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## ABSTRACT

For double sampling procedure, the problem of estimating population mean by using auxiliary information in the form of attribute and variable both is considered. A generalized class of estimator is proposed and the bias and mean square error are obtained. It is shown that the proposed generalized class of estimator is superior to some of the previously studied estimators under the minimum mean square error criterion.

## 1. Introduction

In double sampling or two-phase sampling technique, we first take a preliminary large sample of size $n^{\prime}$ (called first phase sample) from a population of size $N$ and then a sub-sample of size $n$ (called second phase sample) is drawn from the first phase sample of size $n^{\prime}$ by simple random sampling without replacement scheme at both the phases.

At first phase sample of size $n^{\prime}$, only the auxiliary variable $X$ and auxiliary attribute $\phi$ are observed but at the second phase sample of size $n$, the study variable $Y$, auxiliary variable $X$ and auxiliary attribute $\phi$ all are observed.

Let us denote by $\bar{Y}, \bar{X}$ and $P$ as the population mean of study variable, population mean of auxiliary variable and population mean of auxiliary attribute $\phi$ i.e.
$\bar{Y}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i}, \bar{X}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$ and $P=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{i}$
$S_{Y}^{2}, S_{X}^{2}$ and $S_{P}^{2}$ are the population variance of study variable, population variance of auxiliary variable and population variance of auxiliary attribute and are given by

$$
S_{Y}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}, \quad S_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } S_{P}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\phi_{i}-P\right)^{2} .
$$

Let $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, p)$ based on second phase sample of size $n$ be the sample mean estimators of population means $(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, P)$ of $(Y, X, P)$ respectively and $\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)$ based on the first phase $n^{\prime}$ sample values on $(X, P)$ be the mean per unit estimator of $(\bar{X}, P)$ respectively. Also $s_{y}^{2}, s_{x}^{2}$ and $s_{p}^{2}$ are the sample variance of the study variable, auxiliary variable and auxiliary attribute respectively based on the second phase sample of size $n$. Hence we are given with

$$
\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}, \bar{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \text { and } p=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}
$$

$$
s_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}, s_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \text { and } s_{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\phi_{i}-p\right)^{2}
$$

For estimating population mean $\bar{Y}$ of the study (main) variable $Y$, a generalized double sampling estimator $\bar{y}_{g d}$ as the bounded function of $\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)$ is proposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}_{g d}=g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the validity conditions of Taylor's series expansion such that
(i) $g(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{X}, P, P)=\bar{Y}$
(ii) first order partial differential coefficient of $g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $\bar{y}$ at $T=(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{X}, P, P)$ is unity, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) $\quad g_{00}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{y}^{2}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}=0$
(iv) $\quad g_{1}=-g_{2}$
for $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ being the first order partial derivatives of $g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}^{\prime}$ respectively at the point $T=(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{X}, P, P)$, that is
$g_{1}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}$

$$
g_{2}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}
$$

(v) $g_{01}=-g_{02}$
for $\quad g_{01}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{y} \partial \bar{x}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}$

$$
g_{02}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{y} \partial \bar{x}^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}
$$

(vi) $\quad g_{3}=-g_{4}$
for $g_{3}$ and $g_{4}$ being the first order partial derivatives of $g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ 'respectively at the point $T=(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{X}, P, P)$, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{3}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{4}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

(vii) $g_{03}=-g_{04}$
for $\quad g_{03}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{y} \partial p} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}$ and

$$
g_{04}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{y} \partial p^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}
$$

It can be seen that the proposed generalized double sampling estimator is more efficient than the commonly used estimators available in the literatures as mean per unit estimator, ratio
estimator, ratio estimator by Naik and Gupta (1996) and exponential ratio estimator by and Tuteja (1991).

## 2. Bias and Mean Square Error of the Proposed Estimator

The proposed generalized double sampling estimator is given by

$$
\bar{y}_{g d}=g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $\quad \bar{y}=\bar{Y}\left(1+e_{0}\right)$

$$
\bar{x}=\bar{X}\left(1+e_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\bar{x}^{\prime}=\bar{X}\left(1+e_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
p=P\left(1+e_{2}\right)
$$

$$
p^{\prime}=P\left(1+e_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $\quad E\left(e_{0}\right)=E\left(e_{1}\right)=E\left(e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=f_{n} C_{Y}^{2} \\
& E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=f_{n} C_{X}^{2} \\
& E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=f_{n} C_{P}^{2} \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=f_{n} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=f_{n} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} \\
& E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=f_{n} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} \\
& E\left(e_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} C_{X}^{2} \\
& E\left(e_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} C_{P}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} \\
& E\left(e_{0} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} \\
& E\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} C_{X}^{2} \\
& E\left(e_{1} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} \\
& E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} \\
& E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} \quad \text { and } \\
& E\left(e_{2} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)=f_{n^{\prime}} C_{P}^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right), f_{n^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{N}\right), C_{y}{ }^{2}=\frac{s_{y}{ }^{2}}{\bar{Y}^{2}}, C_{x}{ }^{2}=\frac{s_{x}{ }^{2}}{\bar{X}^{2}}, C_{p}{ }^{2}=\frac{s_{\phi}{ }^{2}}{P^{2}} ; \rho_{y x}, \rho_{y p}$ and $\rho_{x p}$ are the correlation coefficients between $(y, x),(y, p)$ and $(x, p)$ respectively.

Now expanding $g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)$ in the third order Taylor's series about the point $T=(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{X}, P, P)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}_{g d}=g(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, \bar{X}, P, P)+(\bar{y}-\bar{Y}) g_{0}+(\bar{x}-\bar{X}) g_{1} \\
& +\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right) g_{2}+(p-P) g_{3}+\left(p^{\prime}-P\right) g_{4}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{2!}\left\{(\bar{y}-\bar{Y})^{2} g_{00}+(\bar{x}-\bar{X})^{2} g_{11}+\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} g_{22}\right. \\
& +(p-P)^{2} g_{33}+\left(p^{\prime}-P\right)^{2} g_{44}+2(\bar{y}-\bar{Y})(\bar{x}-\bar{X}) g_{01} \\
& +2(\bar{y}-\bar{Y})\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right) g_{02}+2(\bar{y}-\bar{Y})(p-P) g_{03} \\
& \\
& \quad+2(\bar{y}-\bar{Y})\left(p^{\prime}-P\right) g_{04}+2(\bar{x}-\bar{X})\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right) g_{12} \\
& \\
& \quad+2(\bar{x}-\bar{X})(p-P) g_{13}+2(\bar{x}-\bar{X})\left(p^{\prime}-P\right) g_{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.+2\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right)(p-P) g_{23}+2\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right)\left(p^{\prime}-P\right) g_{24}+2(p-P)\left(p^{\prime}-P\right) g_{34}\right\} \\
&+\frac{1}{3!}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{\prime}}\right\}^{3} g\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \bar{x}^{*},{\bar{x}^{\prime *}}^{\prime *} p^{*}, p^{\prime^{* *}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}^{*}=\bar{Y}+h(\bar{y}-\bar{Y}) \\
& \bar{x}^{*}=\bar{X}+h(\bar{x}-\bar{X}) \\
& {\bar{x}^{\prime}}^{*}=\bar{X}+h\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{X}\right) \\
& p^{*}=P+h(p-P) \\
& p^{\prime *}=P+h\left(p^{\prime}-P\right), 0<h<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{00}, g_{01}, g_{02}, g_{03}, g_{04}$ are already defined in equations $(1.3)$ to $(1.8)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{11}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x}^{2}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{22}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x}^{\prime 2}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{33}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p^{2}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{44}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p^{\prime 2}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{04}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{y} \partial p^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{12}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x} \partial \bar{x}^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{13}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x} \partial p} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{14}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x} \partial p^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{23}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x}^{\prime} \partial p} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{24}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \bar{x}^{\prime} \partial p^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T} \\
& g_{34}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p \partial p^{\prime}} g\left(\bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using the conditions given from (1.2) to (1.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{y}_{g d}-\bar{Y}=\bar{Y} e_{0}+\bar{X} e_{1} g_{1}-\bar{X} e_{1}^{\prime} g_{1}+P e_{2} g_{3}-P e_{2}^{\prime} g_{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{2!}\left\{\bar{X}^{2} e_{1}^{2} g_{11}+\bar{X}^{2} e_{1}^{\prime 2} g_{22}+P^{2} e_{2}^{2} g_{33}\right. \\
& \\
& +P^{2} e_{2}^{\prime 2} g_{44}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} e_{0} e_{1} g_{01}-2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime} g_{01} \\
& +2 \bar{Y} P e_{0} e_{2} g_{03}-2 \bar{Y} P e_{0} e_{2}^{\prime} g_{03}+2 \bar{X}^{2} e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime} g_{12} \\
& \\
& +2 \bar{X} P e_{1} e_{2} g_{13}+2 \bar{X} P e_{1} e_{2}^{\prime} g_{14}+2 \bar{X} P e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2} g_{23}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \\
& \left.+2 \bar{X} P e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} g_{24}+2 P^{2} e_{2} e_{2}^{\prime} g_{34}\right\} \\
& \\
& \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3!}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{\prime}}\right\}\right\}^{3} g\left(\bar{y}^{*}, \bar{x}^{*}, \bar{x}^{\prime *}, p^{*}, p^{\prime *}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, taking expectation on both the sides of (2.3) and using the values of the expectations given in (2.1) and (2.2), the bias in $\bar{y}_{g d}$ to the first degree of approximation is given by $\operatorname{Bias}$ in $\left(\bar{y}_{g d}\right)=E\left(\bar{y}_{g d}\right)-\bar{Y}$

$$
=\frac{1}{2!}\left\{\bar{X}^{2}\left(f_{n} g_{11}+f_{n^{\prime}} g_{22}+2 f_{n^{\prime}} g_{12}\right) C_{X}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +P^{2}\left(f_{n} g_{33}+f_{n^{\prime}} g_{44}\right) C_{P}^{2}+2 P^{2} C_{X} C_{P} \rho_{X P} f_{n^{\prime}} g_{34} \\
& +2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} C_{Y} C_{X} \rho_{Y X}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{01}+2 \bar{Y} P C_{Y} C_{P} \rho_{Y P}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{03} \\
& \left.\quad+2 \bar{X} P C_{X} C_{P} \rho_{X P}\left(f_{n} g_{13}+f_{n^{\prime}} g_{14}+f_{n^{\prime}} g_{23}+f_{n^{\prime}} g_{24}\right)\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, squaring (2.3) on both the sides and then taking expectation, the mean square error of $\bar{y}_{g d}$ to the first degree of approximation is given by
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d}\right)=E\left(\bar{y}_{g d}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =E\left\{\bar{Y} e_{0}+\bar{X} e_{1} g_{1}-\bar{X} e_{1}^{\prime} g_{1}+P e_{2} g_{3}-P e_{2}^{\prime} g_{3}\right\}^{2} \\
& =\bar{Y}^{2} E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)+\bar{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)+\bar{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)-2 \bar{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +P^{2} g_{3}^{2} E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)+P^{2} g_{3}^{2} E\left(e_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)-2 P^{2} g_{3}^{2} E\left(e_{2} e_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} g_{1} E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)-2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} g_{1} E\left(e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)+2 \bar{Y} P g_{3} E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right) \\
& -2 \bar{Y} P g_{3} E\left(e_{0} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 \bar{X} P g_{1} g_{3} E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)+2 \bar{X} P g_{1} g_{3} E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& -2 \bar{X} P g_{1} g_{3} E\left(e_{1} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)-2 \bar{X} P g_{1} g_{3} E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the values of the expectations given in (2.2), the mean square error is given by
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d}\right)=\bar{Y}^{2} f_{n} C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{X}^{2} f_{n} C_{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2}+\bar{X}^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2 \bar{X}^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2}+P^{2} f_{n} C_{P}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+P^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{P}^{2} g_{3}^{2} \\
&-2 P^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{P}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} f_{n} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} g_{1}-2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} g_{1} \\
&+2 \bar{Y} P f_{n} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} g_{3}-2 \bar{Y} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} g_{3}+2 \bar{X} P f_{n} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} g_{1} g_{3} \\
&+2 \bar{X} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} g_{1} g_{3}-2 \bar{X} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} g_{1} g_{3}-2 \bar{X} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} g_{1} g_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{X}^{2} C_{X}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{1}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +P^{2} C_{P}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{3}^{2}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{1} \\
& \quad+2 \bar{Y} P \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{3}+2 \bar{X} P \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) g_{1} g_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

or $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d}\right)=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right)\left[\bar{X}^{2} C_{X}^{2} g_{1}^{2}+P^{2} C_{P}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} g_{1}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+2 \bar{Y} P \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} g_{3}+2 \bar{X} P \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} g_{1} g_{3}\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For minimizing (2.5) in two unknowns $g_{1}$ and $g_{3}$, the two normal equations after differentiating (2.5) partially with respect to $g_{1}$ and $g_{3}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{X} C_{X} g_{1}+P \rho_{X P} C_{P} g_{3}+\bar{Y} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y}=0  \tag{2.6}\\
& \bar{X} C_{X} \rho_{X P} g_{1}+P C_{P} g_{3}+\bar{Y} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (2.6) and (2.7) for $g_{1}$ and $g_{3}$, we get the minimizing optimum values to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{*}=\frac{\bar{Y} C_{Y}\left(\rho_{X P} \rho_{Y P}-\rho_{Y X}\right)}{\bar{X} C_{X}\left(1-\rho_{X P}^{2}\right)} \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.8}\\
& g_{3}^{*}=\frac{\bar{Y} C_{Y}\left(\rho_{Y X} \rho_{X P}-\rho_{Y P}\right)}{P C_{P}\left(1-\rho_{X P}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

which when substituted in (2.5) gives the minimum value of mean square error of the estimator $\bar{y}_{g d}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d}\right)_{\min } & =f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \bar{Y}^{2} R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2} \\
& =f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}\right) \bar{Y}^{2} R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}=M \text { (say) } \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Some particular members (estimators) belonging to the proposed class $\bar{y}_{g d}$ of estimators are
(i) $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}=\bar{y}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)$
(ii) $\bar{y}_{g d(2)}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^{\prime}}\right)\left\{1+k_{1}\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^{\prime}}-1\right)+k_{2}\left(\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}-1\right)\right\}$
(iii) $\quad \bar{y}_{g d(3)}=\bar{y}\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{x}^{\prime}}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}\right)^{k_{2}}$
(iv) $\bar{y}_{g d(4)}=\bar{y} \exp \left\{k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
which may be easily shown to satisfy the conditions of $\bar{y}_{g d}$ and thus belongs to the class $\bar{y}_{g d}$.

Let us consider the estimator $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}$ given by

$$
\bar{y}_{g d(1)}=\bar{y}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2}\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)
$$

In order to obtain the bias and mean square error of $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{y}_{g d(1)}=\bar{Y}\left(1+e_{0}\right)+k_{1}\left\{\bar{X}\left(1+e_{1}\right)-\bar{X}\left(1+e_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}+k_{2}\left\{P\left(1+e_{2}\right)-P\left(1+e_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \text { or } \quad \bar{y}_{g d(1)}-\bar{Y}=\bar{Y} e_{0}+k_{1} \bar{X}\left(e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2} P\left(e_{2}-e_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, taking expectation on both the sides of (3.5), the bias in $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Bias} \text { in } \bar{y}_{g d(1)} & =E\left(\bar{y}_{g d(1)}\right)-\bar{Y} \\
& =E\left(\bar{Y} e_{0}+k_{1} \bar{X}\left(e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2} P\left(e_{2}-e_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{Y} E\left(e_{0}\right)+k_{1} \bar{X}\left\{E\left(e_{1}\right)-E\left(e_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}+k_{2} P\left\{E\left(e_{2}\right)-E\left(e_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

using values of the expectations given in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Bias in } \bar{y}_{g d(1)}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, squaring (3.5) on both the sides and then taking expectation, the mean square error in $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d(1)}\right)=E\left(\bar{y}_{g d(1)}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2} \\
& =E\left\{\bar{Y} e_{0}+k_{1} \bar{X}\left(e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}\right)+k_{2} P\left(e_{2}-e_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& =E\left\{\bar{Y} e_{0}+\bar{X} e_{1} k_{1}-\bar{X} e_{1}^{\prime} k_{1}+P e_{2} k_{2}-P e_{2}^{\prime} k_{2}\right\}^{2} \\
& =\bar{Y}^{2} E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)+\bar{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)+\bar{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)-2 \bar{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+P^{2} k_{2}^{2} E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)+P^{2} k_{2}^{2} E\left(e_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)-2 P^{2} k_{2}^{2} E\left(e_{2} e_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} k_{1} E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)-2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} k_{1} E\left(e_{0} e_{1}^{\prime}\right)+2 \bar{Y} P k_{2} E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-2 \bar{Y} P k_{2} E\left(e_{0} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 \bar{X} P k_{1} k_{2} E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)+2 \bar{X} P k_{1} k_{2} E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad-2 \bar{X} P k_{1} k_{2} E\left(e_{1} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)-2 \bar{X} P k_{1} k_{2} E\left(e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using values of the expectations given in (2.2), the mean square error in $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}$ is given by $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d(1)}\right)=\bar{Y}^{2} f_{n} C_{Y}^{2}+\bar{X}^{2} f_{n} C_{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2}+\bar{X}^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \bar{X}^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2}+P^{2} f_{n} C_{P}^{2} k_{2}^{2}+P^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{P}^{2} k_{2}^{2} \\
& -2 P^{2} f_{n^{\prime}} C_{P}^{2} k_{2}^{2}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} f_{n} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} k_{1}-2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} k_{1} \\
& \quad+2 \bar{Y} P f_{n} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} k_{2}-2 \bar{Y} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} k_{2}+2 \bar{X} P f_{n} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} k_{1} k_{2} \\
& \quad+2 \bar{X} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} k_{1} k_{2}-2 \bar{X} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} k_{1} k_{2}-2 \bar{X} P f_{n^{\prime}} \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} k_{1} k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+ & \bar{X}^{2} C_{X}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) k_{1}^{2} \\
& +P^{2} C_{P}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) k_{2}^{2}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) k_{1} \\
& +2 \bar{Y} P \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) k_{2}+2 \bar{X} P \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P}\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) k_{1} k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { or } \operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d(1)}\right)=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right)\left[\bar{X}^{2} C_{X}^{2} k_{1}^{2}+P^{2} C_{P}^{2} k_{2}^{2}+2 \bar{Y} \bar{X} \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X} k_{1}\right. \\
\left.+2 \bar{Y} P \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P} k_{2}+2 \bar{X} P \rho_{X P} C_{X} C_{P} k_{1} k_{2}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The minimum value of mean square error is obtained if the optimum values of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}^{*}=\frac{\bar{Y} C_{Y}\left(\rho_{X P} \rho_{Y P}-\rho_{Y X}\right)}{\bar{X} C_{X}\left(1-\rho_{X P}^{2}\right)}  \tag{3.8}\\
& k_{2}^{*}=\frac{\bar{Y} C_{Y}\left(\rho_{Y X} \rho_{X P}-\rho_{Y P}\right)}{P C_{P}\left(1-\rho_{X P}^{2}\right)} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the minimum mean square error of $\bar{y}_{g d(1)}$ under the optimizing values of the characterizing scalars is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{g d(1)}\right)_{\min }=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}\right) \bar{Y}^{2} R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as the minimum mean square error of the proposed generalized class of estimator $\bar{y}_{g d}$ and this can also be verified for the estimators $\bar{y}_{g d(2)}, \bar{y}_{g d(3)}$ and $\bar{y}_{g d(4)}$ on the similar lines.

## 4. Efficiency Comparison with the Available Estimators

For comparing the efficiency of the proposed generalized double sampling estimator, let us consider the following

## (i) Double Sampling Ratio Estimator

$\hat{\bar{y}}_{1}=\bar{y} \cdot \frac{\bar{x}^{\prime}}{x}$
with $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{1}\right)=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{Y}^{2}+C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right)-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{X}{ }^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right)$
from (4.1) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{1}\right)-M= f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{Y}^{2}+C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right)-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right) \\
& \quad-f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \bar{Y}^{2} R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2} \\
&= f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& \quad-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
&=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}+\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& \quad-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{X}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}+\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
&= \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\left(C_{X}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y}\right)^{2}+\left(R_{Y . X P}^{2}-\rho_{Y X}^{2}\right) C_{Y}^{2}\right]\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \geq 0 \text { since } R_{Y . X P}^{2} \geq \rho_{Y X}^{2} \quad . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Showing that the proposed generalized double sampling estimator is more efficient than the ratio estimator.

## (ii) Double Sampling Ratio Estimator by Naik and Gupta (1996)

$$
\hat{\bar{y}}_{2}=\bar{y} \cdot \frac{p^{\prime}}{p}
$$

with $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{2}\right)=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{Y}^{2}+C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}\right)-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}\right)$
from (4.3) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{2}\right)-M= f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{Y}^{2}+C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}\right)-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}\right) \\
& \quad-f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \bar{Y}^{2} R^{2}{ }_{Y . X P} C_{Y}^{2} \\
&= f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& \quad-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
&=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}+\rho_{Y P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\rho_{Y P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& \quad-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[C_{P}^{2}-2 \rho_{Y P} C_{Y} C_{P}+\rho_{Y P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\rho_{Y P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
&= \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\left(C_{P}-\rho_{Y P} C_{Y}\right)^{2}+\left(R_{Y . X P}^{2}-\rho_{Y P}^{2}\right) C_{Y}^{2}\right]\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \geq 0 \text { since } R_{Y . X P}^{2} \geq \rho_{Y P}^{2} \quad . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Showing that the proposed generalized double sampling estimator is more efficient than the estimator given by Naik and Gupta (1996).
(iii) Double Sampling Exponential Ratio Estimator by Bahl and Tuteja(1991)
$\hat{\bar{y}}_{3}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{X}-\bar{x}}{\bar{X}+\bar{x}}\right)$
with $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{3}\right)=f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{X}^{2}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right)-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4} C_{X}^{2}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right)$
from (4.5) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\bar{y}}_{3}\right)-M= & f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(C_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{X}^{2}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right)-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4} C_{X}^{2}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}\right) \\
& -f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \bar{Y}^{2} R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2} \\
= & f_{n} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{C_{X}^{2}}{4}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}+\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad-f_{n^{\prime}} \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\frac{C_{X}^{2}}{4}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y} C_{X}+\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}-\rho_{Y X}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}+R_{Y . X P}^{2} C_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& =\bar{Y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{C_{X}}{2}-\rho_{Y X} C_{Y}\right)^{2}+\left(R_{Y . X P}^{2}-\rho_{Y X}^{2}\right) C_{Y}^{2}\right]\left(f_{n}-f_{n^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \geq 0 \text { since } R_{Y . X P}^{2} \geq \rho_{Y X}^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Showing that the proposed generalized double sampling estimator is more efficient than the estimator given by Bahl and Tuteja (1991).

## 5. Empirical Study

For comparing efficiency of the proposed generalized class of estimator, let us consider the data given in [William G. Cochran (1977), Sampling Techniques, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, at Page No. 34] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\text { Food Cost } \\
& X=\text { Family Income } \\
& \phi=\text { Family size of more than } 3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{Y}=27.49 & C_{X}=0.146 \\
\bar{X}=72.55 & C_{Y}=0.369 \\
P=0.52 & C_{P}=0.985 \\
n^{\prime}=22 & \rho_{Y X}=0.2521 \\
n=16 & \rho_{Y P}=0.388 \\
N=33 & \rho_{X P}=-0.153
\end{array}
$$

Table 5.1: PRE of the Proposed Estimator over the Estimators Described Above

| PRE of the Proposed Estimator over the Estimators | PRE |
| :---: | :---: |
| PRE of the Proposed Estimator $\bar{y}_{g d}$ over the Estimator $\hat{\bar{y}}_{1}$ | 112.63 |
| PRE of the Proposed Estimator $\bar{y}_{g d}$ over the Estimator $\hat{\bar{y}}_{2}$ | 423.61 |
| PRE of the Proposed Estimator $\bar{y}_{g d}$ over the Estimator $\hat{\bar{y}}_{3}$ | 111.55 |

## 6. Conclusion

The comparative study of the proposed generalized double sampling estimator establishes its superiority in the sense of having minimum mean square error over mean per unit estimator, ratio estimator, ratio estimator by Naik and Gupta (1996) and exponential ratio estimator by Bahl and Tuteja (1991).

