



Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. durum) a suitable vehicle for Biofortification strategies to increase yellow pigment content in staple cereal based food: A review

KEYWORDS

Durum wheat, GYPC, QTL, PSY, MAS.

Sandeep K Chaurasia

Indian Agriculture Research Institute Regional Station, Indore M.P. 452001, India

ABSTRACT

In effort to reduce micronutrient malnutrition in human populations, Biofortification is the best sustainable and cost effective way, nowadays various efforts to biofortify various staple crops are under progress. Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. durum) because of its quality and high nutritional value can serve as a suitable vehicle for biofortification of cereal based food to ensure nutritional security to humanity. Grain yellow pigment content (GYPC) is an important trait that determines pasta and other product quality. The main objective of this review is to examine the genetics regulating GYPC and to provide a comprehensive literature for wheat breeders to increase Pro vitamin A (β -carotene) for consumers. Although GYPC is a polygenic trait, its high heritability has facilitated breeding internationally. GYPC is influenced by two major loci with additive effects plus several minor genes, and this study provide evidence showing that the Phytoene synthase loci PSY1A and PSY1B are strong candidate genes that regulate GYPC, using functional markers available for these putative QTL it is easy to validate the transfer of important Psy loci for development of superior wheat varieties for GYPC.

Introduction

Wheat is the major staple food crop in many parts of the world, contributing 28% of the world edible dry matter production and up to 60% of daily energy intake in several developing countries (Cakmak 2008; Wang et al. 2011). Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. durum) is the second most important wheat species grown in various part of world with global production estimates of 35 million tonnes (Gillen 2013. world Durum outlook CWB Market Research). Durum wheat cultivation offers many advantages like field tolerance to loose smut and Karnal bunt diseases, lodging resistance, termite resistance, higher abiotic stress tolerance etc. Durum is nutritionally superior to Bread wheat as they contain comparatively higher protein, Carotenoids and minerals like Iron, Zinc (Dick and Matsuo 1988) etc. Because of its widespread geographic distribution, acceptance, stability and versatility; wheat and its products are suitable vehicle for delivering micronutrients to mankind. Yellow pigment content of durum wheat is one of the important characteristics in determining pasta and other end products quality (Troccoli et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 2008), GYPC is also very important as per human health prospective because of its antioxidant properties and being precursor for vitamin A (Yeum and Russell 2002) and lutein and zeaxanthin have been associated with the prevention of age-related macular degeneration and cataracts (Landrum and Bone 2004). Vitamin A deficiency, a major problem in parts of the developing world, can result in permanent blindness and increased susceptibility to infectious diseases (West and Darnton-Hill 2001). The color of the wheat endosperm is the major determinant of flour color and is primarily influenced by its Total Carotenoid Content (TCC) (Mares and Campbell 2001). The Carotenoid pigments are classified into carotenes, unsaturated hydrocarbons, and xanthophylls. Xanthophylls are the most abundant and possess one or more oxygen-bearing functional groups. They are including many types; e.g. triticoxanthin, taraxanthin, flavoxanthin, and canthaxanthin (Laignelet 1983). Synthesis of these Carotenoids requires a complex metabolic pathway for synthesis, involving at least ten different enzymes (Hirschberg 2001). IARI RS Indore is conducting research on GYPC of Durum wheat from several years, so based on our study other existing literature

we can say that there is wide genetic diversity in durum wheat for Carotenoid (GYPC) content which is suitable for breeding program to develop more superior varieties for GYPC. There is a rising demand for fortified foods to fight malnutrition and Biofortification is the best sustainable and cost effective way to fulfill this demand. Durum wheat can serve as a suitable vehicle for Biofortification of cereal based food to ensure nutritional security to mankind and can be used for nutritive food preparations, because of its comparatively high and stable Carotenoid & Protein content and high levels of micronutrients.

Durum wheat Quality

The quality cannot be expressed in terms of a single property, but depends on several characteristics; each one of them is important in the production of each end-product. Durum wheat is superior in quality than bread wheat as it contains comparatively high protein (13-14%), high total Carotenoid content (6-8 ppm) and high Iron (35-40 ppm) zinc (45-50ppm) content. It is also at par in yield attributes to bread wheat and good for various processed end products like pasta. Durum wheat (*Triticum durum*) is preferred for the production of pasta or macaroni products, mainly because of its elevated level of yellow pigments and appropriate protein and gluten characteristics (Hoseney 1994; Bushuk 1998; Troccoli et al. 2000). In addition to color and protein characteristics, kernel size and vitreousness are also important in durum wheat quality, as they are strongly related to semolina yield, bright yellow appearance of semolina and cooking properties of pasta products (Hoseney 1994; Bushuk 1998; Troccoli et al. 2000; Dziki and Laskowski 2005). Protein contents of the Durum genotypes ranging from 10.7% to 16.8% with a mean of 13.1%. SDS sedimentation volumes of the Durum genotypes ranging from 20.5 to 38.0 mL (mean: 25.7 mL) and grain yellow pigment contents of the genotypes ranged from 3.2 to 8.3 mg/ kg with a mean of 5.53 mg/ kg.

Grain yellow pigment assay

Yellow pigment content

Yellow pigment content was determined on 8 g of flour extracted overnight with 40 ml of water-saturated n-butyl alcohol. After filtration of the extract through a Whatman

No.1, a light transmission is determined in a spectrophotometer at 440 nanometers (nm) (Elouafi et al. 2001; Santra et al. 2003). The determined values were estimated according to the concentration scale based on β -carotene (AACC 1976). YP content was expressed as mg/kg using a correction coefficient 30.1 (AACC 1995).

Endosperm colour

Yellow index (YI) was determined using the reflectance colorimeter (Blanco et al. 2011) Chroma Meter CR-410 (Minolta) equipped with a pulsed xenon arc lamp. Absolute measurement in L^* , a^* , b^* (CIE, 1986) coordinates in the Munsell colour system were taken using D65 lightning. Samples to be analyzed were placed into a granular material support. The b^* value was used in subsequent analysis since it represents the variation in yellow intensity. It is the accurate and rapid method of screening large number of samples for endosperm yellowness which is positively & significantly correlated with total Carotenoid content. Hunter color parameters could also be used for measurement of endosperm yellowness (Sanchez et al. 2013).

Individual Carotenoid components

Carotenoid extraction was performed on 2 g of ground endosperm according to (Adom et al. 2003; Konopka et al. 2005) with some modifications reported by (Digesu et al. 2009). A sample volume of 20 μ L was injected to an Agilent Technologies 1100 HPLC system equipped with an automatic sampler and a diode array detector (DAD) (Antonio Blanco et al. 2011). Separation was done on an YMC C30 column (250 X 4.6 mm i.d., particle size = 5 μ m). Spectrophotometric detection was achieved by means of a diode array detector in the range 400-600 nm. Peaks were detected at 450 nm. Carotenoids were identified through their characteristic spectra and comparison of their retention times with commercial standards (Lutein, Zeaxanthin, β -cryptoxanthin, α -carotene and β -carotene) obtained from LGC Promochem. Carotenoid concentrations were calculated using a linear regression (concentration versus area) of the five-point standard curve.

2.0. Putative QTL for Grain yellow pigment content

The wheat grain traits are considered to be inherited as quantitative traits as it is known to be controlled by a group of genes and being very affected by environmental variations (Kuspira and Unran 1957; Diehl et al. 1978; Nachit et al. 1995). The flour and semolina color is considered important in the assessment of durum quality. Durum has normally an amber vitreous kernel that produces yellow semolina. Due to its importance, many studies were conducted to define the biochemical pathways and genetic control of this trait (Sax 1923; Tsen and Hlynka 1963; Dahle 1965; Moss 1967; Lepage and Sims 1968; Laignelet 1983; Nachit 1990; Hatcher and Kruger 1993; Parker et al. 1998; Borrelli et al. 1999; Santra et al. 2005; Patil et al. 2008; He et al. 2008). The semolina color is the result of the natural Carotenoid pigments present in the seeds (Cubadda 1988) and of their residual contents after the storage of the grain (Dahle 1965). The yellow colour of semolina and pasta is mainly due to Carotenoid accumulation in pericarp and endosperm. Yellow pigment concentration (GYPC) in durum wheat is a quantitative trait controlled by a complex genetic system and influenced by environmental factors. GYPC concentration has high heritability across different environment (Santra et al. 2005). Heritability estimates for GYPC in durum wheat range from 0.90 to 0.97, and controlled by additive gene effects (Nachit et al. 1995; Clarke et al. 2006; Elouafi et al. 2001). Significant marker trait association for GYPC were detected on all chromosome of the durum wheat through association mapping (Reimer et al. 2008) indicating the complexity of trait. Though the major QTL are reported on 7AL explaining 60 % of the genetic variations (Parker et al. 1998; Howitt et al. 2009; Mares and Campbell 2001; Patil et al. 2008; Zhang and Dubcovsky 2008; Zhang et al. 2008-09) and 7BL explaining 53% of the genetic variation by (Elouafi et al. 2001; Kuchel et al. 2006; Pozniak et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2008 and Zhang and Dubcovsky 2008). Minor QTL for GYPC were detected on chromosomes 3A (Parker et al. 1998), 4A and 5A (Hessler et al. 2002), 2A, 4B and 6B (Pozniak et al. 2007), 4B and 6B (Zhang et al. 2008), 1A, 3B and 5B (Patil et al. 2008), 3B and 5B (Howitt et al. 2009), 1A, 1B, 3B and 4A (Zhang et al. 2009).

Table 1: Marker-phenotype association studies reporting polymorphisms within the PSY1 loci affecting endosperm yellowness of different wheat genetic backgrounds (ppm: yellow pigment content; b^* : colorimetric value; Δb^* : colorimetric value adjusted to the value of a control genotype) (Schulthess et al. 2013).

Locus	Alleles	Genetic background	Phenotypic effect	References
Psy-A1	a, b	Chinese wheat	a: 1.82 ppm b: 1.30 ppm	He et al. (2008)
	a, b, c	spring wheat lines CIMMYT	a: 2.56 ppm b: 2.12 ppm c: rare allele	He et al. (2009)
	e, p, q, r, s	Common wheat	e: white p and q: pale yellow r: yellow s: very yellow	Howitt et al.(2009)
	'p', 'jt', 'e', 'r', 'ak', 'c'	Common wheat from diverse origins (INRA core collection)	'p': 11.12 b^* 'jt': 11.04 b^* 'e': 10.89 b^* 'r': 10.76 b^* 'ak': 10.56 b^* 'c': rare allele	Ravel et al. (2013)
	a, l, o	durum wheat genotypes from diverse origins	o: 2.2 ppm higher than the l allele on average l: at least 2.4 ppm higher than the a allele on average a: at least 4.6 ppm lower than the o allele on average	Singh et al. (2009)

Psy-B1	a, b, c, d	Chinese winter wheat	c: 2.01 ppm a: 1.71 ppm b: 1.40 ppm d: rare allele	He et al. (2009)
	a, b, d, e	spring wheat lines CIMMYT	No significant differences	He et al. (2009)
	f, g	durum wheat lines CIMMYT	f: 1.83 Δb^* g: 0.59 Δb^*	He et al. (2009)
	'cm', 'b', 'a', 'd'	Common wheat from diverse origins (INRA core collection)	'cm': 11.16 b* 'b': 10.91 b* 'a': 10.56 b* 'd': 10.15 b*	Ravel et al. (2013)
	a, b	Durum wheat genotypes from diverse origins	b: 8.96 ppm a: 7.59 ppm	Reimer et al.(2008)
Psy-D1	'g', 'a'	Common wheat from diverse origins (INRA core collection)	'g': 11.37 b* 'a': 10.64 b*	Ravel et al. (2013)

3.0. MAS & Functional marker for Yellow pigment content

In plants, steps involved in Carotenoid biosynthesis are well reviewed (Moise et al. 2005; Romer and Fraser 2005) and phytoene synthase (psy) was found to be the key enzyme to form phytoene by condensing two molecules of geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate at the beginning of the pathway. Characterization of Psy genes and the development of functional markers are very important for accurate discrimination of contrasting alleles in marker assisted selection in wheat breeding (Bagge et al. 2007; Tommasini et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2004). Functional markers developed from polymorphic trait sites within genes that causally affect phenotypic variation are ideal tools for marker assisted selection. Several molecular markers were identified on chromosomes sets A & B. The major QTL QYp.macs-7A was located on chromosome 7AL (Patil et al. 2008) and gene sequence of phytoene synthase locus *psy1-2*, on chromosome 7AL, was used to design the primers specific for *psy1-2*; the markers Xscar807 and Xscar3362 were found to be tightly linked to the major QTL QYp.macs-7A. Carotenoid biosynthetic pathway, shows high association with the yellow pigment (YP) content in wheat grain (He et al.2008). Using an RIL population from cross PH82-2/Neixing 188, and a set of Chinese Spring nullisomic-tetrasomic lines and ditelosomic line 7AS a Psy gene (*Psy-A1*) located on chromosome 7A was characterized by in silico cloning and experimental validation. The cloned *Psy-A1* comprises

six exons and five introns, 4,175 bp in total, and an ORF of 1,284 bp. A co-dominant marker, YP7A was developed based on polymorphisms of two haplotypes of *Psy-A1*, yielding 194 and 231-bp fragments in cultivars with high and low YP content, respectively (He et al.2008) and two allelic variants, Psy-A1a and Psy-A1b, were detected in Chinese winter wheat cultivars. A co-dominant functional marker, YP7A, was developed and validated. Subsequently, Zhang et al. (2009) demonstrated a significant influence of *Psy-A1* on flour YP content. A similar study conducted on the Chinese winter wheat (He et al. 2009) cultivars revealed four allelic variants of this gene on chromosome 7B (*Psy-B1*), designated as Psy-B1a, Psy-B1b, Psy-B1c and Psy-B1d. The frequencies of these four alleles were 39.6, 43.8, 15.7 and 0.9%, respectively. A co-dominant marker YP7B-1 based on a 5-bp InDel of polyC in the fifth intron of *Psy-B1* amplified a 151-bp PCR fragment in accessions with the medium YP content allele Psy-B1a, and a 156-bp fragment in lower YP content accessions with Psy-B1b. Two dominant markers YP7B-2 (428 bp) and YP7B-3 (884 bp) were designed for accessions with Psy-B1c and Psy-B1d, respectively. Allele Psy-B1c was associated with high YP content. Although, markers for many economically important traits have been identified in wheat, very few of them have been validated to find out their usefulness in different genetic backgrounds (Sharp et al. 2001; Prasad et al. 2003; Torada et al. 2005).

Table 2: Important functional markers for major QTL of grain Carotenoid content in wheat:

Marker	Sequence (5'-3')	Phenotypic variance (%)	specific Allele/ PCR Product size (bp)	Reference
scar807-F	GAGAGAGTCTTATCTGATGTACCG	26.8	psy1-2 (967&465bp)	Patil et al. 2008
scar807-R	GAGAGAGTGAATCACTTTGTGAG			
scar3362-F	TTGGCTTATCCAATGCACA	16.8	psy1-2 (255&247bp)	Patil et al. 2008
scar3362-R	TGTAAGGGCAACTCCCACAT			
F	GGACCTTGCTGATGACCGAG	20 -28	Psy-A1a(194bp) Psy-A1b(231bp)	He et al. 2008
YP7A-R	TGACGGTCTGAAGTGAGAATGA			
YP7A-2 F	GCCAGCCCTCAAGGACATG	48-51	Psy-A1a, Psy-A1b1 (686bp) Psy-A1c (1001bp)	He et al. 2009
YP7A-2 R	CAGATGTCGCCCACTGCCA			
YP7B-1 F	GCCACAACCTGAATGTGAAAC	50.6	Psy-B1a(151bp), Psy-B1b (156bp)	He et al. 2009
YP7B-1 R	ACTTCTTCCATTGAACCCC			
YP7B-2 F	GCCACCCACTGATTACCACTA	29.2	Psy-B1c (428 bp)	He et al. 2009
YP7B-2 R	CCAAGGTGAGGGTCTTCAAC			

YP7B-3 F	GAGTAAGCCACCCACTGATT	19.6	Psy-B1d (884 bp)	He et al. 2009
YP7B-3 R	TCGCTGAGGAATGTACTGAC			
YP7B-4 F	AGGTACCAGCCAGCCATA	Rare allele	Psy-B1e(717bp)	He et al. 2009
YP7B-4 R	CTCGTCAAAGTTCGTGTACC			

4.0. Conclusion

Being superior in quality and agronomic aspects Durum wheat is the suitable source to deliver the important micronutrient to human population. GYPC is one of the very important Quality parameter for its commercial value internationally, this is also required for pasta natural color, this yellowness is because of various Carotenoid present in durum wheat which are also the source of pro Vitamin A(β - carotene) .This study describe that GYPC is complex quantitative trait, regulated by additive gene effects, providing information on major genetic loci for this trait and the genotypic interrogation of the *PSY1A* and *PSY1B* loci, which are appropriate candidate genes associated with GYPC based on the existing literature. One objective of the genotypic characterization is to identify the desired haplotype for its potential introduction into the international durum wheat breeding programs. This includes iden-

tifying individuals that contain the desirable *PSY1A* and *PSY1B* alleles because these alleles were associated with high GYPC. Subsequently, these individuals will be used as donor parents, and facilitate backcrossing and marker-assisted selection to efficiently accelerate the improvement of semolina yellowness made from durum wheat. Finally, other candidate genes or markers related to GYPC could be studied in the future, and their association with endosperm yellowness could be sought in the base population to obtain better estimates of the phenotypic values already characterized and to improve the semolina yellowness predictions for plant material not yet evaluated.

Acknowledgments

Author is thankful to Head IARI RS INDORE & Department of Biotechnology Government of India for supporting this study.

REFERENCE

1. AACC (American Association Cereal Chemists), 2000. AACC official method 14-50. In: Approved Methods of the American Association of Cereal Chemists, tenth ed. St. Paul, MN, USA
2. Adom KK, Sorrells ME, Liu RH. 2003. Phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activity of wheat varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 51:7825-7834
3. Alvarez JB, Martin LM and Martin A. 1998. Chromosomal localization of genes for Carotenoid pigments using addition lines of Hordeum chilense in wheat. *Plant Breed.* 117: 287-289.
4. Badakhshian H, Moradi N, Mohammadzadeh H, Mohammad RZ. 2013. Genetic Variability Analysis of Grains Fe, Zn and Beta-carotene Concentration of Prevalent Wheat Varieties in Iran. *I.J.A.C.S.* 6:2-57-62
5. Bagge M, Xia XC, Lübbertstedt T 2007. Functional markers in wheat. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* 10:211-216
6. Blanco A, Colasuonno P, Gadaleta A , Mangini G, Schiavulli A, Simeone R, Digesù AM, De Vita P , Mastrangelo AM, Cattivelli L. Quantitative trait loci for yellow pigment concentration and individual Carotenoid compounds in durum wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* 54: 255-264.
7. Bushuk W, Zillman RR. 1978. Wheat cultivar identification by gliadin electrophoregrams. I. Apparatus, method and nomenclature. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 58: 505-515
8. Cakmak I.2008. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification? *Plant Soil* 302: 1-17
9. Clarke FR, Clarke JM, McCaig TN, Knox RE, Depauw RM. 2006. Inheritance of yellow pigment concentration in seven durum wheat crosses. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 86:133-141
10. Cubadda R. 1988. Evaluation of durum wheat, semolina and pasta in Europe. In: Durum wheat: chemistry and technology (eds G Fabiani and C Lintas). AACC. St Paul, Minnesota. 217-228
11. Dahle L.1965. Factors affecting oxidative stability of carotenoid pigments of durum products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 13: 12-15
12. Dick JW, Matsuo RR. 1988. Durum wheat and pasta product 3rd ed. II vol. 507 13. Diehl AL, Johnson VA , Mattern PJ. 1978. Inheritance of protein and lysine in three wheat crosses. *Crop Sci.* 17: 391-395
14. Dzik D, Laskowski J. 2005. Wheat kernel physical properties and milling process. *Acta Agrophys.* 6: 59-71
15. Elouafi I, Nacht MM, Martin LM. 2001. Identification of a microsatellite on chromosome 7B showing a strong linkage with yellow pigment in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.var. durum) *Hereditas* 135: 255-261
16. Elouafi I, Nacht MM (2004) A genetic linkage map of the Durum 9 *Triticum dicoccoides* L backcross population based on SSRs and AFLP markers, and QTL analysis for milling traits. *Theor. Appl.Genet.* 108:401-413
17. Garcia-Casal MN. 2006. Carotenoids increase iron absorption from cereal-based food in the human. *Nutrition Res* 26:340-344
18. Hatcher DW and Kruger JE. 1997. Simple phenolic acids in flour prepared from Canadian wheat: relationship to ash content, color, and polyphenol oxidase activity. *Cereal Chem.* 74: 337-343
19. He XY, Zhang YL, He ZH, Wu YP, Xiao YG, Ma CX, Xia XC. 2008. Characterization of phytoene synthase 1 gene (Psy1) located on common wheat chromosome 7A and development of a functional marker. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 116:213-221
20. He X,Wang J, Ammar K, Javier Peña R, Xia X, He Z. 2009. Allelic variants at the Psy-A1 and Psy-B1 loci in durum wheat and their associations with grain yellowness. *Crop Science* 49: 2058-2064
21. Hentschel V, Kral K, Hollmann J, Lindhauer MG, Bohmand V, Bitsch R. 2002. Spectrophotometric determination of yellow pigment content and evaluation of carotenoids by high-performance liquid chromatography in durum wheat grain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 50: 6663-6668
22. Hirschberg J. 2001. Carotenoid biosynthesis in flowering plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* 4:210-218
23. Hosney RC. 1994. Principles of Cereal Science and Technology. 2nd ed. American Association of Cereal Chemists. St. Paul Minnesota USA 24. Johnston RA, Quick JS, Hammond JJ 1983 Inheritance of semolina colour in six durum wheat crosses. *Crop Sci* 23:607-610
25. Konopka I, Czaplicki S, Rotkiewicz D. 2005. Differences in content and composition of free lipids and carotenoids in flour of spring and winter wheat cultivated in Poland. *Food Chemistry* 95: 290-300
26. Kruger JE, Matsuo RR, and Preston K. 1992. A comparison of methods for the prediction of Cantonese noodle color. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 72:1021-1029
27. Kuchel H, Langridge P, Mositionek L, Williams K, Jefferies SP. 2006. The genetic control of milling yield, dough rheology and baking quality of wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 112: 1487-1495
28. Kuspira J, Unran J. 1957. Genetic analysis of certain characters in common wheat using whole chromosome substitution lines. *Can. J. Pl. Sci.* 37: 300-326
29. Laignelet B. 1983. Oxidation during the mixing of durum wheat semolina. *Sci. Aliment.* 3: 469-30.
30. Landrum JT, Bone RA. 2004. Dietary lutein and zeaxanthin: reducing the risk for macular degeneration. *Agro Food Ind. Hi Tec.* 15:22-25
31. Leenhardt F, Lyan B, Rock E, Boussard A, Potus J, Chanliaud E, Remesy C. 2006. Genetic variability of carotenoid concentration, and lipoxygenase and peroxidase activities among cultivated wheat species and bread wheat varieties. *European Journal of Agronomy* 25: 170-176
32. Lepage M and Sims RPA, (1968). Carotenoids of wheat flour: their identification and composition. *Cereal Chem.* 45: 600-604
33. Liu HZ, Anderson JA, Hu J, Friesen TL, Rasmussen JB, Faris JD. 2005. A wheat intervarietal genetic linkage map based on microsatellite and target region amplified polymorphism markers and its utility for detecting quantitative trait loci. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111:782-794
34. Mares DJ, Campbell AW. 2001. Mapping components of flour and noodle colour in Australian wheat. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 52:1297-1309
35. Moise AR, von Lintig J, Palczewski K. 2005. Related enzymes solve evolutionarily recurrent problems in the metabolism of carotenoids. *Trends Plant Biol* 10:178-186
36. Mortensen A, Skibsted LH. 1997. Importance of Carotenoid structure in radical-scavenging reactions. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 45:2970-2977
37. Moss HJ.1967. Yellow pigment content of some Australian flours. *Aus. J. Exp. Agri-c. Anim. Husb.* 7: 463-464
38. Nacht MM. 1990. Durum wheat breeding. In: Cereal Improvement Program. Annual Report, ICARDA 198/1300 En. , 50-63
39. Nacht MM, Baum M, Impiglia A and Ketata H. 1995. Studies on some grain quality traits in durum wheat grown in Mediterranean environments. *Proc. Seminar on durum wheat quality in the Mediterranean regions Zaragoza.* Nov 1995. Options Mediterraneennes Series A. 22: 181-188. ICARDA/ CIHEAM/ CIMMYT 40. Palaisa KA, Morgante M, Williams M, Rafalski A. 2003. Contrasting effects of selection on sequence diversity and linkage disequilibrium at two phytoene synthase loci. *Plant Cell.* 15:1795-1806
41. Parker GD, Chalmers KJ, Rathjen AJ and Langridge P. 1998. Mapping loci associated with flour colour in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 97: 238-245
42. Parker GD, Langridge P. 2000. Development of a STS marker linked to a major locus controlling flour colour in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Mol. Breed.* 6: 169-174
43. Patil RM, Oak MD, Tamhankar SA, Sourdille P, Rao VS. 2008. Mapping and validation of a major QTL for yellow pigment content on 7AL in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. ssp. durum). *Mol. Breed.* 21:485-496
44. Pozniak CJ, Knox RE, Clarke FR, Clarke JM. 2007. Identification of QTL and association of a phytoene synthase gene with endosperm colour in durum wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 114:525-537
45. Prasad M, Kumar N, Kulwal PL, Roder MS, Balyan HS, Dhalwal HS, Roy JK, Gupta PK. 2003. QTL analysis for grain protein content using SSR markers and validation studies using NILs in bread wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106:659-667
46. Ramachandran A, Pozniak C, Clarke J, Singh A. 2010. Carotenoid accumulation during grain development in durum wheat. *J. Cereal Sci.* 52:30-38
47. Ravel C, Dardevet M, Leenhardt F, Bordes J, Joseph JL, M.R. Perretant M, Exbrayat F, Poncet C, Balfourier F, Chanliaud E, and Charmet G. 2013. Improving the yellow pigment content of bread wheat flour by selecting the three homeologous copies of Psy1. *Mol. Breeding* 31:87-99
48. Reimer SO, Pozniak CJ, Clarke FR, Clarke JM, Somers DJ, Knox RE, Singh AK. 2008. Association mapping of yellow pigment in an elite collection of durum wheat cultivars and breeding lines. *Genome.* 51: 1016-1025
49. Romer S, Fraser PD. 2005. Recent advances in Carotenoid biosynthesis, regulation and manipulation. *Planta.* 221:305-308
50. Sanchez T, Ceballos H, Dufor D, Ortiz D, Morante N, Calle F, Zum Felde T, Dominguez M, Davrieux F. 2013. Prediction of carotenoids, cyanide and dry matter content in fresh cassava roots using NIRS and Hunter color techniques. *Food Chemistry.* 151:444-451
51. Santra M. 2005. Study of inheritance and identification of molecular markers for β -carotene content in durum. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Pune. India 52. Santra M, Santra DK, Rao VS, Taware SP, Tamhankar SA. 2005. Inheritance of β -carotene concentration in durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. ssp. durum). *Euphytica.* 144:215-221
53. Santra M, Rao VS, Tamhankar SA. 2006. Biochemical estimation of beta carotene in Indian durum varieties. *Wheat Inf. Serv.* 102:1-4
54. Sax K. 1923. The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Genetics.* 8: 552-560
55. Schulthess A, Schwember AR. 2013. Improving durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var durum) grain yellow pigment content through plant breeding. *Cien. Inv.* 40(3): 475-490
56. Sharp PJ, Johnston S, Brown G, McIntosh RA, Pallotta M, Carter M, Bariana HS, Khairat K, Lagudah ES, Singh RP, Khairallah M, Potter R, Jones MGK. 2001. Validation of molecular markers for wheat breeding. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 52:1357-1366
57. Singh A, Reimer S, Pozniak CJ, Clarke FR, Clarke JM, Knox RE, Singh AK. 2009. Allelic variation at Psy1-A1 and association with yellow pigment in durum wheat grain. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 118: 1539-1548
58. Tanumihardjo, sherry A. 2008. Food based approaches for ensuring adequate Vitamin A nutrition. Comprehensive reviews in food Science and safety 7: 373-381
59. Tommasini L, Yahiaoui N, Srichumpan P, Keller B. 2006. Development of functional markers specific for seven Pm3 resistance alleles and their validation in the bread wheat gene pool. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 114:165-175
60. Torada A, Ikeguchi S, Koike M. 2005. Mapping and validation of PCR-based markers associated with a major QTL for seed dormancy in wheat. *Euphytica.* 143:251-255
61. Troccoli A, Borrelli GM, De Vita P, Fares C and Di Fonzo N. 2000. Durum wheat quality: a multidisciplinary concept. *J. Cereal Sci.* 32: 99-113
62. Tsen CC and Hlynka L. 1963. Flour lipids and oxidation of sulphhydryl groups in dough. *Cereal Chem.* 40: 145- 153
63. Wang S, Yin L, Tanaka H, Tanaka K, Tsujimoto H. 2011. Wheat- Aegilops chromosome addition lines showing high iron and zinc contents in grains. *Breeding Sci.* 61:189- 195
64. West KP and Darnton-Hill I. 2001. Vitamin A deficiency. In: R.D. Semba, and M.W. Bloom (eds.) *Nutrition and Health in Developing Countries.* Humana Press. Totowa, NJ, USA. P.267-306
65. Wong JC, Lambert RJ, Wurtzel ET, and Rocheford TR. 2004. QTL and candidate genes phytoene synthase and zeta-carotene desaturase associated with the accumulation of carotenoids in maize. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 108:349-359
66. Yang J, Zhang Y, He ZH, Yan J, Wang DS, Liu JJ, Wang MF. 2004. Association between wheat quality traits and performance of pan bread and dry white Chinese noodle. *Acta Agron Sin.* 30:739-744
67. Yeum K J, Russell RM. 2002. Carotenoid bioavailability and bioconversion. *Annual Review of Nutrition* 22: 483-504
68. Zhang LP, Yan J, Xia XC, He ZH, Sutherland MW. 2006. QTL mapping for kernel yellow pigment content in common wheat. *Acta Agron Sin.* 32:41-45
69. Zhang W, Dubcovsky J. 2008. Association between allelic variation at the Phytoene synthase 1 gene and yellow pigment content in the wheat grain. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 116:635-645
70. Zhang W, Chao S, Manthey F, Chicaiza O, Brevis JC, Echenique W, Dubcovsky J. 2008. QTL analysis of pasta quality using a composite microsatellite and SNP map of durum wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 117: 1361-1377
71. Zhang YL, Wu YP, Xiao YG, He ZH, Zhang Y, Yan J, Zhang Y, Xia XC, Ma CX. 2009. QTL mapping for flour colour components, yellow pigment content and polyphenol oxidase activity in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica.* 165:435-444