main factor affecting postharvest physiology and quality of *jamun* fruits.

The combined effects of gaseous composition and storage temperature on PLW of *jamun* fruits were found to be significant during 9 and 12 day of storage. On 9 and 12 day of storage the minimum CPLW was recorded in G₁T₁ (0.72%) and (2.11%) and maximum in G₁T₁ (2.60%) and (3.51%), respectively. This enhanced rate of weight loss may be acceleration of physiologi-

cal processes viz, transpiration, respiration and ripening at elevated temperature. Similar results have been obtained in several fruits, such as loquat (Amoros *et al.*, 2008; Akbudak and Eris, 2004) and cherries (Kappel *et al.*, 2002; Serrano *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, on 9, 12 and 15 days of storage the maximum firmness was recorded in G₄T₃ (49.22 N, 46.36 N and 40.18 N), while minimum in G₆T₁ (19.38N, 18.41 N and 8.00 N), respectively (Table-1). This can be attributed to less activity of enzymes at reduced temperature which are responsible for degradation of cellulose and other pectin substances that imparted firmness to the fruits.

Gaseous composition and storage temperatures on head space O₂ concentration of packed *jamun* fruits were found significantly during storage. Data revealed that on 3 day of storage to end of storage the minimum head space O₂ concentration was found in G₄T₁ (1.05 & 0.39 %) treatment combination against maximum head space O₂ concentration in G₁T₃ (18.88& 8.67%). On head space CO₂ concentration was found significantly different during storage. Minimum head space CO₂ concentration was recorded in G₂T₃ (5.99%) treatment combination against maximum head space CO₂ concentration in G₇T₁ (17.84%) on 3 day. Further, at the end of experimentation period minimum head space CO₂ concentration was recorded in G₂T₃ (10.31%) treatment combination and maximum in G₇T₁ (21.88%). High CO₂ was proposed to inhibit respiration rate by feedback inhibition or by controlling mitochondrial activity including an effect of CO₂ on respiration rate is not clear, since there are examples in which respiration rate has also been increased or non-affected by high CO₂ concentrations (Fonessa *et al.*, 2002). The reduced O₂/or enriched CO₂ level reduced respiration rate and decrease ethylene production rate, inhibit or delay enzymatic reaction, alleviated physiological disorders and preserved the fruit quality from losses (Day, 1994; Solvia and Martin, 2003.).

Respiration rate of packed *jamun* fruits were found to be significant during storage. The highest respiration rate was found in G₄T₃ (43.74 ml CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) and minimum in G₇T₁ (5.09 ml CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) on 9 days of storage. Similarly, on 15 day of storage the higher respiration rate was observed in G₄T₃ (18.06 ml CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) and minimum in G₁T₁ (2.36 ml CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) treatment combinations. However, negative secondary responses to low O₂ on oxidative process, including respiration required for substrate production, although aroma generation is recovered when fruits are restored to normal air (Beaudry, 1999; Kader and Watkins, 2000; Artes *et al.*, 2006a).

Regarding ethylene evaluation rate of *jamun* fruits were found significant during storage except 3 days of storage. On 9 days of storage the maximum respiration rate was found in G₄T₃ (41.24 µl C₂H₄ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) and minimum in G₇T₁ (14.06 µl C₂H₄ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹). Similarly, on 15 day of storage the higher respiration rate was observed in G₄T₃ (27.11 µl C₂H₄ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) and minimum in G₁T₁ (4.86 µl C₂H₄ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹) treatment combinations (table-3). High CO₂ has been found to be a putative inhibitor of ethylene production by repressing 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthesis and activities of ACC synthase (ACS) or ACC oxidase (ACO) (Kubo *et al.*, 1996), whilst moderate CO₂ concentrations can enhance ethylene accumulation (Pretel *et al.*, 1999). Low O₂ is known to inhibit 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxides (ACO), one of the key enzymes regulating ethylene biosynthesis, while CO₂ is an antagonist of ethylene action and impedes its autocatalytic synthesis when present at concentration over 1 kPa, these effect being additive to those of low O₂ atmospheres (Artes, *et al.*, 2006).

TSS content of *jamun* fruits was found to be non-significant during storage except 3 day. Similarly, ascorbic acid content was also did not show any significant difference during storage except at the end of storage day i.e., 15 days of storage the maximum ascorbic acid retention was found in G₄T₃ (48.09 mg 100g⁻¹) and minimum in G₁T₁ (28.04 mg 100g⁻¹) treatment combination (table-4)

Flavor score was found significant during entire period of storage except initial day of storage, while Colour score at 12th and 15th day of storage exhibited significance. At the end of storage days i.e., on 15 days of storage the maximum flavor score was recorded in G₄T₃ (5.83) and minimum in G₇T₁ (2.97), whereas maximum colour score in G₄T₃ (7.38) and minimum in G₁T₁ (1.96) treatment combination (table-5). Results were in accordance with the findings of Jat, *et al.* (2012) in *ber* and Pandey *et al.*, (2006) in aonla.

The combined effect of gaseous composition and storage temperature were significantly affected chilling injury during storage except 3 and 6 day of storage because no chilling injury was observed on 3 and 6 day of storage in all the treatment combinations. On 9 day of storage the maximum chilling injury index was observed in G₇T₃ (0.73) treatment and at 15 day of storage the maximum chilling injury index was observed in G₁T₃ (2.34) and minimum in G₄T₂ (0.61) treatment combination and no chilling injury symptoms were observed on fruit stored at ambient temperature. Generally, CI occurs primarily at the cell membrane with changes in the fatty acid phospholipids composition (Mirdehghan *et al.*, 2007) and the membrane damages initiate a cascade of secondary reactions leading to disruption of cell structures. The beneficial effects of MAP on maintaining fruit quality during postharvest storage is even greater for tropical fruits than for temperate ones, due to the reduction of chilling sensitivity by atmospheres with high CO₂ and O₂ concentrations (Sandhya, 2010).

Thus, the treatments combination G₁T₂ (2% O₂ & 15% CO₂ with 12°C) inhibited the ethylene biosynthesis and fruit softening with substantial reduction in weight loss during storage, can be used effectively to extend the storage life up to 15 days without any adverse effects on quality of *jamun* fruits.

Table-1 Gas composition in package and storage temperature on CPLW and Firmness during storage

Treatments	CPLW (%)				Firmness(Newton)			
	Storage days							
	3	9	12	15	3	9	12	15
G ₁ T ₁	1.21	2.60	3.51	3.89	48.33	19.39	18.65	8.44
G ₂ T ₁	1.31	2.48	4.99	6.59	51.59	21.92	20.60	10.29
G ₃ T ₁	1.16	2.55	3.46	3.74	49.30	20.47	19.94	10.29
G ₄ T ₁	1.22	2.06	2.50	2.78	66.19	45.79	22.45	14.03
G ₅ T ₁	0.97	2.23	2.89	3.65	50.13	20.89	18.53	10.56
G ₆ T ₁	1.27	2.59	3.32	3.52	48.24	19.38	18.41	8.00
G ₇ T ₁	1.05	1.99	2.47	2.48	55.99	24.67	20.15	11.71
G ₁ T ₂	0.73	1.66	2.57	2.63	53.59	35.47	35.05	13.21
G ₂ T ₂	0.62	1.73	3.64	4.12	56.98	39.85	33.30	16.34
G ₃ T ₂	0.57	1.70	3.57	3.94	54.22	36.86	36.78	15.60
G ₄ T ₂	0.62	1.37	2.59	2.94	71.90	44.82	41.90	21.81
G ₅ T ₂	0.38	1.48	2.99	3.86	55.20	37.89	37.53	16.34
G ₆ T ₂	0.67	1.72	3.43	3.72	53.09	35.12	34.23	12.32
G ₇ T ₂	0.46	1.31	5.14	6.93	61.66	43.79	38.44	18.14
G ₁ T ₃	0.58	0.94	2.19	2.91	60.56	40.03	37.86	22.60
G ₂ T ₃	0.47	0.93	3.01	4.45	63.06	44.16	41.51	32.57
G ₃ T ₃	0.42	0.89	2.91	4.20	59.27	40.42	40.63	28.40
G ₄ T ₃	0.48	0.72	2.11	3.14	67.44	49.22	46.36	40.18
G ₅ T ₃	0.23	0.78	2.44	4.12	55.99	41.60	39.84	30.04
G ₆ T ₃	0.53	0.90	2.80	3.97	58.05	38.55	37.86	24.85
G ₇ T ₃	0.31	0.73	4.08	7.24	60.36	46.40	42.92	33.57
SEm±	0.004	0.025	0.049	0.052	0.770	0.695	0.441	0.385
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.07	0.14	NS	NS	1.96	1.24	1.08

Gaseous composition: G₁= 21% O₂ & 0.03% CO₂, G₂= 2% O₂ & 5% CO₂, G₃= 2% O₂ & 10% CO₂, G₄= 2% O₂ & 15% CO₂, G₅= 5% O₂ & 5% CO₂, G₆= 5% O₂ & 10% CO₂ & G₇= 5% O₂ & 15% CO₂
 Storage temperature T₁= ambient (32±3°C), T₂=12°C & T₃= 6°C

Table-2 Gas composition in package and storage temperature on head space O₂ & CO₂ during storage

Treatments (Gaseous composition)	Headspace O ₂ (%)				Headspace CO ₂ (%)			
	Storage days							
	3	9	12	15	3	9	12	15
G ₁ T ₁	14.39	5.43	6.27	4.46	7.63	12.42	12.39	13.67
G ₂ T ₁	1.49	0.66	0.71	0.62	7.00	10.33	10.65	11.06
G ₃ T ₁	1.18	0.48	0.53	0.46	12.39	14.85	14.74	15.70
G ₄ T ₁	1.05	0.44	0.48	0.39	14.05	18.19	21.54	19.68
G ₅ T ₁	3.62	1.26	1.14	1.04	7.14	10.35	10.92	11.06
G ₆ T ₁	3.22	1.33	1.27	1.22	13.88	18.65	18.97	19.85
G ₇ T ₁	6.78	3.10	1.59	2.41	17.84	21.10	20.88	21.88
G ₁ T ₂	15.47	9.50	8.51	4.66	6.62	11.30	12.00	13.97
G ₂ T ₂	1.59	1.14	0.95	0.63	6.59	9.33	10.24	11.22
G ₃ T ₂	1.26	0.83	0.70	0.46	11.38	13.42	14.19	15.94
G ₄ T ₂	1.12	0.75	0.64	0.39	13.50	16.29	20.56	19.79
G ₅ T ₂	3.85	2.17	1.53	1.07	6.70	9.38	10.54	11.27
G ₆ T ₂	3.44	2.32	1.71	1.27	13.25	16.86	18.26	20.15
G ₇ T ₂	7.14	5.35	2.13	2.51	17.11	19.08	20.10	22.22
G ₁ T ₃	18.88	13.55	13.17	8.67	6.17	10.71	11.18	13.33
G ₂ T ₃	1.98	1.59	1.43	1.14	5.99	8.50	9.16	10.31
G ₃ T ₃	1.55	1.15	1.05	0.82	10.11	12.20	12.67	14.62
G ₄ T ₃	1.38	1.04	0.96	0.70	12.13	14.37	17.90	17.61
G ₅ T ₃	4.75	3.01	2.29	1.92	6.65	8.67	9.56	10.49
G ₆ T ₃	4.25	3.23	2.58	2.30	12.01	15.32	16.31	18.49
G ₇ T ₃	8.22	7.13	2.90	4.36	15.36	17.33	17.95	20.38
SEm±	0.120	0.092	0.046	0.033	0.226	0.139	0.179	0.156
CD (P=0.05)	0.34	0.26	0.13	0.09	0.64	0.39	0.50	0.44

Table-3 Gas composition in package and storage temperature on Respiration & Ethylene evolution during storage

Treatments (Gaseous composition)	Respiration (ml CO ₂ kg ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)				Ethylene evolution (µl C ₂ H ₄ kg ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)			
	Storage days							
	3	9	12	15	3	9	12	15
G ₁ T ₁	41.62	5.09	3.54	2.36	34.62	14.06	10.2	4.86
G ₂ T ₁	42.04	10.30	7.34	4.28	38.09	16.40	11.9	9.73
G ₃ T ₁	42.87	10.85	8.43	5.51	38.75	17.13	12.63	10.85
G ₄ T ₁	43.74	27.11	26.17	12.85	42.11	23.95	19.45	15.13
G ₅ T ₁	41.83	8.18	5.36	2.38	36.83	15.63	11.13	4.92
G ₆ T ₁	42.26	10.34	7.58	4.59	38.1	16.92	11.42	10.34
G ₇ T ₁	43.11	16.00	15.47	10.79	39.95	18.63	16.13	12.85
G ₁ T ₂	43.46	16.56	8.73	4.86	37.12	14.70	10.56	5.09
G ₂ T ₂	46.75	32.21	19.14	9.73	40.59	29.71	26.21	10.30
G ₃ T ₂	48.21	34.47	22.15	11.85	41.25	31.97	28.47	11.85
G ₄ T ₂	53.61	37.67	33.73	15.13	44.61	35.17	31.67	20.99
G ₅ T ₂	45.17	27.06	13.75	4.92	39.33	24.56	21.06	8.18
G ₆ T ₂	47.76	34.41	20.00	10.79	40.60	31.91	28.41	10.79
G ₇ T ₂	51.61	36.21	22.15	12.85	42.45	33.71	29.21	16.00
G ₁ T ₃	47.40	20.23	11.61	7.05	41.11	17.73	12.23	10.70
G ₂ T ₃	51.27	40.98	19.70	10.79	41.85	38.48	32.98	11.79
G ₃ T ₃	52.38	41.62	25.78	13.82	43.21	39.12	33.62	19.53
G ₄ T ₃	63.81	43.74	34.41	18.06	47.64	41.24	35.74	27.11
G ₅ T ₃	50.39	32.24	17.77	6.95	41.27	29.74	24.24	10.06
G ₆ T ₃	51.89	41.04	24.69	13.61	42.81	38.54	33.04	16.52
G ₇ T ₃	56.05	43.46	28.79	15.03	45.55	40.96	35.46	17.06
SEm±	1.323	0.741	0.521	0.279	1.144	0.756	0.636	0.356
CD (P=0.05)	3.777	2.18	1.49	0.79	NS	2.16	1.81	1.02

Table-4 Gas composition in package and storage temperature on TSS and Ascorbic acid during storage

Treatments (Gaseous composition)	TSS (°B)				Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)			
	Storage days							
	3	9	12	15	3	9	12	15
G ₁ T ₁	13.10	16.26	14.62	15.61	36.17	32.01	29.77	28.04
G ₂ T ₁	12.68	15.55	14.33	16.77	39.64	35.35	33.84	32.48
G ₃ T ₁	12.28	15.91	14.16	16.13	41.33	37.11	34.52	33.03

G ₄ T ₁	11.63	15.21	13.71	14.22	47.59	42.95	40.72	38.99
G ₅ T ₁	12.88	16.56	14.74	15.24	35.90	31.83	30.07	29.08
G ₆ T ₁	12.57	15.48	13.95	14.95	39.15	34.75	33.09	31.55
G ₇ T ₁	11.93	15.41	13.89	14.93	40.13	35.38	36.51	31.36
G ₁ T ₂	12.68	14.63	16.09	16.71	38.48	34.33	32.79	31.34
G ₂ T ₂	12.10	13.95	15.71	17.89	42.09	37.83	37.23	36.25
G ₃ T ₂	11.66	14.22	15.47	17.15	43.74	39.61	37.84	36.73
G ₄ T ₂	10.50	13.60	14.99	15.12	50.50	45.98	44.82	43.56
G ₅ T ₂	12.24	14.80	16.11	16.21	37.92	33.88	32.88	32.26
G ₆ T ₂	11.93	13.84	15.25	15.89	41.37	37.01	36.21	35.02
G ₇ T ₂	11.22	13.63	15.03	15.70	41.93	37.23	39.54	34.40
G ₁ T ₃	11.13	14.01	15.18	16.58	42.05	37.73	36.17	35.62
G ₂ T ₃	11.74	13.02	14.48	17.35	45.03	40.73	40.23	40.39
G ₃ T ₃	11.26	13.07	14.06	16.41	46.23	42.13	40.40	40.45
G ₄ T ₃	10.19	12.50	13.62	14.21	53.45	49.00	47.96	48.09
G ₅ T ₃	11.85	13.61	14.64	15.51	40.03	35.98	35.07	35.48
G ₆ T ₃	11.55	12.73	13.86	15.21	43.68	39.32	38.63	38.52
G ₇ T ₃	10.68	11.78	12.90	14.47	41.51	37.44	40.11	36.11
SEm±	0.162	0.158	0.184	0.174	0.466	0.467	0.470	0.459
CD (P=0.05)	0.46	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.29

Table-5 Gas composition in package and storage temperature on flavour and Colour during storage

Treatments (Gaseous composition)	Flavour (out of 9)				Colour (out of 9)			
	Storage days							
	3	9	12	15	3	9	12	15
G ₁ T ₁	6.67	4.08	3.85	2.97	6.28	6.04	3.85	1.96
G ₂ T ₁	7.36	5.44	3.29	3.46	6.40	6.09	4.65	2.98
G ₃ T ₁	6.63	5.07	4.03	3.15	6.78	6.59	4.63	2.87
G ₄ T ₁	6.80	6.75	5.21	5.36	8.08	7.51	5.37	3.41
G ₅ T ₁	7.90	6.57	4.42	4.71	6.75	6.65	4.83	3.37
G ₆ T ₁	6.47	5.81	4.09	4.28	6.96	6.55	4.90	3.02
G ₇ T ₁	7.41	7.35	3.88	5.10	8.20	7.51	7.87	4.49
G ₁ T ₂	6.40	5.47	3.35	3.45	6.46	6.26	4.69	2.54
G ₂ T ₂	6.96	5.98	3.64	3.75	6.54	6.27	5.64	3.84
G ₃ T ₂	6.24	5.58	4.45	3.44	6.90	6.77	5.59	3.68
G ₄ T ₂	6.40	7.45	5.77	5.83	8.24	7.72	6.50	4.39
G ₅ T ₂	7.51	7.22	4.87	5.11	6.87	6.83	5.85	4.33
G ₆ T ₂	6.40	6.38	4.51	4.64	7.09	6.73	5.92	3.88
G ₇ T ₂	7.05	7.02	4.25	5.47	8.29	7.65	6.05	5.56
G ₁ T ₃	6.25	4.18	3.01	3.10	6.69	6.50	4.94	3.76
G ₂ T ₃	6.81	4.94	3.01	3.16	6.62	6.36	5.82	5.59
G ₃ T ₃	6.08	4.37	3.15	3.24	6.90	6.77	5.71	5.32
G ₄ T ₃	6.25	5.53	5.07	5.11	8.25	7.74	8.65	7.38
G ₅ T ₃	7.35	5.28	4.22	4.41	6.87	6.84	5.97	6.26
G ₆ T ₃	6.25	4.67	3.91	4.01	7.08	6.74	6.05	5.61
G ₇ T ₃	6.87	5.60	3.48	4.53	7.95	7.34	6.65	6.36
SEm±	0.085	0.170	0.155	0.113	0.122	0.148	0.083	0.127
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.48	0.44	0.32	NS	NS	0.23	0.36

Table-6 Gas composition in package and storage temperature on chilling injury during storage

Treatments (Gaseous composition)	Chilling injury				
	Storage days				
	3	6	9	12	15
G ₁ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₂ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₃ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₄ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₅ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₆ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₇ T ₁	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
G ₁ T ₂	-	-	0.00	1.45	1.64
G ₂ T ₂	-	-	0.00	1.08	1.38
G ₃ T ₂	-	-	0.00	1.02	1.28

G ₄ T ₂	-	-	0.00	0.36	0.61
G ₅ T ₂	-	-	0.00	1.18	1.46
G ₆ T ₂	-	-	0.00	0.89	1.16
G ₇ T ₂	-	-	0.00	0.64	0.88
G ₁ T ₃	-	-	0.73	1.95	2.34
G ₂ T ₃	-	-	0.45	1.34	1.80
G ₃ T ₃	-	-	0.60	1.44	1.95
G ₄ T ₃	-	-	0.00	0.47	0.85
G ₅ T ₃	-	-	0.65	1.59	2.09
G ₆ T ₃	-	-	0.55	1.19	1.64
G ₇ T ₃	-	-	0.00	0.85	1.24
SEm±	-	-	0.006	0.011	0.034
CD (P=0.05)	-	-	0.02	0.03	0.10

References

1. Akbudak, B. and Eris, A. 2004. Physical and chemical changes in peaches and nectarines during the modified atmosphere storage. *Food Control*, 71: 113-123.
2. Amoros A, Pretel MG, Zapata PJ, Bottela MA, Romojaro F and Serrano M, 2008. Use of Modified Atmosphere Packaging with Microperforated Polypropylene Films to Maintain Postharvest Loquat Quality. *Food Science Technology International* 14:95-103.
3. Artes F, Gomez PA and Artes HF, 2006. Modified Atmosphere Packaging of Fruits and Vegetables. *Stewart Postharvest Review* 5: 1-13.
4. Beaudry, R. M. 1999. Effect of O₂ and CO₂ partial pressure on selected phenomena affecting fruit and vegetable quality. *Post-harvest Biology and Technology*, 37: 37-46.
5. Day, B.P.F. 1994. Modified atmosphere packaging and active packaging of food and vegetable. (in) *Minimal processing of foods*. Pp 173-207. VTT Symposium 142. New York.
6. Fonesca, S.C., Oliveira, F.A.R. and Brecht, J.K. 2002. Modeling respiration rate of fresh fruits and vegetables for modified atmosphere packages: A review. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 52:99-119.
7. Jat, L., Pareek, S. and Shukla, K. B. 2012. Physiological responses of Indian Jujube fruit to storage temperature under modified atmosphere packaging. *Journal of Science of food and Agriculture*. 93: 1940-1944.
8. Kader, A. A., and Watkins, C. B. 2000. Modified atmosphere packaging toward 2000 and beyond. *Hort Technology*. 10 (3) : 483-486.
9. Kappel, E., Toivenon, P., McKenzie, K.L. and Stan, S. 2002. Storage characteristics of new sweet cherry cultivars. *HortScience*, 37: 139-143.
10. Kubo, Y., Sakota, K., Inaba, A. and Nakamura, R. 1996. Effect of high carbon dioxide exposure on ethylene biosynthesis in peach and tomato fruits. *Journal of Japanese Society of Horticultural Sciences*, 65: 409-415.
11. Mirdehghan, S. H., Rahemi, M., Castillo, S., Martinez-Romero, D., Serrano, M., Valero, D., 2007. Pre-storage application of polyamines by pressure or immersion improves shelf life of pomegranate stored at chilling temperature by increasing endogenous polyamine levels. *Post-harvest Biology and Technology*. 44: 26-33.
12. Pandey, G., Singh B. P., Pandey M. K., Sarolia D.K. 2006. Influence of ventilation in EDPE bags on shelf-life of Indian gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis*). *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 76 (8): 490-492.
13. Pareek, S., Kaushik, R.A. and Rathore, N.S. 2009. A value chain on commercial exploitation of underutilized fruit of tribal zones of Rajasthan. National Agricultural Innovation Project, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur. Pp 4.
14. Pretel, M.T., Serrano, M., Amoros, A. and Romojaro, F. 1999. Ripening and ethylene biosynthesis in controlled atmosphere stored apricots. *European Food Research and Technology*, 209: 130-134.
15. Ranganna, S. 1986. *Hand book of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products*. (Vol.II), Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Corporation Limited. New Delhi.
16. Sandhya. 2010. Modified atmosphere packaging of fresh produce: current status and future needs. *Food Science and Technology*. 43: 381-392.
17. Serrano, M., Martinez, R.D., Castillo, S., Guillen, F. and Veler, D. 2005. The use of natural antifungal compounds improves the beneficial effect of MAP in sweet cherry storage. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 6: 115-123.
18. Shukla, J.P. 1979. Ph.D. Thesis C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur.
19. Soliva-Fortuny, R.C., & Martin-Belloso, O. 2003. New advances in extending the shelf-life of fresh-cut fruits: a review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 14, 341-353.
20. Vashishtha, B.B. 1993. Lecture delivered in summer institute on fruit production and utilization in waste land, NDUAT, Faizabad.